

DECENTRALIZE CONTROL PARADIGMS FOR ENHANCEMENT OF POWER SYSTEM STABILITY

ABDUL SALEEM MIR



**DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING
INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY DELHI**

JULY 2020

© Indian Institute of Technology Delhi (IITD), New Delhi, 2020

DECENTRALIZE CONTROL PARADIGMS FOR ENHANCEMENT OF POWER SYSTEM STABILITY

by

ABDUL SALEEM MIR

Department of Electrical Engineering

Submitted

**in fulfilment of the requirements of the degree of Doctor of Philosophy
to the**



INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY DELHI

JULY 2020

CERTIFICATE

This is to certify that the thesis entitled “**Decentralized Control Paradigms for Enhancement of Power System Stability**” submitted by **Mr. Abdul Saleem Mir**, to Indian Institute of Technology Delhi for the award of the degree of **Doctor of Philosophy** is a bonafide record of research work carried out by him under my supervision. The contents of this thesis have not been submitted to any other institute or university for the award of any degree or diploma.

Prof. Nilanjan Senroy

Professor

Department of Electrical Engineering

Indian Institute of Technology Delhi

New Delhi-110016, India.

Date:

Acknowledgements

I would like to express my sincere gratitude to my research supervisor Prof. Nilanjan Senroy whose encouragement, motivation, supervision, guidance, enthusiasm and personal support from the preliminary to the concluding level enabled me to develop an understanding of the subject. It is an honor for me to have been working under his supervision and being part of his working group.

I acknowledge my deep sense of gratitude to the members of the doctoral research committee Prof. Sukumar Mishra, Prof. B. K. Panigrahi and Dr. Ashu Verma for their valuable suggestions and scrutiny of this work. I am also grateful to Prof. A. R. Abhyankar, Dr. Shubhendu Bhasin and Dr. Abhinav Kumar Singh for their co-operation and help in my research.

I am indebted to all my seniors, especially Dr. Ganesh P. Prajapat, Dr. Deepak Reddy, Dr. Manas Jena, Dr. Mudassir Maniar and Dr. Pratyasa Bhui, for healthy discussions and personal support. I am also grateful of my batchmates, juniors and friends, especially, Mrs. Sayari Das, Mr. Zamir Ahmad Wani, Mrs. Ayesha Firdaus, Mr. Rajiv Jha, Mr. Shivraman, Mr. Diptak Pal, Ms. Nisha, Mrs. Tabia, Mr. Debargha Brahma, Mr. Debasish Mishra, Ms. Megha, Ms. Shazia, Mr. Bharat, Mr. Pankaj and Mr. Arpan who have assisted me throughout and made my life in IIT Delhi very delightful. I would also like to acknowledge the support of my B. Tech friends especially, Mr. Saqib Yousuf, Mr. Aamir Rafiq, Mrs. Tabish Nazir Mir, Mr. Anjum Rauf, Mr. Kaisar Ahmad, Mr. Faisal and Mr. Saqib Nisar. Finally, I would like to make a special acknowledgement to my friend/brother Dr. Janibul Bashir for encouragement and support throughout.

Last but not the least, I owe my deepest gratitude to my parents, siblings and relatives for their love, affection, care and constant encouragement throughout the research work. Finally, I wish to mention very special acknowledgement to my parents, Mr. Abdul Rashid Mir and Mrs. Rafiq Jubali Bhat, for their continuous support, love and encouragement.

Dated:

Abdul Saleem Mir

Abstract

This dissertation primarily focuses on the mitigation of instabilities in the power system via novel auxiliary stabilizers and intelligently controlled energy storage systems. Improving the stability margins in a power system allows the higher flow of power through interties which translates into a measurable economic benefit. Dynamic state estimator using local measurements acts as a precursor for the auxiliary stabilizers as they utilize unmeasurable interior states of the machine in the control law. Further, bulk integration of renewable energy requires fast-acting energy sources/sinks at the time of the disturbance. In this context, intelligently controlled fast-acting energy storage systems have been suggested to mitigate intermittency, enhance transient and frequency stability margins. Several aspects investigated in this thesis are as follows-

- 1) A nonlinear dynamic state estimator has been recommended to estimate the unmeasurable states of the machine using analogue measurements from the generator (terminal) bus instrument transformers. This methodology is well suited for enhancing system control, assessing dynamic security and monitoring oscillatory modes to name a few.
- 2) A decentralized nonlinear excitation controller utilizing terminal bus voltage and frequency measurements as exogenous inputs and machine states (estimated locally) in the robust optimal control law for significant enhancement in the dynamic and transient stability margins has been proposed.
- 3) Grid integrated low inertia DFIG wind energy conversion system (WECS) exhibits prolonged oscillations following a network disturbance. A computationally adaptive optimal damping controller using DFIG-WECS interior states (estimated locally) has been suggested for the mitigation. Compared to its predecessors, proposed stabilizer being robust and cost effective improves the stability significantly.
- 4) Performance of auxiliary stabilizers is affected by the system nonlinearities like saturation limits, dead-bands, rate constraints etc. In this context, effective use of properly controlled fast acting storage facilities like flywheel energy storage system and ultrabattery storage is suggested. Modelling and control architecture of the new storage technology namely ultrabattery and flywheel storage has been introduced and discussed for subsequent use in power system stability enhancement.
- 5) The application of an intelligently controlled flywheel energy storage system (FESS), to

mitigate the intermittency in wind power injection, as well as enhance the transient stability of the connected multimachine power system has been investigated. The main contributions are – *a*) enhanced use of DFIM based FESS for transient stability improvement following a network disturbance, *b*) demonstrating the intelligent controller development and its application for the flexible operation of the FESS facility, and *c*) intermittency mitigation via FESS considering actual wind speed profile.

- 6) The use of intelligent virtual synchronous generator and constrained LQR based ultrabattery storage has been suggested for improved frequency control.

Keywords: Adaptive control, cubature-Kalman-filter (CKF), decentralized, dynamic state estimator, damping control, DFIG, linear quadratic regulator (LQR), neural networks (NNs), optimal control, phasor measurement unit (PMU), power systems, stability.

सार

यह शोध प्रबंध मुख्य रूप से उपन्यास के माध्यम से बिजली व्यवस्था में अस्थिरताओं के शमन पर केंद्रित है सहायक स्टेबलाइजर्स और समझदारी से नियंत्रित ऊर्जा भंडारण प्रणाली। स्थिरता में सुधार एक पावर सिस्टम में मार्जिन अंतर के माध्यम से शक्ति के उच्च प्रवाह की अनुमति देता है जो अनुवाद करता है एक औसत दर्जे का आर्थिक लाभ। स्थानीय माप का उपयोग कर गतिशील राज्य आकलनकर्ता एक के रूप में कार्य करता है सहायक स्टेबलाइजर्स के लिए अग्रदूत के रूप में वे मशीन के अनम्य आंतरिक राज्यों का उपयोग करते हैं नियंत्रण कानून। इसके अलावा, अक्षय ऊर्जा के थोक एकीकरण के लिए तेजी से काम करने वाली ऊर्जा की आवश्यकता होती है गड़बड़ी के समय स्रोत / डूब इस संदर्भ में, बुद्धिमानी से तेजी से अभिनय को नियंत्रित किया ऊर्जा भंडारण प्रणालियों को आंतरायिकता को कम करने, क्षणिक को बढ़ाने और आवृत्ति स्थिरता मार्जिन। इस थीसिस में जांच किए गए कई पहलू इस प्रकार हैं-

- 1) एक गैर-गतिशील गतिशील राज्य आकलनकर्ता को अचूक का अनुमान लगाने की सिफारिश की गई है जनरेटर (टर्मिनल) बस से एनालॉग माप का उपयोग करते हुए मशीन की स्थिति उपकरण ट्रांसफार्मर। यह पद्धति प्रणाली नियंत्रण को बढ़ाने के लिए अच्छी तरह से अनुकूल है, गतिशील सुरक्षा का आकलन और कुछ नाम करने के लिए दोलन मोड की निगरानी करना।
- 2) टर्मिनल बस वोल्टेज और आवृत्ति का उपयोग एक विकेन्द्रीकृत अरेखीय उत्तेजना नियंत्रक बहिर्जात आदानों और मशीन राज्यों के रूप में माप (स्थानीय रूप से अनुमानित) मजबूत में गतिशील और क्षणिक स्थिरता में महत्वपूर्ण वृद्धि के लिए इष्टतम नियंत्रण कानून मार्जिन प्रस्तावित किया गया है।
- 3) ग्रिड एकीकृत कम जड़ता डीएफआईजी पवन ऊर्जा रूपांतरण प्रणाली (डब्ल्यूईसीएस) प्रदर्शित करता है एक नेटवर्क गड़बड़ी के बाद लंबे समय तक दोलनों। एक कम्प्यूटेशनल रूप से अनुकूली डीएफआईजी-डब्ल्यूईसीएस आंतरिक राज्यों (स्थानीय रूप से अनुमानित) का उपयोग करके इष्टतम भिगोना नियंत्रक रहा है शमन के लिए सुझाव दिया। अपने पूर्ववर्तियों की तुलना में, प्रस्तावित स्टेबलाइजर मजबूत हो रहा है और प्रभावी लागत स्थिरता में काफी सुधार करती है।
- 4) सहायक स्टेबलाइजर्स का प्रदर्शन संतृप्ति जैसी प्रणाली की गैर-प्रभावितताओं से प्रभावित होता है सीमाएं, डेड-बैंड, दर की कमी आदि। इस संदर्भ में, ठीक से नियंत्रित का प्रभावी उपयोग फ्लाईव्हील ऊर्जा भंडारण प्रणाली और पराबैंगनी भंडारण की तरह तेजी से अभिनय भंडारण की सुविधा है सुझाव दिया। नई भंडारण

प्रौद्योगिकी की मॉडलिंग और नियंत्रण वास्तुकला पराबैंगनी और चक्का भंडारण शुरू किया गया है और बाद के उपयोग के लिए चर्चा की गई है बिजली प्रणाली की स्थिरता में वृद्धि।

5) एक बुद्धिमानी से नियंत्रित चक्का ऊर्जा भंडारण प्रणाली (एफईएसएस) का अनुप्रयोग पवन ऊर्जा इंजेक्शन में आंतरायिकता को कम करें, साथ ही क्षणिक स्थिरता को बढ़ाएं कनेक्टेड मल्टीमाचिन पावर सिस्टम की जांच की गई है। मुख्य योगदान कर रहे हैं - क) क्षणिक स्थिरता में सुधार के लिए डीएफआईएम आधारित एफईएसएस का एक बढ़ाया उपयोग निम्नलिखित एक नेटवर्क गड़बड़ी, ख) बुद्धिमान नियंत्रक विकास और उसके प्रदर्शन एफईएसएस सुविधा के लचीले संचालन के लिए आवेदन, और सी) आंतरायिक शमन वास्तविक पवन गति प्रोफ़ाइल पर विचार करते हुए एफईएसएस के माध्यम से।

6) बुद्धिमान आभासी तुल्यकालिक जनरेटर और विवश एलक्यूआर आधारित पराबैंगनी का उपयोग भंडारण में सुधार आवृत्ति नियंत्रण के लिए सुझाव दिया गया है।

कीवर्ड: अनुकूली नियंत्रण, क्यूरेचर-कलमन-फ़िल्टर (सीकेएफ), विकेन्द्रीकृत, गतिशील राज्य अनुमानक, भिगोना नियंत्रण, डीएफआईजी, रैखिक द्विघात नियामक (एलक्यूआर), तंत्रिका नेटवर्क (एनएन), इष्टतम नियंत्रण, चरण मापक इकाई (पीएमयू), पावर सिस्टम, स्थिरता।

Contents

Certificate	i
Acknowledgements	iii
Abstract	v
Contents	ix
List of Figures	xiii
List of Tables	xvi
List of Abbreviations and Symbols	xvii

CHAPTER 1: Introduction	1
1.1 General	1
1.2 State-of-the-Art & Research Motivation	3
1.2.1 Decentralized Dynamic State Estimation in Power Systems	3
1.2.2 Decentralized Nonlinear Excitation Control	4
1.2.3 Stability Enhancement of DFIG-WECS via Optimal Damping Controller	6
1.2.4 Control of Energy Storage Systems (ESS) for Power System Applications	7
1.2.5 Intermittency Mitigation and Transient Stability Enhancement via Controlled ESS	9
1.2.6 Intelligently Controlled ESS for Frequency Control in Power Systems	10
1.3 Thesis Objectives	12
1.4 Brief Overview of the Work Done	13
1.5 Prime Contributions from this Thesis	17
1.6 Thesis Organization	18
CHAPTER 2: Decentralized Dynamic State Estimation	20
2.1 General	20
2.2 Studied System and Problem Description	21

2.3	Adaptive Detection Methodology	36
2.4	Dynamic State Estimation Algorithm	40
2.5	Choice of Sigma Points and Filter Stability	42
2.6	Observability Condition	44
2.7	Case Studies	45
2.8	Conclusion.....	51
	CHAPTER 3: Decentralized Nonlinear Excitation Control.....	52
3.1	General	52
3.2.1	Controller Design for Decentralized Power System (3.9)	56
3.2.2	Stability of the Decentralized Power System	58
3.3.1	Function Approximation (FA)	60
3.3.2	Tuning of Critic NN Weights	61
3.3.3	Tuning of Actor NN Weights and SPIA	61
3.5.1	Nonlinear time-domain simulations.....	63
3.5.2	Modal Analysis	66
3.5.3	Transient Stability Evaluation	69
3.5.4	Real-Time (RT) Implementation	70
	CHAPTER 4: Stability Enhancement of Grid Connected DFIG	72
4.1	General	72
4.2	Modeling of the Studied System	72
4.3	Robust Estimation of DFIG-WECS States.....	78
4.4	Robust Estimation/Control of DFIG-WECS States	80
4.4.1	Performance of RSCKF-DSE for DFIG-WECS against its precursors.....	80
4.4.2	Performance of ADP based Optimal Controller	81
4.4.3	ADP controlled DFIG in multimachine environment.....	87
4.5	Implementation: Scaled Laboratory Prototype	90
4.6	Conclusion.....	91

CHAPTER 5: Energy Storage Systems: Modelling and Control	92
5.1 General	92
5.2 Voltage Source Converter based Ultrabattery Energy Storage System	94
5.2.1 Ultrabattery and its Power Conditioning System	94
5.3 Flywheel Energy Storage System	99
5.4 Conclusion.....	101
CHAPTER 6: Wind Power Smoothing and Stability Enhancement via Intelligently Controlled FESS	102
6.1 General	102
6.2 Model of the Studied System	102
6.2.1 Flywheel Modelling and Its Control	104
6.2.2 Supervisory Control Based on AWFNN	104
6.2.3 Controller Performance Comparison	110
6.3 Wind Speed Modelling.....	111
6.4 Case Study: Wind Power Smoothing, FESS Sizing and Transient Stability Enhancement	113
6.4.1 Wind Power Smoothing.....	113
6.4.2 FESS Sizing Strategy.....	114
6.4.3 Transient stability enhancement	115
6.4.4 Real-Time Implementation	120
6.5 Conclusion.....	120
CHAPTER 7: Intelligently Controlled Energy Storage System for Frequency Control in Power Systems.....	122
7.1 General	122
7.2 Frequency Control in an Autonomous Power Systems.....	123
7.2.1 Self-tuning UBESS-VSM	125
7.2.2 Back-Propagation Algorithm (BPA)	128
7.2.3 Learning Rates: Convergence and Stability of ANPC.....	129

7.2.4 Performance Evaluation.....	132
7.2.5 Conclusion	137
7.3 Frequency Control in the Connected Power Systems	137
7.3.1 ANPC and CLQR Based UBESS	139
7.3.2 Simulation Studies	139
7.3.3 Conclusions.....	140
CHAPTER 8: Conclusions	142
8.1 Summary of the Work	142
8.2 Scope of the Future Work	144
References	146
Appendix <i>A</i>	162
Appendix <i>B</i>	163
Appendix <i>C</i>	165
Publications from the Thesis	168
Bio-Data	169

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1. Schematic of the proposed methodology	22
Figure 2.2. NYPS-NETS 16 machine 68 bus Power System [6].....	22
Figure 2.3. Phasor estimation dynamics of the voltage phasor of 9th unit.....	39
Figure 2.4. Tuning of gains for signal parameter estimation.....	39
Figure 2.5 Schematic of the DDSE algorithm using robust SRCKF.....	40
Figure 2.6 Voltage phasor of 13 th machine (a) Estimated amplitude, (b) Estimated frequency (c) parameter estimation errors.....	45
Figure 2.7 DDSE algorithms Comparison: Estimation of 9th unit states for the base case.	47
Figure 2.8 Bar plots and pdfs of the state estimation errors for 9 th unit for the base case.....	47
Figure 2.9 . Estimation of ω_{13} with DC bias in the measurement (V_{13})	48
Figure 2.10 . (a) Estimation of ω_9 for colored noise for the base case (b) Bar plot and pdf of the estimation error in ω_9	48
Figure 2.11. Observability test: Estimation of α_9	49
Figure 3.1. Schematic of the system and control methodology.....	53
Figure 3.2. Adaptive Actor-Critic Structure of the Decentralized System.....	53
Figure 3.3. Single-Line diagram of IEEE 16 machine 68 bus test system.....	54
Figure 3. 4. Continuous-time PIA for tuning actor/critic weights.....	62
Figure 3. 5. (a) Critic parameters (b) Convergence of AC NN weights.....	62
Figure 3. 6. Dynamic performance of proposed NAOC scheme against its precursors.....	64
Figure 3. 7. Dynamic performance of NAOC for changed operating conditions.....	65
Figure 3. 8. Eigen value plot (controller performance comparison).....	67
Figure 3. 9. Eigen Values (Comparison with PSS (Larsen & Swann)).....	68
Figure 3. 10. Transient stability performance comparison.....	69
Figure 3. 11. Schematic diagram for real-time implementation.....	71
Figure 3. 12. Real-time results (a) NAOC performance in real-time (RT) (b) Controller performance comparison.....	71
Figure 4.1 Schematic of grid connected DFIG-WECS.....	74
Figure 4.2 DFIG-WECS: RSC Control Architecture	75
Fig. 4.3. (a) Flowchart of proposed RSCKF based ADP algorithm (b) Consolidated control architecture of RSCKF based ADP algorithm.....	77

Fig. 4.4. DSE of unobservable states from noisy measurements: (a) turbine speed ωt , (b) eq' ..	79
Fig. 4.5. (a) Convergence of P_k . (b) Convergence of $\mathcal{F}k$	82
Fig. 4.6. Performance comparison (wind speed change) (a) DFIG rotor speed (b) DFIG stator voltage (c) DFIG Power (d) Changed operating condition ($v_w = 9.5m/s$, $V_b = 0.9 pu$).	83
Fig. 4.7. Polar plot of low frequency modes.	84
Fig. 4.8. Eigenvalue loci of low frequency modes: (a) $0.01 \leq x_T \leq 0.15$, (b) $1.5 \leq l_m \leq 5$	85
Fig. 4.9. Performance Comparison: Test-system subjected to a voltage-sag (a) DFIG Stator Voltage (b) Rotor Speed (c) Rotor Current (d) Rotor Speed: Changed operating condition.	87
Fig. 4.10. Test system 2: Modified WSCC 9-Bus System with WECS [28].	88
Fig. 4.11. Multimachine environment: 3-phase fault (F1) near bus ⑨.	88
Fig. 4.12. Multimachine environment: wind change from 12m/s to 11m/s for 1s. (a) Active power supplied by DFIG-WECS (b) G3 speed in $p.u.$	89
Fig. 4.13. Experimental results (a-c) Wind speed change. (d-f) test-system subjected to a voltage-sag.	89
Fig. 4.14. Experimental Scaled Laboratory setup at IIT Delhi.	90
Fig. 5.1. Ultrabattery Energy Storage System Connected to the Grid.	93
Fig. 5.2. Ultrabattery Energy Storage System Connected to the Grid.	93
Fig. 5.3. (a) UBESS inner loop (b) Tracking performance of inner loop (c) CLQR.	96
Fig. 5.4. Control loops of the UBESS-VSC (dq -frame)	98
Fig. 5.5. (a) DFIM based FESS (b) RSC Control loops of the FESS	98
Fig. 5.6. (a) DFIM based FESS block diagram for PI-Tuning (b-c) Tuning Performance	99
Fig. 5.7. (a) P-Control (b) Q-Tracking (c) Speed (ω_{fl}) of FESS.	99
Fig. 6.1. (a) Test System (b) Flywheel Energy Storage System.	103
Fig. 6.2. (a) Active power control loop of RSC of FESS. (b) Reactive power control loop of RSC of FESS (c) AWFNN based model identifier.	103
Fig. 6.3. (a) Block diagram of the proposed control scheme. (b) AWFNN performance against other intelligent schemes.	106
Fig. 6.4. (a) Nonlinear system identification (AWFNN performance) (b) Identification error (c, d, e) Controller Performance comparison.	107
Fig. 6.5. (a) Van' der Hoven Wind Spectrum (b) Von Karman Wind Spectrum (c) Wind speed (d) Power output of the windfarm	112

Fig. 6.6. Case study 1: (a-b) Wind power smoothing performance.	112
Fig. 6.7. (a) FESS tracking performance (b) Flywheel speed $\omega_{fl}(p.u.)$	113
Fig. 6.8 (a) WECS DFIG Speed (b) Windfarm Terminal Voltage (c) P_{fly} (d) Q_{fly} (e) ω_{fl}	116
Fig. 6.9. G2: a 3-phase fault between bus ⑦ and bus ⑧ with clearing time of 185ms. (a) δ_2^{COI} (rad.). (b) ω_2^{COI} (rad./s). (c) V_2 (p.u.).	117
Fig. 6.10. Normalized control efforts for the two case studies.	119
Fig. 6.11. RT-Results: a 3-phase fault between bus ⑦ and bus ⑧ (clearing time = 185ms). (a) WECS DFIG Speed (p.u.) (b) δ_2^{COI} (rad.).	120
Fig. 7. 1. (a) Block diagram of the VSM control strategy (b) UBESS based VSM.	123
Fig. 7. 2. Autonomous wind-diesel power system with self-tuning UBESS-VSM.	123
Fig. 7. 3. Effect of kv and kd on system frequency (f) following a step (80kW) increase in load. (a) Effect of kv on system frequency (f), ($kd = 0$). (b) Effect of kd on system frequency (f), ($kv = 0$). (c) Effect of kv and kd modulation on frequency (f) (d) Effect of kv and kd modulation on ROCOF (slope) (e) Effect of kv and kd modulation on settling time.	126
Fig. 7. 4. Adaptive neuro predictive model (System Identification)	127
Fig. 7. 5 (a) Tracking control of nonlinear system [29] via ANPC under external disturbances. (b) Tracking error (c) Adaptive learning-rate (ηI) (d) Adaptive learning-rate (ηc).	130
Fig. 7. 6 (a) Consolidated VSM control block diagram (b) Step Response (c) Control effort. ..	132
Fig. 7. 7. Case study 1: Step change in load and constant wind power	134
Fig. 7. 8. (a) Van der Hoven Spectrum (b) Wind Speed ($ms - 1$).	135
Fig. 7. 9 Case study 2: Response under dynamic wind conditions: $MAE1 = 1Ni = 1NfCP - fST$	136
Fig. 7. 10. Modified WSCC 9-Bus System (with UBESS and Windfarms).	137
Fig. 7. 11. (a) Intelligent CLQR Schematic (b) Parameter updates ($\alpha 1, \alpha 2, \alpha 3, \beta 1, \beta 2, \beta 3$)	138
Fig. 7. 12 Case study: Step change in load (a) Bus ① frequency (Hz) (b) Bus ① ROCOF (Hz/s) (c) Bus ④ UBESS Power (MW) (d) Bus ④ UBESS Voltage (V).	138

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1 μ : Bias ; σ : Standard Error (SE).....	49
Table 3.1 (IPF=Interarea Power flow, FL=Faulted Line).....	66
Table 3.2 Improvement in Inter-area Mode Damping.....	67
Table 3.3 Transient Stability Improvement (TSI).....	68
Table 4.1 $\mu = \text{mean}$; $\sigma = \text{standard deviation}$	81
Table 4.2 Controller Performance Comparison	84
Table 4.3 ($f_n = \text{mode frequency}$, $\xi'_d = \text{mode damping ratio}$).....	84
Table 4.4. Weak grid conditions: $x_T = 0.12 \text{ p.u.}$	85
Table 4.5. (<i>Fault locations: Fig. 15</i>): Transient Stability Improvement.....	88
Table 6.1. Controller Performance Improvement.....	109
Table 6.2: Smoothing Performance a Comparison.....	113
Table 6.3: Transient Stability Index (TSI).....	118
Table 6.4: Transient Stability Margin (TSM).....	118
Table 7.1: Scenario 1 (Step Change in Load).....	135
Table 7.2: Scenario 2 (Dynamic Wind Conditions).....	135
Table 7.3: Computation Time Comparison	135

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS AND SYMBOLS

DDSE	Decentralized Dynamic State Estimation
ADM	Adaptive Detection Methodology
CT	Current Transformer or Continuous time
PT/VT	Potential/Voltage Transformer
AC	Actor-Critic
NETS-NYPS	New England Test System (NETS)- New-York Power System (NYPS)
WECC	Western Electricity Coordinating Council
NN	Neural Networks
ARE	Algebraic-Riccati-equation
HJB	Hamilton–Jacobi–Bellman
FA	Function Approximation
PIA	Policy Iteration Algorithm
NAOC	Nonlinear Adaptive Optimal Controller
DFIG	Doubly Fed Induction Generator based Wind Turbine system
WECS	Wind Energy Conversion System
DAE	Differential-Algebraic-Equations
ODE	Ordinary-Differential-Equations
PMSG	Permanent Magnet Synchronous Generator
FRC	Full Rated Converter
RSC	Rotor Side Converter
GSC	Grid Side Converter
MPPT	Maximum Power Point Tracking
LQR	Linear Quadratic Regulator
PSS	Power System Stabilizer
UKF	Unscented Kalman Filter
CKF	Cubature Kalman Filter
PCS	Power Conditioning System
FAST	Fatigue, Aerodynamic, Structural and Turbulence simulator
NREL	National Renewable Energy Laboratory, Department of Energy, U.S.
PMU	Phasor Measurement Unit

WSCC	Western System Coordinating Council
ω_B, ω	Base elec. speed (<i>rad/s</i>), machine speed in <i>p.u.</i>
H, f_V	Machine inertia (<i>s</i>), stator voltage (<i>V</i>) freq. in <i>p.u.</i>
P_e, I_e	Electrical power and stator current resp.
δ, θ	Rotor angle and stator voltage phase angle in <i>rad</i> .
V_r^*, E_{fd}	AVR reference voltage, field excitation voltage
V_r, V_s	AVR filter voltage and PSS output resp.
V_a	AVR regulator voltage
e'_d, e'_q	Transient <i>d</i> and <i>q</i> axis emfs in <i>p.u.</i>
ψ_d, ψ_q	Subtransient damper coil <i>d</i> and <i>q</i> axis emfs in <i>p.u.</i>
$p_{i=1\dots3}$	PSS states, f_I =frequency of the stator current.
v_q, v_d	<i>q</i> and <i>d</i> axis stator voltages ($V_t = v_d + jv_q$) in <i>p.u.</i>
i_q, i_d	<i>q</i> and <i>d</i> axis stator currents ($I_e = i_d + ji_q$) in <i>p.u.</i>
$\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}$	State and pseudo-input (or input) vectors respectively
$\mathbf{y}, n_x$	Measurement vector, State vector dimension.
$a(t)$	Instantaneous CT/PT measurement
$\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{q}$	Column vectors of process and pseudo-input noises resp.
$\mathbf{r}$	Column vector of noise in $\mathbf{y}$
$\mathcal{P}_x, \mathcal{P}_y$	State and measurement covariance matrices resp.
$\mathcal{P}_{xy}$	Cross-correlation covariance matrix
$\mathcal{P}_{xq}$	Cross-covariance matrix of $\mathbf{x}$ and $\mathbf{q}$
$\mathcal{P}_\xi$	$= [\mathcal{P}_x \mathcal{P}_{xq}^T; \mathcal{P}_{xq} \mathcal{P}_q]$
Q_ξ	Constant additive process noise covariance matrix
R_y	Constant measurement noise covariance matrix
T_s	Sampling time in seconds
H_t	Turbine inertia constant
H_g	DFIG inertia constant
ω_t	Turbine angular speed
ω_r	DFIG angular speed
θ_{tw}	Shaft twist angle

ω_B	Base electrical speed
$P_{t_{pu}}$	Turbine power
T_s	Shaft torque
T_e	Generator electrical torque
T_t	Turbine torque
c_d	Shaft damping coefficient
k_s	Stiffness of the shaft
V_w	Wind speed
V_{DC}	DC link voltage
$\mathbf{V}_s$	DFIG Stator terminal voltage
$\mathbf{V}_b$	Infinite bus voltage
x_T	Transmission line reactance
x_C	Grid side converter transformer reactance
P_e	Generator active power
Q_e	Generator reactive power
θ_{tw}	Angular position of the turbine shaft
v_{qs}, v_{ds}, v_{qr} and v_{dr}	DFIG q -axis and d -axis stator and rotor voltages, respectively
i_{qs}, i_{ds}, i_{qr} and i_{dr}	DFIG q -axis and d -axis stator and rotor currents, respectively
“^”	Estimated value of the respective variable
Superscript/Subscript pu	Per unit value of the respective variable
Superscript/Subscript ref/REF	Reference value of the respective variable
Superscript/Subscript $k = k^{th}$	instant of time.
Subscript	$p = p^{th}$ machine.
$(\cdot)_p$	Subscript: continuous variable of p^{th} machine.
$(\cdot)_{p0}$	Subscript: steady state value of continuous variable
$(\tilde{\cdot}) = (\cdot) - (\cdot)_0$	Variable transformation
ω_B, ω	Base elec. speed (rad/s), machine speed in p.u.
H, f	Machine inertia (s), stator voltage (V) freq. in p.u.
τ_E, τ_M	Electrical and mechanical torques in p.u. resp.
τ_{E0}, τ_{M0}	Steady state electrical and mechanical torques

δ, θ	Rotor angle and stator voltage phase angle in rad.
$\alpha, \tilde{\tau}_m, \tilde{\tau}_e$	$\alpha = \delta - \theta$ and $\tilde{\tau}_m = \tau_M - \tau_{M0}$; $\tilde{\tau}_e = \tau_E - \tau_{E0}$
V_r^*, E_{fd}	AVR reference voltage, field excitation voltage
e'_d, e'_q	Transient d and q axis emfs in p.u.
ψ_d, ψ_q	Subtransient damper coil d and q axis emfs in p.u.
V_r, V_{ss}	AVR filter voltage, controller output reference
v_q, v_d	q and d axis stator voltages ($V_t = v_d + jv_q$) in p.u.
i_q, i_d	q and d axis stator currents ($I = i_d + ji_q$) in p.u.
τ'_{q0}, τ'_{d0}	q and d axis transient time-constants (s)
τ''_{q0}, τ''_{d0}	q and d axis subtransient time-constants (s)
k_D, τ_r	Damping coefficient and AVR filter time constant
$\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}$	State vector and control input respectively
$\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{v}$	Output and exogenous input vectors respectively
n_x, n_u	State and control vector dimensions respectively
W_1^*, φ	Ideal critic NN weight and NN activation function
$\hat{W}_2, \hat{W}_1$	Estimated weight vectors of actor and critic NNs.
δ_v, N_n	Function approximation error and no. of neurons
x''_q, x''_d	Subtransient q and d axis reactances
x'_q, x'_d	Transient q and d axis reactances
x_q, x_d	Synchronous q and d axis reactances
x_l, r_a	Armature leakage reactance and resistance resp.
k'_1	$= (x''_q - x_l)(x_q - x'_q)/(x'_q - x_l)$
k'_2	$= (x'_q - x''_q)(x_q - x'_q)/(x'_q - x_l)^2$
k'_3	$= (x''_d - x_l)(x_d - x'_d)/(x'_d - x_l)$
k'_4	$= (x'_d - x''_d)(x_d - x'_d)/(x'_d - x_l)^2$
k_1	$= k'_1/(x_q - x'_q), k_2 = (x'_q - x''_q)/(x'_q - x_l)$
k_3	$= k'_3/(x_d - x'_d), k_4 = (x'_d - x''_d)/(x'_d - x_l)$
Z_a^2	$= (x_l^2 + r_a^2)$
Tria (.)	General traingularization algorithm