

# **ROLE OF EXTRACELLULAR SIGNALS ON CELLULAR DIFFERENTIATION**

**AARUSHI SHARMA**



**DEPARTMENT OF TEXTILE AND FIBER  
ENGINEERING  
INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY DELHI  
JULY 2022**



© Indian Institute of Technology Delhi (IITD), New Delhi, 2022



**ROLE OF EXTRACELLULAR SIGNALS ON  
CELLULAR DIFFERENTIATION**

by

**AARUSHI SHARMA**

**Department of Textile and fiber Engineering**

**Submitted**

**In fulfilment of the requirements of the degree of  
Doctor of Philosophy  
to the**



**Indian Institute of Technology Delhi**

**July 2022**



*Dedicated to*

***My Parents***

*Mr. Kamallesh Sharma & Mrs. Meenu Sharma*



# CERTIFICATE

This is to certify that the thesis entitled “**Role of Extracellular signals on Cellular differentiation**” being submitted by **Ms. Aarushi Sharma** to **Indian Institute of Technology Delhi** for the award of the degree of “**DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY**”, is a record of the authentic research work carried out by her under my supervision and guidance. She has fulfilled all the requirements for submission of this thesis, which to the best of my knowledge has reached the required standard.

The material contained in this thesis has not been submitted in part or full to any other University or Institute for the award of any other degree.

**Prof. Sourabh Ghosh**

Professor

Department of Textile and Fiber Engineering

Indian Institute of Technology Delhi



## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

*I take this opportunity to express my profound gratitude and deepest regards to my Advisor **Prof. Sourabh Ghosh** for his continuous support, encouragement, and motivation during the tenure of my PhD. I am deeply grateful to him for his exemplary guidance, insightful comments and valuable suggestions for my research. This has helped me enormously to understand the fundamentals of tissue engineering. The stimulating discussions during the weekly group meetings in the lab have been a great learning experience for me and has added a new dimension to my scientific knowledge.*

*I would also like to express my special acknowledgement to the collaborators, Prof. Gina Lisignoli (Istituto Ortopedico Rizzoli, Bologna, Italy), Dr. Savneet Kaur (Institute of Liver and Biliary Sciences, New Delhi), Dr. Raju Vaishya (Jasola Apollo Hospital, New Delhi) and Prof. Amitabha Bandopadhyay (Indian Institute of Technology-Kanpur, Uttar Pradesh) for providing me with valuable collaboration and recommendations, which renders great help completion of my thesis work.*

*Next, I would like to thank all the faculty members of Department of Textile and Fiber Engineering and my SRC members (Prof. M. Jassal, Prof. M. Banerjee and Dr. S. W. Ali) for their valuable suggestions and time to time guidance. I would also like to express my special thanks to all the technical staff and office members of the department for their constant help and co-operation.*

*Also, I would like to thank Ministry of Human Resource Development, Govt. of India for providing me with scholarship for the duration of my PhD.*

*I owe special thanks to Dr. Prasad Admane for training me with skill of 3D bioprinting and introducing me to the world of scientific research. I would like to express my sincere thanks*

*to Dr. Subhadeep Roy and Dr. Shikha Chawla for their support along with incessant help which made it possible for me to learn various new techniques and skills. I would also like to thank Dr. Priyanka Dubey for being a call away throughout this journey. I would truly like to thank my fellow PhD lab members, Juhi Chakraborty and Nilotpal Majumdar for all the insightful discussions, in lab or over a cup of coffee. Further, I would like to extend my sincere thanks to all the past and present lab members for making this journey so exciting and eventful. A very special thanks to all my PhD batchmates, without whom the journey would have been difficult.*

*Last but not the least, I would like to thank my mother Mrs. Meenu Sharma, my father Mr. Kamalesh Sharma and brothers, Deepak and Aakash, for their encouragement, support and inspiration throughout the period.*

**AARUSHI SHARMA**

## ***ABSTRACT:***

Understanding the role of extracellular signals is extremely important for the development of tissue engineered models. For the transplantation of the tissue engineered models, there is need develop growth free approaches. These in vitro models will help in eliminating the immune rejection during transplantation. Alternatively, they will help in development of the personalized regenerative medicine for the patients. Thus, the present study deals with understanding the role of extracellular signals in tissue engineered models.

In the first study, we developed silk fibroin protein and gelatin-based conjugated bioink, which showed localized presence and sustained release of calcium. This study generated unprecedented mechanistic insights on the role of fibroin-gelatin-CaCl<sub>2</sub> bioink in modulating expression of several proteins which are known to play crucial role in bone regeneration as well as key signalling pathways such as  $\beta$ -catenin, BMP signalling pathway, Parathyroid hormone-dependent signalling pathway, Forkhead box O (FOXO) pathway, and Hippo pathways in hMSC-laden bioprinted constructs.

In second study, we developed hybrid liver-specific three-dimensional (3D) printed scaffolds using a solubilized native decellularized liver (DCL) matrix and silk fibroin (SF) and investigated their ability to support functional cultures of hepatic cells. In comparison to 2D controls, hepatic cells cultured on 3D SG–DCL revealed increased proliferation until 2 weeks and an upregulated expression of hepatocyte markers, including asialoglycoprotein receptor 1 (ASGR1). The Wnt pathway gene  $\beta$ -catenin was upregulated by more than 4-fold in 3D SG–DCL on day 3, while it showed a decline on day 7 as compared to 3D SG and also 2D controls. The expression of the epithelial cell adhesion molecule (EpCAM) was however lower in both 2D SG–DCL (2-fold) and 3D SG–DCL (2.5-fold) as compared to that in 2D controls. Immunofluorescence studies validated the protein expression of ASGR1 in 3D SG–DCL. Albumin (ALB) was not identified on SG scaffolds but prominently expressed in 3D SG–DCL constructs. In comparison to 2D SG, both ALB (1.8-fold) and urea (5-fold) were enhanced in cells cultured on 3D SG–DCL on day 7 of culture. Hence, the SG–DCL 3D printed scaffolds provide a conducive microenvironment for elevating differentiation and functions of hepatic cells possibly through an involvement of the Wnt/ $\beta$ -catenin signalling pathway.

In third study, investigated the role of calcium supplementation in controlling macrophage phenotypes in pro-inflammatory and pre-reparative states. The involvement of

oxidative defence and mitochondria in cellular plasticity and the sequential M0 to M1 and M1 to M2 transitions was observed after calcium supplementation. This study describe the molecular mechanism of reactive oxygen species signalling and drive the interconnected cellular plasticity of macrophages in the presence of calcium. Gene expression analysis, followed by immunostaining, revealed a relationship between MHC class II maturation and cellular plasticity. It helped to elucidate the role of controlled calcium supplementation under various conditions. These findings underscore the molecular mechanism of calcium-mediated immune induction and its favourable use in different biomaterials, which is of great significance for tissue regeneration.

In fourth study, we examined the effect of paracrine factors secreted from the direct interaction of M2 macrophages and the 3D OA microcartilage model in the presence and absence of interleukins (IL). M2 macrophages stimulated the expression of collagen-2, matrix metalloproteinase (MMP)-1, and MMP-13 3D OA microcartilage models, indicating cartilage regeneration. This indicates that factors produced by M2 macrophages induce chondrocytes with anti-inflammatory and extracellular matrix (ECM) regeneration and remodelling properties, irrespective of exogenous ILs. Interestingly, the 3D OA microcartilage model de-differentiated M2 macrophages into monocytes and M1 macrophages, indicating probable crosstalk between both cell types. Paracrine factors of M2 macrophages and chondrocytes also help in reversing the 3D OA microcartilage model to healthy articular cartilage by upregulating the production of collagen-2 and not into fibrous cartilage by downregulating the production of collagen-1.

## सार

ऊतक इंजीनियर मॉडल के विकास के लिए अत्यंत महत्वपूर्ण में बाह्य संकेतों की भूमिका को समझना। ऊतक इंजीनियर मॉडल के प्रत्यारोपण के लिए, विकास मुक्त दृष्टिकोण विकसित करने की आवश्यकता है। ये इन विट्रो मॉडल प्रत्यारोपण के दौरान प्रतिरक्षा अस्वीकृति को खत्म करने में मदद करेंगे। वैकल्पिक रूप से, वे रोगियों के लिए व्यक्तिगत पुनर्योजी दवा के विकास में मदद करेंगे। इस प्रकार, वर्तमान अध्ययन ऊतक इंजीनियर मॉडल में बाह्य संकेतों की भूमिका को समझने से संबंधित है।

पहले अध्ययन में, हमने सिल्क फाइब्रोइन प्रोटीन और जिलेटिन-आधारित संयुग्मित बायोइंक विकसित किया, जो स्थानीय उपस्थिति और कैल्शियम की निरंतर रिहाई को दर्शाता है। इस अध्ययन ने कई प्रोटीनों की अभिव्यक्ति को संशोधित करने में फाइब्रोइन-जिलेटिन-CaCl<sub>2</sub> बायोइंक की भूमिका पर अभूतपूर्व यंत्रवत अंतर्दृष्टि उत्पन्न की, जो हड्डी पुनर्जनन में महत्वपूर्ण भूमिका निभाने के साथ-साथ  $\beta$ -कैटेनिन, बीएमपी सिग्नलिंग मार्ग, पैराथाइरॉइड हार्मोन जैसे प्रमुख सिग्नलिंग मार्ग में महत्वपूर्ण भूमिका निभाने के लिए जाने जाते हैं। -डिपेंडेंट सिग्नलिंग पाथवे, फोर्कहेड बॉक्स ओ (फॉक्सो) पाथवे, और हिप्पो पाथवे एचएमएससी से लदी बायोप्रिंटेड कंस्ट्रक्शन में।

दूसरे अध्ययन में, हमने एक घुलनशील देशी डीसेलुलराइज्ड लीवर (डीसीएल) मैट्रिक्स और सिल्क फाइब्रोइन (एसएफ) का उपयोग करके हाइब्रिड लीवर-विशिष्ट त्रि-आयामी (3D) मुद्रित मंचन विकसित किए और यकृत कोशिकाओं की कार्यात्मक संस्कृतियों का समर्थन करने की उनकी क्षमता की जांच की। 2D नियंत्रणों की तुलना में, 3D एसजी-डीसीएल पर सुसंस्कृत यकृत कोशिकाओं ने 2 सप्ताह तक बढ़े हुए प्रसार और एसिआलोग्लाइकोप्रोटीन रिसेप्टर 1 (एसजीआर1) सहित हेपेटोसाइट मार्करों की एक अपंजीकृत अभिव्यक्ति का खुलासा किया। Wnt पाथवे जीन  $\beta$ -कैटेनिन को 3 दिन में 3D एसजी-डीसीएल में 4 गुना से अधिक अपग्रेड किया गया था, जबकि 3डी एसजी और 2डी नियंत्रणों की तुलना में 7 दिन में गिरावट देखी गई। एपिथेलियल सेल आसंजन अणु (EpCAM) की अभिव्यक्ति हालांकि 2D SG-DCL (2-गुना) और 3D SG-DCL (2.5-गुना) दोनों में 2D नियंत्रणों की तुलना में कम थी। इम्यूनोफ्लोरोसेंस अध्ययनों ने 3D SG-DCL में ASGR1 की प्रोटीन अभिव्यक्ति को मान्य किया। एल्ब्यूमिन (ALB) की पहचान SG मंचानों पर नहीं की गई थी, लेकिन 3D SG-DCL निर्माणों में प्रमुखता से व्यक्त की गई थी। 2D SG की तुलना में, ALB (1.8-गुना) और यूरिया (5-गुना) दोनों को संस्कृति के 7 दिन 3D SG-DCL पर संवर्धित कोशिकाओं में बढ़ाया गया था। इसलिए, SG-DCL 3D प्रिंटेड मंचन संभवतः वनत/ $\beta$ - कैटेनिन सिग्नलिंग मार्ग की भागीदारी के माध्यम से यकृत कोशिकाओं के विभेदन और कार्यों को बढ़ाने के लिए एक अनुकूल माइक्रोएन्वायरमेंट प्रदान करते हैं।

तीसरे अध्ययन में, प्रो-इंफ्लेमेटरी और प्री-रिपेरेटिव अवस्थाओं में मैक्रोफेज फेनोटाइप को नियंत्रित करने में कैल्शियम सप्लीमेंट की भूमिका की जांच की गई। कोशिकीय प्लास्टिसिटी में ऑक्सिडेटिव रक्षा और माइटोकॉन्ड्रिया की भागीदारी और क्रमिक M0 से M1 और M1 से M2 संक्रमण कैल्शियम पूरकता के बाद देखे गए। यह अध्ययन प्रतिक्रियाशील ऑक्सीजन प्रजातियों के आणविक तंत्र का वर्णन करता है और कैल्शियम की उपस्थिति में मैक्रोफेज की परस्पर सेलुलर प्लास्टिसिटी को संकेत देता है। जीन अभिव्यक्ति विश्लेषण, उसके बाद प्रतिरक्षण के बाद, एमएससी वर्ग II की परिपक्वता और सेलुलर प्लास्टिसिटी के बीच एक संबंध का पता चला। इसने विभिन्न परिस्थितियों में नियंत्रित कैल्शियम पूरकता की भूमिका को स्पष्ट करने में मदद की। ये निष्कर्ष कैल्शियम-मध्यस्थता प्रतिरक्षा प्रेरण के आणविक तंत्र और विभिन्न बायोमैटिरियल्स में इसके अनुकूल उपयोग को रेखांकित करते हैं, जो ऊतक पुनर्जनन के लिए बहुत महत्व रखता है।

चौथे अध्ययन में, हमने इंटरल्यूकिन्स (IL) की उपस्थिति और अनुपस्थिति में M2 मैक्रोफेज और 3D OA माइक्रोकॉर्टिलेज मॉडल की सीधी बातचीत से स्रावित पैरासरीन कारकों के प्रभाव की जांच की। M2 मैक्रोफेज ने कोलेजन -2, मैट्रिक्स मेटालोप्रोटीनेज (MMP) -1, और MMP-13 3D OA माइक्रोकॉर्टिलेज मॉडल की अभिव्यक्ति को प्रेरित किया, जो उपास्थि पुनर्जनन का संकेत देता है। यह इंगित करता है कि एम 2 मैक्रोफेज द्वारा उत्पादित कारक बहिर्जात आईएल के बावजूद, विरोधी भड़काऊ और बाह्य मैट्रिक्स (ईसीएम) पुनर्जनन और रिमॉडेलिंग गुणों के साथ चॉड्रोसाइट्स को प्रेरित करते हैं। दिलचस्प बात यह है कि 3डी ओए माइक्रोकॉर्टिलेज मॉडल ने एम2 मैक्रोफेज को मोनोसाइट्स और एम1 मैक्रोफेज में डी-विभेदित किया है, जो दोनों प्रकार के सेल के बीच संभावित क्रॉसस्टॉक को दर्शाता है। M2 मैक्रोफेज और चॉड्रोसाइट्स के पैरासरीन कारक कोलेजन -2 के उत्पादन को बढ़ाकर स्वस्थ आर्टिकुलर कार्टिलेज में 3D OA माइक्रोकॉर्टिलेज मॉडल को उलटने में मदद करते हैं न कि कोलेजन -1 के उत्पादन को कम करके रेशेदार उपास्थि में।

# Contents

<i>CERTIFICATE</i>	i
<i>ACKNOWLEDGMENTS</i>	ii
<i>ABSTRACT</i>	iv
<i>CONTENTS</i>	vi
<i>LIST OF FIGURES</i>	ix
<i>LIST OF TABLES</i>	xv
<b>1. CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>1-28</b>
1.1. Introduction	2
1.2. sExtracellular Matrix	3
1.3. Tissue engineering	5
1.4. Bone tissue engineering	7
1.4.1. Physiology	
1.4.2. Need for bone tissue engineering	
1.4.3. Current techniques of bone tissue engineering	
1.5. Liver tissue engineering	9
1.5.1. Physiology	
1.5.2. Need for liver tissue engineering	
1.5.3. Current techniques of liver tissue engineering	
1.6. Cartilage tissue engineering	11
1.6.1. Physiology	
1.6.2. Need fir cartilage tissue engineering	

1.6.3.	Current techniques of cartilage tissue engineering	
1.7.	Role of immune cells in tissue engineering	18
1.8.	Motivation	19
1.9.	Objectives	20
1.10.	Organization of the thesis	21
1.11.	References	22
<b>2.</b>	<b>CHAPTER 2</b>	<b>29-68</b>
2.1.	Introduction	30
2.2.	Materials and Methods	32
2.3.	Results	44
2.4.	Discussion	58
2.5.	Conclusion	62
2.6.	References	63
<b>3.</b>	<b>CHAPTER 3</b>	<b>69-104</b>
3.1.	Introduction	70
3.2.	Materials and Methods	72
3.3.	Results	81
3.4.	Discussion	94
3.5.	Conclusion	99
3.6.	References	99
<b>4.</b>	<b>CHAPTER 4</b>	<b>105-167</b>
4.1.	Introduction	106
4.2.	Materials and Methods	108
4.3.	Results	114

4.4.	Discussion	131
4.5.	Conclusion	138
4.6.	References	159
<b>5.</b>	<b>CHAPTER 5</b>	<b>145-179</b>
5.1.	Introduction	146
5.2.	Materials and Methods	149
5.3.	Results	152
5.4.	Discussion	169
5.5.	Conclusion	173
5.6.	References	174
<b>6.</b>	<b>FUTURE ASPECTS</b>	<b>180-182</b>
<b>7.</b>	<b>AUTHOR'S BIBLIOGRAPHY</b>	<b>183</b>



## List of figures

<b>Figure 1.1</b>	Interaction between the extracellular signal and cell surface
<b>Figure 1.2</b>	Tissue engineering triad
<b>Figure 2.1</b>	a. CAD image depicting the design parameters of the developed construct. b. Image of 3D bioprinted construct
<b>Figure 2.2</b>	Rheological characterization of the SF-G and SF-G-CaCl <sub>2</sub> bioinks formulation. a. Flow properties of the SF-G without CaCl <sub>2</sub> , as well as 1.5 mM, 2.6 mM, 10 mM and 50 mM of CaCl <sub>2</sub> , b. Complex viscosity of compositions SF-G- without CaCl <sub>2</sub> , 1.5 mM, 2.6 mM, 10 mM and 50 mM of CaCl <sub>2</sub> with respect to time, after addition of tyrosinase, at a constant shear rate of 10 s <sup>-1</sup> . c. Modulus behavior of the SF-G bioinks- without CaCl <sub>2</sub> , and 1.5 mM, 2.6 mM, 10 mM and 50 mM of CaCl <sub>2</sub> .
<b>Figure 2.3</b>	ICP/MS analysis showing the release profile of Ca <sup>2+</sup> from the SF-G-CaCl <sub>2</sub> bioink.
<b>Figure 2.4</b>	Representative images of cell distribution (A) and live and dead analysis (B) of hMSCs laden SF-G and SF-G-CaCl <sub>2</sub> constructs at post-printing and at 14 and 21 days of osteogenic differentiation. A a-c: Photomicrographs from inverted microscopy indicating cell distribution within the SF-G constructs, scale bar: 200 μm. A d-f: Photomicrographs from inverted microscopy indicating cell distribution within the SF-G-CaCl <sub>2</sub> constructs, scale bar: 200 μm.
<b>Figure 2.5</b>	Photomicrographs from fluorescence microscope of hMSC-laden SF-G constructs, scale bar: 100 μm; B d-f: Photomicrographs from fluorescence microscope of hMSC laden SF-G-CaCl <sub>2</sub> constructs, scale bar: 100 μm. Live cells: green staining (FITC); Dead cells: red staining (TRITC); White arrows: dead cells.
<b>Figure 2.6</b>	Comparative gene expression analysis for hMSCs laden SF-G and SF-G-CaCl <sub>2</sub> constructs for the set of osteogenic differentiation specific genes. # represents significance at p<0.05, * represents significance at p<0.01 and ** significance at p<0.001 wherever applicable.
<b>Figure 2.7</b>	a-c and g-i. Representative images of Von Kossa staining showing the effect of 2.6 mM CaCl <sub>2</sub> on differentiation of hMSCs in monolayer culture. d-f Representative 3D reconstructed images of a-

	<p>c, j-l Representative 3D reconstructed images of g-i. Magnification 10X. m. Histogram showing Von Kossa staining quantification. Dotted line indicates Von Kossa threshold. Data are expressed as mean <math>\pm</math> SD. Kruskal-Wallis and Dunn's multiple comparison test were used for statistical analyses (*<math>p &lt; 0.05</math>).</p>
<b>Figure 2.8</b>	<p>Representative images of Von Kossa staining a-d hMSCs laden SF-G at day 14. a. without GFs, b. with GFs, c. 3D reconstruction of a, d. 3D reconstruction of b, e-h hMSCs laden SF-G-CaCl<sub>2</sub> at day 14 e. without GFs, f. with GFs, g. 3D reconstruction of e, h. 3D reconstruction of f, i-l hMSCs laden SF-G at day 14. i. without GFs, j. with GFs, k. 3D reconstruction of i, l. 3D reconstruction of j, m-p hMSC laden SF-G-CaCl<sub>2</sub> at day 21 m. without GFs, n. with GFs, o. 3D reconstruction of m, p. 3D reconstruction of n, scale bar: 100 <math>\mu</math>m. q. Histogram showing Von Kossa staining quantification. Data are expressed as mean <math>\pm</math> SD. 2 way Anova and multiple comparison test were used for statistical analyses (*<math>p &lt; 0.05</math>).</p>
<b>Figure 2.9</b>	<p>Comparative gene expression analysis for hMSCs laden SF-G and SF-G-CaCl<sub>2</sub> constructs for the studied set of osteogenic signalling specific genes. # represents significance at <math>p &lt; 0.05</math>, * represents significance at <math>p &lt; 0.01</math> and ** significance at <math>p &lt; 0.001</math> wherever applicable.</p>
<b>Figure 2.10</b>	<p>a. STRING protein-protein interaction for the identified set of proteins. b. SDS-PAGE showing the bands selected for MALDI-TOF analysis (upregulated in SF-G-CaCl<sub>2</sub> constructs as compared to SF-G constructs).</p>
<b>Figure 3.1</b>	<p>Decellularization, solubilization and characterization of the decellularized rat liver. a) Portal vein cannulation for perfusion of 1% Triton-X 100 with 0.1% Ammonium Hydroxide. b) Partially decellularized liver after 12 hours of processing. c) Completely decellularized liver after 24 hours. d) Dissolved liver tissue prepared in pepsin solution.</p>
<b>Figure 3.2</b>	<p>Structural parameters of the 3D scaffold. a) Top view of CAD model. b) Isometric view of CAD model. c) Representative image of the 3D printed scaffold. d) Microscopic image of the 3D printed scaffold.</p>

<b>Figure 3.3</b>	a. Histological (H&E) staining of the DCL showing presence of vascular network. b. DAPI staining of DCL matrix showed absence of nuclear materials.
<b>Figure 3.4</b>	Rheological characterization. a) Flow behavior of SG and SG-DCL at 22° C. b) Modulus behavior of 5% DCL. c) Modulus behavior of SG-1DCL. d) Modulus behavior of SG-5DCL. e) Modulus behavior of SG-10DCL. f) Modulus behavior of SG-Tyrosinase and g) Modulus behavior of SG-10DCL-Tyrosinase. Modulus behavior of all the solution was performed at a constant strain of 1%. All the rheological characterizations were carried out thrice and average value was plotted.
<b>Figure 3.5</b>	FTIR spectra of DCL matrix, SG and SG-DCL.
<b>Figure 3.6</b>	Degradation of 3D printed SG and SG-DCL in PBS for 144 hours. A) Pictorial representation of the SG and SG-DCL scaffolds over a period of 144 hours. B) Graphical representation of the SG and SG-DCL scaffolds over a period of 144 hours.
<b>Figure 3.7</b>	Swelling behaviour of the 3D printed SG and SG-DCL in PBS for 46 hours.
<b>Figure 3.8</b>	(a) Microscopic images of Huh7 cells cultured on 2D films of both SG and SG-DCL at day 3 and 7. (b) Huh7 cells cultured on 3D printed scaffolds of both SG and SG-DCL at day 3 and 7. With the passage of time from day 0 to day 7, Huh cells tend to migrate from plate to SG or SG-DCL surface.
<b>Figure 3.9</b>	Fold change in absorbance values (570nm) as calculated by quantitative MTT analysis of cells plated on different scaffolds. Data is Mean + SD. ‘*’ indicates P<0.05 wrt 2D S-G (day 0), ‘#’ indicates P< 0.05 wrt 2D S-G at respective days.
<b>Figure 3.10</b>	Gene expression analysis of a) ASGR1, b) EpCAM c) β-catenin and d) Lgr5 for day 0, 3 and 7 on 2D film and 3D printed scaffolds both SG and SG-DCL (‘*’ for p< 0.05, ‘**’ for p< 0.001; p values have been calculated by comparing all groups with 2D SG at day 3, day 7 and day 14 respectively).
<b>Figure 3.11</b>	a) Immunostaining of ASGR1 and ALB on 3D scaffold of SG and SG-dCL at day 7. Dot plots showing number of fluorescence signal Huh7 positive cells plated on SG and SG-DCL scaffolds at day7 of

	b) ASGR1 and c) Albumin. Quantification of cells was done using NIS-Elements software.
<b>Figure 3.12</b>	Liver function test for ALB and urea secretion for 2D films and 3D printed scaffolds of both SG and SG-DCL at day 3 and 7 (** for $p < 0.05$ , *** for $p < 0.001$ ; p values have been calculated by comparing all groups with 2D SG at day 3 and day 7 respectively).
<b>Figure 4.1</b>	MTT assay of THP-1 Monocytes after day 1, 2 and 3 of incubation in varied concentrations of Calcium ions.
<b>Figure 4.2</b>	Release profile of Nitric oxide, Antioxidants and Lipid peroxide from THP-1 Monocytes, THP-1 M <sub>0</sub> Macrophages and THP-1 M <sub>2</sub> Macrophages after incubation in the presence of calcium ions for 1, 3 and 7 days (**** represents significance at $p < 0.0001$ , *** represents significance at $p < 0.001$ , ** represents significance at $p < 0.01$ ).
<b>Figure 4.3</b>	Mitochondrial biogenesis in THP-1 cells in absence and presence of extracellular calcium A) THP-1 Monocytes B) THP-1 M <sub>0</sub> Macrophages C) THP-1 M <sub>2</sub> Macrophages
<b>Figure 4.4</b>	Quantified mitochondrial fluorescence intensity (**** represents significance at $p < 0.0001$ , *** represents significance at $p < 0.001$ and ns for not significant).
<b>Figure 4.5</b>	Lysosomal trafficking in THP-1 cells in absence and presence of extracellular calcium A) Lyso-Tracker in THP-1 Monocytes at day 1, 3 and 7 compared to control
<b>Figure 4.6</b>	Lysosomal trafficking in THP-1 cells in absence and presence of extracellular calcium in THP-1 M <sub>0</sub> Macrophages at day 1, 3 and 7 compared to control
<b>Figure 4.7</b>	Lysosomal trafficking in THP-1 cells in absence and presence of extracellular calcium in THP-1 M <sub>2</sub> Macrophages at day 1, 3 and 7 compared to control
<b>Figure 4.8</b>	Lysosomal trafficking in THP-1 cells in absence and presence of extracellular calcium in THP-1 Monocytes, THP-1 M <sub>0</sub> Macrophages and THP-1 M <sub>2</sub> Macrophages at day 1, 3 and 7 compared to control

<b>Figure 4.9</b>	Endosomal trafficking in THP-1 cells in absence and presence of extracellular calcium using ER-Tracker in THP-1 Monocytes at day 1, 3 and 7 compared to control
<b>Figure 4.10</b>	Endosomal trafficking in THP-1 cells in absence and presence of extracellular calcium using ER-Tracker in THP-1 M <sub>0</sub> Macrophages at day 1, 3 and 7 compared to control
<b>Figure 4.11</b>	Endosomal trafficking in THP-1 cells in absence and presence of extracellular calcium using ER-Tracker in THP-1 M <sub>2</sub> Macrophages at day 1, 3 and 7 compared to control
<b>Figure 4.12</b>	Endosomal trafficking in THP-1 cells in absence and presence of extracellular calcium using RAB-11 in THP-1 Monocytes, THP-1 M <sub>0</sub> Macrophages and THP-1 M <sub>2</sub> Macrophages at day 1, 3 and 7 compared to control
<b>Figure 4.13</b>	Comparative gene expression analysis for THP-1 Monocytes, THP-1 M <sub>0</sub> Macrophages and THP-1 M <sub>2</sub> Macrophages for the different surface markers (**** represents significance at $p < 0.0001$ , ** represents significance at $p < 0.01$ ).
<b>Figure 5.1</b>	Representative phase-contrast images to show A) Morphology of healthy and OA chondrocytes proliferated in monolayer culture. B) Healthy and OA spheroids at day 4 and 12 of culture. C) Depicting the interaction between OA chondrocytes spheroids and THP-1 M <sub>2</sub> Macrophages at day 2 and day 10.
<b>Figure 5.2</b>	STRING protein-protein expression during A) Chondrogenic differentiation in HC spheroids B) Phenotypic markers present on surface of THP-1 cells and C) Proteins synthesized by coculture of OAC + M2 in presence and absence of ILs.
<b>Figure 5.3</b>	Quantitative real time PCR to evaluate gene expression of (a) Collagen-2, (b) Collagen-1, (c) Collagen-10, (d) MMP-1 and (e) MMP-13 at day 3, 7 and 10 of HC, OA, OA + IL, OA + M2 and OA + M2 + IL spheroids cultured in 96-well U bottom plates to evaluate the regeneration, de-differentiation, hypertrophy and matrix remodelling of human chondrocytes. Horizontal black dotted line indicates the expression of the control samples, i.e., HC day 3. Values are mean $\pm$ SD; n = 3/group. Symbol * indicates $p < 0.05$ and # for “not significant.” Data normalized

<b>Figure 5.4</b>	Quantitative real time PCR to evaluate gene expression of (a) CD-14, (b) CD-36, (c) CD-68, (d) IL-4 and (e) IL-10 at day 3, 7 and 10 of HC, OA, OA + IL, OA + M2 and OA + M2 + IL spheroids cultured in 96-well U bottom plates to evaluate the phenotypic change in the M2 Macrophage. Horizontal black dotted line indicates the expression of the control samples, i.e., HC day 3. Values are mean $\pm$ SD; n = 3/group. Symbol * indicates $p < 0.05$ and # for “not significant.” Data normalized
<b>Figure 5.5</b>	Immunofluorescence analysis depicting the protein expression of collagen 2 at day 3 and 10 of HC, OA, OA + IL, OA + M2 and OA + M2 + IL spheroids cultured in 96-well U bottom plates
<b>Figure 5.6</b>	Immunofluorescence analysis depicting the protein expression of collagen 10 at day 3 and 10 of HC, OA, OA + IL, OA + M2 and OA + M2 + IL spheroids cultured in 96-well U bottom plates
<b>Figure 5.7</b>	Immunofluorescence analysis depicting the morphological changes using CD-14, CD-68 and CD-163 in the M2 macrophages at day 3 and 10 of OA + M2 and OA + M2 + IL spheroids cultured in 96-well U bottom plates
<b>Figure 5.8</b>	Determination of concentration of (A) Antioxidants and Lipid peroxidation of HC, OA, OA + IL, OA + M2 and OA + M2 + IL at day 3, 7 and 10. Values are mean $\pm$ SD; n = 3/group.
<b>Figure 5.9</b>	Confocal Microscopy depicting the protein expression of collagen 2 and collagen 10 in 3D bioprinted constructs.
<b>Figure 5.10</b>	Confocal Microscopy depicting the morphological changes using CD-14, CD-68 and CD-163 in the M2 macrophages at day 3 and 10 of OA + M2 and OA + M2 + IL 3D bioprinted constructs

## List of tables:

<b>Table 1.1.</b>	Commercially available artificial cartilage regeneration products
<b>Table 1.2.</b>	List of natural polymers used for the cartilage regeneration
<b>Table 2.1.</b>	List of genes studied for gene expression analysis
<b>Table 2.2.</b>	Power law equation and variables found for the bioinks
<b>Table 2.3.</b>	List of differentially expressed proteins upregulated in SF-G-CaCl <sub>2</sub> and SF-G constructs
<b>Table 3.1.</b>	Details of the primers used for the study