

**ECO-FRIENDLY APPROACH TO CONTROL
CALLOSOBRUCHUS MACULATUS F. IN PULSE
STORAGE FOR FOOD SAFETY**

UMESH CHANDRA SHARMA



CENTRE FOR RURAL DEVELOPMENT AND TECHNOLOGY

INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY DELHI

JANUARY 2024

**ECO-FRIENDLY APPROACH TO CONTROL
CALLOSOBRUCHUS MACULATUS F. IN PULSE
STORAGE FOR FOOD SAFETY**

by

UMESH CHANDRA SHARMA

Centre for Rural Development and Technology

Submitted

**In fulfillment of the requirements of the degree of Doctor of Philosophy
to the**



INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY DELHI

JANUARY 2024

Dedicated to
My Parents, Family
and
Sri Krishna ji

Certificate

This is to certify that the thesis entitled "**Eco-friendly Approach to Control *Callosobruchus maculatus* F. in Pulse Storage for Food Safety**" being submitted by **Mr. Umesh Chandra Sharma** to the Indian Institute of Technology, Delhi, for the award of '**Doctor of Philosophy**' is a record of bonafide research work carried out by him under our guidance and supervision in conformity with the rules and regulations of Indian Institute of Technology Delhi. The research report and results presented in this thesis have not been submitted, in part or in full, to any other university or institute for the award of any degree or diploma.

Prof. Hariprasad P.

(Associate Professor)

Centre for Rural Development of Technology,

Indian Institute of Technology, Delhi



Prof. Santosh Satya

(Honorary Professor)

Centre for Rural Development of Technology,

Indian Institute of Technology, Delhi

Acknowledgments

*The journey would not be completed without the help and support of several persons who, in one way or another, contributed and extended their valuable assistance. First, I would like to express my deep gratitude to my supervisor, **Prof. Santosh Satya**, who gave me this excellent opportunity to work on her research project and develop my foundation in grain storage & food quality. Her dedicated spirit and love as a guardian were always a source of motivation for me. I especially want to thank her for numerous inspiring discussions around stored grain protection and the creation of an outstanding working climate. Her vision and ideas provided me with the foundation for this work. I want to express my sincere gratitude to **Prof. Hariprasad P.** for his guidance, continuous support, and valuable suggestions. His timely advice and scientific approach helped me accomplish this work. His technical knowledge of the subject and humble nature supported me whenever needed. My thesis results from my supervisors' guidance, constructive suggestions, and careful editing. It has been a great learning experience and a privilege to work under their able guidance.*

I thank Prof. V.K. Vijay (Former Head) and Prof. Subbarao Head, CRDT, for their kind support. I thank my SRC members – Prof. S. N. Naik, Prof. Satyawati Sharma, and Prof. S.K. Khare, for their valuable suggestions and comments during my progress presentations, which have refined my research work. I am also thankful to MHRD for the fellowship and DST (Govt. of India) for financial support through a "Small Scale Grain Storage System for Food Safety" project. I wish to express my warm and sincere thanks to other faculty members of CRDT and office staff (Ms. Bhagwati Joshi, Ms Leela Sagar, and Mr Maniram). I would like to extend my heartfelt thanks to all the respondents to my field study, especially Mr Deepak, for their support during the field study.

A journey is easier when you travel together. I would especially like to thank Dr. Ajay Patel (a friend & senior), Dr. Shivangi Sharma (for her positivity & support), Dr. Arjoo, Dr. Mukesh Jain, Dr. Vinod Kotwal, Dr. Vikas Kardam, Dr. Neeru Kadian, Dr. Geetanjali Kaushik. I am also thankful to my friend & labmate, Dr. Duraivedivel, for his help and support.

Additionally, I would like to acknowledge and thank my friends and colleagues, Ms. Leena, Ms. Shazia, Ms. Monu, Ms. Anjali, Ms. Bhani, Mr. Ajay, and

Ms. Sonika, for their cooperation. Furthermore, I would like to thank Mr. Hirdayaram and Mr. Gopi from the lab for their assistance with lab-related tasks.

I also want to express my gratitude and love to all of my friends, especially Mr Vaibhav, for their unwavering support during the whole journey), Ms Roopam (for standing by my side in my tough times & providing unending support). My friends Mr. Venkatesh, Mr. Rajnish, Mr Ruchir, Mr Santosh, Dr Bhanupratap, and Mr Ashutosh deserve praise. The enjoyable time I had with all of them will never be forgotten.

My family deserves a special remark. It is quite challenging to say how grateful I am to my father, whose continuous love and support have been my greatest assets. He always inspires me because of his perseverance, dedication, and love for his family. I can never thank my mother enough for her love and support. My parents are the foundation of the journey; they shaped me into who I am today. My parents and in-laws played important roles in helping me finish my work. I sincerely appreciate my wife, Ms Pooja, for her unconditional support and patience throughout my PhD. Your sensitivity, care, encouragement, selflessness, and optimism helped me maintain my spirits whenever I felt down and kept me going. And also thanks to my loving son Hiten (Puru), with whom I occasionally missed playing. And finally, special thanks to my brothers (Gopal & Yogesh) and sister (Bhavna) who offered invaluable support & love to me. There are no adequate words to convey my love and gratitude for every one of you.

Last, I thank Almighty Sri Krishna ji and Sri Madbhagvad Geeta for bestowing me the perseverance, endurance, and strength necessary to finish this study project.

Umesh Chandra Sharma

ABSTRACT

Pulses (family Leguminosae) are the major source of protein (20-30 %) in the everyday Indian diet. They are also a good source of other nutrients, including fiber, vitamins, and minerals. Pulses are susceptible to insect infestation during storage, deteriorating their quality and quantity. The Coleopteran insects (Bruchidae family) are the most common insects associated with stored legumes. *Callosobruchus maculatus* is very common and highly detrimental to pulses during storage. Farmers in developing countries like India have traditionally used various non-chemical methods, including plant-based materials like leaves, spices, and essential oils, to protect their stored grains from insects. In previous studies, essential oils such as eucalyptus oil demonstrated insecticidal and repellent activity against various storage insects, including *Rhyzopertha dominica*, *Tribolium castaneum*, and *C. maculatus*. Different chemical pesticides in large-scale grain storage systems are currently employed to combat insect infestations; however, these pesticides have proven detrimental to human health and the environment. Therefore, developing eco-friendly holistic solutions for insect pest control during storage is necessary. In the present study, research is carried out to develop a metallic bin and eucalyptus essential oil-based nanoemulsion to control *C. maculatus* during pulse (*Vigna mungo*) storage.

Initially, a field study was conducted in Nandgao (Uttar Pradesh, India) to understand the current grain storage system for storing pulses domestically. It was found that almost 75 % of farmers keep their legume grain in plastic bottles or jars. Jute and polyester bags are also being used. Only 5 % of farmers (very small landholding) purchase from the market as per their needs. It was noted that the traditional storage methods/practices were insufficient to ensure complete protection during storage. The findings highlight the necessity of developing a new storage bin specially designed for pulse storage.

The *C. maculatus* infestation has a negative impact on the nutritional value of stored pulses. Additionally, changes in the amino acid profile resulted in nutritional deficiencies in the infested pulses, rendering them unfit for human consumption. In the absence of insect infestation, most nutrients in stored black gram grain remained steady. As the storage insects consumed the carbohydrates over time, the proportion of

carbohydrates decreased. It was found that the level of insect infestation was negatively correlated with the fat and carbohydrate proportions while positively correlated with the protein content.

To determine their chemical composition, every essential oil underwent a standardized investigation. The chemical composition of the chosen essential oils-*Mentha piperita*, *Eucalyptus globulus*, *Curcuma longa*, *Cymbopogon citratus*, and *Ocimum sanctum*, was confirmed by the results of the GC-MS data. The effectiveness of each essential oil against *C. maculatus* was evaluated using bioassays for repellent activity, contact toxicity, and fumigant toxicity. *Eucalyptus globulus* essential oil was the most effective repellent against *C. maculatus*, whereas *O. sanctum* was the least effective.

Based on the results obtained after screening essential oils for insecticidal activity, *Eucalyptus globulus* was chosen to develop a formulation against *C. maculatus*. Several combinations (F1, F2, F3, F4, and F5) were tried and optimized to get a stable nanoemulsion. The absence of phase separation, creaming, or crystallization demonstrated the kinetic stability of F4 nanoemulsion. The spherical shape of the emulsion droplets was validated by TEM imaging, and the nanoscale homogenous composition was further confirmed by the small droplet size (17.16 nm) and low PDI (0.313) values. Its stability was confirmed after six months of storage, as there was no sign of crystallization, creaming, phase separation, or sedimentation. Testing at the laboratory level verified the nanoemulsion's potency against *C. maculatus*.

Finally, based on the findings, a new metallic bin capacity of 20 kg was designed for pulse storage to test the bio-efficacy of the nanoemulsion under simulated field settings (in artificial infestation conditions). It was found that insect infestation caused the moisture content (MC) of black gram stored in the negative control (NC) bins to increase from 7.91 to 8.54 %. In contrast, insect feeding caused a significant decrease in the 100-grain weight of infected samples (from 3.86 to 3.34 g). It was found that the untreated bins had a higher population of insect pests and egg counts as compared to untreated storage bins. This may be due to the development of favorable conditions for insect multiplication in untreated bins. Both weight loss (less than 1 %) and grain damage (less than 1 %) were minimal in treated bins (T) due to the arrested growth of insects at earlier stages in the treated bins. Insect trap also effectively captures the insect, enhancing the effectiveness of the newly designed bin. Thus, an integrated system based on a newly

designed storage bin and developed nanoformulation showed effective control of *C. maculatus* during the storage period of three months. It indicated its feasibility for field application. Also, the insect traps in the new design can act as insect monitoring devices in the grain storage system.

शोध-सारांश

दालें भारतीय दैनिक आहार में प्रोटीन (20-30 %) का प्रमुख स्रोत हैं। वे फाइबर, विटामिन और खनिजों सहित अन्य पोषक तत्वों का भी एक अच्छा स्रोत हैं। भंडारण के दौरान दालें कीटों के संक्रमण के प्रति संवेदनशील होती हैं, जिससे उनकी गुणवत्ता और मात्रा पर प्रतिकूल प्रभाव पड़ता है। कोलॉप्टेरान कीट (Bruchid family) दाल भंडारण से जुड़े सबसे आम कीट हैं, जिनमें *कैलोसोब्रुचस मैक्युलेटस* (*Callosobruchus maculatus*) प्रमुख रूप से भंडारित-दालों के लिए हानिकारक है। भारत जैसे विकासशील देशों में, किसान भंडारित अनाज को कीटों से बचाने के लिए विभिन्न पारंपरिक तरीकों (गैर-रासायनिक) का इस्तेमाल करते हैं, जिनमें पेड़-पौधों से प्राप्त सामग्री जैसे पत्ते, मसाले और इसेंशियल ऑयल आदि शामिल हैं। कई अध्ययनों में नीलगिरी (*यूकेलिप्टस*) जैसे इसेंशियल ऑयल ने विभिन्न भंडारण-कीटों (जैसे *राइजोपर्था डोमिनिका*, *ट्राइबोलियम कैस्टेनम* और *सी. मैक्युलेटस*) के विरुद्ध कीटनाशक-गुण प्रदर्शित किये हैं। वर्तमान परिवेश में दाल-भंडारण के दौरान कीटों से निपटने के लिए विभिन्न रासायनिक कीटनाशकों का उपयोग किया जाता है; परंतु, ये कीटनाशक मानव स्वास्थ्य और पर्यावरण के लिए हानिकारक सिद्ध हुए हैं। इसलिए, भंडारण के दौरान कीटों को नियंत्रित करने के लिए गैर-रासायनिक समाधान विकसित करना आवश्यक है। हमने प्रस्तुत शोध में, घरेलु स्तर पर दाल-भंडारण के दौरान *सी. मैक्युलेटस* को नियंत्रित करने के लिए एक धातु-निर्मित बिन (Metallic bin) और *यूकेलिप्टस*-इसेंशियल ऑयल आधारित नैनोइमल्शन विकसित करने का प्रयास किया है।

वर्तमान में घरेलु स्तर पर दाल-भंडारण प्रणाली को समझने के लिए नंदगाँव (उत्तर प्रदेश, भारत) में एक क्षेत्रीय अध्ययन किया गया। हमने पाया कि लगभग 75 % किसान अपनी उपज को प्लास्टिक की बोतलों या जार में रखते हैं। कुछ किसान जूट

और पॉलिएस्टर बैग का भी इस्तेमाल करते हैं। केवल 5 % किसान (छोटी जोत वाले) दालों का भंडारण नहीं करते हैं; बल्कि अपनी जरूरत के हिसाब से बाजार से खरीदते हैं। अध्ययन में, पाया गया कि भंडारण के दौरान पूर्ण सुरक्षा सुनिश्चित करने के लिए पारंपरिक भंडारण विधियां/प्रणालियां अपर्याप्त हैं। अतः, एक विशेष स्टोरेज-बिन विकसित करने की आवश्यकता है, जोकि घरेलू स्तर पर दाल-भंडारण के लिए उपयुक्त हो।

सी. मैक्युलेटस संक्रमण का भंडारित-दालों के पोषक-तत्वों पर भी प्रतिकूल प्रभाव पड़ा; साथ ही, अमीनो-एसिड प्रोफाइल में भी परिवर्तन पाया गया, जो उसे मानव-उपभोग के लिए अनुपयुक्त बनाता है। कीटों की अनुपस्थिति में, भंडारित उरद-दाल के अधिकांश पोषक-तत्वों पर कोई प्रतिकूल प्रभाव नहीं पाया गया। भंडारण के दौरान, कीटों द्वारा सेवन के कारण कार्बोहाइड्रेट तथा वसा का स्तर कम हुआ। यह भी पाया गया कि कीट-संख्या, वसा और कार्बोहाइड्रेट स्तर के साथ नकारात्मक, जबकि प्रोटीन स्तर के साथ सकारात्मक रूप से सहसंबद्धित थी।

प्रत्येक इसेंशियल-ऑयल के प्रमुख रासायनिक-अवयवों को जानने के लिये जी.सी.-एम.एस. द्वारा जांच की गई। जी.सी.-एम.एस. डेटा के परिणामों से इसेंशियल-ऑयल (*मेंथा पिपेरिता*, *यूकेलिप्टस ग्लोब्युलस*, *कुरकुमा लोंगा*, *सिंबोपोगोन साइट्रेटस* और *ओसिमम सैंक्टम*) के प्रमुख रासायनिक-अवयवों की पुष्टि की गई। *सी. मैक्युलेटस* के विरुद्ध प्रत्येक इसेंशियल-ऑयल की प्रभावशीलता का मूल्यांकन विभिन्न बायोएसेज़ (Contact toxicity, Repellence, and Fumigant toxicity) द्वारा किया गया। *ई. ग्लोब्युलस* इसेंशियल-ऑयल, *सी. मैक्युलेटस* के विरुद्ध सबसे अधिक प्रभावी पाया गया।

प्राप्त परिणाम के आधार पर, *ई. ग्लोब्युलस* इसेंशियल-ऑयल को कीटनाशक विकसित करने के लिए चुना गया। विभिन्न संयोजनों (F1, F2, F3, F4 और F5) को आजमाकर, एक स्थिर-नैनोइमल्शन तैयार किया गया। F4-नैनोइमल्शन में पृथक्करण, क्रीमिंग या क्रिस्टलीकरण की अनुपस्थिति उसकी स्थिरता को दर्शाता है। नैनोइमल्शन

की बूंदों के गोलाकार को टी.ई.एम. इमेजिंग द्वारा सत्यापित किया गया। बूंदों का छोटा आकार (17.16 nm) और कम पी.डी.आई. (0.313) ने नैनोस्केल-संरचना और समरूपता की पुष्टि की। छः माह के भंडारण के बाद क्रिस्टलीकरण, क्रीमिंग, पृथक्करण या अवसादन का कोई संकेत नहीं पाया गया, जोकि नैनोइमल्शन की स्थिरता की दर्शाता है। प्रयोगशाला-परीक्षण *सी. मैक्युलेटस* के विरुद्ध नैनोइमल्शन की प्रभावशीलता को दर्शाते हैं।

अंत में, निष्कर्षों के आधार पर, दाल-भंडारण के लिए 20 कि.ग्रा. क्षमता का एक नया धातु-निर्मित स्टोरेज-बिन तैयार किया गया, तदोपरांत कृत्रिम कीट-संक्रमण करके (Simulated field settings) में नैनोइमल्शन की जैव-प्रभावशीलता का परीक्षण किया गया। परिणामों में पाया गया कि कीट-संक्रमण के कारण NC-बिन में भण्डारित उरद-दाल में नमी की मात्रा (MC) 7.91 % से बढ़कर 8.54 % हो गई, और 100-ग्रेन-वेट 3.86 से 3.34 ग्रा. हो गया, जोकि उल्लेखनीय है। कीटों और अंडों की संख्या अनुपचारित-बिन में उपचारित-बिन से अधिक पायी गयी, जिसका मुख्य कारण अनुपचारित-बिन में कीटों के लिए अनुकूल परिस्थितियों विकसित होना माना जा सकता है। शुरुआत में ही नैनोफॉर्म्यूलेशन के प्रभाव से कीटों की वृद्धि रुक जाने के कारण, उपचारित-बिन (T) में वेट-लॉस (<1 %) और ग्रेन-डैमेज (<1 %) दोनों ही न्यूनतम पाये गये। Insect-trap भी कीटों को पकड़ने में प्रभावी पाया गया, जो इस बिन की प्रभावशीलता को बढ़ा देता है। इसलिए कहा जा सकता है कि नए स्टोरेज-बिन और नैनोफॉर्म्यूलेशन ने सामूहिक रूप से *सी. मैक्युलेटस* का प्रभावी रूप से नियंत्रण किया और भण्डारित-दाल की क्षति को कम किया। अतः यह स्टोरेज-बिन एवं नैनोफॉर्म्यूलेशन संयुक्त रूप से घरेलू स्तर पर दाल-भण्डारण के लिए एक उपयुक्त समाधान सिद्ध हो सकता है।

CONTENTS

Certificate		i
Acknowledgements		ii-iii
Abstract		iv-ix
Contents		x-xvi
List of Figures		xvii-xx
List of Tables		xxi-xxii
Chapter 1	Introduction	1-11
1.1	Background	1
1.2	<i>Callosobruchus maculatus</i> and its life cycle	5
1.3	Control of storage insect pests using chemical pesticides	7
1.4	Essential oils as an alternative to chemical pesticides	8
1.5	Current Indian pulse storage scenario	9
1.6	Knowledge gaps and Objective	10
1.7	Scope of the present study	10
Chapter 2	Literature Review and Field Study	12- 53
2.1	Background	12
2.2	Pulse production status	16
2.2.1	Status of <i>Vigna mungo</i> as a potential pulse crop and its nutritional value	16
2.3	Major insect pests of stored grains and their control	19
2.3.1	Status of <i>Callosobruchus maculatus</i> : Insect pest of pulses	20
2.4	Storage losses and factors affecting the quality of stored grains	21

2.4.1	Effect of temperature and moisture content on grain storage/pulses	22
2.5	Conventional grain storage practices/methods/structures	27
2.6	Efficient, improved storage bags	31
2.7	Pesticide usage in India	32
2.8	Essential oils for stored grain insect control – Potential and Status	33
2.8.1	Limitations of essential oils as stored grain protectants	37
2.8.1.1	Formulations – Types and Functioning	38
2.8.2	Suitable green formulations for stored legumes - Nanoemulsion	40
2.9	Scope of present study	42
2.10	A field study to understand pulse/legume storage system for the rural sector	42
2.10.1	General information of selected study area	43
2.10.2	Objective and indicators for conducting a field study	44
2.10.3	Farmer community	45
2.10.4	Storage structures used by the farmers	45
2.10.5	Quantity and duration of storage	48
2.10.6	Insect-pest infestation and control measures	48
2.10.7	Overall scenario at farmer’s level	50
2.11	Government agencies	51
Chapter 3	Effect of <i>Callosobruchus maculatus</i> infestation on the nutritional parameters of stored black gram (<i>Vigna mungo</i>)	54-74

3.1	Introduction	54
3.2	Materials and Methods	54
3.2.1	Glasswares	54
3.2.2	Seeds/Grains	54
3.2.3	Rearing of Insect	55
3.2.4	Setting up grain storage bioassay jars and sampling	55
3.2.5	Physico-chemical analysis of grain samples	56
3.2.5.1	Moisture content	56
3.2.5.2	Total Protein	56
3.2.5.3	Crude fat	56
3.2.5.4	Ash content	57
3.3.5.5	Total Carbohydrate	57
3.3.5.6	Weight loss	57
3.3.5.7	Amino acid estimation	57
3.2.6	Spatio-temporal movement of model test insect (<i>Callosobruchus maculatus</i>)	58
3.2.7	Statistical Analysis	59
3.3	Results and Discussion	60
3.3.1	Effect of <i>Callosobruchus maculatus</i> infestation on nutritional parameters of stored <i>Vigna mungo</i>	60
3.3.1.1	Carbohydrate and Crude fat content	61

3.3.1.2	Relative protein content	63
3.3.1.3	Moisture content	64
3.3.1.4	Relative ash content	65
3.3.1.5	Weight loss	66
3.3.1.6	Amino acid profile	68
3.3.2	Relation among insect damage variables and nutritional composition of insect infested blackgram	69
3.3.3	Preliminary study on Spatio-temporal behaviour of <i>Callosobruchus maculatus</i>	71
Chapter 4	Screening of selected essential oils for their insecticidal/repellent activity against <i>Callosobruchus maculatus</i>	75 - 93
4.1	Introduction	75
4.2	Material and Methods	76
4.2.1	Essential Oils:	76
4.2.2	Repellency bioassay	76
4.2.3	Selection of essential oils and their characterization by Gas Chromatography-Mass Spectrometry (GC MS)	76
4.2.4	Bioassay Experiments	77
4.2.4.1	Contact toxicity bioassay	77
4.2.4.2	Fumigation Bioassay	78
4.2.4.3	Repellency assay	79
4.2.3	Statistical Analysis	79

4.3	Result and Discussion	80
4.3.1	Characterization of essential oils by GC Analysis	80
4.3.2	Bio efficacy evaluation of selected essential oils against <i>Callosobruchus maculatus</i>	87
Chapter 5	Development and characterization of <i>Eucalyptus globulus</i> essential oil-based formulation against <i>Callosobruchus maculatus</i>	94-114
5.1	Introduction	94
5.2	Material and Methods	94
5.2.1	<i>Eucalyptus globulus</i> essential oil based Nanoemulsion preparation	94
5.2.2	Kinetic stability assessment of developed nano-formulations	96
5.2.3	Physicochemical characterization of EO-NE	96
5.2.3.1	Droplet size and Zeta (ζ)-potential measurements	96
5.2.3.2	Rheological properties and Apparent viscosity measurement	96
5.2.3.3	Contact Angle Measurement	97
5.2.3.4	FTIR analysis	97
5.2.3.5	Cryogenic Transmission Electron Microscopy (Cryo-TEM)	97
5.2.4	Storage Stability	97
5.2.5	Insecticidal and repellent activity of EO-NE against <i>Callosobruchus maculatus</i>	98
5.2.6	Statistical Analysis	98
5.3	Results and Discussion	98

5.3.1	<i>Eucalyptus globulus</i> essential oil based nanoemulsion and its optimization	98
5.3.2	Effect of the Surfactant: Oil Ratio (SOR) on droplet size and Polydispersity Index	100
5.3.3	Rheological properties and Apparent viscosity	101
5.3.4	Morphology of emulsion droplets	103
5.3.5	The contact angle of F-4 nano-emulsion	104
5.3.6	FTIR analysis	106
5.3.7	Storage Stability	107
5.3.8	Insecticidal activity of eucalyptus oil nanoemulsion against <i>Callosobruchus maculatus</i>	109
5.3.8.1	Repellent activity	109
5.3.8.2	Contact toxicity bioassay	110
Chapter 6	Designing small-scale pulse storage bin and evaluation of developed formulation under simulated field conditions	115 - 133
6.1	Introduction	115
6.2	Material and Methods	115
6.2.1	Designing and fabrication of metallic bin	115
6.2.2	Bioefficacy evaluation of bins along with EO-NE under simulated field conditions	117
6.2.2.1	Hundred grain weight	119
6.2.2.2	Percent grain-weight loss & Percent insect damaged grain	119

6.2.2.3	Insect and Egg count	120
6.2.3	Statistical Analysis	120
6.3	Results and Discussion	120
6.3.1	Design of metallic storage bin	120
6.3.2	Ambient environmental conditions	120
6.3.3	Change in grain moisture content	121
6.3.4	Hundred-grain weight	124
6.3.5	Insect damaged grain (%) and relative grain weight loss (%)	125
6.3.6	Storage insect variables	130
6.3.7	Correlation analysis among insect infestation variables	131
Chapter 7	Summary and Conclusion	134-141
	References	142-161
	Biodata	162-163

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure No.	Title	Page No.
Figure 1.1.	State-wise production data of pulses in India	2
Figure 1.2.	Different types of pulses and their contribution grown in India	2
Figure 1.3.	Life Cycle of <i>Callasobruchus maculatus</i>	6
Figure 2.1.	Categorization of legumes and pulses	13
Figure 2.2.	Major pulses in India	14
Figure 2.3.	Year-wise production data of pulses in India	16
Figure 2.4	Nutritional composition of <i>Vigna mungo</i> and its fractions	17
Figure 2.5	Picture of <i>Callosobruchus maculatus</i>	20
Figure 2.6	Safe storage temperature and moisture content for stored grains	23
Figure 2.7	Strategies for insect-pest control in stored grain	27
Figure 2.8	Traditional storage structures	28
Figure 2.9	Pesticide consumption pattern in India	33
Figure 2.10	Essential oils as a potential alternative to chemical insecticides	36
Figure 2.11	Geographical location of Nandgao	43
Figure 2.12	Interaction with farmers at Nandgao	44
Figure 2.13	Traditional storage structures used by farmers for domestic purposes	46
Figure 2.14	Current pulse storage scenario at the village	47
Figure 2.15	Respondent's opinion on insect-pest infestation	49

Figure 2.16	Grain storage by FCI	52
Figure 3.1	Glass Set-up to study spatio-temporal behaviour of <i>Callosobruchus maculatus</i>	59
Figure 3.2	Set-up to study the effect of <i>Callosobruchus maculatus</i> infestation	60
Figure 3.3	(A) Un-infested, (B) Infested (damaged, undamaged, and eggs) (C) Damaged <i>Vigna mungo</i>	60
Figure 3.4	Change in the (A) Carbohydrate content and (B) Fat content of infested <i>Vigna mungo</i> after different storage duration	62
Figure 3.5	Change in the Relative protein content of infested <i>Vigna mungo</i> after different storage duration	63
Figure 3.6	Quantity of protein, fibre and carbohydrate remaining in a stock of 500 g of <i>Vigna mungo</i> after the different storage periods	64
Figure 3.7	Change in the moisture content of infested <i>Vigna mungo</i> after different storage duration	65
Figure 3.8	Change in the ash content of infested <i>Vigna mungo</i> after different storage duration	66
Figure 3.9	Amino acid profile of infested and uninfested <i>Vigna mungo</i> samples	68
Figure 3.10	Pearson correlation matrix between different parameters of stored <i>Vigna mungo</i>	70
Figure 3.11	Pictorial representation of Experimental setup used in the study of Spatio-temporal behaviour of <i>Callosobruchus maculatus</i>	72
Figure 4.1	(A) Contact toxicity, (B) Fumigation toxicity bioassay, and (C) Olfactometer to access the repellent activity	78
Figure 4.2	GC-MS chromatogram of essential oil of <i>Cymbopogon citratus</i>	82

Figure 4.3	GC-MS chromatogram of essential oil of <i>Curcuma longa</i>	83
Figure 4.4	GC-MS chromatogram of essential oils of <i>Eucalyptus globulus</i>	84
Figure 4.5	GC-MS chromatogram of Essential oils of <i>Mentha piperita</i>	85
Figure 4.6	GC-MS chromatogram of Essential oils of <i>Ocimum sanctum</i>	86
Figure 4.7	Mortality (%) exhibited by different essential oils against <i>Callosobruchus maculatus</i>	88
Figure 4.8	Fumigant toxicity bioassay of essential oils	89
Figure 5.1	Process of EO-NE preparation	95
Figure 5.2	Visual appearance of emulsions (F-1 to F-5) at different Surfactant: Oil Ratio	100
Figure 5.3	Rheological characterization of emulsions (F-1 to F-5) at different SOR	101
Figure 5.4	Change in droplet size, viscosity and PDI of emulsions (F-1 to F-5) at different Surfactant: Oil Ratio (SOR)	102
Figure 5.5	Droplet size (Mean diameter) and Zeta potential of nanoemulsion (F-4)	103
Figure 5.6	Cryo-TEM images of the droplets inside nanoemulsion (F-4)	104
Figure 5.7	Contact angle measurement of nano-emulsion (F-4) on galvanized steel surfaces using the sessile drop method	105
Figure 5.8	The FTIR spectra of <i>Eucalyptus globulus</i> EO and nanoemulsion (F-4)	107
Figure 5.9	The visual appearance of nano-emulsion (F-4) after (A) Preparation (B) six months, of storage at different temperatures (5, 25 and 40 °C)	107

Figure 5.10	Mortality (%) of <i>Callosobruchus maculatus</i> at different concentrations ($\mu\text{l}/\text{cm}^2$) of nanoemulsion	110
Figure 5.11	Linear regression for nanoemulsion (F-4) at (A) 24 h, (B) 48 h and, (C) 72 h	112
Figure 6.1	Design specifications, dimension and actual picture of (A) metallic bin, and (B) Insect-trap	116
Figure 6.2	(A) Application of EO-NE in the metallic bin, and (B) Experiment set up for bioefficacy testing of bin along with EO-NE	118
Figure 6.3	Grain sampler	118
Figure 6.4	Ambient temperature and humidity within the storage area during the storage period	121
Figure 6.5	Moisture content in grain (<i>Vigna mungo</i>) samples of different metallic bins	121
Figure 6.6	100-grain weight of grain (<i>Vigna mungo</i>) samples of different metallic bins	124
Figure 6.7	Grain damage in Test bin (T) and Control bin (NC)	125
Figure 6.8	Grain weight losses in Test bin (T) and Control bin (NC)	126
Figure 6.9	(A) Negative control bins (NC) & Insect trap with trapped insects, (B) Test bin (T) & Insect trap with fewer trapped insect and, (C) Positive control bin (PC) & Insect trap without insects	127
Figure 6.10	Total insect trapped inside traps of (A) Treatment bin T and (B) Negative control bin (NC)	129
Figure 6.11	Insect count and egg count in Test bin (T) and Control bin (NC)	130
Figure 6.12	Correlation matrix of insect infestation variables in storage bins	131

LIST OF TABLES

Table No.	Title	Page No.
Table 1.1.	Insect pests of stored food grain	4
Table 2.1	Amino acids found in <i>Vigna mungo</i> & their special functions	18
Table 2.2	Effect of insect infestation on quality parameters of stored grains	25
Table 2.3	Conventional post-harvest processing, storage methods/structures in India	29
Table 2.4	Essential oils and activity against storage insects	34
Table 2.5	Various essential oils-based formulations and their application	39
Table 2.6	Observations from survey respondents on the different storage systems	47
Table 4.1	Essential oils used for bioefficacy evaluation	76
Table 4.2	Major chemical compound identified in <i>Cymbopogon citratus</i> essential oil	82
Table 4.3	Major chemical compound identified in <i>Curcuma longa</i> essential oil	83
Table 4.4	Major chemical compound identified in <i>Eucalyptus globulus</i> essential oil	84
Table 4.5	Major chemical compound identified in <i>Mentha piperita</i> essential oil	85
Table 4.6	Major chemical compound identified in <i>Ocimum sanctum</i> essential oil	86
Table 4.7	EPI values of essential oils against <i>Callosobruchus maculatus</i>	91
Table 5.1	Chemical composition of formulations (F-1 to F-5) with different Surfactant: Oil Ratio (SOR)	99
Table 5.2	Droplet size (nm) and PDI of the nano-emulsion (EO-NE) over a range of temperatures	108
Table 5.3	EPI of nano-emulsion against <i>Callosobruchus maculatus</i> adults	110

Table 5.4	Toxic effect (LC ₅₀) of nanoemulsion (F-4) against <i>Callosobruchus maculatus</i>	113
Table 6.1	Summary of experiment setup for bioefficacy testing of bin along with EO-NE	118
Table 6.2	Grain temperature in metallic bins after storage period	123