

**EXPERIMENTAL AND NUMERICAL
INVESTIGATIONS INTO BASAL REINFORCED
EMBANKMENT RESTING ON SOFT SOIL
IMPROVED WITH SOIL CEMENT COLUMNS**

SUJATA JITENDRA FULAMBARKAR



**INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY DELHI
NEW DELHI-110016, INDIA**

JULY 2024

© Indian Institute of Technology Delhi (IIT D), New Delhi, 2024

**EXPERIMENTAL AND NUMERICAL
INVESTIGATIONS INTO BASAL REINFORCED
EMBANKMENT RESTING ON SOFT SOIL
IMPROVED WITH SOIL CEMENT COLUMNS**

by

SUJATA JITENDRA FULAMBARKAR

Department of Civil Engineering

submitted

in fulfillment of requirements of the degree of

DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

to the



INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY DELHI

NEW DELHI-110016, INDIA

JULY 2024

Dedicated to
my
Parents and Husband

CERTIFICATE

This is to certify that the thesis titled “**Experimental and Numerical Investigations into Basal Reinforced Embankment Resting on Soft Soil Improved with Soil Cement Columns**”, submitted by **Ms. Sujata Jitendra Fulambarkar** to the Indian Institute of Technology Delhi is a record of bonafide research work carried out by her under our supervision and guidance. This thesis work, in our opinion, has reached the standard of fulfilling the requirements for a **Doctor of Philosophy** degree. The research report and results presented in this thesis have not been submitted, in part or full, to any University or Institute for the award of the degree or diploma.

Dr. J. T. Shahu

Professor,

Department of Civil Engineering,

IIT Delhi

New Delhi - 110016

Dr. Bappaditya Manna

Professor,

Department of Civil Engineering,

IIT Delhi

New Delhi - 110016

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This research work would not have been possible without the support of a number of individuals, and I would like to take this opportunity to extend my sincere thanks to them. First and foremost, I express my deepest gratitude to my advisors, Prof. Bappaditya Manna and Prof. J. T. Shahu. Their unwavering support, guidance, and encouragement have been invaluable throughout my doctoral journey. Their profound insights and expertise have greatly contributed to the development and completion of this thesis. I am deeply grateful for the countless hours they dedicated to discussing my research, reviewing drafts, and providing constructive feedback. Working under their mentorship has been a privilege and an honor.

I also extend my sincere thanks to the Student Research Committee (SRC) members, Prof. Arvind K. Nema, Prof. R. Ayothiraman, and Prof. Maloy K. Singha. Their thoughtful critiques and suggestions have significantly improved the quality of my research. I appreciate their time, effort, and dedication in helping me achieve this milestone.

A special thank you goes to the Head of the Department, Prof. Vasant Matsagar. His support has created an environment conducive to academic excellence and research innovation. I am grateful for the opportunities and resources provided by the department under his guidance. His encouragement and assistance have been instrumental in the completion of this thesis. I also acknowledge the encouragement and support given by the faculty members of the Department of Civil Engineering, especially Dr. Debayan Bhattacharya, throughout the tenure of my research.

I am grateful to the laboratory staff members of the Geotechnical and Rock Engineering section for their help in bringing this project to reality. Special thanks are extended to Mr. Manoj Kumar Nilam and Mr. Alok Kumar for their valuable assistance at various stages of the

experimental work. I also thank Mr. Dharmendra, Mr. Jiwesh Ram, Mr. Manish, Mr. Ram Janam, and Mr. Dinesh for their help during the model tests.

My heartfelt thanks go to my colleagues and friends in Department of Civil Engineering at IIT Delhi. Their camaraderie, support, and insightful discussions have made this journey enjoyable and enriching. I must extend special thanks to my co-research scholar Mr. Lalit Kandpal, Dr. Mayuresh Bakre and Mr. Debtanu Seth for their selfless help, cooperation and continuous moral support during my research work.

I must thank my seniors Dr. Shilpi Mohapatra, Dr. Mamata Mohanty, Dr. Prasun Halder, Dr. Rohit Ralli, and Dr. Arnab Sur, as well as the “girls’ gang” in the IIT Delhi hostel: Dr. Kavita Tandon, Dr. Aali Pant, Dr. Riya Bhowmik, and Dr. Heena Dhawan. Thanks are also due to my co-research scholar friends Dr. Pranjal Mandhaniya, Ms. Sayanti Banerjee, Ms. Namrata Bhattacharya, Mr. Koushik Das, and Mr. Amit Gautam for their help and cooperation during this journey. I also sincerely thank my old friends, colleagues, and well-wishers for their valuable suggestions and assistance in completing this project. To those whose names are not mentioned here, your direct or indirect support is equally appreciated.

On a personal note, I am profoundly grateful to my family. To my parents, Ms. Sangeeta Fulambarkar and Mr. Jitendra Fulambarkar, thank you for your unwavering belief in me and your constant encouragement. To my younger sister Ms. Vaishnavi Fulambarkar and her husband Mr. Nikhil Shirke, and my younger brother Mr. Rahul Fulambarkar, your support has meant the world to me. I also thank my in-laws, Ms. Shobha Gaddekar and Mr. Ramesh Gaddekar, for their trust, freedom, love, and blessings. Finally, to my partner, Mr. Sameer Gaddekar, your love, patience, and understanding have been my anchor throughout this journey. Thank you for being by my side through every high and low.

Completing this Ph.D. has been a challenging yet rewarding experience, and it would not have been possible without the support of the incredible people around me. Thank you all for being a part of this journey. If anyone's explicit mention is inadvertently omitted, it is due more to the limitations of my memory than any lack of appreciation. I apologize for any oversights. Finally, I express my gratitude to the Almighty for blessing me with health and strength, without which none of this would have been possible.

Place: New Delhi

Date:

Sujata Jitendra Fulambarkar

ABSTRACT

Embankments, which are essential for transportation infrastructure, can face challenges such as settlements and instability when built on weak, compressible soils. Traditional consolidation methods may be economically uncertain and time-consuming, leading to potential damage from significant settlements. In response, Soil cement columns (SCC), with or without basal reinforcement, offer a rapid and cost-effective solution for ground stabilization. The Deep Mixing Method (DMM) involves blending binders with weak geomaterials to create a composite ground with enhanced strength and stiffness, resulting in improved bearing capacity. This enables the rapid construction of embankments while minimizing total and differential settlements, addressing the limitations of conventional consolidation techniques.

The current study involves model testing for various embankment cases, namely, unreinforced (UR), basal geotextile reinforced (BGR), column-supported (CS), and basal-reinforced column-supported (BRCS). These embankments rest on soft clay with different shear strengths and various column installation patterns like Square Group Columns (SGC), Longitudinal Tangent Wall (LTW), Transverse Tangent Wall (TTW), and Tangent Grid (TG) beneath the embankment. Parameters such as settlement at the crest, lateral deformation of the embankment slope, and heaving of the soft soil foundation are thoroughly examined. The Particle Image Velocimetry (PIV) technique is employed to capture soil deformation under the embankment. The findings of the study, presented as displacement contours and deformation profiles, explore the impact of reinforcement, length, number, and installation patterns of the SCC columns. Additionally, a finite element model delves into the effects of various parameters on the performance of BRCS embankments, including soft soil strength parameters, geotextile tensile strength, SCC column characteristics, and geometric parameters. The research provides

valuable insights and predictions for the performance of prototype BRCS embankments under vertical loads.

सार

तटबंध, जो परिवहन अवसंरचना के लिए आवश्यक होते हैं, कमजोर, संपीडनीय मिट्टी पर बनाए जाने पर धंसाव और अस्थिरता जैसी चुनौतियों का सामना कर सकते हैं। पारंपरिक संपीडन विधियाँ आर्थिक रूप से अनिश्चित और समय लेने वाली हो सकती हैं, जिससे महत्वपूर्ण धंसाव से संभावित नुकसान हो सकता है। इसके प्रत्युत्तर में, सोईल सीमेंट कॉलम (SCC), बेसल सुदृढीकरण के साथ या बिना, भूमि स्थिरीकरण के लिए एक त्वरित और किफायती समाधान प्रदान करते हैं। डीप मिक्सिंग मेथड (DMM) कमजोर भू-सामग्री के साथ बाइंडर को मिलाकर एक मिश्रित भूमि बनाता है जिसमें बढ़ी हुई शक्ति (strength) और कठोरता (stiffness) होती है, जिससे भार वहन क्षमता में सुधार होता है। यह कुल और अंतर धंसाव को कम करते हुए तटबंध का त्वरित निर्माण सक्षम बनाता है, पारंपरिक संपीडन तकनीकों की सीमाओं को संबोधित करता है।

वर्तमान अध्ययन विभिन्न तटबंध मामलों के लिए मॉडल परीक्षण शामिल किया गया है, अर्थात्, असंवर्धित (UR), बेसल जियोटेक्स्टाइल संवर्धित (BGR), कॉलम-संवर्धित (CS), और बेसल-संवर्धित कॉलम-संवर्धित (BRCS)। ये तटबंध विभिन्न प्रकार की कटाई शक्ति वाली नरम मिट्टी पर और विभिन्न कॉलम स्थापना पैटर्न जैसे कि स्क्रायर ग्रुप कॉलम (SGC), लांगिट्यूडिनल टैन्जेंट वॉल (LTW), ट्रांसवर्स टैन्जेंट वॉल (TTW), और टैन्जेंट गिड (TG) के नीचे स्थित हैं। शिखर पर जमावट, तटबंध ढलान का पार्श्व विकृति, और नरम मिट्टी की नींव का हिविंग जैसे मापदंडों की पूरी तरह से जाँच की गई है। पार्टिकल इमेज वेलोसिमीट्री (PIV) तकनीक का उपयोग तटबंध के नीचे मिट्टी के विकृति को पकड़ने के लिए किया गया है। अध्ययन के निष्कर्ष, विस्थापन कोंटूर और विकृति प्रोफाइल के रूप में प्रस्तुत किए गए हैं, जो सुदृढीकरण, लंबाई, संख्या, और SCC कॉलम के स्थापना पैटर्न के प्रभावों का पता लगाते हैं। इसके अतिरिक्त, एक सीमित तत्व मॉडल BRCS तटबंध के प्रदर्शन पर विभिन्न मापदंडों के प्रभावों की जाँच की

गई है, जिसमें नरम मिट्टी की ताकत के मापदंड, जियोटेक्स्टाइल तन्य शक्ति, SCC कॉलम की विशेषताएँ, और ज्यामितीय मापदंड शामिल हैं। शोध ऊर्ध्वाधर भारों के तहत प्रोटोटाइप BRCS तटबंध के प्रदर्शन के लिए मूल्यवान अंतर्दृष्टि और भविष्यवाणियाँ प्रदान की गई हैं।

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CERTIFICATE	I
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	III
ABSTRACT	VII
समर्पण.....	IX
TABLE OF CONTENTS	XI
LIST OF FIGURES.....	XVII
LIST OF TABLES.....	XXVII
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	XXIX
LIST OF NOTATIONS	XXXI
CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION.....	1
1.1 GENERAL	1
1.2 ORGANIZATION OF THE THESIS.....	4
CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW	7
2.1 GENERAL	7
2.2 GROUND IMPROVEMENT TECHNIQUES IN GENERAL	8
2.2.1 Shallow Ground Improvement.....	8
2.2.2 Deep Ground Improvement	9
2.3 DEEP MIXING METHODS	13
2.3.1 Historical Development of DMM	14

2.3.2	<i>Classification of DMM</i>	14
2.3.2.1	<i>Based on Column Installation Pattern</i>	14
2.3.2.2	<i>Based on Construction Techniques</i>	15
2.3.2.3	<i>Based on Stabilizing Agent</i>	18
2.3.3	<i>Application of DMM</i>	20
2.4	FUNDAMENTALS OF SOIL CEMENT COLUMN	24
2.5	ENGINEERING PROPERTIES OF SOIL CEMENT COLUMN	27
2.6	FAILURE MODES OF SCC COLUMN UNDER EMBANKMENT LOADING	28
2.6.1	<i>Experimental Investigation</i>	29
2.6.2	<i>Numerical Analysis</i>	38
2.6.3	<i>Field Testing</i>	45
2.7	SUMMARY	53
2.8	CRITICAL OBSERVATIONS AND RESEARCH GAP	54
2.9	RESEARCH OBJECTIVE	55
2.10	OUTLINE OF THE WORK DONE	56
	CHAPTER 3 EXPERIMENTAL INVESTIGATION	57
3.1	GENERAL	57
3.2	MATERIALS	58
3.2.1	<i>Foundation Soft Soil</i>	58
3.2.2	<i>Embankment Soil</i>	60
3.2.3	<i>Model Soil Cement Column (SCC)</i>	63
3.2.4	<i>Basal Reinforcement</i>	63
3.3	SCALING LAWS FOR 1G MODEL TESTS	65
3.4	EXPERIMENTAL SETUP	66
3.4.1	<i>Details of the Equipment</i>	66
3.4.1.1	<i>Loading Frame</i>	66
3.4.1.2	<i>Test Tank</i>	67

3.4.1.3	<i>Loading Arrangement with Hydraulic Jack</i>	69
3.4.1.4	<i>Pumping Unit</i>	70
3.4.1.5	<i>Control System</i>	70
3.4.2	<i>Model Geometry</i>	71
3.4.3	<i>Steps for Model Preparation</i>	73
	<i>Step 1: Preparation of Foundation Soft Clay Bed</i>	73
	<i>Step 2: Preparation and Placement of SCC</i>	73
	<i>Step 3: Placement of Basal Geosynthetic Reinforcement</i>	76
	<i>Step 4: Preparation of Embankment</i>	77
3.4.4	<i>Model Instrumentation</i>	77
3.4.4.1	<i>Linear Variable Differential Transformers</i>	77
3.4.4.2	<i>Miniature Earth Pressure Sensors</i>	77
3.4.4.3	<i>Strain Gauges</i>	79
3.4.5	<i>PIV Technique and Photo grammetry</i>	81
3.4.5.1	<i>Image Acquisition</i>	81
3.4.5.2	<i>Image Analysis</i>	83
3.5	TEST PROCEDURE AND PROGRAM	84
3.6	TEST RESULTS	89
3.7	SUMMARY	93

CHAPTER 4 EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS AND DISCUSSION OF SQUARE GROUP COLUMN95

4.1	GENERAL	95
4.2	STRESS-SETTLEMENT RESPONSE	97
4.2.1	<i>Effect of Shear Strength of Soft Soil</i>	97
4.2.2	<i>Effect of Cement Content in the Embankment Sand</i>	99
4.2.3	<i>Effect of Reinforcement</i>	100
4.2.4	<i>Effect of Column Length</i>	101
4.2.5	<i>Effect of the Number of Columns</i>	102

4.3	STRESS TRANSFER MECHANISM.....	103
4.3.1	<i>Effect of Reinforcement.....</i>	104
4.3.2	<i>Effect of Column Length</i>	108
4.3.3	<i>Effect of the Number of Columns.....</i>	110
4.4	STRAIN IN BASAL GEOSYNTHETIC REINFORCEMENT	113
4.5	AXIAL LOAD ALONG COLUMN DEPTH.....	114
4.5.1	<i>Effect of Reinforcement.....</i>	115
4.5.2	<i>Effect of Column Length</i>	117
4.5.3	<i>Effect of the Number of Columns.....</i>	118
4.6	LATERAL DEFORMATION OF EMBANKMENT SLOPE.....	118
4.6.1	<i>Effect of Reinforcement.....</i>	118
4.6.2	<i>Effect of Column Length</i>	119
4.6.3	<i>Effect of the Number of Columns.....</i>	120
4.7	HEAVING AT THE FOUNDATION SOFT SOIL	121
4.7.1	<i>Effect of Reinforcement.....</i>	122
4.7.2	<i>Effect of Column Length</i>	123
4.7.3	<i>Effect of the Number of Columns.....</i>	125
4.8	DEFORMATION PATTERN BY PIV TECHNIQUE.....	127
4.8.1	<i>Unreinforced Case (Case A).....</i>	127
4.8.2	<i>Basal Geotextile Reinforced Case (Case B)</i>	129
4.8.3	<i>Column Supported Case (Case C).....</i>	131
4.8.4	<i>Basal Reinforced Column Supported Case (Case D)</i>	133
4.9	SUMMARY	135

CHAPTER 5 EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS AND DISCUSSION OF COLUMN INSTALLATION PATTERN..... 137

5.1	GENERAL	137
-----	---------------	-----

5.2	STRESS-SETTLEMENT BEHAVIOUR	139
5.3	STRESS TRANSFER MECHANISM	140
5.3.1	<i>Vertical Stress on Clay</i>	140
5.3.2	<i>Vertical Stress on Column</i>	141
5.3.3	<i>Stress Concentration Ratio</i>	143
5.4	LATERAL DEFORMATION OF EMBANKMENT SLOPE	144
5.5	HEAVING AT THE FOUNDATION SOFT SOIL	147
5.6	FAILURE PATTERN	150
5.6.1	<i>Ground Displacement</i>	150
5.6.2	<i>Column Failure</i>	151
5.7	SUMMARY	153
CHAPTER 6 NUMERICAL ANALYSIS AND PARAMETRIC STUDY		155
6.1	GENERAL	155
6.2	PLAXIS 3D SOFTWARE	157
6.3	DETAILS OF THE FINITE ELEMENT SIMULATION OF THE MODEL TESTS	157
6.3.1	<i>Geometry and Domain Size</i>	157
6.3.2	<i>Boundary Condition</i>	158
6.3.3	<i>Mesh Sensitivity Analysis</i>	158
6.3.4	<i>Constitutive Models</i>	161
6.3.5	<i>Prescribed Load</i>	162
6.3.6	<i>Phases of Analysis</i>	163
6.3.7	<i>Convergence criteria</i>	164
6.4	RESULTS OF THE FINITE ELEMENT ANALYSIS	165
6.5	COMPARISON OF NUMERICAL AND MODEL TEST RESULTS	169
6.6	PARAMETRIC STUDY OF THE PROTOTYPE MODEL	174
6.6.1	<i>Model Geometry</i>	174

6.6.2	<i>Model Boundary Condition and Meshing</i>	175
6.6.3	<i>Constitutive Models</i>	176
6.6.4	<i>Baseline Case</i>	176
6.7	RESULTS OF THE PARAMETRIC STUDY	179
6.7.1	<i>Influence of Compressibility of Soft Soil</i>	179
6.7.2	<i>Influence of Stress Ratio M of Soft Soil</i>	183
6.7.3	<i>Influence of Tensile Strength of Geotextile (T)</i>	187
6.7.4	<i>Influence of Length (l) of the SCC</i>	191
6.7.5	<i>Influence of Diameter (d) of the SCC</i>	195
6.7.6	<i>Influence of Number of SCCs (N)</i>	199
6.7.7	<i>Influence of Young's Modulus of the SCC (E)</i>	204
6.7.8	<i>Significance of Influencing Factors on BRCS Embankment</i>	209
6.8	SUMMARY	213
CHAPTER 7 CONCLUSIONS		215
7.1	GENERAL	215
7.2	CONCLUSIONS BASED ON RESEARCH OBJECTIVES	216
7.3	SCOPE FOR THE FUTURE STUDY	224
REFERENCES		227
CURRICULUM VITE		251

LIST OF FIGURES

Fig. 2.1 (a) Selection flow of shallow ground improvement technique (Kamon & Bergado, 1991).....	11
Fig. 2.2 Column arrangement pattern (a) group column type, (b) wall type, (c) grid type, and (d) block type (after (Han, 2015))	15
Fig. 2.3 Installation procedure of dry mixing method (Keller, https://www.keller.com/)	16
Fig. 2.4 Installation procedure of wet mixing method (Keller, https://www.keller.com/).....	17
Fig. 2.5 Classification based on the construction techniques (Kirsch & Bell, 2013).....	18
Fig. 2.6 Distribution of stabilizers used in Japan (data from the DJM Association), (A. Porbaha, 1998).....	19
Fig. 2.7 Distribution of stabilizers used in Sweden between 1975 and 1993 (Ahnberg et al., 1995).....	20
Fig. 2.8 Typical applications and pattern of column stabilization (Esrig & Mackenna, 2001)	22
Fig. 2.9 Mechanism of soil cement reaction (Kitazume & Terashi, 2013)	26
Fig. 2.10 Failure modes of a single column (Broms, 2003).....	29
Fig. 2.11 Possible failure modes of columns under embankments: (a) sliding, (b) collapse (rotational), (c) bending, (d) circular shear, (e) horizontal shear, and (f) combined (from (Broms, 1999; Kitazume & Maruyama, 2006, 2007b))	31
Fig. 2.12 (a) Case B, vertical loading, $q_u = 300 \text{ kN/m}^2$ (b) Case C, vertical loading, $q_u = 27,000 \text{ kN/m}^2$ (Kitazume et al., 2000).....	32
Fig. 2.13 Failure modes of columns found in the centrifuge tests (Kitazume, 2008)	34
Fig. 2.14 Patterns of soil-cement columns installation (Sukpunya & Jotisankasa, 2016)	37
Fig. 2.15 Failure pattern obtained from numerical analysis (Navin & Filz, 2006a)	38

Fig. 2.16 Modelling of reinforced soil by a group of cement columns (Banadaki et al., 2012)	41
Fig. 2.17 3D FEA mesh of the embankment system: a 3D mesh; b plan layout of the CDM columns	44
Fig. 2.18 Details of the full-scale field embankment (a) plane view; and (b) cross-sectional view (Phutthananon et al., 2022)	50
Fig. 3.1 Kaolin clay used for foundation soft soil	58
Fig. 3.2 Grain size distribution of Kaolin clay and Yamuna sand	59
Fig. 3.3 Results of consolidation test	59
Fig. 3.4 Yamuna sand used for the embankment	61
Fig. 3.5 Shear strength envelopes of sand samples with different cement content	62
Fig. 3.6 PVC pipes used for making SCCs	64
Fig. 3.7 Results of unconfined compression tests performed on SCCs	64
Fig. 3.8 Tensile strength curve for basal geotextile reinforcement used in model tests	65
Fig. 3.9 Loading Frame	65
Fig. 3.10 (a) Openable side wall arrangement (b) The stand arrangement of test tank	68
Fig. 3.11 Hydraulic Pumping Unit	69
Fig. 3.12 Control system	71
Fig. 3.13 Schematic diagram of test setup with loading arrangement	72
Fig. 3.14 Different steps of model preparation: (a) Preparation of foundation soft clay bed (b) Marker installation (c) Column curing (d) Marking of column location on clay bed (e) Insertion of PVC pipe for core (f) Instrumented columns (g) Completed column installation in clay bed (h) Installation of basal reinforcement with roller arrangement (i) Preparation of an embankment	76
Fig. 3.15 Linear variable differential transformer	76

Fig. 3.16 MEPSs used for pressure measurement.....	77
Fig. 3.17 Strain gauges pasted on SCC and model geotextile.....	78
Fig. 3.18 Data acquisition (DAQ) systems with laptops.....	79
Fig. 3.19 DSLR camera with light source setup	80
Fig. 3.20 Experimental setup.....	83
Fig. 3.21 Applied Stress vs. time curve obtained from the Hydraulic jack.....	90
Fig. 3.22 LVDT Readings for case D ($d = 40$ mm, $l = 450$ mm, $A_r = 12.5\%$).....	91
Fig. 3.23 MEPS readings for case D ($d = 40$ mm, $l = 450$ mm, $A_r = 12.5\%$)	91
Fig. 3.24 Strain along the SCC depth.....	92
Fig. 3.25 Strain on the Geotextile.....	92
Fig. 4.1 (a) Cross-section; and (b) plan view of the case D arrangement with SGC pattern of Soil Cement Columns.....	96
Fig. 4.2 Applied stress-settlement response of unreinforced case A for different shear strengths of the soft soil.....	98
Fig. 4.3 Applied stress-settlement response of unreinforced case A for different cement content in embankment sand	99
Fig. 4.4 Applied stress-settlement response of case A, case B, case C and case D	101
Fig. 4.5 Applied stress-settlement response of column length.....	102
Fig. 4.6 Applied stress-settlement response of the number of columns.....	103
Fig. 4.7 Variation of total vertical stress (a) on the clay surface (P1) and on clay at a depth of 450 mm (P2) (b) on column top (P3) and on column bottom (P4) with applied stress for cases A, B, C, and D	106
Fig. 4.8 Variation of stress concentration ratio at the column top and bottom with applied stress for case C and case D	106

Fig. 4.9 Variation of total vertical stress (a) on the clay top (P1) and bottom (P2) (b) on column top (P3) and bottom (P4) with applied stress for case D for varying lengths of SCCs	109
Fig. 4.10 Variation of stress concentration ratio at the column top and bottom with applied stress for case D with varying lengths of SCCs	110
Fig. 4.11 Variation of total vertical stress (a) on the clay top (P1) and bottom (P2) (b) on column top (P3) and bottom (P4) with applied stress for case D for a varying number of SCCs	111
Fig. 4.12 Variation of stress concentration ratio at the column top and bottom with applied stress for case D for varying number of columns	112
Fig. 4.13 Variation of geotextile tensile strain with time.....	112
Fig. 4.14 Axial load along the column depth for 15 mm and 50 mm settlement of the embankment crest for case C and case D.....	116
Fig. 4.15 Axial load along the column depth for 15 mm and 50 mm settlement of the embankment crest for case D with varying lengths of the columns	116
Fig. 4.16 Axial load along the column depth for 15 mm and 50 mm settlement of the embankment crest for case D with varying numbers of columns	117
Fig. 4.17 Applied stress Vs. lateral displacement of slope for cases A, B, C, and D.	120
Fig. 4.18 Applied stress Vs. lateral displacement of slope for case D with varying lengths of the columns	120
Fig. 4.19 Applied stress Vs. lateral displacement of slope for case D with varying number of columns.	121
Fig. 4.20 Applied stress Vs. heaving at (a) L3 (b) L4 (c) L5 on the foundation soil for cases A, B, C, and D.....	123

Fig. 4.21 Applied stress Vs. heaving at (a) L3 (b) L4 (c) L5 on the foundation soil for case D with varying length of the columns	125
Fig. 4.22 Applied stress Vs. heaving at (a) L3 (b) L4 (c) L5 on the foundation soil for case D with varying number of columns.....	126
Fig. 4.23 Deformation pattern of the unreinforced case (case A) represented as (a) image of deformed embankment, (b) vectorial displacement, (c) horizontal displacement contour, and (d) vertical displacement contour.	129
Fig. 4.24 Deformation pattern of the unreinforced case (case B) represented as (a) image of deformed embankment, (b) vectorial displacement, (c) horizontal displacement contour, and (d) vertical displacement contour.	131
Fig. 4.25 Deformation pattern of the column supported case (case C) represented as (a) an image of a deformed embankment, (b) vectorial displacement, (c) horizontal displacement contour, and (d) vertical displacement contour.	133
Fig. 4.26 Deformation pattern of the basal reinforced column supported case (case D) represented as (a) image of deformed embankment, (b) vectorial displacement, (c) horizontal displacement contour, and (d) vertical displacement contour.....	134
Fig. 5.1 Column installation patterns (a) Square Group Columns (SGC), (b) Longitudinal Tangent Wall (LTW), (c) Transverse Tangent Wall (TTW), (d) Tangent Grid (TG)	138
Fig. 5.2 Applied stress-settlement response of various column patterns SGC, LTW, TTW, and TG.....	139
Fig. 5.3 Applied stress-vertical stress on clay response at (a) top surface (P1) and (b) depth of 450 mm (P2) for patterns SGC, LTW, TTW, and TG	141
Fig. 5.4 Applied stress-vertical stress on column response at (a) top surface (P3) and (b) depth of 450 mm (P4) for patterns SGC, LTW, TTW, and TG	142

Fig. 5.5 Variation of stress concentration ratio (SCR) (a) at the column top (P1 and P3) and (b) at the column bottom (P2 and P4) with applied stress for SGC, LTW, TTW, and TG pattern	144
Fig. 5.6 Applied stress-lateral displacement of embankment slope for patterns SGC, LTW, TTW, and TG	145
Fig. 5.7 Horizontal displacement contour for the pattern (a) SGC, (b) LTW, (c) TTW, and (d) TG by PIV analysis	146
Fig. 5.8 Applied stress- heaving at (a) the toe of the embankment, (b) 450 mm from the toe, (c) the boundary of the foundation soft soil for patterns SGC, LTW, TTW, and TG	148
Fig. 5.9 Vertical displacement contour for the pattern (a) SGC, (b) LTW, (c) TTW, and (d) TG by PIV analysis	150
Fig. 5.10 Ground displacement obtained from PIV analysis for (a) SGC, (b) LTW, (c) TTW, and (d) TG pattern.....	151
Fig. 5.11 Column failure pattern (a) side view of SGC (b) top view of SGC (c) side view of LTW (d) top view of LTW (e) side view of TTW (f) top view of TTW (g) side view of TG (h) top view of TG.....	153
Fig. 6.1 Components of Plaxis 3D model; (a) Model test setup with all the components; (b) Geotextile (c) Embankment (d) SCCs (e) Soft foundation clay	159
Fig. 6.2 FE model of BRCS embankment resting on soft soil with boundary conditions.....	160
Fig. 6.3 Mesh convergence study	160
Fig. 6.4 Variation in stress-settlement response for different tolerance errors.....	165
Fig. 6.5 Deformed Mesh	166

Fig. 6.6 Vertical displacement contour for different components of the case D model corresponding to 50 mm settlement at embankment top ($l = 450$ mm, $A_r = 12.5\%$)	167
Fig. 6.7 Horizontal displacement contour for different components of the case D model corresponding to 50 mm settlement at embankment top ($l = 450$ mm, $A_r = 12.5\%$)	168
Fig. 6.8 Comparison of Stress Settlement response	170
Fig. 6.9 Comparison of Heaving at (a) L3 (b) L4 (c) L5 on the foundation soft soil	171
Fig. 6.10 Comparison of Vertical displacement results obtained from (a) PIV (b) Plaxis 3D	172
Fig. 6.11 Comparison of SCC deformation (a) Experiment (b) Plaxis 3D	172
Fig. 6. 12 Comparison of the lateral displacement of embankment slope	173
Fig. 6. 13 Comparison of Horizontal displacement results obtained from (a) PIV (b) PLaxis 3D	173
Fig. 6.14 Finite element model geometry	175
Fig. 6.15 Model boundary condition and meshing.....	176
Fig. 6.16 Normalized stress vs settlement response of the embankment for different λ and κ	178
Fig. 6.17 Normalized stress vs heaving response of the soft clay (a) at toe (b) at mid-length between toe of the embankment and the edge of the soft soil boundary (c) at the soft soil boundary for different λ and κ	181
Fig. 6.18 Normalized stress vs lateral deformation response of the embankment slope for different λ and κ	181

Fig. 6.19 Normalized stress vs maximum tension in geotextile response for different λ and κ	182
Fig. 6.20 Normalized stress vs settlement response of the embankment for different M	184
Fig. 6.21 Normalized stress vs heaving response of the soft clay (a) at toe (b) at mid-length between toe of the embankment and the edge of the soft soil boundary (c) at the soft soil boundary for different M.....	185
Fig. 6.22 Normalized stress vs lateral deformation response of the embankment slope for different M	186
Fig. 6. 23 Normalized stress vs maximum tension in geotextile response for different M ...	186
Fig. 6.24 Normalized stress vs settlement response of the embankment for varying T	188
Fig. 6.25 Normalized stress vs heaving response of the soft clay (a) at toe (b) at mid-length between toe of the embankment and the edge of the soft soil boundary (c) at the soft soil boundary for varying T	189
Fig. 6.26 Normalized stress vs lateral deformation response of the embankment slope for different T values	190
Fig. 6.27 Normalized stress vs maximum tension in geotextile response for different T values	190
Fig. 6.28 Normalized stress vs settlement response of the embankment for varying length of SCCs	192
Fig. 6.29 Normalized stress vs heaving response of the soft clay (a) at toe (b) at mid-length between toe of the embankment and the edge of the soft soil boundary (c) at the soft soil boundary for varying length of SCCs	193
Fig. 6.30 Normalized stress vs lateral deformation response of the embankment slope for varying length of SCCs.....	194

Fig. 6.31 Normalized stress vs maximum tension in geotextile response for varying length of SCCs.....	194
Fig. 6.32 Normalized stress vs settlement response of the embankment for varying diameter of SCCs.....	195
Fig. 6.33 Normalized stress vs heaving response of the soft clay (a) at toe (b) at mid-length between toe of the embankment and the edge of the soft soil boundary (c) at the soft soil boundary for varying diameter of SCCs.....	197
Fig. 6.34 Normalized stress vs lateral deformation response of the embankment slope for varying diameter of SCCs	198
Fig. 6.35 Normalized stress vs maximum tension in geotextile response for varying diameter of SCCs.....	199
Fig. 6.36 Normalized stress vs settlement response of the embankment for varying number of SCCs.....	200
Fig. 6.37 Normalized stress vs heaving response of the soft clay (a) at toe (b) at mid-length between toe of the embankment and the edge of the soft soil boundary (c) at the soft soil boundary for varying number of SCCs.....	201
Fig. 6.38 Normalized stress vs lateral deformation response of the embankment slope for varying number of SCCs	202
Fig. 6.39 Normalized stress vs maximum tension in geotextile response for varying diameter of SCCs.....	203
Fig. 6.40 Normalized stress vs settlement response of the embankment for varying Young's modulus of SCCs.....	205
Fig. 6.41 Normalized stress vs heaving response of the soft clay (a) at toe (b) at mid-length between toe of the embankment and the edge of the soft soil boundary (c) at the soft soil boundary for varying Young's modulus of SCCs	207

Fig. 6.42 Normalized stress vs lateral deformation response of the embankment slope for
varying modulus of SCCs 208

Fig. 6.43 Normalized stress vs maximum tension in geotextile response for varying Young’s
modulus of SCCs 209

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2. 1 Terminology of the deep mixing family (A. Porbaha, 1998)	13
Table 2.2 Case studies of deep mixing method	51
Table 3.1 Properties of Kaolin clay used for foundation soft soil	60
Table 3.2 Properties of Yamuna sand used for embankment fill.....	62
Table 3.3 Shear strength parameters of samples with different cement content	63
Table 3.4 Scaled down parameters for 1g model test	66
Table 3.5 Model test program for unreinforced case <i>A</i>	86
Table 3.6 Model test program for basal geotextile reinforced case <i>B</i>	86
Table 3.7 Model test program for column supported case <i>C</i>	87
Table 3.8 Model test program for basal reinforced column supported case <i>D</i>	88
Table 4.1 Effect of shear strength of soft soil on the stress-settlement response	98
Table 6.1 Material properties	163
Table 6.2 Material properties of the baseline case.....	177
Table 6.3 Values of the influence parameters used	178
Table 6.4 Influence ratio as a percentage corresponding to $\sigma v/p'_i = 3$	211
Table 6.5 The significance of influence ratio on the embankment performance.....	212

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ASTM	American Society for Testing and Materials
BGR	Basal Geotextile Reinforced
BRCS	Basal Reinforced Column Supported
BS EN	British Standard European Norm
CBR	California Bearing Ratio
CDIT	Coastal Development Institute of Technology
CDM	Cement Deep Mixing
CMOS	Complementary Metal-Oxide Semiconductor
CS	Column Supported
DAQ	Data Acquisition
DCM	Deep Cement Mixing
DJM	Deep Jet Mixing
DM	Deep Mixed
DMM	Deep Mixing Method
DSLR	Digital Single-Lens Reflex
DSM	Deep Soil Mixing
FEM	Finite Element Method
FGC	Fly Ash Gypsum Cement
FHWA	Federal Highway Administration
FS	Factor of safety
GRCS	Geosynthetic Reinforced Column Supported
IRC	Indian Road Congress
IS	Indian Standard
LTW	Longitudinal Tangent Wall
LVDT	Linear Variable Differential Transformer
MC	Mohr Coulomb
MCC	Modified Cam Clay
MEPS	Miniature Earth Pressure Sensor
PHRI	Port and Harbour Research Institute
PIV	Particle Image Velocimetry

PVD	Pre-fabricated Vertical Drain
RoI	Region of Interest
SCC	Soil Cement Column
SCR	Stress Concentration Ratio
SCSE	SDCM Column-Supported Embankments
SDCM	Stiffened Deep Cement Mixing
SGC	Square Group Columns
TG	Tangent Grid
TTW	Transverse Tangent Wall
UBC	Ultimate Bearing Capacity
UPVC	Unplasticized Polyvinyl Chloride
UR	Unreinforced

LIST OF NOTATIONS

A	Sectional area of footing
A	Cross-sectional area of the column
Al_2O_3	Aluminate
A_r	Area improvement ratio
AV_{max}	Maximum value of the assessed variable
AV_{min}	Minimum value of the assessed variable
b	Load-sharing factor
c'	Cohesion
C_2S	Dicalcium silicate
$C_2SH_x, C_3S_2H_x$	Hydrated Calcium silicates
C_3A	Tricalcium aluminate
C_3A	Tricalcium aluminate
C_3AH_x, C_4AH_x	Hydrated Calcium aluminates
C_3S	Tricalcium silicate
C_4AF	Tricalcium alumino-ferrite
$Ca(OH)_2$	Hydrated lime
CaO	Calcium
C_c	Compression index
C_s	Swelling index
c_u	Undrained Shear Strength
c_{uc}	Undrained shear strength of clay layer
c_{ut}	Undrained shear strength of SCC column ($= q_u/2$)
d	Diameter of the column
δ	Settlement
d_{50}	Mean particle size
ε	Axial strain measured from strain gauges
E	Elastic modulus of SCC
e_0	Initial void ratio
E_{50}	Secant modulus of elasticity
EA	Elastic stiffness

E_{col}	Design modulus of elasticity of the soil cement column
F	Axial load
ϕ'	Angle of internal friction
γ	Unit weight
h	Heaving of the foundation soft soil
H_2O	Water
I_p	Plasticity index
IR	Influence ratio
l	Length of the column
L	Lateral deformation of the embankment slope
L/D	Embedment ratio
M	Slope of the critical state line
n	Scaling factor
N	Number of columns
N_1	Tension developed in geotextile
N_c	Bearing capacity factor
ν_{col}	Poisson's ratio of soil cement column
P	Vertical load
p'_i	Initial geostatic stress
q_u	Unconfined compressive strength
q_{uc}	Unconfined compressive strength of the soil-cement columns
SiO_2	Silicate
T	Geotextile tensile strength
w_l	Liquid limit
w_p	Plastic limit
κ	Slope of the unloading/reloading line
λ	Slope of the normal consolidation line
σ_v	Applied vertical stress