

**DEVELOPMENT OF EFFICIENT AND
DURABLE VANADIUM REDOX FLOW
BATTERY**

MANSHU KAPOOR



**DEPARTMENT OF CHEMICAL ENGINEERING
INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY DELHI**

APRIL 2022

© Indian Institute of Technology Delhi (IITD), New Delhi, 2022

DEVELOPMENT OF EFFICIENT AND DURABLE VANADIUM REDOX FLOW BATTERY

by

MANSHU KAPOOR

Department of Chemical Engineering

Submitted

In fulfilment of the requirements of the degree of Doctor of Philosophy
to the



Indian Institute of Technology Delhi

April 2022

CERTIFICATE

This is to certify that the work contained in this thesis entitled “**DEVELOPMENT OF EFFICIENT AND DURABLE VANADIUM REDOX FLOW BATTERY**” submitted by Mr. Manshu Kapoor to the Indian Institute of Technology Delhi, for the fulfillment of the requirements for the award of Doctor of Philosophy in Chemical Engineering is a record of bonafide research work carried out by him. I certify that he has carried out this work under my supervision. The research work is original, and this work has not been submitted elsewhere in part or full, to any other university or institute for the award of any degree or diploma. Moreover, the material obtained from other sources has been duly acknowledged in the thesis.

(Dr. Anil Verma)

Professor

Sustainable Environenergy Research Laboratory (SERL)

Department of Chemical Engineering

Indian Institute of Technology Delhi

New Delhi-110016

India

Date:

IIT Delhi

STATEMENT

I do hereby declare that this Ph.D. thesis entitled “**DEVELOPMENT OF EFFICIENT AND DURABLE VANADIUM REDOX FLOW BATTERY**” is an original work carried out by me under the guidance and supervision of Prof. Anil Verma in the Department of Chemical Engineering, Indian Institute of Technology Delhi, Hauz Khas, New Delhi, India and is submitted to Indian Institute of Technology Delhi, Hauz Khas, New Delhi, India, for the award of the degree of Doctor of Philosophy.

The interpretations put forth are based on my readings and understandings of the original text and are not submitted, in part or full, to any other university or institute for the award of any degree or diploma. I also certify that the details and information taken from the works of other investigators, articles, and websites which I have made use of are acknowledged at the respective place in this thesis.

Manshu Kapoor

Entry no. 2017CHZ8252

Sustainable Environergy Research Laboratory (SERL)

Department of Chemical Engineering

Indian Institute of Technology Delhi

New Delhi-110016

India

Date: 20-04-2022

IIT Delhi

Acknowledgements

For me, pursuing research was an intuitive decision and it was some day in 2016 on which I decided that I will quit my job and commit myself towards a research-oriented career. In 2017, I was fortunate enough to join the Chemical Engineering Department of IIT Delhi as a Ph.D. Research Scholar and since then, I dedicated every bit of mine towards my project in an intention to justify myself and at the same time serve the nation. The research work presented in this thesis would have not been possible without the support of several people. Therefore, I take this opportunity to acknowledge everyone with whose support I was able to complete my Ph.D. thesis.

Firstly, I would like to acknowledge my supervisor Prof. Anil Verma who gave me a chance to pursue research in his research group. I am grateful that under his supervision, I have been able to learn key skills such as product-oriented vision, independent thinking, anticipatory mindset, and rigorous discussion of ideas among peers. With help of these skills, I was not only able to improve the quality of my research, but I am confident that these skills have also prepared me to tackle any sort of challenge in my future endeavors. I also acknowledge that throughout my Ph.D., my supervisor had given me every bit of his valuable time towards discussion of scientific ideas and providing constant encouragement to do valuable research. Apart from being an admiring supervisor, I must appreciate that he was also a great mentor to me. I remember, that during all my tough times he has been behind me like my shadow. Moreover, on times of need, he has always been just a call away. Therefore, I consider myself fortunate that like Lord Krishna, my mentor has not only taught me research, but has also taught me the way to live life.

I would also acknowledge the members of my Student Research Committee i.e., Prof. Anupam Shukla, Prof. Manoj Kumar C. Ramteke, and Prof. Dibakar Rakshit towards providing their valuable feedback during annual progress and synopsis seminars. I admit

that their suggestions have been quite pivotal for enhancing the quality of research during my Ph.D. Apart from my SRC members, I would also acknowledge all the faculty members (Prof. A.K. Gupta, Prof. Anil Verma, Prof. Anupam Shukla, Prof. Gaurav Goel, Prof. Kamal K. Pant, Prof. Ratan Mohan, Prof. Shantanu Roy, Prof. Suddhasatwa Basu, Prof. Vijay Ramani and Prof. Vivek V. Buwa) from whom I had learnt various aspects of Chemical Engineering during my coursework.

I also acknowledge my institute, IIT Delhi for providing me a platform and infrastructure for doing my Ph.D. research. Moreover, I also acknowledge Ministry of Education, Science and Engineering Research Board, and Department of Science and Technology for providing financial assistance during the research of my Ph.D. thesis.

I would also like to thank the lab members of Sustainable Environenergy Research Laboratory (SERL), i.e., Dr. Rajeev Gautam, Dr. Karan Malik, Mr. Hariom Sharma, Mr. Nishant Beriwal, Mr. Utsav Dalal, Ms. Unnati Agrawal, and Mr. Vishnu K., for contributing their bit in this research, being part of insightful discussions, and providing moral support throughout the journey of my Ph.D. Moreover, I must also admit that my seniors had always given me a comfortable space to ask all sort of intellectual questions, technical expertise, and moral support. Further, at the same time I should also acknowledge my juniors for always providing me a fresh perspective towards various scientific problems. At last, I admit that all my lab members have been with me during my good and bad times and memories spend with them would always be remembered. I would like to extend my acknowledgement to all the project staffs (Dr. Manoj K. Singh, Dr. S. Yadav, Mr. Abhishek Sharma, Mr. Siddharth Vikram, and Mr. Nikhil Magdum) and interns (Mr. Jasdeep Singh and Mr. Anuj Das Gupta) for providing a handy contribution towards the execution of research work in the thesis.

I would always be grateful to my parents Sri. Girish Kapoor and Smt. Geeta Kapoor for being supportive for all my decisions throughout my life. I admit that all my accomplishments during my entire life is because of their support and belief in me. I would also like to thank my sister Ms. Neha Kapoor for bringing joy, laughter, and inspiration throughout the journey of my Ph.D. Lastly, I would like to thank my grandparents for granting me blessings to achieve best in life.

(Manshu Kapoor)

Abstract

Intermittent nature of the renewable energy sources dictates the need to develop a reliable and sustainable energy storage technology. Among various available technologies, vanadium redox flow battery (VRFB) is one of the best suited technologies for large-scale/stationary electrical energy storage application. VRFB has the capability to undergo a large number of charge-discharge cycles ($\sim 20,000$) and allows the decoupled scaling of power and energy. However, the widespread commercialization of VRFB is still hindered because of certain challenges. The first challenge is associated with development of efficient electrode material for VRFB. Currently, graphite felt (GF) is a widely used electrode material for VRFB. However, it has been observed that bare GF performs inefficiently in VRFB and hence electrode pre-treatment is necessary. Currently, the available pre-treatment procedures are either complex or non-viable to be executed for large electrodes. Therefore, this thesis presents a tactical procedure to pre-treat the GF. The pre-treated electrode possesses large surface area, well-developed uniform pore structures, and abundant oxygen-rich surface functional groups. The simple and tactical pre-treatment approach followed in this thesis improves the peak power density of GF to $350 \text{ mW}\cdot\text{cm}^{-2}$ (3-fold increase from bare GF). The subsequent challenges are related to the technological obstacles observed during the operation of VRFB. It is known that during operation of VRFB, the vanadium species crossover across the ion exchange membrane (IEM). As a result, the phenomenon of capacity fade and self-discharge come into picture. To tackle capacity-fade, this thesis addresses a unique electrolyte repair point (ERP) which is a logical tool to identify right time for the electrolyte repair during operation of VRFB. Subsequently, on basis of ERP a smart electrolyte health management system was devised that was capable to ensure the durability and efficiency of the VRFB. Like capacity-fade, the self-discharge process is also closely linked to complex movement of vanadium species across IEM and

substantial amount of energy is lost during the process. To address this concern, this study observed the self-discharge process in VRFB with the help of carefully designed experiments. Further, to generalize the understanding derived from the experiments a mathematical model was developed. The developed mathematical model successfully predicts the self-discharge time of VRFB within an error of $\sim \pm 10\%$ and on basis of the efficacy of the model a case-study on a kW-scale VRFB revealed that intelligent monitoring of self-discharge can bring about up to 65% of energy savings during VRFB operation. The last challenge is associated with scale-up of VRFB to a kW-scale. In current state-of-the-art, there is no rationale to size the volume of electrolyte for a specific energy capacity. In this thesis, an empirical correlation is derived from dimensional analysis that can accurately predict the operational capacity or volume of electrolyte required for scaling-up a VRFB. The derived empirical correlation consisted of novel dimensionless numbers namely, *number of volume units* (NVU) and *theoretical capacity utilization* (TCU) along with known *Wagner Number* (Wa), and *reciprocal of Damköhler number* (RDa).

Keywords: Capacity-fade; Dimensional analysis; Electrode pre-treatment; Number of volume units; Scale-up; Theoretical capacity utilization; Vanadium redox flow battery.

सार

अक्षय ऊर्जा स्रोतों की आंतरायिक प्रकृति, एक विश्वसनीय और टिकाऊ ऊर्जा भंडारण प्रौद्योगिकी विकसित करने की आवश्यकता को निर्धारित करती है। विभिन्न उपलब्ध तकनीकों में, वैनेडियम रेडॉक्स फ्लो बैटरी (वीआरएफबी) बड़े पैमाने पर/स्थिर विद्युत ऊर्जा भंडारण अनुप्रयोग के लिए सबसे उपयुक्त तकनीकों में से एक है। वीआरएफबी में बड़ी संख्या में चार्ज-डिस्चार्ज चक्र (~ 20,000) से गुजरने की क्षमता है और साथ ही यह शक्ति और ऊर्जा को अलग कर सकता है। हालांकि, कुछ चुनौतियों के कारण वीआरएफबी का व्यापक व्यावसायीकरण अभी भी बाधित है। पहली चुनौती वीआरएफबी के लिए कुशल इलेक्ट्रोड सामग्री के विकास से जुड़ी है। वर्तमान में, ग्रेफाइट फेल्ड (जीएफ) वीआरएफबी के लिए व्यापक रूप से उपयोग की जाने वाली इलेक्ट्रोड सामग्री है। हालांकि, यह देखा गया है कि अनुपचारित जीएफ वीआरएफबी में अक्षम प्रदर्शन करते हैं और इसलिए इलेक्ट्रोड पूर्व उपचार आवश्यक है। वर्तमान में, उपलब्ध पूर्व-उपचार प्रक्रियाएं बड़े इलेक्ट्रोड के लिए निष्पादित करने के लिए या तो जटिल या गैर-व्यवहार्य हैं। इसलिए, यह थीसिस जीएफ के पूर्व-उपचार के लिए एक सामरिक प्रक्रिया प्रस्तुत करती है। पूर्व-उपचारित इलेक्ट्रोड में बड़े सतह क्षेत्र, अच्छी तरह से विकसित समान छिद्र संरचनाएं और प्रचुर मात्रा में ऑक्सीजन युक्त सतह कार्यात्मक समूह होते हैं। इस थीसिस में अपनाई गई सरल और सामरिक पूर्व-उपचार दृष्टिकोण जीएफ की चरम शक्ति घनत्व को $350 \text{ mW}\cdot\text{cm}^{-2}$ (अनुपचारित GF से 3 गुना वृद्धि) में सुधार करता है। बाद की चुनौतियां वीआरएफबी के संचालन के दौरान देखी गई तकनीकी बाधाओं से संबंधित हैं। यह ज्ञात है कि वीआरएफबी के संचालन के दौरान, वैनेडियम प्रजाति आयन एक्सचेंज मेम्ब्रेन (आईईएम) के पार जाती है। नतीजतन, कैपेसिटी फैड और सेल्फ-डिस्चार्ज की घटना सामने आती है। कैपेसिटी फैड से निपटने के लिए, यह थीसिस एक अद्वितीय इलेक्ट्रोलाइट मरम्मत बिंदु (ईआरपी) को संबोधित करती है जो वीआरएफबी के संचालन के दौरान इलेक्ट्रोलाइट मरम्मत के लिए सही समय की पहचान करने

के लिए एक तार्किक उपकरण है। इसके बाद, ईआरपी के आधार पर एक स्मार्ट इलेक्ट्रोलाइट स्वास्थ्य प्रबंधन प्रणाली तैयार की गई जो वीआरएफबी की स्थायित्व और दक्षता सुनिश्चित करने में सक्षम थी। कैपेसिटी फैड की तरह, सेल्फ-डिस्चार्ज प्रक्रिया भी आईईएम में वैनेडियम प्रजातियों के जटिल आंदोलन से निकटता से जुड़ी हुई है और प्रक्रिया के दौरान पर्याप्त मात्रा में ऊर्जा खो जाती है। इस चिंता को दूर करने के लिए, इस अध्ययन ने सावधानीपूर्वक डिजाइन किए गए प्रयोगों की सहायता से वीआरएफबी में स्व सेल्फ-डिस्चार्ज प्रक्रिया का अवलोकन किया। इसके अलावा, प्रयोगों से प्राप्त समझ को सामान्य बनाने के लिए एक गणितीय मॉडल विकसित किया गया था। विकसित गणितीय मॉडल $\sim \pm 10\%$ की त्रुटि के भीतर वीआरएफबी के सेल्फ-डिस्चार्ज समय की सफलतापूर्वक भविष्यवाणी करता है और मॉडल की प्रभावकारिता के आधार पर किलोवाट स्केल वीआरएफबी पर एक केस-स्टडी से पता चला है कि सेल्फ-डिस्चार्ज की बुद्धिमान निगरानी ला सकती है वीआरएफबी संचालन के दौरान लगभग 65% ऊर्जा बचत। आखिरी चुनौती वीआरएफबी को किलोवाट-स्केल तक बढ़ाने से जुड़ी है। वर्तमान अत्याधुनिक में, किसी विशिष्ट ऊर्जा क्षमता के लिए इलेक्ट्रोलाइट के आयतन को आकार देने का कोई औचित्य नहीं है। इस थीसिस में, एक एम्पिरिकल सहसंबंध आयामी विश्लेषण से प्राप्त होता है जो वीआरएफबी के स्केल-उप के लिए आवश्यक इलेक्ट्रोलाइट की परिचालन क्षमता या मात्रा का सटीक अनुमान लगा सकता है। व्युत्पन्न अनुभवजन्य सहसंबंध में उपन्यास आयाम रहित संख्याएँ शामिल थीं, Number of volume units (NVU) और Theoretical capacity utilization (TCU) के साथ-साथ ज्ञात Wagner number (Wa), और Reciprocal of Damköhler number (RDA) के पारस्परिक।

मुख्य शब्द: कैपेसिटी फैड; आयामी विश्लेषण; इलेक्ट्रोड पूर्व उपचार; Number of volume units; स्केल अप; Theoretical capacity utilization; वैनेडियम रेडॉक्स फ्लो बैटरी.

CONTENTS

Certificate	i
Statement	iii
Acknowledgements	v
Abstract	ix
List of Figures	xix
List of Tables	xxiii
List of Abbreviations	xxv
List of Nomenclatures	xxvii
Chapter 1: Introduction	1
1.1 Energy and Environment nexus	3
1.2 International and National Scenario of Renewable Energy	6
1.3 Renewable Energy Storage Technologies and Challenges	8
1.4 Vanadium Redox Flow Battery (VRFB) Technology	10
1.5 Potential Applications for VRFB Technology	12
Chapter 2: Literature Review	15
2.1 Current Status of VRFB Technology and Challenges	17
2.2 Materials Aspect Related to Development of VRFB	20
2.2.1 Materials associated with VRFB stack	21
2.2.2 Materials associated with VRFB electrolyte	31
2.3 Technological Obstacles during Development and Operation of VRFB	33
2.3.1 Overall performance of VRFB	34
2.3.2 Management of ion-crossover	37

2.3.3 Sizing of VRFB electrolyte for a given energy requirement	41
2.4 Summary and Gaps of Literature Review	43
2.5 Research Aim and Objectives	44
Chapter 3: Experimental	47
3.1 Background	49
3.2 Materials	49
3.2.1 Electrode pre-treatment	49
3.2.2 Membrane pre-treatment	50
3.3.3 Precursor vanadium solution preparation	50
3.3 Physical Characterization	51
3.3.1 Scanning electron microscopy (SEM)	51
3.3.2 Field emission scanning electron microscopy (FESEM)	51
3.3.3 Energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDX)	51
3.3.4 Thermal gravimetric analysis (TGA)	52
3.3.5 BET surface area analysis	52
3.3.6 Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR)	53
3.4 Half-cell Electrochemical Characterization	53
3.4.1 Cyclic voltammetry (CV)	53
3.4.2 Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS)	54
3.5 Experimental Setup	55
3.5.1 VRFB assembly	55
3.5.2 Electrolyte preparation	57
3.6 Full-cell Electrochemical Characterization	58
3.6.1 Charge-discharge cycling	58

3.6.2 Current-voltage characteristics	59
Chapter 4: Development of Electrode for High Peak Power Capability	61
4.1 Background	63
4.2 Experimental	63
4.2.1 Materials	63
4.2.2 Electrode activation	64
4.2.3 Physical characterization	69
4.2.4 Half-cell electrochemical characterization	69
4.2.5 Full-cell electrochemical characterization	70
4.3 Results and Discussion	71
4.3.1 Physicochemical properties	71
4.3.2 Electrochemical properties	75
4.3.3 VRFB single cell performance	81
Chapter 5: Development and Evaluation of Electrolyte Health Management System	87
5.1 Background	89
5.2 Experimental	89
5.2.1 Materials	89
5.2.2 State-of-charge (SoC) estimation	90
5.2.2.1 Full-cell SoC estimation	90
5.2.2.2 Half-cell SoC estimation	91
5.2.3 Charge-discharge operation	91
5.2.4 Electrolyte remixing	92
5.3 Results and Discussion	92
5.3.1 Analysis of VRFB cell operation	92

5.3.2 Electrolyte-repair-point (ERP)	96
5.3.3 Benefits of identifying ERP	100
Chapter 6: Modelling and Experimental Validation of Ion-crossover during Self-discharge	103
6.1 Background	105
6.2 Experimental	106
6.2.1 Self-discharge experiments	106
6.2.2 Evaluation of mass transfer coefficients	106
6.3 Results and Discussion	108
6.3.1 Analysis of self-discharge	108
6.3.2 Analysis of mass-transfer coefficients	109
6.4 Mathematical Modelling of Self-discharge Process	113
6.4.1 Mechanism of self-discharge process	117
6.4.2 Validation of mathematical model	120
6.5 Implication of self-discharge on Overall Energy Efficiency	121
Chapter 7: Development of Empirical Correlation for Predicting Operational Capacity	125
7.1 Background	127
7.2 Development of Dimensionless Numbers	127
7.3 Experimental	131
7.3.1 Materials	131
7.3.2 Methodology for obtaining generalized empirical correlation	131
7.3.3 Discharge experiments	132
7.3.4 Evaluation of dimensionless numbers and empirical correlation development	134
7.4 Results and Discussion	135

7.4.1 Analysis of dimensionless number	135
7.4.2 Generalized empirical correlation development and its validation	139
Chapter 8: Development, Performance Evaluation, and Demonstration of a Scaled-up VRFB System	143
8.1 Background	145
8.2 Development of 10-cell VRFB Stack	145
8.3 Performance Evaluation and Demonstration of Scaled-up System	146
Chapter 9: Conclusions and Future scope	151
9.1 Conclusions	153
9.2 Future Scope	155
References	157
Research Output	171
Biodata	173

List of Figures

- Figure 1.1** Comparison of total electricity produced (Terawatt hour) by nations in the year 2010 and 2020
- Figure 1.2** Trends of CO₂ emission and electricity generation in India over a decade
- Figure 1.3** Description of India's Panchamrit plan committed to tackle global warming and climate change
- Figure 1.4** Evolution of installed electrical energy generation capacity in India from 2010 – 2020
- Figure 1.5** Comparison of electrochemical energy storage technologies on a Ragone plot (redrawn from Winter and Brodd, 2004)
- Figure 1.6** Schematic of a vanadium redox flow battery
- Figure 2.1** Schematic of (a) A VRFB system (module and power electronics), (b) VRFB stack, and (c) VRFB single cell
- Figure 2.2** Overview of methods adopted for GF modification for VRFB application
- Figure 2.3** Comparison of thickness and cost of Nafion 212, 115, and 117 membranes
- Figure 2.4** Generalized procedure for synthesizing electrolyte for VRFB
- Figure 3.1** Schematic representation of the three-electrode system used for ex-situ electrochemical characterization
- Figure 3.2** Components of in-house fabricated VRFB cell
- Figure 3.3** Experimental setup of a VRFB system
- Figure 3.4** Schematic of the process to synthesize electrolyte for VRFB
- Figure 3.5** Experimental protocol for performing charge-discharge cycling of VRFB system
- Figure 3.6** Experimental protocol for evaluating polarization curve of VRFB system
- Figure 4.1** Thermal gravimetric analysis of GF under air and nitrogen environment
- Figure 4.2** Variation in BET surface area (solid line) and oxygen content (dotted line) with variation in time and temperature of TGF
- Figure 4.3** Surface morphology of (a) GF, GF thermally treated for 6h at (b) 400°C, (c) 450°C, (d) 500°C, (e) 550°C, and (f) 600°C
- Figure 4.4** (a) Activation process representing modification of single fibre of graphite felt electrode, and (b) a carbon fibre surface structure of GF

and KTGF showing abundance of oxygen containing functional groups on the large surface area of KTGF as compared to bare GF

- Figure 4.5** Variation in BET surface area (solid line) and oxygen content (dotted line) with variation in time and temperature of KTGF
- Figure 4.6** FESEM images of (a, a') GF, (b, b') TGF, (c, c') KGF, and (d, d') KTGF electrode at low and high magnification, respectively
- Figure 4.7** (a) Specific surface area, (b) FTIR spectra of GF, TGF, KGF, and KTGF, respectively, and high resolution O1s core-level XPS spectra of (c) GF, (d) TGF, (e) KGF, and (f) KTGF
- Figure 4.8** (a, a') CV curves at 2 mV s^{-1} , (b, b') peak potential separation (ΔE_p) vs. scan rate, and (c, c') peak current density vs. square root of scan rate ($v^{1/2}$) for VO^{2+}/VO_2^+ and V^{3+}/V^{2+} redox reactions of GF, TGF, KGF, and KTGF, respectively
- Figure 4.9** EIS curves of GF, TGF, KGF, and KTGF in (a) $0.1M VO^{2+} + 2.5M H_2SO_4$ solution, and (b) $0.1M VO^{2+} + 2.5M H_2SO_4$ solution at open circuit potential
- Figure 4.10** Electrochemical performance of VRFB with GF, TGF, KGF, and KTGF (a) 5th charge-discharge capacity curves at the current density of $50 \text{ mA}\cdot\text{cm}^{-2}$, (b) electrolyte utilization ratio, (c) CE and VE, (d) EE at current density of $50 \text{ mA}\cdot\text{cm}^{-2}$, (e) CE, VE, and EE of VRFB with KTGF during 200 charge-discharge cycles at $100 \text{ mA}\cdot\text{cm}^{-2}$, and (f) discharge capacity retention VRFB cell with KTGF and GF electrode during 200 charge-discharge cycles at $100 \text{ mA}\cdot\text{cm}^{-2}$
- Figure 4.11** Polarization curve analysis of VRFB equipped with GF, TGF, KGF, and KTGF
- Figure 5.1** Layout of arrangement of VRFB cell and SoC cell
- Figure 5.2** Evaluation of formal potential using (a) SoC_e , (b) SoC_{e+} , and (c) SoC_{e-}
- Figure 5.3** Variation of (a) discharge capacity and SoC_e ; (b) volume change of positive and negative electrolyte; with cycle number
- Figure 5.4** Simplified illustration of the instantaneous self-discharge of the charged reactants due to crossover of vanadium ion
- Figure 5.5** Variation of SoC_{e+} (black squares) and SoC_{e-} (red squares) with cycle number showing inflection point (The red and black solid lines are trendlines)
- Figure 5.6** Description of vanadium precipitation if VRFB is operated beyond ERPM_{max} shown through (a) digital image, (b) FESEM image, and (c) XPS spectra

- Figure 5.7** VRFB single cell performance using different source of reactants (a) first charge- discharge cycle, and (b) second charge-discharge cycle
- Figure 6.1** Schematic of the experimental setup used for quantification of mass transfer coefficients
- Figure 6.2** Dynamic variation of deficient side ionic concentration (C_i^d) at different recirculation rate for (a) VO_2^+ , (b) VO^{2+} , (c) V^{3+} , and (d) V^{2+} ; © Comparison of slope of lines for dynamic variation of C_i^d (© = V^{2+} , VO_2^+ , V^{3+} , and VO^{2+}) at different recirculation rates, and (f) Dynamic variation of deficient side volume at different recirculation rate
- Figure 6.3** VRFB model along with instantaneous chemical reactions during self-discharge process
- Figure 6.4** (a-c) VO^{2+} ion movement mapping during self-discharge of VRFB
- Figure 6.5** Validation of the proposed mathematical model with experimental results of self-discharge of VRFB cell at different recirculation rates
- Figure 7.1** Vanadium redox flow battery model for dimensional analysis
- Figure 7.2** Methodology to obtain a generalized empirical correlation
- Figure 7.3** Methodology followed for charge-discharge experiments
- Figure 7.4** Discharge curves showing the effect of (a) initial concentration of the active species, (b) anolyte or catholyte volume, (c) electrolyte flow rate, and (d) operational current density on discharge time of VRFB
- Figure 7.5** Nyquist plot of VRFB cell at open circuit voltage condition
- Figure 7.6** Comparison of the predicted and actual discharge times
- Figure 8.1** Digital image of (a) scaled-up VRFB single cell, and (b) VRFB stack
- Figure 8.2** (a) Peak power capability of 10-cell VRFB stack, and (b) Charge-discharge cycling (intermittent cycles shown for clarity) stability of 10-cell VRFB stack at $100 \text{ mA} \cdot \text{cm}^{-2}$
- Figure 8.3** Digital image of 0.5 kW VRFB system demonstration facility

List of Tables

Table 1.1	Tecno-economic characteristics comparison of lead-acid and lithium-ion battery
Table 2.1	Prominent kW-scale VRFB system reported in scientific literatures
Table 2.2	Key component materials for the kW-scale VRFB systems
Table 2.3	Description of various techniques used for pre-treatment of graphite felt electrode
Table 2.4	Electrolyte configurations adopted for kW-scale VRFB systems
Table 2.5	Comparison of design features and operational performance of kW-scale VRFB stacks
Table 2.6	Comparison of fade rates for different kinds of cation exchange membrane
Table 2.7	Discrepancy between theoretical and actual tank volume for currently designed kW-scale VRFB
Table 4.1	Elemental composition and distribution of oxygen-functional groups estimated by fitting of XPS O1s spectra from Figure 4c-f
Table 4.2	Electrochemical properties measured from CV and EIS for $\text{VO}^{2+}/\text{VO}_2^+$ and $\text{V}^{3+}/\text{V}^{2+}$
Table 4.3	Comparison of exchange current (i_o) and reaction rate constant (k_o) of the positive ($\text{VO}^{2+}/\text{VO}_2^+$) and negative ($\text{V}^{2+}/(\text{V}^{3+})$) redox reactions for GF, TGF, KGF, and KTGF electrodes
Table 5.1	Discharge capacity and individual electrolyte state-of-charge obtained using different source of reactant
Table 6.1	Comparison of self-discharge characteristics at different specific flow velocities
Table 6.2	Experimental estimates of overall mass transport coefficients of vanadium species and water at different recirculation rates.
Table 6.3	Magnitude of stoichiometric coefficients α, β and α', β'
Table 6.4	Magnitude of stoichiometric coefficients θ, δ and θ', δ'
Table 6.5	Specification and relevant data for 1 kW 8 kW·h VRFB system
Table 6.6	Comparison of self-discharge characteristics and OEE of kW-scale VRFB system operated in two different scenarios
Table 7.1	Details of repeating variables
Table 7.2	The levels of independent variables employed in the study

- Table 7.3** Scheme of discharge experiments as per the Box-Behnken design of experiment
- Table 7.4** Physical interpretation and permissible range of dimensionless numbers for the correlation developed in this study
- Table 7.5** Analysis of variance
- Table 7.6** Experimental data extracted and analysed from literature as well as data for this study to validate the derived empirical dimensionless correlation
- Table 8.1** Comparison of cell performance at $100 \text{ mA} \cdot \text{cm}^{-2}$
- Table 8.2** Comparison of kW-scale VRFB stacks
- Table 8.3** Power rating of DC appliances installed in the demonstration facility

List of Abbreviations

ANOVA	Analysis of variance
BET	Brunauer Emmett Teller
BMT	Billion metric tones
CC	Constant current
CE	Columbic efficiency
Ce	Counter electrode
CEM	Cation exchange membrane
CNF	Carbon nano fiber
CNT	Carbon nano tube
CV	Cyclic voltammetry
EE	Energy efficiency
EIS	Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy
ERP	Electrolyte-repair-point
EDX	Energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy
FESEM	Field emission scanning electron microscopy
FTIR	Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy
GF	Graphite felt
GNW	Graphene nano wall
GO	Graphene oxide
HER	Hydrogen evolution reaction
IEM	Ion-exchange membrane
KGF	Potassium hydroxide treated graphite felt
KTGF	Potassium hydroxide treated thermal graphite felt
LAB	Lead-acid battery
LCOE	Levelized cost of energy
LIB	Lithium-ion battery
MWCNT	Multi walled carbon nano tube
NVU	Number of volume units
OCV	Open circuit voltage
OEE	Overall energy efficiency

RDa	Reciprocal of Damköhler number
RE	Reference electrode
r-GO	Reduced graphene oxide
SoC	State of charge
TCU	Theoretical capacity utilization
TGA	Thermal gravimetric analysis
VE	Voltage efficiency
VRFB	Vanadium redox flow battery
Wa	Wagner number
WE	Working electrode

List of Nomenclatures

A	Area of cation-exchange membrane (cm ²)
C₀	Initial concentration of vanadium species (M)
C_i	Concentration of <i>i</i> th vanadium specie at the outlet of VRFB cell (M)
C^T_i	Concentration of <i>i</i> th vanadium specie in the electrolyte tank (M)
E^o	Standard reduction potential (V)
E_c	Energy required for charging (W·h)
ERP_{Max}	Electrolyte-repair-point
E_{sd}	Energy lost during self-discharge (W·h)
F	Faraday's constant (C·mol ⁻¹)
i	<i>i</i> th vanadium specie
i₀	Exchange current (A)
I_c	Charging current (A)
I_d	Discharging current (A)
j	Current density (mA·cm ⁻²)
k₀	Reaction rate constant (m·s ⁻¹)
K_i	Mass-transfer coefficient of <i>i</i> th vanadium specie (m·s ⁻¹)
K_w	Mass-transfer coefficient of water (m·s ⁻¹)
M_w	Molecular weight of water (g·mol ⁻¹)
n	Number of mole of electron transferred per mole of vanadium specie
N_i	Overall flux of mass-transfer of <i>i</i> th vanadium specie (mol·cm ⁻² s ⁻¹)
NVU	Number of volume units
P_p	Pump power requirement (W)
q	Specific flow velocity (cm·s ⁻¹)
Q	Electrolyte recirculation rate (mL·min ⁻¹)
R_Ω	Ohmic resistance (ohm)
RDa	Reciprocal of Damköhler number
S_E	Geometric surface area of electrode (cm ²)
SoC_{e+}	State of charge of positive electrolyte (%)
SoC_{e-}	State of charge of negative electrolyte (%)
SoC_i	Initial state of charge of VRFB cell (%)

SoC_f	Final state of charge of VRFB cell (%)
t_c	Charge time (h)
t_d	Discharge time (h)
t_{sd}	Self-discharge time (h)
TCU	Theoretical capacity utilization
v	Scan rate (mV·s ⁻¹)
V_E	Geometric volume of electrode (cm ³)
V_T	Volume of electrolyte in tank (cm ³)
Wa	Wagner number
α_i	Stoichiometric coefficient for formation or depletion of <i>i</i> th vanadium specie due to instantaneous reaction
β_i	Stoichiometric coefficient for depletion of <i>i</i> th vanadium specie due to charge balance
Θ_i	Stoichiometric coefficient for formation or depletion of <i>water</i> due to hydration sphere of vanadium species
δ_i	Stoichiometric coefficient for formation or depletion of <i>water</i> due to instantaneous reaction of vanadium species
η_{act}	Activation overpotential (mV)
ρ_w	Density of water (g·cm ⁻³)