

STUDIES ON ORIENTATION EFFECT AND LOCATIONAL VARIATION IN MECHANICAL AND FRACTURE PROPERTIES OF CORTICAL BONE

by

NITIN KUMAR SHARMA

Department of Applied mechanics

Submitted in fulfillment of the
requirements of the degree of

Doctor of Philosophy

to the



Indian Institute of Technology Delhi

Hauz Khas, New Delhi 110016, India

June, 2013

CERTIFICATE

This is to certify that the thesis entitled ‘**Studies on Orientation Effect and Locational Variation in Mechanical and Fracture Properties of Cortical Bone**’ being submitted by *Mr. Nitin Kumar Sharma* to the **Indian Institute of Technology Delhi** for the award of the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy in Applied Mechanics Department is a record of bonafide research work carried out by him under our supervision and guidance. The research reports and the results presented in this thesis have not been submitted in parts or in full to any other university or Institute for the award of any degree or diploma.

(Dr. D. K. Sehgal)

Professor

Department of Applied Mechanics

Indian Institute of Technology, Delhi

New Delhi-110016

(Dr. R. K. Pandey)

Professor

Department of Applied Mechanics

Indian Institute of Technology, Delhi

New Delhi-110016

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I express my deep sense of gratitude to Prof. D. K. Sehgal for his valuable guidance, interest, constant support, encouragement and immense patience that inspired me to work smoothly in completion of the thesis. His frankness, simplicity and deep insight into the problem have greatly motivated me to carry out my research work in this very attractive field. He supported me in every possible way without which this thesis could not be in its present form.

I am extremely grateful and indebted to Prof. R. K. Pandey for his valuable suggestions, discussions and guidance. It is just unthinkable that I could have achieved anything without him. Those patient hearings, confidence boosting discussions, expert opinions, on the minutest of observations and a very prompt evaluation of the work at every stage have lent an unparalleled support all along.

Special thanks are due to Prof. Puneet Mahajan and Dr. M. K. Singha for being part of my thesis committee. Their questions during my comprehensive and synopsis presentations significantly helped to improve my thought process.

I am deeply indebted to Dr. B. P. Patel and Prof. Rajesh Prasad for their genuine guidance and encouragement. Whenever I met them they asked about my progress in research and welfare, which gave me lot of impetus to do the work. They also encouraged me to be respectful to my supervisors and responsible in my work. Their personal living example has provided me an unflinching direction to use my education in the service of humanity at large. I also wish to thank all other faculty members of Applied Mechanics department who helped me at various stages.

Mr. B. S. Rana, Mr. Rishi P. Dagar, Mr. Niranjana Sharma and Mr. Prem Singh need special thanks for all their assistance and cooperation during the experimental work in this thesis. I also wish to thank workshop staff of Applied Mechanics Department for timely help of specimen preparation. I am very thankful to Mr. Anil Kumar and Mr. Madan for their cooperation and support.

I acknowledge my thanks to my friends and fellow research scholars, Dr. Rajnish Sharma, Dr. Nilamber K. Singh, Mr. Ananda Kiran, Mr. Rajesh Kunwar, Mr. Ratnesh K. R. Singh, Mr. Karan

Vir Saini, Mr. R. Dutta, Mr. Ankur Gupta, Mr. Jitendra Agarahari, Mr. Arshad Khan, Mr. Yaqoob Yasin, Mr. Shivdyal Patel, Mr. Prince Sharma and Mr. Abhimanyu Sharma for their encouragement, valuable discussion and help.

I am thankful to my elder brothers Mr. Rajeev Sharma, Mr. Yogindra Sharma, Mr. Amit Sharma and Mr. Sushil Kumar for their continuous encouragement and support during my thesis. I am also thankful to my sisters Dr. Manisha Sharma, Dr. Malvika Sharma and Ms. Pratibha Sharma who helped me a lot to understand the complicated biological terms.

I also acknowledge the help and assistance given to me by all other persons whom I am unable to mention here individually.

I bow in gratitude to my parents and relatives for their blessings and moral support throughout the course of my research. Lastly but not the least, I tender my grateful thanks to my wife Aradhana and my son Aditya for their love, affection and tolerance during this study.

(Nitin Kumar Sharma)

ABSTRACT

The present study is based on the mechanical and fracture behavior of cortical bones. The mechanical characterization of cortical bone is important from both the clinical and engineering point of view for the development of better implantation and to understand the effect of age, drug treatment, disease etc. The present investigation has been conducted on four different categories of bones obtained from young buffalo and bovine of the age group between 24 to 36 months. These four categories are divided as bovine femur (BoF), bovine tibia (BoT), buffalo femur (BuF) and buffalo tibia (BuT).

Bone is treated as a heterogeneous, anisotropic material with hierarchical structure that changes from nano scale to macro scale. Due to heterogeneity associated with bone, in order to understand the locational variation in its fracture and mechanical properties, the whole length of bone diaphysis is divided into three parts namely upper, middle and lower diaphysis. The material properties of bone are considered to be transversely isotropic in nature and to analyze the effect of orientation on different mechanical properties, samples from different locations are further categorized as longitudinal (load being applied along the long axis of bone) and transverse (load being applied along the perpendicular direction to the long axis of bone) specimens. Shear modulus at different locations of bone diaphysis is determined with the help of Iosipescu shear test method. This shear test method has now become well accepted among the researchers in characterization of composite materials. The micro-mechanisms of cortical bone under tensile and shear loading have been examined using scanning electron microscope (SEM).

For the evaluation of fracture properties compact tension and single edge notch bend specimens are employed to undergo longitudinal and transverse fracture. It has been observed that bone contains good amount of non linearity during deformation. This non linearity is considered to be due to several toughening mechanisms such as micro-cracking, crack deflection due to weak interfaces, fiber bridging, sacrificial bonding and presence of water in the bone material. Due to moderate amount of apparent plastic deformation in bone material before fracture, elastic-plastic fracture mechanics (EPFM) using crack tip opening displacement (CTOD) approach has been applied to accurately describe the fracture properties of bone in terms of CTOD and J -toughness values. The SEM examination of fracture surfaces is carried out to investigate the micro-fracture mechanisms in cortical bone for different orientation of cracking. From different SEM images, various toughening mechanisms involved during cortical bone failure are identified and discussed.

Different statistical methods have been applied in the present investigation to observe the complexity associated in bone material due to its heterogeneous and anisotropic nature. The mechanical and fracture properties of different diaphysis locations and categories of cortical bone are analyzed using single-factor analysis of variance (ANOVA), unpaired t-test and paired t-test analysis. The statistical parameters such as coefficient of variation and standard error of mean are used to observe the variation in experimental results.

In this study the trend of mechanical heterogeneity is observed to be the same for various categories of cortical bones. The different mechanical properties are found to be maximum at the middle location and minimum at the lower location of bone diaphysis. The mechanical properties are also observed to be highly anisotropic in nature as the tensile properties are found to be significantly greater for longitudinal orientation of loading and the transverse shear modulus is found to be significantly greater as compared to the longitudinal shear modulus.

The CTOD and J – toughness values of cortical bone are observed to be significantly different for three representative locations of cortical bone diaphysis. The trend of variation of these fracture properties at different diaphysis locations is found to be same (maximum at the middle location and minimum at the upper diaphysis location) for various categories of cortical bones. The variation in arrangement of collagen fibrils and mineral particles and in the amount of mineralization and crystallinity at different locations of bone diaphysis is considered as the main cause of this locational variation in fracture properties of cortical bone.

The micro-structure of bone specimens from buffalo and bovine cortical bone was found to be plexiform in nature that is found predominantly in large, rapidly growing animals. The bone lamellae and the planes of vascular networks were mainly oriented along the long axis of the bone facilitating crack growth in the longitudinal direction with less amount of energy consumption and producing a smooth fracture surface in this case. In case of transverse fracture the blood vessels and vascular networks serve as barrier to the crack growth producing an uneven fracture surface and leading to significant amount of energy consumption. Therefore, the cortical bone is shown to be having higher toughness for transverse orientation as compared to that of longitudinal orientation of fracture. The toughening mechanisms observed in the present study from various SEM images are micro-cracking, crack deflection, crack twist and sacrificial bonding.

The mechanical and fracture properties of cortical bone are considered to be related to its compositional parameters such as density, porosity, minerals, organics etc. Therefore, different compositional parameters of cortical bone are also evaluated for three different locations of bone diaphysis. These compositional parameters are correlated to different corresponding mechanical and fracture properties of bone using linear and multi-linear regression analysis. The transverse compositional parameters of cortical bone are observed to be more inhomogeneous along the bone diaphysis as compared to the longitudinal compositional parameters. The locational variation in porosity and mineralization is considered as the main cause of compositional

heterogeneity in bone material as the variability in percentage of water is observed to be higher at different diaphysis locations of various cortical bones.

The mechanical and fracture properties of cortical bone are found to be positively correlated with the apparent density and mineral content and negatively correlated with the organics and percentage of water. The corresponding results of linear regression analysis are presented in the form of linear regression equations, coefficient of determination (COD) r^2 and p (probability)-values of model utility tests. The multiple regression models are used to analyze the effects of more than one significantly correlated compositional parameters on different mechanical and fracture properties of cortical bone. The multiple regression equations are presented along with different explanatory parameters such as coefficient of multiple determination (R^2), adjusted R^2 and p -values. The multiple regression models are found to be having good explanatory capabilities for different mechanical and fracture properties.

The experimental investigation on small size specimens of cortical bone has been carried out using small punch test method. These specimens are prepared from both longitudinal and transverse directions of the bone diaphysis to analyze the directional effect on deformational behavior of cortical bone. Three different thicknesses (2.0 mm, 1.5 mm and 1.0 mm) of specimens are considered for this study. The small punch test is performed on MTS 858 Table Top Machine at a displacement rate of 0.05 mm/min and the load-displacement behavior of cortical bone are observed for both longitudinal and transverse orientations of specimens. Based on the experimental results, new empirical relations are developed for the estimation of longitudinal and transverse elastic moduli.

Computational simulations in biomechanics are important due to difficulty of obtaining experimental or clinical results. Due to limited information on plastic properties of cortical bone most of the finite element analyses are based on assumptions of linearity of constitutive behavior of bone tissue whereas few investigators have obtained somewhat accurate results by considering non-linear material properties of cortical bone. Further, the uniaxial and biaxial finite element models of cortical bone are created to take into account multi-axial loading. For finite element simulation, the bone material has been considered to be transversely isotropic in nature having five elastic constants. The Hill's criterion has been used to simulate the anisotropic yield behavior of cortical bone. The finite element study shows that cortical bone has higher stiffness values in longitudinal and transverse directions for biaxial loading as compared to that of uniaxial loading.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
CERTIFICATE	i
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	ii
ABSTRACT	iv
TABLE OF CONTENTS	vii
LIST OF FIGURES	xii
LIST OF TABLES	xxii
NOMENCLATURE	xxv
CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION	1-10
1.1 THE BONE MATERIAL	1
1.1.1 Structure of Bone Matrix	1
1.1.2 Different Parts of a Long Bone	2
1.2 TYPES OF BONE	4
1.3 CELLULAR STRUCTURE OF BONE	6
1.4 MOTIVATION FOR PRESENT STUDY	7
1.5 OBJECTIVES OF THE PRESENT STUDY	8
1.6 ORGANISATION OF THE THESIS	9
CHAPTER 2 REVIEW OF LITERATURE	11-30
2.1 INTRODUCTION	11
2.2 STRUCTURE OF BONE MATERIAL	11
2.2.1 Hierarchical Structure of Bone	11
2.2.2 Anisotropy and Heterogeneity in Bone Material	13
2.2.3 Microstructure of Cortical Bone	15
2.3 MECHANICAL BEHAVIOR OF CORTICAL BONE	18

2.4	FRACTURE CHARACTERISTICS OF BONE	21
2.5	APPLICATION OF FINITE ELEMENT METHOD TO BONE MECHANICS	26
2.6	SCOPE AND OBJECTIVES OF THE PRESENT STUDY	29
CHAPTER 3	EXPERIMENTAL INVESTIGATION	31-55
3.1	INTRODUCTION	31
3.2	PRESERVATION OF BONE	31
3.3	CUTTING AND MACHINING OF BONE	32
3.4	MATERIAL CHARACTERIZATION	32
3.4.1	Orientations and Site of Sample Selection	32
3.5	TENSILE TESTING OF CORTICAL BONE	36
3.5.1	Specimen Preparation for Tensile Testing	36
3.5.2	Experimental Procedure for Tensile Testing	37
3.5.3	Testing for Evaluation of Poisson's Ratio	41
3.6	MEASUREMENT OF SHEAR PROPERTIES	43
3.6.1	Specimen Preparation for Iosipescu Shear Test	44
3.6.2	Experimental Procedure for Iosipescu Shear Test	45
3.7	EXPERIMENTAL WORK ON FRACTURE PROPERTIES OF CORTICAL BONE	49
3.7.1	Specimen Preparation for CTOD Testing	49
3.7.2	Experimental Procedure for CTOD Testing	51
3.8	EXPERIMENTAL STUDIES ON MICRO-MECHANICS OF BONE FRACTURE	53
3.9	EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURE FOR COMPOSITIONAL ANALYSIS	54
CHAPTER 4	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION ON MECHANICAL PROPERTIES OF CORTICAL BONE	56-113
4.1	INTRODUCTION	56
4.2	STATISTICAL ANALYSIS OF EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS	56
4.3	EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS FOR UNIAXIAL TENSILE TEST OF CORTICAL BONE	58

4.3.1	Overall Analysis of Tensile Properties of Cortical Bone	58
4.3.2	Effect of Orientation on Tensile Properties of Cortical Bones	69
4.3.3	Locational Variation in Tensile Properties of Cortical Bones	73
4.4	EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS FOR IOSIPESCU SHEAR TEST OF CORTICAL BONE	83
4.4.1	Overall Analysis of Shear Properties of Cortical Bones	83
4.4.2	Effect of Orientation on Shear Modulus and Poisson's Ratios of Cortical Bones	90
4.4.3	Locational Variation in Shear Properties and Poisson's Ratios of Cortical Bones	94
4.5	SEM ANALYSIS OF DEFORMED TENSILE AND SHEAR SPECIMENS	104
4.6	OVERALL DISCUSSION	111
4.6.1	Heterogeneity in Mechanical Properties along Bone Diaphysis	111
4.6.2	Anisotropy in Mechanical Properties	112
4.6.3	Micro-mechanics of Cortical Bone under Tensile and Shear Loading	112
CHAPTER 5	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION: COMPOSITIONAL PARAMETERS AND THEIR CORRELATIONS WITH MECHANICAL PROPERTIES	114-157
5.1	INTRODUCTION	114
5.2	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION ON COMPOSITIONAL ANALYSIS OF BONE	114
5.3	CORRELATIONS AMONG COMPOSITIONAL PARAMETERS AND TENSILE PROPERTIES OF CORTICAL BONE	131
5.3.1	Multiple Regression Analysis for Tensile Properties of Cortical Bone	145
5.4	CORRELATIONS AMONG COMPOSITIONAL PARAMETERS AND SHEAR PROPERTIES OF CORTICAL BONE	146
5.4.1	Multiple Regression Analysis for Shear Properties of Cortical Bone	155
5.5	OVERALL DISCUSSION	156
CHAPTER 6	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION: FRACTURE PROPERTIES OF CORTICAL BONE	158-202
6.1	INTRODUCTION	158
6.2	ANALYSIS OF EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS	158

6.2.1	Validity of <i>K</i> -approach for Different Categories of Cortical Bones	163
6.2.2	Determination of CTOD Toughness for Bone Material	164
6.2.3	Determination of <i>J</i> -toughness for Bone Material	165
6.3	DISCUSSION: FRACTURE PROPERTIES OF CORTICAL BONES	168
6.3.1	Comparison of Elastic and Plastic Parts of Fracture Parameters	178
6.3.2	Effect of Orientation on Fracture Properties	190
6.3.3	Locational Variation in Fracture Properties	193
CHAPTER 7	INFLUENCE OF COMPOSITIONAL PARAMETERS ON FRACTURE PROPERTIES AND MICRO-FRACTURE MECHANISM IN CORTICAL BONE	203-229
7.1	INTRODUCTION	203
7.2	CORRELATIONS AMONG COMPOSITIONAL PARAMETERS AND FRACTURE PROPERTIES OF CORTICAL BONE	203
7.2.1	Results on Correlations and Linear Regression Analysis for Different Cortical Bones	204
7.2.2	Multiple Regression Analysis for the Fracture Properties	219
7.3	SEM ANALYSIS OF FRACTURED SPECIMENS OF CORTICAL BONE	221
7.3.1	Identification of Bone Microstructure	221
7.3.2	Micro-fracture Mechanisms of Longitudinal and Transverse Fractured Specimens	221
7.3.3	Toughening Mechanisms Observed During Cortical Bone Fracture	228
CHAPTER 8	SMALL PUNCH TESTING ON CORTICAL BONE AND FINITE ELEMENT MODELING	230-251
8.1	INTRODUCTION	230
8.2	EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURE FOR SMALL PUNCH TESTING	230
8.2.1	Small Specimen Preparation	231
8.2.2	Experimental Setup for Small Punch Test	231
8.3	RESULTS OF SMALL PUNCH TEST	234
8.4	EMPIRICAL CORRELATION PROPOSED FOR THE PREDICTION OF ELASTIC MODULUS	236
8.4.1	Experimental Verifications	237

8.5	FINITE ELEMENT SIMULATION OF CORTICAL BONE	238
8.5.1	Material properties of Cortical Bone Defined for FE Simulation	239
8.5.2	Uniaxial and Biaxial Models of Cortical Bone	244
CHAPTER 9	CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	252-256
9.1	CONCLUSIONS	252
9.2	SUGGESTIONS FOR FURTHER STUDY	256
	REFERENCES	257
	BRIEF BIODATA OF THE AUTHOR	268
	RESEARCH PAPERS BASED ON PRESENT WORK	269