

**STUDIES ON LIQUID BIOFERTILIZER (*AZOTOBACTER*) AND  
BIOTERMITICIDES**

**by**

**MONICA VERMA**

**CENTER FOR RURAL DEVELOPMENT AND TECHNOLOGY**

*Submitted*

*In the fulfillment of the requirement for the degree of*

**DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY**

*to the*



**INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY DELHI  
HAUZ KHAS, NEW DELHI-110016**

**DECEMBER 2011**

## *ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS*

Foremost, thanks to God the Divine who continues to make the impossible possible. There are a number of people without whom this thesis might not have been written, and to whom I am greatly indebted. It is a great pleasure to thank all of them who made this thesis possible.

I take this opportunity to express my profound sense of reverence and sincere gratitude to my supervisor Prof. Satyawati Sharma for her motherly affection, motivation, enthusiasm, constructive criticism, support. Her immense knowledge and valuable guidance helped me in all the time of research and writing of this thesis. Her words of encouragement and unconditional dedication to science will always be cherished. I am also extremely grateful to Prof. Rajendra Prasad, my supervisor for his guidance, constant encouragement, commitment and his important support throughout this work.

I would like to extend my gratitude to the honorable members of my research committee Prof. Santosh Satya (Chairperson), Prof. S. N. Naik (Internal expert), Prof. S. K. Khare (External expert) for their helpful comments and suggestions to improve the thesis. I am also grateful to faculty members, Prof. V. K. Vijay, Dr. V. M. Chariar, Dr. Anushree Malik for their support and providing the available facilities to accomplish this work. I am also thankful to Prof. Padma Vasudevan for her help in the research work and providing her premises for termite control experiments. I would also like to mention the help, support and assistance provided by members of staff at CRDT, IIT Delhi.

I am especially grateful to Dr. P. K. Patanjali, Institute of Pesticide Formulation and Technology, Gurgaon for his genuine support, guidance and facilities to undertake the formulation preparation work as and when required.

I would like to thank all my seniors, juniors, colleagues and friends, Dr. Kaviraj Pradhan, Dr. Ashwani Kumar, Dr. Pervaiz Ahmed, Pratibha Gupta, Kalpana Arora, Abhishek Sharma, Aditi Gupta, Sharad Verma, Dr. Preeti Shukla, Dr. Jyoti Dixit, Pratibha Dhankar, Dr. Rama Chandra Pradhan, Dr. Madhumita and Ram Kumar for their co-operation and help. Perhaps, thanks is too small a token for Monika who always there for me through all the good and bad moments during the thesis tenure, her selfless support and her words of encouragement always uplift my spirits.

Indeed the words at my command are inadequate to express my deep and emotional feelings towards my family. The endless love, affection, blessings, inspiration and sacrifices by my parents made me competent enough to endure the setbacks. The patient listening and encouraging words of my sister always cheered me up. The support and love of my mother-in-law deserves a special mention as she did the most important task of taking care of my son during the course of the study. I owe my loving thanks to my husband and my little son without them it would have been impossible for me to finish this work. I am always indebted to your patience, love, encouragement, continuous support and sacrifices.

I would also like to thank Dr. S. R. Kushwaha, IARI for his help in statistical analysis of the data.

I gratefully acknowledge the financial support provided to me by Indian Institute of Technology Delhi.

Any omission of names does not mean lack of gratitude. Thanks to all those who directly or indirectly contributed in completion of the study.

(Monica Verma)

## ***ABSTRACT***

The exploration of natural solution to decipher the seen and unforeseen consequences of chemical based agriculture and pest protection is the need of the hour. Termites are the severe pests for timber and agricultural crops. The use of chemical termiticides is the only popular method of control. But the drawbacks associated with them are the reasons to look for the substitutes. The search for botanical termiticide as an alternative is important. The other component of bio based/organic agriculture is the biofertilizer, employing microorganisms for providing nutrient supply to the plants. The conventional biofertilizers used so far have some shortcomings. The present research therefore envisages the explication of strategies and products to overcome these problems.

Botanical and cyst based liquid formulations have been developed and tested at lab and field. The study was started with screening the botanicals for termite control. The active components were extracted using different solvents, testing of the active components and their combinations at lab and field and formulating the selected ones as emulsifiable concentrates (EC) and microemulsion (ME). *Pongamia pinnata*, *Nicotiana tabacum*, *Curcuma longa*, *Jatropha curcas*, *Madhuca indica*, *Datura alba* and *Ricinus communis* were found to have excellent termiticidal properties. The combination of hexane extract of *C. longa* and karanjin was found to be an outstanding combination with exceptional termiticidal activity giving 100 % mortality in 12 h at very low concentration and dose. The EC {20, 30, 40 EC of karanja oil; 10 and 20 EC of castor oil; 10 EC of karanja+castor oil (1:1) and 10 EC of karanja+castor oil (1:4)} and 5ME formulations were developed. The surfactant combination anionic (PEA07) and non ionic (FB), in the ratio

4:3 and 5:2 were selected for EC formulation. 10 (EC karanja + castor oil, 1:1) gave 100 % mortality in 24 h in lab and 6.83 % weight loss in field. In case of 5ME, the surfactants NP20EO (Nonylphenol20ethoxylates, nonionic) and 371 N (nonionic + anionic) were used and three formulations (C1, C3 and C5) with surfactant ratios 0:20, 1:1 and 5:15 selected. C5 was lastly selected on the basis of efficiency and efficacy. 100 % mortality was achieved by C5 within 12 h in lab results and only 6.7 % weight loss of wood in field experiments as compared to control (79.8 %).

Regarding, the development of cyst based *Azotobacter* formulations; native *Azotobacter* was isolated, cultured and purified. It was given stress conditions by adding additives, butanol and calcium carbonate and different temperature. The conditions for maximum cyst formation were selected and the cyst based formulations were further subjected to shelf life studies, dessication and temperature tolerance tests. The salt tolerance of the native strain was also tested and it was able to tolerant upto 4 % of salt. This salt tolerant strain was again subjected to the stress conditions and vegetative cells were converted to cysts. The formulations with maximum cyst formations were selected and undergone the shelf life studies. The cyst count was observed to be  $10^9$  in all the cases and shelf life was maintained to 20 months. The formulation was able to tolerate 12 days of dessication conditions and upto 45 °C of temperature. The longevity of cyst based formulations was also compared with carrier based formulations. The shelf life of carrier based formulation was only 3 months at room temperature and it was not able to resist the dessication conditions for even two days and unable to tolerate 30 °C of temperature. The field testing of the formulations showed positive effects on growth, yield and biochemical parameters on *Zea mays* (maize) crop and minimized the effect of salinity in salt stressed soil condition.

# **CONTENTS**

<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS</b>	<b>i</b>
<b>ABSTRACT</b>	<b>iii</b>
<b>CONTENTS</b>	<b>v</b>
<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>	<b>xii</b>
<b>LIST OF TABLES</b>	<b>xvi</b>
<b>LIST OF PLATES</b>	<b>xx</b>
<b>ABBREVIATIONS</b>	<b>xxi</b>
<b>CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>1-17</b>
<b>1.1. Background</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>1.2. Chemical Pesticides</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>1.3. Biopesticides</b>	<b>5-7</b>
1.3.1. Botanical pesticides for termite control	<b>7</b>
<b>1.4. Chemical Fertilizers</b>	<b>9</b>
<b>1.5. Biofertilizers</b>	<b>10</b>
<b>1.6. Liquid Biofertilizer</b>	<b>13</b>
<b>1.7. <i>Azotobacter</i></b>	<b>14</b>
<b>1.8. Scope of the Present Work</b>	<b>15</b>
<b>1.9. Objectives</b>	<b>17</b>
<b>CHAPTER 2: REVIEW OF LITERATURE</b>	<b>18-79</b>
<b>2.1. Biopesticides</b>	<b>18</b>
2.1.1. Microbial Pesticides	<b>18</b>
2.1.2. Botanical Pesticides	<b>20</b>
<b>2.2. Termites</b>	<b>22</b>

2.2.1.	Economic Losses by Termites	23
2.2.2.	Biology of Termites	24
2.2.3.	Classification of Termites	26
<b>2.3.</b>	<b>Termite Control</b>	<b>28</b>
2.3.1.	Physical	30
2.3.2.	Chemical	32
2.3.3.	Biological	35
2.3.3.1.	<i>Botanicals</i>	35
2.3.3.2.	<i>Termite Control by Entomopathogenic Nematode</i>	51
2.3.3.3.	<i>Termite Control by Bacteria</i>	52
2.3.3.4.	<i>Termite Control by Fungi</i>	53
2.3.4.	Bait Technology	54
2.3.5.	Wood Treatment	56
2.3.5.1.	<i>Chemical</i>	56
2.3.5.2.	<i>Non Chemical</i>	57
2.3.6.	Relationship between Chemical Structure and Antitermitic Activity of Active Component	57
<b>2.4.</b>	<b>Formulations</b>	<b>59</b>
2.4.1.	Emusifiable Concentrates	59
2.4.2.	Microemulsion	60
<b>2.5.</b>	<b>Biofertilizers</b>	<b>62</b>
2.5.1.	Phosphate Solubilizers	63
2.5.2.	Nitrogen Fixers	66
<b>2.6.</b>	<b><i>Azotobacter</i></b>	<b>69</b>
<b>2.7.</b>	<b>Liquid Biofertilizer Formulations</b>	<b>72</b>

2.7.1.	Effect of Liquid Biofertilizers on Crop Yield	73
<b>2.8.</b>	<b>Maize</b>	<b>74</b>
2.8.1.	Cultivation and Biology	74
2.8.2.	Effect of Biofertilizers on Maize Yield	77
<b>CHAPTER 3:</b>	<b>MATERIALS AND METHODS</b>	<b>80-95</b>
<b>3.1.</b>	<b>Termite Biocontrol Utilizing Botanicals</b>	<b>80</b>
3.1.1.	Collection and Maintenance of Termites in Lab and Field	80
3.1.2.	Selection and Collection of Plants	81
3.1.3.	Preparation of Aqueous and Methanolic Extracts	81
3.1.4.	Termiticidal Test (No Choice Bioassay)	83
3.1.5.	Extraction of Active Components	83
3.1.6.	Characterization of Active Components	84
3.1.6.1.	<i>Thin Layer Chromatography (TLC)</i>	84
3.1.6.2.	<i>Melting Point determination</i>	84
3.1.6.3.	<i>Nuclear Magnetic Resonance (NMR)</i>	84
3.1.6.4.	<i>High Performance Liquid Chromatography (HPLC)</i>	84
3.1.6.5.	<i>Gas Chromatography (GC)</i>	85
3.1.6.6.	<i>Gas Chromatography Mass spectrometry (GC- MS)</i>	85
3.1.7.	Field Level Experiments	86
3.1.7.1.	<i>Surface Treatment Method for Termite Control</i>	86
3.1.7.2.	<i>Soil Treatment Method for Termite Control</i>	86
<b>3.2.</b>	<b>Development of Termiticidal Formulations Emulsifiable Concentrate (EC) and Microemulsion (ME)</b>	<b>87</b>
3.2.1.	Preparation, Stability and Shelf Life Testing of Emulsifiable Concentrate	87
3.2.2.	Preparation, Stability and Shelf Life Testing of Microemulsion	88

3.2.2.1.	<i>Particle Size Analysis</i>	88
<b>3.3.</b>	<b>Development of Cyst Based Liquid <i>Azotobacter</i> Biofertilizer</b>	<b>89</b>
3.3.1.	Isolation, Purification and Culturing of Native <i>Azotobacter</i>	89
3.3.2.	SEM Analysis	89
3.3.3.	Preparation of Broth Culture	90
3.3.4.	Preparation of Carrier Based (CB) <i>Azotobacter</i> Biofertilizer	90
3.3.5.	Preparation of Cyst Based <i>Azotobacter</i> Biofertilizer	91
3.3.5.1.	<i>Encystment induction in Azotobacter by adding elicitors and temperature</i>	91
3.3.5.2.	<i>Microscopic studies</i>	91
3.3.5.3.	<i>Determination of growth and encystment</i>	91
3.3.5.4.	<i>Shelf life studies of CB and liquid Azotobacter</i>	92
3.3.5.5.	<i>Dessication tolerance of liquid Azotobacter</i>	92
3.3.5.6.	<i>Temperature tolerance of liquid Azotobacter</i>	93
3.3.6.	Salt tolerance of native <i>Azotobacter</i>	93
3.3.7.	Preparation of salt tolerant cyst based <i>Azotobacter</i> biofertilizer	93
3.3.8.	Field testing of liquid <i>Azotobacter</i> formulations (Pot experiments)	93
3.3.8.1.	<i>Cultivation of Zea mays (maize)</i>	93
3.3.8.2.	<i>Plant growth studies</i>	94
3.3.8.3.	<i>Biochemical analysis</i>	95
3.3.8.4.	<i>Soil microbial analysis</i>	95
<b>CHAPTER 4:</b>	<b>RESULTS</b>	<b>96-185</b>
<b>4.1</b>	<b>Termite Biocontrol Utilizing Botanicals</b>	<b>96</b>
4.1.1.	Laboratory screening of botanicals	96
4.1.2.	Field screening of botanicals	101
4.1.3.	Selection of botanicals for crude/ pure active component extraction	108

4.1.4.	Percent yield of active components	108
4.1.5.	Termiticidal tests (Laboratory bioassay of crude/pure active components)	110
4.1.6.	Field testing of crude/pure active components against termites	128
4.1.7.	Selection of active components for characterization and formulation development	129
4.1.8.	Characterization of active components	129
4.1.9.	Laboratory and field testing of combination of final selected botanicals against termites	138
<b>4.2.</b>	<b>Development of termiticidal formulations (EC and ME)</b>	<b>148</b>
4.2.1.	Preparation and characterization of EC formulations	149
4.2.1.1.	<i>Shelf life of EC formulations</i>	157
4.2.2.	Preparation and characterization of 5 ME formulation	158
4.2.2.1.	<i>Shelf life of 5 ME formulation</i>	160
<b>4.3.</b>	<b>Bioefficacy evaluation of the formulations EC and ME under laboratory and field conditions</b>	<b>161</b>
<b>4.4.</b>	<b>Development of cyst based liquid <i>Azotobacter</i> biofertilizer</b>	<b>163</b>
4.4.1.	Isolation, purification and culturing of native <i>Azotobacter</i>	163
4.4.2.	Cyst induction in native <i>Azotobacter</i> by adding elicitors and temperature	164
4.4.3.	Shelf life of liquid <i>Azotobacter</i> formulation and carrier based <i>Azotobacter</i> biofertilizer	166
4.4.4.	Desiccation tolerance of cyst based <i>Azotobacter</i> formulations	168
4.4.5.	Temperature tolerance of cyst based <i>Azotobacter</i> formulations	168
<b>4.5.</b>	<b>Salt tolerance of native <i>Azotobacter</i></b>	<b>171</b>
<b>4.6.</b>	<b>Development of salt tolerant liquid <i>Azotobacter</i></b>	<b>171</b>
4.6.1.	Cyst induction in salt tolerant native <i>Azotobacter</i>	171
4.6.2.	Shelf life of salt tolerant liquid <i>Azotobacter</i> formulation and carrier based <i>Azotobacter</i> biofertilizer	173

4.6.3.	Desiccation tolerance of salt tolerant cyst based <i>Azotobacter</i> formulations	174
4.6.4.	Temperature tolerance of salt tolerant cyst based <i>Azotobacter</i> formulations	174
<b>4.7.</b>	<b>Field testing of liquid <i>Azotobacter</i></b>	178
4.7.1.	Effect of liquid <i>Azotobacter</i> on <i>Zea mays</i> (Maize) crop	178
<b>4.8.</b>	<b>Field testing of salt tolerant liquid <i>Azotobacter</i></b>	180
4.8.1.	Effect of salt tolerant liquid <i>Azotobacter</i> growth parameters and yield characters of <i>Zea mays</i> (Maize) crop	180
<b>4.9.</b>	<b>Effect of liquid <i>Azotobacter</i> formulations on microbial populations of saline and non-saline experimental soil</b>	183
<b>CHAPTER 5: DISCUSSIONS</b>		<b>186-220</b>
<b>5.1</b>	<b>Termite biocontrol utilizing botanicals</b>	186
5.1.1.	Laboratory and field testing of botanicals	186
5.1.2.	Characterization of active components of selected botanical	204
5.1.3.	Laboratory and field testing of combination of final selected botanicals against termites	205
<b>5.2</b>	<b>Development of termiticidal formulations (EC and ME)</b>	207
5.2.1.	Preparation and characterization of EC formulations	207
<b>5.3.</b>	<b>Bioefficacy evaluation of the formulations EC and ME under laboratory and field conditions</b>	208
<b>5.4.</b>	<b>Development of cyst based liquid <i>Azotobacter</i> biofertilizer</b>	210
5.4.1.	Isolation, purification and culturing of native <i>Azotobacter</i>	210
5.4.2.	Cyst induction in native <i>Azotobacter</i> by adding elicitors and temperature	211
5.4.3.	Shelf life of liquid <i>Azotobacter</i> formulation and carrier based <i>Azotobacter</i> biofertilizer	213
5.4.4.	Desiccation tolerance of cyst based <i>Azotobacter</i> formulations	215

5.4.5.	Temperature tolerance of cyst based <i>Azotobacter</i> formulations	215
<b>5.5.</b>	<b>Salt tolerance of native <i>Azotobacter</i></b>	<b>216</b>
<b>5.6.</b>	<b>Field testing of liquid <i>Azotobacter</i></b>	<b>217</b>
<b>5.7.</b>	<b>Field testing of salt tolerant liquid <i>Azotobacter</i></b>	<b>219</b>
<b>5.8.</b>	<b>Effect of liquid <i>Azotobacter</i> formulations on microbial populations of saline and non-saline experimental soil</b>	<b>219</b>
<b>CHAPTER 6: SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS</b>		<b>221-233</b>
<b>REFERENCES</b>		<b>234-272</b>
<b>CURRICULUM VITAE</b>		<b>273-275</b>