

**DEVELOPMENT OF BRIDGE HEALTH INDEX
AND
FRAMEWORK FOR MAINTENANCE PLANNING**

by

SANJAY SAMPAT WAKCHAURE

Department of Civil Engineering

Submitted
in fulfilment of the requirements of the degree of
DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

to the



**INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY DELHI
HAUZ KHAS, NEW DELHI-110016, INDIA**

MARCH 2011

CERTIFICATE

This is to certify that the thesis entitled, “**Development of bridge health index and framework for maintenance planning**” being submitted by **Sanjay Sampat Wakchaure** to the Indian Institute of Technology Delhi for the award of the degree of **DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY** is a bonafide record of the research work carried out by him under my supervision and guidance. The thesis work, in my opinion, has reached the requisite standard, fulfilling the requirement of the said degree. The results contained in the thesis have not been submitted, in part or full, to any other University or Institute for the award of any degree or diploma.

Dr. K. N. JHA
Assistant Professor
Department of Civil Engineering
Indian Institute of Technology Delhi
Hauz Khas, New Delhi 110016 (India)

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to take this opportunity to express my gratitude to all who have contributed in completion of this thesis. First of all, I wish to express my deep sense of gratitude and indebtedness to the supervisor and guide Prof. K. N. Jha, for his constant encouragement and guidance throughout the research work. It is because of his untiring support and benevolent treatment that this thesis is brought to the present form. My thanks are to Prof. Supratik Gupta, Department of Civil Engineering, I.I.T. Delhi who introduced me to Prof. K. N. Jha.

I am thankful to Prof. Mukesh Khare (Chairman SRC), Prof. A. K. Jain (Member SRC) both from the Department of Civil Engineering and Prof. Santosh Kapuria (Member SRC) from the Department of Applied Mechanics for their valuable comments and contributions. I also thank other Professors and staff of the Department of Civil Engineering for their help and support.

I am very thankful to the Government of India for sponsoring me and granting me partial study leave to pursue the present study. My special thanks to Mr. T. R. Baalu, the then Hon'ble Minister, Ministry of Shipping, Road Transport and Highways and Mr. V. Velayutham the then DG(RD)&SS for their encouragement to pursue the study.

I express my deep appreciation and sincere thanks to fellow researchers Rajiv Nath, S.Z.S. Tabish, Raman Sharma, Santosh Kolte, Ganesh Rathod for the valuable discussions and cooperation during the study period. Thanks are due to the colleagues in the Ministry of Road Transport and Highways especially Nirman Jambhulkar, Abhijeet Kumar, Tushar Vyas and Richhpal Singh. My sincere thanks to Shri Kamlesh Kumar, Chief Engineer and Shri A. K. Srivastava, Superintending Engineer for granting me leave for writing the thesis.

My heartiest thanks to the members of the various bridge committees of IRC and senior colleagues in the Ministry of Road Transport and Highways for their invaluable responses to

the questionnaires used in the present study. Thanks to my batch mate Pooran Singh who made arrangement for the inspection of bridges.

I can't find suitable words to express my deepest gratitude to my parents for their pains, sufferings and faithfulness to bring me up to this stage. The constant encouragement provided by my wife Lakshmi deserves special mention. I feel sorry for our twins Sanil and Sashil who have been the source of inspiration, for not devoting ample time towards them during completion period of the thesis.

I am very thankful to all friends especially Hiralal Sonawane, Uttam Wakchaure, Madhukar Wakchaure, Uday Kode, Dattatraya Jorvekar and Manish Godse who among others have supported me both financially and emotionally during the difficult period of time.

Sanjay Sampat Wakchaure

Entry No. 2006CEZ8299

ABSTRACT

Bridges are vital links in any road/rail network. A weak bridge can either reduce the load carrying capacity or safety which may hinder the flow of traffic and severely affect the economy. Hence, it is necessary to maintain the bridges in traffic-worthy condition with requisite levels of safety and serviceability. Generally, funds made available to maintain the bridges are insufficient. Scientific Bridge Management System (BMS) helps in utilization of resources in an optimal manner. Before developing the ways and means to utilize funds efficiently, it is necessary to study the reasons for the failure of a bridge. The aim of this study is to investigate the various factors causing bridge failure and evolve criteria for efficient fund utilisation.

It is also necessary to ascertain the present condition and immediate maintenance requirements of a bridge prior to taking any decision regarding the maintenance planning. Physical condition of bridges is often described by discrete condition states on the basis of visual inspection. The results of visual inspection are subjective and vary according to the knowledge and judgement of the bridge inspector. It is of utmost importance to redefine the condition states and correlate them to various defects which affect the serviceability of a bridge. This will reduce the subjectivity and ensure uniform results. Use of indices is one of the best tools of decision making. Indices can be divided into two categories namely, health indices and priority indices. Health indices are generally based on condition states and need to be developed on the basis of defects which ultimately affect the condition of a bridge. Assessment of a number of factors, besides the condition of a bridge, influences the final decision on selecting bridges for the maintenance planning. Other factors are: importance of the bridge, deck area of the bridge, the maintenance cost required, traffic volume, age of the bridge, etc. It is necessary to develop a method which takes into consideration these factors and also enables efficient utilization of the available funds.

Fourteen bridge failure factors have been identified through literature survey and interaction with bridge experts in India. Interpretive Structural Modelling (ISM), which is a structural analysis tool for interactive learning, has been used to evolve a hierarchical structure of the phenomenon of bridge failure showing the interrelationship of factors. The ISM model shows the factors for failure in a structured hierarchy as well as their interrelationship. 'Environment' has emerged as the most influential factor while the most dependent factor is 'aging'. Impact Matrix Cross-Reference Multiplication Applied to a Classification (MICMAC) has been used to quantify and classify the factors on the basis of their influence and dependence. MICMAC analysis shows that bridge failure may not be attributed a single factor.

Components of a bridge are identified and grouped into seven categories. Analytical Hierarchy Process (AHP) is applied for determining weights (relative importance) of bridge components. Five condition states namely, 'excellent', 'good', 'fair', 'poor' and 'critical', have been selected and comprehensively described. A total of 28 types of distresses have been enumerated and assigned a range of values corresponding to the condition states. The relative weights of bridge components, condition states and range of values for different types of defects have been used to develop a Bridge Health Index (BHI).

An alternative approach of Data Envelopment Analysis (DEA) has been used for taking into consideration various factors that affect decisions on maintenance planning. Maintenance of bridges based on efficiency scores obtained by DEA has been found to be cost-effective compared to that based on BHI.

Effectiveness of a bridge can be judged from various attributes, such as strength, stability, safety, serviceability, aesthetics and reliability, etc. The relative importance of bridge attributes has been established both by Likert and AHP scales. 'Stability' and 'Safety' have emerged as the top attributes while aesthetics has been ranked the lowest in terms of priority.

A number of factors such as importance of bridge, deck area of the bridge, traffic volume, age of the bridge etc affect the selection of bridges for maintenance planning. These factors have been categorised into nine select groups/factors using factor analysis.

It is expected that the proposed BHI and DEA approaches would enable the bridge authorities to formulate better strategies for planning and executing the maintenance actions in an economical manner. An appropriate strategy will maximize the life span of bridges and enhance their performance thereby serving the society in the best possible manner.

Keywords: Bridge, BMS, failure factors, ISM, MICMAC, AHP, BHI, DEA

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Sl. No.	Description	Page No.
	CERTIFICATE	i
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	ii
	ABSTRACT	iv
	TABLE OF CONTENTS	vii
	LIST OF FIGURES	xiii
	LIST OF TABLES	xiv
	LIST OF ABBREVIATION	xvii
	CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.0	Importance of a bridge	1
1.1	Bridge management	2
1.2	Status of bridge management of road bridges in India	3
1.3	Bridge deterioration and causes of failure	5
1.4	Bridge health index	6
1.5	Maintenance priority of bridges	7
1.6	Motivation for the study	8
1.7	Organization of thesis	9
	CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW	11
2.0	Introduction	11
2.1	Bridge definition and classification	11
2.2	Stages in life of a bridge	12
2.3	Objectives, Activities, and Modules of bridge management system	17
2.4	Bridge Management-Present scenario in India	18
2.5	Factors causing bridge failure	20

2.6	Bridge indices	23
2.6.1	United States of America	24
2.6.2	Taiwan	27
2.6.3	Vietnam	28
2.6.4	Europe	29
2.6.5	Chile	33
2.7	Bridge condition states	34
2.8	Allocation of funds among competing bridges	39
2.9	Other issues related to bridge management	43
2.10	Summary of literature review	47
2.11	Conclusions from literature review	48
	CHAPTER 3 OBJECTIVES AND RESEARCH METHOD	50
3.0	Objectives	50
3.1	Research method	51
3.2	Development of Part-1 questionnaire	54
3.3	Development of Part-2 questionnaire	56
3.3.1	Relative importance of bridge components	56
3.3.2	Relative importance of different bridge attributes	58
3.3.3	Factors affecting the priority of maintenance	59
3.3.4	Condition states scales and their description	60
3.3.5	Quantification of distress types	61
3.3.6	Personal details	62
3.4	Details of responses received	62
3.4.1	Details of respondents participating in Part-1 questionnaire	63
3.4.2	Details of respondents participating in Part-2 questionnaire	63
3.5	Analysis techniques	65

3.5.1	ISM and MICMAC	65
3.5.2	AHP	66
3.5.3	DEA	68
3.5.4	Factor Analysis	69
3.6	Case studies: Validation of research findings	70
3.7	Research method summary	70
	CHAPTER 4 BRIDGE FAILURE FACTORS	72
4.0	Introduction	72
4.1	Factors for bridge failure	73
4.2	Interpretive Structural Modelling (ISM)	74
4.2.1	Structural self interaction matrix (SSIM)	74
4.2.2	Reachability matrix	76
4.2.3	Final Reachability matrix	79
4.2.4	Level partitions	81
4.2.5	ISM Model	84
4.3	MICMAC Analysis	86
4.3.1	Indirect Influence-Dependence Map	86
4.3.2	Influent factors	87
4.3.3	Autonomous factors	88
4.3.4	Depending factors	89
4.3.5	Relay factors	90
4.3.6	Comparison of direct and indirect influence/dependence	90
4.4	Summary and Conclusions	92
	CHAPTER 5 DEVELOPMENT OF BRIDGE HEALTH INDEX	94
5.0	Introduction	94
5.1	Background	94

5.2	Research method adopted to develop Bridge Health Index	96
5.3	Questionnaire description and data collection	98
5.4	Relative importance of bridge components	98
5.4.1	Establishment of Hierarchy and Pair-wise Comparison Matrix	99
5.4.2	Check for Consistency	99
5.4.3	Computation of Weights	101
5.4.4	Relative Weights of Bridge Components	114
5.5	Development of Bridge Health Index	115
5.5.1	Bridge condition states	115
5.5.2	Distress types	116
5.5.3	Bridge Health Index Equation	119
5.5.4	Field Study for Application of Proposed BHI	121
5.5.5	Validation	124
5.6	Bridge Attributes	127
5.7	Summary and conclusions	133
	CHAPTER 6 MAINTENANCE PRIORITY OF BRIDGES	135
6.0	Introduction	135
6.1	Background	135
6.2	Research method	137
6.3	Application of DEA	138
6.3.1	Selection of variables for DEA	139
6.3.2	Bridge condition	141
6.3.3	Bridge maintenance/repair cost	141
6.3.4	Bridge area and age	142
6.3.5	DEA Model selection	144
6.3.6	Output of DEA model	146

6.4	Validation	150
6.5	Numerical example	150
6.6	Priority factors for network of bridges	151
6.6.1	Factor analysis	155
6.7	Conclusions	162
CHAPTER 7 PRACTICAL APPLICATIONS		164
7.0	General	164
7.1	Managerial implications	164
7.2	Recommendation	165
7.3	Concluding remarks	167
CHAPTER 8 SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS		178
8.0	Introduction	178
8.1	Summary of work done	178
8.1.1	Factors Causing Bridge Failure	179
8.1.2	Development of Bridge Health Index	181
8.1.3	Deciding Maintenance Priority of Bridges	183
8.1.4	Bridge Inspection proforma	184
8.1.5	Bridge Attributes	184
8.1.6	Bridge Priority Factors	184
8.2	Conclusions	185
8.3	Limitations	187
8.4	Future research directions	188
REFERENCES		192
APPENDIX A: PART 1 QUESTIONNAIRE		203
APPENDIX B: PART 2A QUESTIONNAIRE		207
APPENDIX C: PART 2B QUESTIONNAIRE		225

APPENDIX D:LIST OF PUBLICATIONS	238
APPENDIX E: BIO-DATA	240