

**DEVELOPMENT OF A CODING SYSTEM FOR TOUCH: AN ANALYSIS OF  
EARLY MOTHER-CHILD INTERACTION**

by

**PRIYANKA AGRAWAL**

Department of Humanities and Social Sciences

Submitted

in fulfilment of the requirements of the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

to the



**Indian Institute of Technology Delhi**

**December 2010**

## **CERTIFICATE**

This is to certify that the thesis entitled, “**Development of a Coding System for Touch: An Analysis of Early Mother-Child Interaction**”, being submitted by Ms Priyanka Agrawal for the award of the degree of **Doctor of Philosophy**, to the **Indian Institute of Technology, Delhi** is a record of original bona-fide research carried out by her under my guidance and supervision. I am satisfied that the thesis presented by Ms Priyanka Agrawal is worthy of consideration for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy.

The results of this thesis have not been submitted to any other University or Institute for award of degree or diploma.

**Prof Rukmini Bhaya Nair**

Department of Humanities and Social Sciences

Indian Institute of Technology, Delhi, India

Dated: 06 December 2010

New Delhi

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The debt of learning is as hard to repay as it is priceless. Firstly I offer my gratitude to the Almighty for making it possible for me to walk this extra mile in the path/journey of my academic life.

I am indebted to my supervisor, Prof Rukmini Bhaya Nair who has inculcated in me a love for research. I express deep gratitude towards her for ideas, unfailing support, continuous encouragement and inspiring commitment in helping me to complete this research work. Those numerous discussions and invaluable suggestions throughout this thesis helped me gain a deep insight into the world of research.

I am very thankful to Dr Nandita Chaudhary (Lady Irwin College, Delhi University) and Dr Heidi Keller (Osnabruck University, Germany) for sharing their data with me and their important inputs. This research wouldn't have been possible without their generosity.

I would like to express my deep gratitude to Dr Purnima Singh for her kindness and her always helpful insights. I would like to offer my special thanks to Dr P Vigneswara Ilavarasan for his untiring help with statistical analysis. Both of them have also read parts of this thesis and I have benefitted from their valuable suggestions. I am also grateful to Prof Ambuj Sagar and Dr Sujoy Chakravarty for their thoughtful questions and their insightful comments. Thanks are also extended to Dr C A Tomy, Prof Ravinder Kaur, and Dr Pritha Chandra for helping me in various ways.

The office staff - Bala, Sripal, Dharamvir, Jaikishan, Subhash, and Puneet - at the Department as well as the hostel staff - Bharti and Sanjeev – are also owed a great deal of gratitude. I would like to acknowledge the help of IITD which gave me an Institute fellowship and a fellowship to present a paper outside India. The DST, CSIR, and INSA must also be thanked for their financial support for visits outside India.

I also thank Prof Hillary Elbeinien for her support at UC Berkeley. I also thank Sandra Weiss (UC, San Francisco) and Prof Dale Stack (Concordia University) for mailing me their papers and other material. I also thank Dr Erika Rosenberg, Oakland, USA for training me in FACS and Dr Harriet Oster, New York State University, for training me in Baby FACS at Vancouver, Canada. I am hugely indebted to Dr Joscha Kaertner as he helped me solve my never ending list of doubts with regard to data analysis and standardization of the coding system presented in my thesis. I also thank his team, especially John Boerke for his important feedback and for mailing me his papers and Monika Abels for helping with ideas on analysing my data. I also thank Marith for giving me an opportunity to work in her project and funding my visit to Sweden. I also thank Dr Amit Bhaya, Federal University, Rio, Brazil for many evenings spent

discussing my statistical analysis and Hiten Bhaya for inspiring me in various ways. I also thank Dr Rajesh Kana (University of Alabama) for giving me important feedback on my work.

I am grateful to Dr Manas Mandal, Defence Institute of Psychological Research, for numerous research discussions and valuable comments. I express my gratitude to Dr Isha Dewan, ISI-Delhi as she suggested conducting a workshop to validate the coding system researched and presented in this thesis - the 'Touch and Co-occurring Behaviour Coding System'. I thank all the Touch and Co-occurring Behaviour Coding system workshop participants - Dimple, Savita, Deepali, Neha, Vidya, Rama-Krishna, Swati, Shruti, Bhavana, Sonika, Reshu and Sheetal for helping me with reliability testing. I am indebted to my friends around the world - Marith, Mijke, Monika, Aiwa, Jennifer, and Alexandra who have helped me during my trips abroad.

I thank my mother for her unconditional love and support. Her understanding nature and willingness to be open to new ideas and experiences makes her my greatest friend and mentor. Words cannot adequately express what my mother means to me. My father has been the greatest advisor in my life. He has always attended to my problems patiently and spent infinite time and effort to tell me how to solve these problems. I also thank my brother and sister for their support and company. My whiz kid brother has been helping me with computer issues since the time he was a little child. My sister's constructive criticism has always encouraged me to strive for more. She has been my best friend and mentor.

The wonderful encouragement and understanding of friends has made my stay in IITD a memorable experience. Five friends - Abhishek, Saurabh, Dipti, Makarand, and Rupali have gone to great lengths to help me. I thank my friend Tuhina for reviewing my thesis and helping me in other ways. I also thank my friend Sugandh for designing the cover of the coding system manual as well as the certificate given to coding system workshop participants. My friends Samata and Satarupa also deserve special mention for their support. My other friends who should be thanked profusely are: Asha, Khirod, Mansi, Smruti, Kamayani, Mamta, Sanchita, Manisha, Swikar, Neha, Dharti, Kshitija, Rama-Krishna, and Burhan who were a constant source of strength for me as we shared each other's sorrows, joys, and triumphs over the years. I also thank Kusum, Geeta, Swati, Shubhra, Kadambri, Snigdha, Supriya, Smita, Bhavana, Bhawana, Garima, Jyotsana, Ghazala, Aarti, Hashmi, Shruti, Sachin, Anuj, Anchal, Usha, Gaurav Bhaiya, Ajay Mama, Gudiya Mausii, Dolly Mausii, and Shashikant Mama.

It is difficult to name each and everyone who helped me during this thesis. Therefore, I thank all who have facilitated my thesis.

Ms Priyanka Agrawal  
December 2010, New Delhi

## ABSTRACT

Touch is the largest sense organ and fully developed at birth unlike other sense organs. The aim of the current research was to trace the developmental trajectory of touch behaviour in mother-child dyadic interactions from infancy to toddlerhood. However, an extensive review of literature indicated that touch does not develop in isolation. Therefore, the development of co-occurring nonverbal behaviours such as facial direction, facial expression also had to be taken into account. Since the existing coding systems couldn't fulfil the current research goals, a new coding system - 'touch and co-occurring behaviour coding system' (TCBC) - was developed that enables simultaneous measurement of touch behaviour and co-occurring behaviours. The system was then used to code and analyze a data-set consisting of interactions of 20 mother-child dyads which were videotaped when the children were three months old and then when they were nineteen months old.

The methodology for developing the present coding system included reviewing literature on existing methodologies for developing a coding system, existing coding systems, observing videotaped data-set of mother-child interactions and using an English dictionary to reach a final list of behavioural categories. The system was then standardized by testing for its validity and reliability. For testing validity - face, content, and performance criterion validity were measured. For reliability, inter-coder, expert-coder, and intra-coder reliability were measured. The coders for reliability and validity consisted of faculty and students from fields of psychology and child development. The new coding system includes touch behaviour characteristics such as type, interactant, lateralization; nonverbal behaviours such as facial expression, posture, and interpersonal distance; and verbal behaviours such as

interactant sounds. The limitations of the current research have also been identified and directions for future research have been suggested.

The original videotaped data was coded using the newly developed coding system and then analysed using statistical techniques such as mixed ANOVAs, repeated measure ANOVAs, paired t-tests, and independent t-tests. The data-set was collected as part of a project spanning universities of Delhi and Osnabrueck (Keller Borke, Chaudhary, Lamm, & Kleis, 2010; Kaertner et al., 2008). Touch characteristics such as type and interactant; child characteristics such as age, gender, and birth order; maternal characteristics such as age, education, and occupation; and nonverbal behaviours such as facial expression, facial direction, and interpersonal distance, were then studied for their impact on frequency of touch using the data-set. The results of this analysis indicate that child age, maternal education, touch interactant, and touch type affect touch frequency in mother-child dyadic interactions studied. However, child birth order, child gender, maternal age, and maternal occupation do not influence touch frequency. Based on the analysis, different touch types can also be profiled based on their linkages with child, maternal, and other touch behaviour characteristics. Further, nonverbal behaviours such as facial direction and facial expression indicate an effect on touch frequency while interpersonal distance does not affect touch frequency in mother-child interactions. These findings thus also establish the association between touch and nonverbal behaviours.

The current research offers a reliable and valid instrument for simultaneous measurement of touch behaviour and co-occurring behaviours. Not undermining the child's active role in the dyadic interactions, the new coding system also takes the child's perspective into account. In addition, a new system of labelling touch behaviour has been presented in this research which can be tested empirically in

future. The coding system is extendable to the study of other dyadic interactions such as stranger interactions, same gender interactions, romantic interactions etc. which can also be compared cross-culturally. The literature suggests that the current research is a first-time study of the development of touch behaviour and its association with other nonverbal behaviours using a longitudinal design that also includes a child sample older than infants or from the non-western world, hence contributing towards filling the gap in literature relating to the post-infancy development of touch. Child development researchers, child psychologists, and paediatricians can also use this research to understand the development of touch behaviour during the early years of life behaviour, to facilitate the optimal development of touch in the child.

*Keywords:* child development, coding system, nonverbal behaviour, touch behaviour.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION .....</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>1.1 STUDIES CONDUCTED ON THE SIGNIFICANCE OF TOUCH IN CHILD DEVELOPMENT .....</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>1.2 MEASURES OF TOUCH BEHAVIOUR.....</b>	<b>10</b>
<b>1.3 POSSIBLE FACTORS AFFECTING MOTHER-CHILD TOUCH INTERACTION .....</b>	<b>11</b>
<i>Figure 1.1. An Indian Mother-child Dyad Showing the Change in Mother-Child Touch Interaction across Child Age.....</i>	<i>17</i>
<i>Figure 1.2. The first infant to receive Kangaroo Care at the University of Florida.....</i>	<i>23</i>
<i>Figure 1.3. The Indian (Left) and Brazilian (Right) Mother-Child Dyads Engaging in Skin-to-Skin Touch.....</i>	<i>23</i>
<b>1.4 NONVERBAL BEHAVIOURS DURING THE ‘TOUCH WINDOW’ .....</b>	<b>24</b>
<b>1.5 VERBAL BEHAVIOURS DURING THE ‘TOUCH WINDOW’ .....</b>	<b>29</b>
<b>CHAPTER 2: METHOD</b>	
<b>2.1 PARTICIPANT CHARACTERISTICS .....</b>	<b>44</b>
<b>2.2 SAMPLING PROCEDURES .....</b>	<b>45</b>
<b>2.3 SAMPLE SIZE .....</b>	<b>46</b>
<b>2.4 MEASURES.....</b>	<b>46</b>
<b>2.5 RESEARCH DESIGN.....</b>	<b>48</b>
<i>Figure 2.1.a. An Indian Mother-Child Dyad Engaging in Free Play.....</i>	<i>53</i>
<i>Figure 2.1.b. Coding System Training in Progress during the Workshop in the Department’s Language Laboratory .....</i>	<i>53</i>
<i>Figure 2.2.a. One of the Invited Guest Lectures during the Workshop in the Department’s Committee Room .....</i>	<i>54</i>
<i>Figure 2.2.b. An Indian Mother-Child Interaction.....</i>	<i>61</i>
<b>CHAPTER 3: RESULTS</b>	
<b>3.1 TOUCH AND CO-OCCURRING BEHAVIOUR CODING (TCBC) SYSTEM .....</b>	<b>62</b>
<b>3.2 STATISTICS AND DATA ANALYSIS PERTAINING TO THE SECOND AND THIRD OBJECTIVES .....</b>	<b>69</b>
<i>Figure 3.1. Procedure of statistical analysis of the data .....</i>	<i>72</i>
<b>3.3 RESULTS PERTAINING TO THE EFFECTS OF VARIOUS FACTORS ON TOUCH BEHAVIOUR .....</b>	<b>72</b>
<i>Figure 3.2. Median Frequency of Touch across Toucher .....</i>	<i>74</i>
<i>Figure 3.3. Median Frequency of Touch across Child Age .....</i>	<i>75</i>
<i>Figure 3.4. Median Frequency of Touch across Interaction of Child age and Toucher .....</i>	<i>76</i>
Table 3.1. <i>Toucher X Child Age Mixed ANOVA for Touch Frequency.....</i>	<i>77</i>
Table 3.2. <i>Touch Frequency Scores for Touchers .....</i>	<i>77</i>
<i>Figure 3.6. Median Duration of Touch across Child Age.....</i>	<i>79</i>
<i>Figure 3.7. Median Duration of Touch across Interaction of Toucher and Child Age.....</i>	<i>80</i>
Table 3.3. <i>Toucher X Child Age Mixed ANOVA for Touch Duration.....</i>	<i>81</i>
Table 3.4. <i>Touch Duration Scores for Touchers .....</i>	<i>81</i>
<i>Figure 3.8. Median Frequency of Maternal Touch across Touch Types .....</i>	<i>83</i>
<i>Figure 3.9. Median Frequency of Maternal Touch across Interaction of Touch Types and Child Age .....</i>	<i>85</i>
Table 3.5. <i>Touch Types X Child Age Mixed ANOVA for Touch Frequency.....</i>	<i>85</i>
Table 3.6. <i>Touch Frequency Scores for Touch Types.....</i>	<i>85</i>
<i>Figure 3.10. Median Frequency of Maternal Touch across Touch Types.....</i>	<i>86</i>
Table 3.7. <i>Touch Types Repeated Measure ANOVA for Touch Frequency.....</i>	<i>87</i>
Table 3.8. <i>Touch Frequency Scores for Touch Types.....</i>	<i>87</i>
<i>Figure 3.12. Median Duration of Maternal Touch across Touch Types .....</i>	<i>89</i>
Table 3.9. <i>Touch Types X Child Age Mixed ANOVA for Touch Duration .....</i>	<i>90</i>
Table 3.10. <i>Touch Duration Scores for Touch Types .....</i>	<i>90</i>
<i>Figure 3.13. Median Duration of Maternal Touch across Touch Types .....</i>	<i>91</i>

Table 3.11. <i>Touch Types Repeated Measure ANOVA for Touch Duration</i> .....	92
Table 3.12. <i>Touch Duration Scores for Touch Types</i> .....	92
Table 3.13. <i>Touch Types X Child Gender Mixed ANOVA for Maternal Touch Frequency</i> .....	93
Table 3.14. <i>Touch Types X Child Birth Order Mixed ANOVA for Maternal Touch Frequency</i> .....	95
Table 3.15. <i>Touch Types X Maternal Age Mixed ANOVA for Maternal Touch Frequency</i> .....	97
Table 3.16. <i>Touch Types X Maternal Age Mixed ANOVA for Maternal Touch Frequency</i> .....	98
<i>Figure 3.14. Median Frequency of Maternal Touch across interaction of Maternal Education and Touch Types</i> .....	101
Table 3.17. <i>Touch Types X Maternal Education Mixed ANOVA for Maternal Touch Frequency</i> .....	101
Table 3.18. <i>Maternal Touch Frequency Scores for Touch Types</i> .....	102
Table 3.19. <i>Touch Types X Maternal Occupation Mixed ANOVA for Maternal Touch Frequency</i> .....	103
<i>Figure 3.15. Median Frequency of Maternal Hold across Mother-Child Touch parts</i> .....	105
Table 3.20. <i>Mother-Child Touch parts Repeated Measure ANOVA for Maternal Hold Frequency</i> .....	106
Table 3.21. <i>Maternal Hold Frequency Scores for Mother-Child Touch Parts</i> .....	106
<i>Figure 3.17. Median Frequency of Maternal Touch across Touch hapticity</i> .....	109
Table 3.22. <i>Touch Types X Mother-Child Touch Hapticity Mixed ANOVA for Maternal Touch Frequency</i> .....	109
Table 3.23. <i>Maternal Touch Frequency Scores for Mother-Child Touch Hapticity</i> .....	110
<i>Figure 3.18. Median Frequency of Maternal Hold across Mother-Child Touch Lateralization</i> .....	112
Table 3.24. <i>Mother-Child Touch Lateralization Repeated Measure ANOVA for Maternal Hold Frequency</i> .....	112
Table 3.25. <i>Maternal Hold Frequency Scores for Mother-Child Touch Lateralization</i> .....	113
<i>Figure 3.19. Median Frequency of Maternal Hold across Mother-Child Facial Direction</i> .....	115
Table 3.26. <i>Mother-Child Facial Direction Repeated Measure ANOVA for Maternal Hold Frequency</i> .....	115
Table 3.27. <i>Maternal Hold Frequency Scores for Mother-Child Facial Direction</i> .....	116
<i>Figure 3.20. Median Frequency of Maternal Hold across Mother-Child Facial Expression</i> .....	117
Table 3.28. <i>Mother-Child Facial Expression Paired t-test for Maternal Hold Frequency</i> .....	118
Table 3.29. <i>Maternal Hold Frequency Scores for Mother-Child Facial Expression</i> .....	118
<i>Figure 3.21. Median Frequency of Maternal Touch across Inter-Personal Distance</i> .....	120
Table 3.30. <i>Mother-Child Interpersonal Distance Paired t-test for Maternal Hold Frequency</i> .....	120
<b>CHAPTER 4: DISCUSSION</b> .....	<b>121</b>
<b>4.1 THE LABELLING SYSTEM OF TOUCH BEHAVIOUR</b> .....	<b>121</b>
<b>4.2 DISCUSSION OF RESULTS PERTAINING TO THE FACTORS AFFECTING TOUCH BEHAVIOUR</b> .....	<b>127</b>
<b>4.3 DISCUSSION OF RESULTS PERTAINING TO THE RECIPROCAL EFFECTS OF NONVERBAL AND VERBAL BEHAVIOURS AND TOUCH BEHAVIOURS ON EACH OTHER</b> .....	<b>148</b>
<b>4.4 CONCLUSION</b> .....	<b>152</b>
<b>4.5 LIMITATIONS OF THE CURRENT RESEARCH AND FUTURE RESEARCH DIRECTIONS</b> .....	<b>153</b>
<b>4.6 IMPORTANCE OF FINDINGS AND FUTURE RESEARCH DIRECTIONS</b> .....	<b>155</b>
<i>FIGURE 4.1. SMILE ON THE MOTHER'S FACE AFTER SHE LEARNS THAT HER 'DECLARED DEAD' NEWBORN IS ACTUALLY 'ALIVE'</i> .....	<b>156</b>
<i>FIGURE 4.2. CHILDREN LOOK AT A 3-D IMAGE OF A WHALE SHARK ON A GIANT SCREEN IN TOKYO; ON THIS SCREEN THEY CAN 'TOUCH' (STROKE, PINCH, POKE ETC.)</i> .....	<b>158</b>
<i>FIGURE 4.3. AN UNDERWATER ROBOT WITH A SENSE OF TOUCH</i> .....	<b>159</b>
<i>FIGURE 4.4. A PERSON WEARING A PHILIPS 'EMOTION JACKET' AND WATCHING A MOVIE</i> .....	<b>161</b>
<b>REFERENCES</b> .....	<b>163</b>
<b>APPENDICES</b>	
<b>BIODATA OF THE AUTHOR</b>	