

**EFFECT OF BIOFERTILIZERS ON *JATROPHA*  
*CURCAS* UNDER ABIOTIC STRESS**

by

**ASHWANI KUMAR**

**Centre for Rural Development and Technology**

**Submitted**

**In fulfillment of the requirement of the degree of**

**DOCTORAL OF PHILOSOPHY**

to the



**INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, DELHI**

**HAUZ KHAS, NEW DELHI-110016**

**2010**

***Dedicated***  
***to***  
***My family***

**[The power of God is with you at all times; through the activities of mind, senses, breathing, and emotions; and is constantly doing all the work using you as a mere instrument]**

[\(BhagavadGita\)](#)

***CHAPTER 1***  
***INTRODUCTION***

***CHAPTER 2***  
***REVIEW OF LITERATURE***

***CHAPTER 3***  
***MATERIALS AND METHODS***

***CHAPTER 4***  
***RESULTS AND DISCUSSION***

***CHAPTER 5***  
***SUMMARY***  
***AND CONCLUSIONS***

# ***REFERENCES***

## **CERTIFICATE**

This is to certify that the thesis entitled “**Effect of Biofertilizers on *Jatropha curcas* under Abiotic Stress**” submitted by Ashwani Kumar has been prepared under our guidance with the rules and regulations of Indian Institute of Technology Delhi, India. The research report and results presented in thesis have not been submitted for any degree or diploma in any other institute or university.

**(Prof. Satyawati Sharma)**

Center for Rural Development and  
Technology  
Indian Institute of Technology Delhi  
Hauz khas, New Delhi, 110016,  
India

**(Prof. Saroj Mishra)**

Department of Biochemical Engineering  
and Biotechnology  
Indian Institute of Technology Delhi  
Hauz khas, New Delhi, 110016,  
India

## ***ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS***

This is a very important moment for me. I am very happy to be able to recognize and acknowledge all of the people that contributed too many different aspects of my PhD research, as well as in the preparation of this dissertation. I would like to begin by acknowledging my supervisor and co-supervisor, Prof. Satyawati Sharma and Prof. Saroj Mishra, respectively who granted me the opportunity to join her research group and provided me with an interesting project to develop my scientific skills and critical thinking. Their exceptional guidance, as well as trust and encouragement, guided me throughout my research and writing of this thesis, and allowed me to complete the degree successfully.

Next, I would like to extend my gratitude to the committee members Prof. Santosh Satya (Chairperson), Prof S. N. Naik (Internal expert) and Prof. M.G. Dastidar (External expert) for the comments and suggestions to improve my thesis and the opportunity to defend my thesis. I am very much grateful to faculty members, Prof. Rajendra Prasad, Prof. Padma Vasudevan, Dr. Anushree malik and Dr. V. M. Chariar for providing me required facilities and encouragement

The seeds and field facility for this research were generously provided by Dr. Naresh kaushik (CCS, RRC, Bawal, Haryana) which is greatly appreciated.

I thank staff members at the CRDT, IIT Delhi for their assistance and help related to equipment, information, services, and resources.

Further I would like to thank to my all seniors/colleagues and other project members Dr. Parvaiz Ahmed, Dr. Kaviraj Pradhan, Dr. Shivani Chaturvedi, Dr. Gopinath, Monica Verma, Pratibha Gupta, Kalpana Arora, Aditi Gupta, Dr. Prashant Rout, Dr. Ramchandra Pradhan, Narendra Sahoo, Nirdesh Pradhan, Lalit Mohan Bal, Kapil Sharma and Ram Kumar for their support and help during my experiments.

I owe special thanks to Dr. Asif Sah, Dr. Richa, Dr. Meenu and Raju for their assistance and support at various stages of my research experiments.

I am grateful to the Council of Scientific and Industrial Research New Delhi, for the CSIR-JRF and CSIR-SRF fellowship, which enabled me to pursue this dissertation.

Special thanks to my wife Meghna and daughter aaradhya for being with me during the good and bad times of this journey. Finally, I thank my family members for their support, encouragement, and cooperation. They all contributed in one way and another for allowing me to indulge in the most challenging of my academic endeavors.

**(Ashwani Kumar)**

## ***ABSTRACT***

*Jatropha curcas* L. has been identified as a drought resistant, multipurpose non-edible oil seed plant recommended for biofuel production and reclamation of salts affected marginal lands. Understanding the effect of salts and management practices on *Jatropha* adjustment and biomass yield on these soils, is necessary for meeting energy demands. Various approaches involving inoculation of bioinoculants, and management practices have been studied to enhance biomass yield quality parameters of *Jatropha* by alleviating the adverse effect of environmental stress. This thesis consists of four studies aimed at examining (1) the efficacy of bioinoculants individually as well in combinations, and along with different agronomical practices, on biomass, seed yield and oil content of *Jatropha* (2) the role of bioinoculants to increase the biomass yield of *Jatropha* on salt stressed soil (3) protein profiling of stressed *Jatropha* leaf and (4) interaction of *Jatropha* with surrounding vegetation. In the first study, the effect of bioinoculants, different shading (%) and irrigation was studied on seed grown *Jatropha* with regards to various biomass parameters. Comparative performance of vermicompost and FYM (farmyard manure) was tested on different vegetative and generative parameters of *Jatropha* raised through cuttings. The application of bioinoculants showed a promoting effect on the growth of *Jatropha*. Among all the treatments (*Azotobacter*, Microfoss and AMF), co-inoculation of *Azotobacter* and AM fungi showed best plant growth. Plants under optimum light condition (25% shading), irrigation (thrice in a week) and without any biotic interference showed maximum growth responses and fruiting. Vermicompost enhanced biomass yield of cuttings raised *Jatropha* over FYM and control.

In the second study, effect of different level of salinity (NaCl and Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>) and alkalinity (Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> and NaHCO<sub>3</sub>) on various morphological and biochemical parameters in nursery as well as in field conditions (IIT Delhi and Bawal, Haryana, India) was studied. *Jatropha* seedlings showed higher stress under alkaline than under saline conditions.

However, after establishment, *Jatropha* performed better in terms of biomass yield on alkaline than saline soil. Inoculation of bioinoculants alleviated adverse effect of salt by nutrient acquisition, solute accumulation, reducing leaf membrane damage and also by increased production of antioxidants. High salt level decreased the glomalin related soil protein (GRSP) concentration, but the highest values of GRSP were recorded in the inoculated soils. The oil content (%) in *Jatropha* grown under 0.3 % salinity and alkalinity at Micromodel, IIT Delhi was found almost at par (30.35 and 30.75%) while it was significantly less in Bawal (28.34%), Haryana. But co-inoculation of *Azotobacter* + AMF resulted in maximum increase in higher oil content under alkalinity stress (33.55%) followed by salinity stress conditions (32.87%) at Micromodel, IIT Delhi and alkalinity stress (32.11%) at Bawal, Haryana. Thus amongst the bioinoculant treatments, combination of *Azotobacter* + AMF resulted in best growth and biochemical parameters. The synergistic effect of *Azotobacter* (nitrogen fixation, production of plant growth promoting hormones and vitamins) and AMF (increase nutrient and water uptake, plant protection from stresses and other problems) most likely are responsible for this effect. In the third study, the changes in protein profile of *Jatropha* seedlings grown under different salt stress regimes were studied using 1D SDS-PAGE and MALDI-TOF for peptide mass finger printing. Proteomic analysis showed up- and down-regulation of some proteins. Taken together these results suggested that exposure to high concentrations of salt causes up and down regulation of the defense related proteins in *Jatropha* leaves, and perhaps this could have been the reason of tolerance of plants against salt stress in the present study. In the fourth study, the impact of *Jatropha* on soil was evaluated and it was found to be positive with respect to soil flora and fauna and no adverse effects on surrounding vegetation and other economically important crops was seen. The results concluded that application of bioinoculants and appropriate management practices can enhance the yield of *Jatropha* on salt affected lands.

# CONTENTS

<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS</b>	<b>i</b>
<b>ABSTRACT</b>	<b>iii</b>
<b>CONTENTS</b>	<b>v</b>
<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>	<b>x</b>
<b>LIST OF TABLES</b>	<b>xiii</b>
<b>LIST OF PLATES</b>	<b>xv</b>
<b>ABBREVIATIONS</b>	<b>xvi</b>
<b>CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>1-9</b>
<b>1.1. Scope of work</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>1.2. Study Objectives and Research Hypotheses</b>	<b>9</b>
<b>CHAPTER 2: REVIEW OF LITERATURE</b>	<b>10-40</b>
<b>2.1. Energy crisis and <i>Jatropha curcas</i></b>	<b>10</b>
2.1.1. <i>Taxonomy and botanical description</i>	12
2.1.2. <i>Distribution and ecological requirement</i>	12
2.1.3. <i>Current progress in propagation methods and crop improvement</i>	14
2.1.4. <i>Composition of <i>J. curcas</i></i>	16
2.1.4.1. <i>Toxicity of <i>J. curcas</i> seeds</i>	17
2.1.5. <i>Potential uses of <i>J. curcas</i></i>	17
<b>2.2. Salt affected wastelands</b>	<b>18</b>
2.2.1. <i>Potential of <i>J. curcas</i> in wasteland reclamation</i>	20
<b>2.3. Effect of salt stress on plants growth and metabolism</b>	<b>21</b>
2.3.1. <i>Effects of salt on biochemical parameters</i>	22
2.3.1.1. <i>Osmolytes and osmo-protectants</i>	22
2.3.1.2. <i>Photosynthetic pigments</i>	26
2.3.1.3. <i>Antioxidants enzymes</i>	26
2.3.1.3.1. <i>Superoxide Dismutase</i>	28
2.3.1.3.2. <i>Peroxidases</i>	29
2.3.1.3.3. <i>Glutathione reductase</i>	30

2.3.1.3.4. <i>Lipid peroxidases</i>	32
<b>2.4. Proteomics of plant under salt stress</b>	<b>33</b>
<b>2.5. Role of bioinoculants in alleviation of salt stress</b>	<b>36</b>
<b>CHAPTER 3: MATERIALS AND METHODS</b>	<b>41-60</b>
<b>3.1 Procurement of plant materials and bioinoculants (N<sub>2</sub> fixers, K and phosphate solubilizers)</b>	<b>41</b>
<b>3.2. Soil biological characteristics</b>	<b>42</b>
3.2.1. <i>Microbial analysis</i>	42
3.2.2. <i>Arbuscular mycorrhizal (AM) colonization</i>	42
3.2.3. <i>Glomalin related soil protein (GRSP)</i>	44
3.2.4. <i>Earthworm counts</i>	44
<b>3.3. Soil physico-chemical characteristics</b>	<b>44</b>
3.3.1. <i>Micromodel, IIT Delhi and Bawal, Haryana</i>	44
<b>3.4. Studies on effect of bioinoculants on morphological and biochemical parameters of <i>J. curcas</i> under salt stress</b>	<b>45</b>
3.4.1. <i>Micromodel, IIT Delhi</i>	45
3.4.2. <i>Plant growth parameters and biochemical analysis</i>	46
3.4.2.1. <i>Relative Water Content (RWC)</i>	47
3.4.2.2. <i>Electrolyte leakage</i>	47
3.4.2.3. <i>Photosynthetic Pigment</i>	48
3.4.2.4. <i>Soluble protein content</i>	48
3.4.2.5. <i>Proline content</i>	49
3.4.2.6. <i>Total soluble sugar estimation</i>	49
3.4.3. <i>Antioxidants analysis</i>	49
3.4.3.1. <i>Malondialdehyde or Lipid peroxidation content</i>	50
3.4.3.2. <i>Superoxide dismutase activity</i>	51
3.4.3.3. <i>Peroxidase (POD) activity</i>	51
3.4.3.5. <i>Ascorbate peroxidase (APX) activity</i>	52

3.4.3.6. <i>Glutathione reductase (GR) activity</i>	52
3.4.4. Electron Microscopic analysis	53
<b>3.5. Protein profiling and peptide mass fingerprinting of salt stress grown <i>Jatropha</i></b>	<b>54</b>
3.5.1. <i>Electrophoresis</i>	54
3.5.2. <i>Image and data analysis</i>	55
3.5.3. <i>In-gel digestion and MALDI-TOF</i>	56
<b>3.6. Vegetation study and Shannon diversity index</b>	<b>57</b>
<b>3.7. Oil extraction and physical properties of <i>J. curcas</i> seeds</b>	<b>59</b>
<b>Statistical analysis</b>	<b>60</b>
<b>CHAPTER 4: RESULTS AND DISCUSSION</b>	<b>61-183</b>
<b>4.1. Bioinoculants and agronomic practices on biomass, seed yield and oil contents of <i>J. curcas</i>.</b>	<b>61</b>
4.1.1. <i>Role of bioinoculants in improving yield of <i>J. curcas</i></i>	61
4.1.2. <i>Agronomical practices</i>	68
4.1.2.1. <i>Effect of organic manure (farmyard and vermi-compost) on vegetative and generative characteristics of <i>J. curcas</i></i>	68
4.1.2.2. <i>Effect of time of plantation, irrigation and shading (%)</i>	71
4.1.2.3. <i>Frequency of watering</i>	72
4.1.2.4. <i>Effect of Shading on vegetative growth and fruiting of <i>J. curcas</i></i>	73
<b>4.2. Role of bioinoculants in alleviation of salt stress in <i>J. curcas</i></b>	<b>75</b>
4.2.1. Experimental Site, Micromodel, IIT Delhi	75
4.2.1.1. <i>Analysis Under Nursery conditions</i>	75
4.2.1.2. <i>Soil Analysis (Role of salinity and alkalinity on soil EC, pH and other parameters)</i>	75
4.2.1.3. <i>Seed germination</i>	76
4.2.1.4. <i>Growth parameters of <i>J. curcas</i> (45, 90 and 180 DAP)</i>	81
4.2.1.4.1. <i>Plant survival</i>	81
4.2.1.4.2. <i>Shoot height and shoot diameter</i>	85

4.2.1.4.3. <i>Leaf Relative Water Content (LRWC)</i>	91
4.2.1.5. <i>Biochemical parameters</i>	96
4.2.1.5.1. <i>Electrolyte leakage</i>	96
4.2.1.5.2. <i>MDA content or lipid peroxidation</i>	100
4.2.1.5.3. <i>Proline</i>	103
4.2.1.5.4. <i>Total soluble protein</i>	106
4.2.1.5.5. <i>Sugars</i>	110
4.2.1.5.6. <i>Photosynthetic pigments</i>	114
4.2.1.6. <i>Microscopic analysis</i>	123
4.2.1.7. <i>Salt stress effect on roots of J. curcas</i>	124
4.2.1.8. <i>Glomalin (GRSP or BRSP) content in soil under salt stress</i>	124
4.2.2. <i>Field Study, Micromodel, IIT Delhi.</i>	126
4.2.2.1. <i>Growth parameters and biochemical analysis</i>	127
4.2.3. <i>Alkaline Field Site (Bawal, Haryana)</i>	137
4.2.3.1. <i>Role of bioinoculants on changes in soil</i>	137
4.2.3.2. <i>Growth parameters (Vegetative and Generative)</i>	138
4.2.3.3. <i>Biochemical analysis</i>	140
4.2.3.4. <i>Comparison of oil content (Micromodel, IIT Delhi and Bawal, Haryana)</i>	145
4.2.4. <i>Comparative analysis of antioxidants production of transplanted J. curcas under salt stress (Micromodel, IIT Delhi and Bawal, Haryana)</i>	148
4.2.4.1. <i>Superoxide dismutase (SOD, EC 1.15.1.1)</i>	149
4.2.4.2. <i>Peroxidase (POD, EC 1.111.1.7)</i>	151
4.2.4.3. <i>Ascorbate peroxidase (APX, EC 1.11.1.11)</i>	153
4.2.4.4. <i>Glutathione reductase (GR, EC 1.6.4.2)</i>	154
4.2.5. <i>Effect of different salts on AM fungal colonization and Azotobacter counts</i>	160

<b>4.3. Identify proteins produced in salt tolerant and salt susceptible cultivars of <i>J. curcas</i></b>	<b>169</b>
4.3.1. <i>Optimization of protocol for extraction of protein from leaf of J. curcas</i>	170
4.3.2. <i>1-Dimensional Electrophoresis and protein identification</i>	171
4.2.3. <i>Identification of salt-responsive protein in J. curcas leaf</i>	173
<b>4.4. Interaction of <i>J. curcas</i> plantation with ecosystem.</b>	<b>179</b>
4.4.1. <i>Soil physico-chemistry and biology</i>	180
4.4.2. <i>Natural vegetation in J. curcas plantation and intercropping with medicinal plants</i>	181
4.4.3. <i>Interaction of J. curcas plantation with agro-ecosystem</i>	183
<b>CHAPTER 5: SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS</b>	<b>184-197</b>
<b>REFERENCES</b>	<b>198-220</b>
<b>CURRICULUM VITAE</b>	<b>221-223</b>