

ENERGY AWARE CROSS-LAYER OPTIMIZATION STRATEGIES IN WIRELESS NETWORKS

By

Bighnaraj Panigrahi

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING

*Submitted in fulfillment of the requirements
of the degree of Doctor of Philosophy*

to the



**Indian Institute of Technology Delhi
Hauz Khas, New Delhi - 110016, India
February 2012**

To
My loving Parents

Certificate

This is to certify that the dissertation titled “**Energy aware cross-layer optimization strategies in wireless networks**” being submitted by Bighnaraj Panigrahi to the Department of Electrical Engineering, Indian Institute of Technology, Delhi, for the award of the degree of Doctor of Philosophy, is a record of bonafide research work carried out by him under our guidance and supervision. In our opinion, the dissertation has reached the standards fulfilling the requirements of the regulations relating to the degree.

The results contained in this dissertation have not been submitted to any other university or institute for the award of any degree or diploma.

Dr. Swades De

(Supervisor)

Department of Electrical Engg.,
Indian Institute Of Technology Delhi
New Delhi-110016

Dr. B. S. Panda

(Co-Supervisor)

Department of Mathematics,
Indian Institute Of Technology Delhi
New Delhi-110016

New Delhi

February 2012

Acknowledgements

It is my pleasure to record deepest gratitude to my supervisor, Dr. Swades De and co-supervisor, Dr. Bhawani Shankar Panda for giving me this opportunity to work under their supervision and for making my research a beautiful journey. I heartily thank to Dr. De for his consistent encouragement, advice, supervision, and contribution. The kind of energy and motivation he bears is phenomenal and always inspirational to me. His valuable suggestions and guidelines kept me focused in my research. I had very little knowledge about wireless networks when I first joined the group. However, he guided me from the beginning very patiently and exhibited gradually to me the beauty of research work. His mentorship and support is of most importance in completion of this dissertation. I am equally lucky and very much thankful to Dr. B.S. Panda as my co-supervisor. His knowledge and interest in various fields became a helping tool for me during my research. His motivational words with a smiling face always very refreshing and encouraging to me.

I am thankful to IIT Delhi authorities for providing me the necessary facilities for the smooth completion of my work. I would like to give special thanks to my SRC members, Prof. H. M. Gupta, Prof. S. D. Joshi, and Dr. Vinay J. Ribeiro for their valuable suggestions and feedback during my research.

I am grateful to the helpful correspondence with Dr. J.-D. Lan Sun Luk. I am equally thankful to my friends cum lab mates, Ashwani, Ramkishore, and Nikhlesh. Working with them on various projects is been a pleasure and a great learning experience for me. I would also like to thank members of the Communication Networks Research Group (CNRG Lab II-339B, IIT Delhi) and all my friends at IIT Delhi for their constant support and encouragement throughout my PhD days.

Most importantly, I would like to express my heart-felt gratitude to my parents and my closed ones. None of this would have been possible without the love and patience of my families.

Finally, my greatest regards to the Almighty for bestowing upon me the courage to face the complexities of life and complete this dissertation successfully.

New Delhi
(February 2012)

Bighnaraj Panigrahi

Abstract

This dissertation contributes toward the design of new cross-layer protocols for emerging wireless networks based on an objective of energy optimization. Many wireless network applications like wireless sensor networks (WSN) and wireless ad hoc networks are usually autonomous distributed systems that are infrastructure-less, fully distributed and multihop in nature.

The dissertation begins by investigating some fundamental energy aware lifetime maximizing forwarding protocols based on fixed transmission power control (FPC). We show how the selection of a proper nexthop forwarding neighbor in a multihop packet transmission can contribute significantly in increasing the lifetime and performance gain of the WSN. Via simple probabilistic analysis we prove the intuition behind the optimum forwarding node selection for network lifetime maximization. We model the lifetime maximization of a sensor network as an optimization problem and compare the practical protocol-dependent network lifetime with the theoretical upper bound. We propose three variants of distributed and stateless forwarding strategies for wireless sensor networks, namely, greedy minimum energy consumption forwarding protocol (GMFP), lifetime maximizing GMFP (LM-GMFP), and variance minimizing GMFP (VAR-GMFP) which aim at maximizing the network lifetime while achieving a high forwarding success rate.

We then study the impact of interference and variable transmission power control (VPC) on the energy optimization, lifetime of the network, and other cross-layer performances. The advantage of using VPC has a twofold. First, it can reduce overall transmission power in the network, therefore increasing the energy savings of wireless devices that are typically energy limited. Second, it can increase the traffic carrying capacity of these wireless networks in comparisons to existing systems that are based on FPC. We make a number of fundamental contributions. We thoroughly study and propose mathematical models for the interference and VPC for wireless networks and study their effect on the energy conservation and lifetime of wireless networks.

In a study that laid an important basis of transmission power control implementation in sensor motes, effective energy saving per successful frame transmission with VPC strategies as well as with FPC, we verify the effect of transmission power control, and link layer frame size on the energy savings in a WSN. We investigate the energy saving performance of two automatic transmission power control strategies, namely binary ACK (acknowledgment) based and receiver's signal quality information based approaches, on a Crossbow MICA2 platform operating at 915 MHz. We also investigate the effect of link layer frame size on the energy saving performance in sensor nodes. We show that, although there is energy saving per transmitted frame with power control, the effective energy saving with TPC per successful payload unit is achieved only up to certain transmitter-receiver distance. With variable sized frame transmissions we further demonstrate that the detrimental effect of large frame size – in terms of increased energy consumption – is only apparent at very high inter-nodal distance.

In the final part of the dissertation we investigate the feasibility of supporting the energy conservation and other traditional QoS (e.g., bandwidth or throughput assurances) performances in a network that is based on VPC. Specifically, we study impact of interference and VPC on energy consumption and throughput and study its implementation for wireless networks using IEEE 802.11 and alternative VPC based multiple access schemes. We show limitations of FPC based MAC protocols and proposed two variants of advanced power control MAC protocols with significantly improved performance than existing protocols.

Appropriate simulation models for the proposed protocols are designed using NS-2.31 network simulator and MATLAB-7.1. Through extensive simulations we demonstrate that the proposed protocols outperform the existing energy-aware protocols. Being light-weight, the proposed algorithms can be easily retrofitted at the software level in the already-deployed field sensor nodes.

Contents

Certificate	i
Acknowledgements	ii
Abstract	iii
List of Figures	5
List of Tables	7
Abbreviations	8
1 Introduction	1
1.1 Overview	1
1.1.1 Forwarding strategies in a WSN	3
1.1.2 Interference and power control aware forwarding strategies for a WSN	4
1.1.3 Experimental study of the effect of packet size on a power control aware WSN	5
1.1.4 Advanced power control MAC protocols and their impact on energy-efficient forwarding	6
1.2 Overview of the dissertation study	7
1.2.1 Scope of the dissertation	7
1.2.1.1 Contribution of this dissertation	9
1.2.2 Critical assumptions	11
1.3 Organization of the dissertation	11
2 Related Work and Motivation	13
2.1 Related Work	13
2.1.1 Forwarding strategies in a WSN	14
2.1.2 Interference model in a wireless network	18
2.1.3 Implementation of transmission power control in wireless sensor networks	22
2.1.4 Variable transmission power control MAC protocols	24
3 Energy Aware Lifetime Maximizing Forwarding Protocols	27
3.1 Introduction	27

3.1.1	Lifetime aware distributed forwarding strategies	30
3.1.1.1	Distributed forwarding strategy	30
3.1.1.2	System model and notations	31
3.1.1.3	How to select the next forwarding node?	32
3.1.1.4	Protocol 1 - GMFP: Greedy Minimum energy consumption Forwarding Protocol	33
3.1.1.5	Protocol 2 - LM-GMFP: network Lifetime Maximizing GMFP	35
3.1.1.6	Protocol 3 - VAR-GMFP: Variance minimizing GMFP	36
3.1.1.7	Forwarding node selection zones	37
3.1.2	Network lifetime modeling	39
3.1.2.1	Theoretical upper bound on network lifetime	39
3.1.2.2	Theoretical lifetime model 1 - SSST: single source, single target	40
3.1.2.3	Theoretical lifetime model 2 - MSST: multiple source, single target	41
3.1.2.4	Theoretical lifetime model 3 - MSMT: multiple source, multiple target	41
3.1.2.5	Construction of weighted directed graph $\mathcal{G}'$ from $\mathcal{G}$ for MSST model	42
3.1.2.6	Practical lifetime model: Protocol dependent network lifetime	44
3.1.3	Simulation results and discussion	47
3.1.4	Effect of nodal coverage range, for a fixed network density	49
3.1.4.1	Effect of network density, for a fixed nodal coverage range	50
3.1.4.2	Network lifetime and delay performance	52
3.1.4.3	Comparison of practical network lifetime with the theoretical upper bound	55
3.2	Summary	56
3.3	Chapter related publications	57
4	Interference and Transmission Power Control Aware Forwarding Strategies	58
4.1	Introduction	58
4.2	Multi-User Interference Analysis	61
4.2.1	Assumptions and definitions	61
4.2.2	Interference at a receiver without transmit power control	62
4.2.2.1	Upper bound on the number of simultaneous interferers	63
4.2.2.2	Receiver-interference model without transmit power control	64
4.2.2.3	SINR at the receiver	68
4.2.3	Interference at a receiver with distributed transmit power control	68

4.2.3.1	Iterative approach to interference aware power controlled transmissions	69
4.2.3.2	Power Controlled forwarding protocols	71
4.2.3.3	Average interference in power-controlled transmission scenario	72
4.3	Lifetime aware forwarding protocols	74
4.4	Results and Discussion	76
4.4.1	Network performance without transmit power control	76
4.4.2	Network performance with transmit power control	79
4.4.3	Lifetime aware forwarding protocol	81
4.5	Summary	83
4.6	Chapter related publications	83
5	Experimental Study of Transmission Power Control in WSN	84
5.1	Introduction	84
5.2	System model	86
5.2.1	Implementation of open loop power control	86
5.2.2	Implementation of closed loop power control	88
5.2.3	Effect of a chosen power control Algorithm	88
5.2.3.1	Full power transmission	89
5.2.3.2	Attenuation based power control	89
5.2.3.3	Linear increase linear decrease (LILD) power control	90
5.2.4	Effect of frame size	90
5.3	Experimental setup, results, and discussion	90
5.3.1	Experimental setup	90
5.3.2	Indoor performance	91
5.3.2.1	Effect of frame size	91
5.3.2.2	Effect of transmitter-receiver distance	93
5.3.2.3	Time response	94
5.3.3	Outdoor performance	94
5.3.3.1	Effect of frame size	95
5.3.3.2	Effect of transmitter-receiver distance	95
5.4	Summary	97
5.5	Chapter related publications	97
6	Energy aware Power control MAC protocols for wireless Ad Hoc networks	98
6.1	Introduction	98
6.2	System Model	100
6.2.1	Assumptions and definitions	100
6.2.2	Fixed transmission-power Vs. Variable transmission-power	101
6.2.3	Interference in FPC	102
6.2.4	Interference in VPC	103
6.2.5	Different MAC layer protocols	103
6.2.5.1	Basic-802.11-without power control (BASIC-WPC)	103

6.2.5.2	BASIC-802.11-with power control (BASIC-PC)	104
6.2.5.3	Power Controlled Multiple access (PCM)	105
6.2.5.4	Asymmetric Receiver Initiated Multiple Access with power control (ARIMA)	105
6.2.5.5	Symmetric Receiver Initiated Multiple Access with power control (SRIMA)	106
6.2.6	Packet transmission process in SRIMA	106
6.2.7	Packet success probability	107
6.2.7.1	RTS success probability P_{rts_succ}	108
6.2.7.2	CTS success probability P_{cts_succ}	108
6.2.7.3	DATA success probability P_{data_succ}	109
6.2.7.4	ACK success probability P_{ack_succ}	110
6.2.8	Vulnerable zone for different protocols	111
6.2.8.1	During RTS/DATA transmission	112
6.2.8.2	During ACK transmission	112
6.2.9	Energy consumption model in SRIMA	113
6.2.9.1	Variable transmission power	113
6.2.9.2	Energy consumption per packet transmission	113
6.3	Results and discussion	114
6.3.1	Analytic results	114
6.3.2	Simulation results	115
6.3.3	Effect of network density, for a fixed nodal coverage range	116
6.3.4	Effect of source-destination distance, for fixed transmission range and network density	117
6.3.5	Effect of communication range, for a fixed node density	119
6.4	Summary	120
6.5	Chapter related publications	120
7	Conclusion and Future Open Problems	121
7.1	Concluding remarks	121
7.2	Scope for future research	123
	Bibliography	125
	Publications	136
	Biodata of the author	138