

**DEVELOPMENT OF SPION-BASED NANOABRASIVES FOR
SUPERFINISH OPTICAL POLISHING**

MD AMIR



**CENTRE FOR SENSORS INSTRUMENTATION AND CYBER-
PHYSICAL SYSTEMS ENGINEERING (SeNSE)**

INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY DELHI

AUGUST 2023

© **Indian Institute of Technology Delhi (IITD), New Delhi, 2023**

**DEVELOPMENT OF SPION-BASED NANOABRASIVES FOR
SUPERFINISH OPTICAL POLISHING**

by

MD AMIR

**Centre for Sensors Instrumentation and Cyber-physical Systems
Engineering (SeNSE)**

Submitted

in fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

to the



INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY DELHI

AUGUST 2023

Dedicated to

My teachers, parents, and my family

Certificate

This is to certify that the thesis entitled “**DEVELOPMENT OF SPION-BASED NANOABRASIVES FOR SUPERFINISH OPTICAL POLISHING**” submitted by **MD AMIR** to the Centre for Sensors, Instrumentation and Cyber-physical System Engineering, Indian Institute of Technology Delhi for the award of the degree of **DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY**. This thesis is a bonafide record of the research carried out by him under our guidance and supervision. In our opinion, the thesis has reached the standards fulfilling the requirements for the submission relating to the degree.

The contents of this thesis, in full or in parts, have not been submitted to any other Institute or University for the award of any degree or diploma.



Prof. Gufran Sayeed Khan

Centre for Sensors, Instrumentation and
Cyber-physical System Engineering (SeNSE)
Indian Institute of Technology (IIT) Delhi

Date: 02/08/2023

Place: IIT Delhi



Prof. S. Wazed Ali

Department of Textile and Fibre
Engineering, Indian Institute of
Technology (IIT) Delhi

Date: 02-08-2023

Place: New Delhi

Acknowledgements

I start my work by praising **ALMIGHTY**, who is the most beneficent and the most merciful. He filled his love in all the people who helped me in many ways at different stages of my PhD research work.

I would like to express my sincere gratitude to my supervisors, Prof. Gufran Sayeed Khan, SeNSE (IIT Delhi) and Prof. S. Wazed Ali, Department of Textile and Fibre Engineering (IIT Delhi), for all their valuable guidance, support, and inspiration to complete my PhD study and related research work. Their expertise, immense knowledge of optical fabrication and development of nanomaterials-based polishing abrasives, and innovative research approaches are very helpful throughout my PhD research. I am highly grateful to them for giving me the free will to explore my ideas and learn interdisciplinary research skills during this journey. Whenever I encountered any personal or professional hardship, they supported and motivated me to deal with it. Their great efforts and advice helped me learn valuable lessons that will help me in my future career.

I sincerely thank Prof. Abdulhadi Baykal (Imam Abdurrahman Bin Faisal University, Saudi Arabia), my ex-supervisor, who supported my research with his expertise, valuable guidance, and sources of other characterization facilities during my PhD. I also thank Professor Faiz Iqbal (University of Lincoln, England) for his valuable advice and suggestion on BEMRF-related experiments; it would not be possible to carry out the research without his personal interaction and online meetings during the course. I would also like to thank Prof. Daewook Kim (University of Arizona, USA) for his valuable collaboration and suggestion.

I am grateful to my thesis committee members of IIT Delhi: Prof. Dalip Singh Mehta (SRC Chair, Department of Physics), Prof. Rajendra Singh (External expert, Department of Physics) and Prof. Satish Kumar Dubey (Internal expert, SeNSE) for their insightful comments and encouragement, and for the technical questions which encouraged me to widen my research from various perspectives. I would like to thank other faculty members, Prof. Joby Joseph (Optics and Photonics), Prof. Chandra Shekhar (SeNSE), Prof. Sheikh Zia Ahmmad (DBEB), Prof. Gazala Habib (Civil Engineering), Prof. Jolly Xavier (SeNSE), Prof. Anuj Dhawan (SeNSE) and the concerned administrative staff. I appreciate and thank the optical, electrical, and mechanical workshop staff: Mr Masood Alam, Mr Sathish Chandra Bansal, Mr Surender Kumar, Mr Vinod Kumar, Mr Manber Negi, Mr Sumit Kumar, Mr Abhishek Shandilya, Mr Rajib Kumar Dash and Sunil Kumar for their instant technical and infrastructural help in a very friendly way.

I heartily thank Dr Vinod Mishra, Senior technical officer at the Central Scientific Instruments Organisation (CSIO)-Chandigarh, Dr. Rohit Sharma, Principal Project Scientist at IIT Delhi, Assist. Prof. Dali Ramu Burada from the Central University of Jharkhand, Dr Kishore

Kumar Pant, Scientist-F at Instruments Research & Development Establishment (IRDE), Dehradun, Mr Lalit Mohan Pant, Scientist-G (IRDE), Mr M.P. Singh (IRDE), Scientist-E (IRDE), Mr Neeraj Pandey, Scientist-E (IRDE), Dr Amit K. Agarwal Scientist-F (IRDE), Dr. M.K. Gupta, Scientist-F at Electro-optical Instruments Research Academy (ELOIRA)-Research Centre Imarat (RCI)-Hyderabad, Dr. Shravana Kumar, Principle Senior Scientist at CSIO-Chandigarh, Dr. Rasheed, Production Manager at ELOIRA-RCI-Hyderabad, T P Yuvaraj, Manager at GAIL, Dr Ashish Dwivedi, Assistant Manager at Barco Electronic Systems Private Limited, Mr Dilbag Singh, Senior technical assistant, CSIO-Chandigarh, Mr Gurjeet Singh, Senior technical assistant, CSIO-Chandigarh, Mr Irshad, Junior technical assistant, CSIO-Chandigarh, Mr Raghvendra, Technical officer (IRDE), Mr Sunil Kamboj, Technical officer (IRDE), Mr Seemat Rawat, Technical officer (IRDE), Mr Shankar, Technical officer (IRDE), Mr Santosh Technical officer (IRDE), Mr Nitin, Technical officer (IRDE), Mr Parveen Kumar, Technical officer (IRDE), Mr Satyanarayana, Technical engineer at ELOIRA-RCI-Hyderabad, Dr Satyaranjan Bairagi, Post-doc fellow from the University of Glasgow, Assist. Prof. Md Shahadat from the University of Sains Malaysia, for their valuable help, support, and discussions during all my research period.

Further, I thank my colleagues and lab friends: Mrs Deblina Sabui (PhD Scholar), Mr Avijit Prakash (PhD Scholar), Mr Arpit Gupta (PhD Scholar), Mr Kundan Prasad (PhD Scholar), Mr Rajbir Singh (PhD Scholar), Mrs Purva Sharnagat (PhD Scholar), Dr Vipender Singh Negi (Principle Project Scientist), Mr Iqbal Ahmed Ansari (Junior Technical Assistant), Mr Md Ataul Haque (Project Associate), Mr Vikram Singh Bhandari (PhD Scholar), Mr Anupam Choudhury (PhD Scholar), Mr Gulshitab Aalam (PhD Scholar), Mrs Swagata Banerjee (PhD Scholar), Sourav Banerjee (PhD Scholar), Mrs Sneha Saha (PhD Scholar), and other friends of IITD for their love and support during my PhD.

I also acknowledge Central Research Facilities and Nanoscale Research Facility staff for supporting different characterization facilities. I thank the Ministry of Education, Government of India, for JRF and SRF fellowship.

I sincerely thank all my teachers from childhood education to Post Graduation, particularly Assist. Prof. Umran Kurtan, Istanbul Üniversitesi (Post Graduation), Assist. Prof. Ayse Demir Korkmaz, İstanbul Medeniyet Üniversitesi (Post Graduation), Mr Tafazzul Hussain (High School), Dr Abdul Khaliq (High School), Mr Md Saquib (Private tutor), Mr Riyaz Ahmed (High School), Assist. Professor Md Jamaluddin (High School), Education Support Council (ESC), Kolkata, for their valuable teaching, love, and support in my life.

Finally, the warmest thanks go to my parents, Saberun Nissa (mother) and Md Islam (father), my sister Afreen Nisha, my brother Md Imran, and My wife, Dr Roquaiya Shakil, without whom this achievement would not have been possible. Thanks also go to all my other family

members and relatives, especially my late uncle Mir Faiz Ali and My aunty Aktheri Begum, and friends, Md Raza, Ghulam Murtaza, and Md Ashfaq. They all taught me great lessons and were the greatest support in my life. A special thanks to my little princess, my daughter Anam Amir and my nieces Umair Imran, Humza Belal, Uzair Imran, and Anabiya Imran, whose love inspired me to work hard and achieve my future goal.

Forever the glory goes to the **ALMIGHTY GOD**; all things are possible through him. In Him, I put my trust.

“Indeed, we belong to him, and indeed to him, we will return”. Quran 2:156

Thank you all...!!!



Md Amir

Abstract

The aim of this research is to develop nanomaterial-based abrasives that can improve the efficiency and effectiveness of the chemical-mechanical optical polishing process for superfinish surfaces. The focus is on overcoming the limitations of the conventional abrasive particle by producing nano-sized abrasive particles with required features such as fine particles, narrow particle size distribution, high surface area, and high zeta potential. This allows more efficient cutting and polishing of the glass surface, resulting in a smoother and more uniform surface finish. The research involves the development and characterization of the nanoabrasives and investigating their polishing efficiency using different polishing parameters such as pH, concentration, and polishing time. The research also investigated the impact of the chemical and mechanical action of the CMP by the nanoabrasive particles on the polishing performance.

Magnetic field-based polishing techniques, such as MRF, BEMRF, and their variations, rely on two essential factors: the finishing abrasive and the magnetic particles. However, the presence of magnetic particles can impede the direct contact of non-magnetic abrasive particles with the surface to be polished, leading to a decrease in the overall polishing efficiency. Therefore, the effectiveness of magnetic-assisted finishing depends on the ability of the active abrasive particles to interact with the surface being polished. Additionally, the optical and semiconductor industries use a significant amount of commercial abrasives for polishing, which cannot be recycled or reused, resulting in significant waste. This research addresses this issue by introducing an abrasive system that can provide Angstrom-level surface roughness in a sustainable and reusable manner for both magnetic and non-magnetic optical fabrication processes.

The following four major investigations have been carried out to achieve the goal of the research work in the thesis.

- A magnetic nanoparticle-based abrasive was developed to fabricate superfinish surfaces via a conventional chemical mechanical polishing process.
- To further resolve the limitation of the bare nanoparticle-based abrasive, a functionalization process was utilized to alter the bare-nanoparticle's physical and chemical features, such as particle size and its distribution, high surface area, and high zeta potential. This allowed to lower the surface roughness of the polished surface to one Angstrom.

- To eliminate the need for two types of particles, such as magnetic particles and abrasive particles (non-magnetic), for the MR-based finishing process, a dual nature magnetic nanoparticle was developed and utilized in BEMRF polishing.
- To further enhanced the finishing efficiency of dual-nature magnetic nanoparticle-based MR fluid, a hybrid core-shell-based nanoabrasive was developed for both magnetic and non-magnetic polishing processes.

It is expected that the results of this study will substantially increase the efficiency of traditional polishing abrasives of both magnetic and non-magnetic processes for the development of superfinish optical surfaces.

The first chapter of this thesis presents the needs and applications of superfinish optical components and fabrication technologies available for such optical component along with its metrology techniques, challenges involved with the commercially available abrasive for superfine optical components. The second chapter introduces the nanomaterial-based nanoabrasive and its applications in optical fabrication. Importance of the magnetic nanoparticles and a list of possible synthesis methods are also described along with their characterization techniques.

The third chapter of the thesis focuses on the development of a SPION nanoparticle-based nanoabrasive for superfine optical polishing. Detailed characterizations of the developed nanoabrasive are presented along with its precision polishing performance for the development of superfinish substrate. Moreover, the polishing performance of the SPION nanoabrasives is also compared with a cerium nanoabrasive. In fourth chapter, the effect of different surface modifiers on the structural, surface morphology, particle size, dispersion property and chemical mechanical polishing performance of the bared SPION nanoparticle are investigated in detailed for the development of superfinish optical surface.

The fifth chapter presents the development of a dual nature magnetic nanoparticle-based abrasives for magnetic field assisted polishing process. Ball end magnetorheological finishing is chosen for finishing in this study using developed dual-nature magnetic nanoparticle. The superparamagnetic characteristics of the magnetic nanoparticle aid in surface finishing without the usage of another magnetic particle like carbonyl iron particles. The sixth chapter focuses on the development of the recyclable and reusable core-shell-based hybrid nanoabrasive for both magnetic and non-magnetic polishing process for the development of superfinish optical substrates. In the seventh chapter, the advantages, limitations, and the scope for future studies

of the of the research works on developed SPION-based nanoabrasives for the development of superfinish optical surface are discussed as conclusions.

सारांश

इस शोध का उद्देश्य नैनोमटेरियल-आधारित अपघर्षक को विकसित करना है जो कि सुपरफिनिश सतहों के लिए रासायनिक-यांत्रिक ऑप्टिकल पॉलिशिंग प्रक्रिया की दक्षता और प्रभावशीलता में सुधार कर सकता है। महीन कण, संकीर्ण कण आकार वितरण, उच्च सतह क्षेत्र और उच्च ज़ेटा क्षमता जैसी आवश्यक विशेषताओं के साथ नैनो-आकार के अपघर्षक कणों का उत्पादन करके पारंपरिक अपघर्षक कणों की सीमाओं पर काबू पाने पर ध्यान केंद्रित किया गया है। इससे कांच की सतह को अधिक कुशल तरीके से काटने और पॉलिश करने की सहायता मिलती है, जिसके परिणामस्वरूप एक चिकनी और अधिक समान सतह पर फिनिश प्राप्त होती है। अनुसंधान में नैनोएब्रेसिक्स का विकास और लक्षण वर्णन शामिल है और पीएच, एकाग्रता और पॉलिशिंग का समय जैसे विभिन्न पॉलिशिंग मापदंडों का उपयोग करके उनकी पॉलिशिंग दक्षता की जांच की जाती है। शोध में पॉलिशिंग प्रदर्शन पर नैनो-अपघर्षक कणों द्वारा सीएमपी की रासायनिक और यांत्रिक क्रिया के प्रभाव की भी जांच की गई।

चुंबकीय क्षेत्र-आधारित पॉलिशिंग तकनीक, जैसे एमआरएफ, बीईएमआरएफ, और उनकी विविधताएं, दो आवश्यक कारकों पर निर्भर करती हैं: परिष्करण, अपघर्षक और चुंबकीय कण। हालाँकि, चुंबकीय कणों की उपस्थिति पॉलिश की जाने वाली सतह के साथ गैर-चुंबकीय अपघर्षक कणों के सीधे संपर्क में बाधा डाल सकती है, जिससे समग्र पॉलिशिंग दक्षता में कमी आ सकती है। इसलिए, चुंबकीय-सहायता वाली फिनिशिंग की प्रभावशीलता पॉलिश की जा रही सतह के साथ बातचीत करने के लिए सक्रिय अपघर्षक कणों की क्षमता पर निर्भर करती है। इसके अतिरिक्त, ऑप्टिकल और सेमीकंडक्टर उद्योग पॉलिशिंग के लिए बड़ी मात्रा में वाणिज्यिक अपघर्षक का उपयोग करते हैं, जिन्हें पुनर्नवीनीकरण या पुनः उपयोग नहीं किया जा सकता है, जिसके परिणामस्वरूप अधिक मात्रा में अपशिष्ट बनता है। यह शोध एक अपघर्षक प्रणाली की शुरुआत करके इस मुद्दे को संबोधित करती है जो चुंबकीय और गैर-चुंबकीय ऑप्टिकल निर्माण प्रक्रियाओं दोनों के लिए टिकाऊ और पुनः प्रयोज्य तरीके से एंगस्ट्रॉम-स्तरीय सतह खुरदरापन प्रदान कर सकता है। थीसिस में शोध कार्य के लक्ष्य को प्राप्त करने के लिए निम्नलिखित चार प्रमुख जाँचे की गई हैं।

- एक पारंपरिक रासायनिक यांत्रिक पॉलिशिंग प्रक्रिया के माध्यम से सुपरफिनिश सतहों को बनाने के लिए एक चुंबकीय नैनोकण-आधारित अपघर्षक विकसित किया गया था।
- नग्न नैनोकण-आधारित अपघर्षक की सीमा को और अधिक हल करने के लिए, नग्न नैनोकणों की भौतिक और रासायनिक विशेषताओं, जैसे कण आकार और उसके वितरण, उच्च सतह क्षेत्र और उच्च ज़ेटा क्षमता

को बदलने के लिए एक कार्यात्मकता प्रक्रिया का उपयोग किया गया था। इससे पॉलिश की गई सतह की खुरदरापन को एक एंगस्ट्रॉम तक कम करना संभव हो गया।

- एमआर-आधारित परिष्करण प्रक्रिया के लिए दो प्रकार के कणों, जैसे चुंबकीय कण और अपघर्षक कण (गैर-चुंबकीय) की आवश्यकता को खत्म करने के लिए, एक दोहरी प्रकृति चुंबकीय नैनोकण विकसित किया गया था और बीईएमआरएफ पॉलिशिंग में उपयोग किया गया था।

- दोहरे प्रकृति वाले चुंबकीय नैनोकण-आधारित एमआर द्रव की परिष्करण दक्षता को और बढ़ाने के लिए, चुंबकीय और गैर-चुंबकीय पॉलिशिंग प्रक्रियाओं दोनों के लिए एक हाइब्रिड कोर-शेल-आधारित नैनोअपघर्षक विकसित किया गया था।

यह उम्मीद की जाती है कि इस अध्ययन के नतीजे सुपरफिनिश ऑप्टिकल सतहों के विकास के लिए चुंबकीय और गैर-चुंबकीय दोनों प्रक्रियाओं के पारंपरिक पॉलिशिंग अपघर्षक की दक्षता में काफी वृद्धि करेंगे।

इस थीसिस का पहला अध्याय ऐसे ऑप्टिकल घटक के लिए उपलब्ध सुपरफिनिश ऑप्टिकल घटकों और निर्माण प्रौद्योगिकियों की जरूरतों और अनुप्रयोगों को प्रस्तुत करता है, साथ ही इसकी मेट्रोलॉजी तकनीकों, सुपरफाइन ऑप्टिकल घटकों के लिए व्यावसायिक रूप से उपलब्ध अपघर्षक से जुड़ी चुनौतियों को भी प्रस्तुत करता है। दूसरा अध्याय नैनोमटेरियल-आधारित नैनोअपघर्षक और ऑप्टिकल निर्माण में इसके अनुप्रयोगों का परिचय देता है। चुंबकीय नैनोकणों का महत्व और उनके लक्षण वर्णन तकनीकों के साथ संभावित संश्लेषण विधियों की एक सूची भी वर्णित है।

थीसिस का तीसरा अध्याय सुपरफाइन ऑप्टिकल पॉलिशिंग के लिए SPION नैनोकण-आधारित नैनोअपघर्षक के विकास पर केंद्रित है। सुपरफिनिश सबस्ट्रेट के विकास के लिए इसके सटीक पॉलिशिंग प्रदर्शन के साथ विकसित नैनोएब्रेसिव के विस्तृत लक्षण प्रस्तुत किए गए हैं। इसके अलावा, SPION नैनोएब्रेसिव्स के पॉलिशिंग प्रदर्शन की तुलना सीरियम नैनोएब्रेसिव से भी की जाती है। चौथे अध्याय में, सुपरफिनिश ऑप्टिकल सतह के विकास के लिए नग्न SPION नैनोकण की संरचनात्मक, सतह आकृति विज्ञान, कण आकार, फैलाव विशेषता और रासायनिक यांत्रिक पॉलिशिंग प्रदर्शन पर विभिन्न सतह संशोधक के प्रभाव की विस्तृत जांच की गई है।

पाँचवाँ अध्याय चुंबकीय क्षेत्र सहायता प्राप्त पॉलिशिंग प्रक्रिया के लिए दोहरी प्रकृति वाले चुंबकीय नैनोकण-आधारित अपघर्षक के विकास को प्रस्तुत करता है। विकसित दोहरे प्रकृति वाले चुंबकीय

नैनोकण का उपयोग करके इस अध्ययन में परिष्करण के लिए बॉल एवं मैग्नेटोरियोलॉजिकल फिनिशिंग को चुना गया है। चुंबकीय नैनोकण की सुपरपराचुंबकीय विशेषताएं कार्बोनिल लौह कणों जैसे किसी अन्य चुंबकीय कण के उपयोग के बिना सतह परिष्करण में सहायता करती हैं। छठा अध्याय दोनों मैग्रीज़ के लिए पुनः प्रयोज्य और पुनः प्रयोज्य कोर-शेल-आधारित हाइब्रिड नैनोअपघर्षक के विकास पर केंद्रित है।

Contents

| | |
|---|-------|
| <i>Certificate</i> | i |
| Acknowledgements | ii |
| <i>Abstract</i> | v |
| List of Figures | xv |
| List of Tables | xxii |
| List of abbreviations | xxiii |
| Chapter 1: Introduction | 1 |
| 1.1 Optical fabrication process | 1 |
| 1.1.1 Non-magnetic field-assisted polishing | 3 |
| 1.1.2 Magnetic field-assisted polishing process | 8 |
| 1.2 Metrology techniques for the measurement of the surface roughness of the superfinish optical surface | 11 |
| 1.2.1 Contact-type surface roughness measuring technique | 12 |
| 1.2.2 Non-contact-based technique | 14 |
| 1.3 Surface roughness evaluation parameters for superfinish surface | 17 |
| 1.3.1 Amplitude-Based parameters | 17 |
| 1.3.2 Spatial parameters | 19 |
| 1.4 Commercially available abrasives for precision optical polishing | 21 |
| 1.5 Challenges with the commercially available abrasives for superfinish optical polishing | 23 |
| Chapter 2: Literature survey: Abrasives and their development & characterization techniques | 25 |
| 2.1 Magnetic nanoparticles | 26 |
| 2.1.1 Superparamagnetic iron oxide nanoparticle (SPION) | 27 |
| 2.2 Synthesis techniques of magnetic nanoparticle-based abrasives | 30 |
| 2.2.1 Chemical synthesis | 31 |
| 2.3 Characterization techniques of magnetic nanoparticle-based abrasives | 34 |
| 2.3.1 X-ray diffraction (XRD) | 34 |
| 2.3.2 X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) | 34 |
| 2.3.3 Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR) | 35 |
| 2.3.4 Field emission electron microscope (FESEM) | 35 |
| 2.3.5 Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) | 35 |
| 2.3.6 Brunauer–Emmett–Teller (BET) | 35 |
| 2.3.7 Vibrating sample magnetometry (VSM) | 36 |
| 2.3.8 Dynamic light scattering (DLS) | 36 |

| | |
|--|-----------|
| 2.4 Motivation and Objective of the Thesis..... | 36 |
| Chapter 3: Development of SPION-based nanoabrasive | 38 |
| 3.1 Synthesis and Characterization | 38 |
| 3.1.1 Synthesis of nanoparticle-based nanoabrasives | 38 |
| 3.1.2 Characterization of the developed SPION-nanoabrasives..... | 39 |
| 3.2 Chemical mechanical polishing performance of the developed SPION nanoabrasive-based slurries | 45 |
| 3.2.1 Polishing by the colloidal solution of the developed SPION nanoabrasive-based slurries | 46 |
| 3.2.2 Polishing by the colloidal solution of nano CeO ₂ -based slurry | 50 |
| 3.3 Discussion on the polishing mechanism of SPION-based nanoabrasives | 51 |
| 3.4 Conclusions | 53 |
| Chapter 4: Effects of different surface modifiers on the polishing performance of SPION nanoabrasive..... | 55 |
| 4.1 Background..... | 55 |
| 4.2 Synthesis and characterization of the functionalized nanoabrasive..... | 57 |
| 4.2.1 Materials used for the synthesis..... | 57 |
| 4.2.2 Synthesis of functionalized SPION-based nanoabrasives | 57 |
| 4.2.3 Characterization of the functionalized SPION-based nanoabrasives..... | 59 |
| 4.3 CMP polishing experiments and performance of the functionalized SPION nanoabrasives-based slurries | 74 |
| 4.3.1 Polishing performance of Cysteine functionalized SPION nanoabrasive..... | 75 |
| 4.3.2 Polishing performance of Glutamic acid functionalized SPION nanoabrasive | 78 |
| 4.3.3 Polishing performance of Malic acid functionalized SPION nanoabrasive | 81 |
| 4.3.4 Polishing performance of Tartaric acids functionalized SPION nanoabrasives.... | 86 |
| 4.4 Material removal mechanism of the functionalised SPION-based polishing slurries | 87 |
| 4.5 Conclusions | 91 |
| Chapter 5: Development of dual nature magnetic nanoparticle-based abrasive for BEMRF process..... | 93 |
| 5.1 Background..... | 93 |
| 5.2 Experimental study | 94 |
| 5.2.1 Preparation of magnetic nanoparticles (SPION)-based abrasives | 94 |
| 5.2.2 BEMRF-based polishing experiment | 95 |
| 5.3 Results and discussion..... | 96 |
| 5.3.1 Structural and morphological characterizations of magnetic nanoparticle-based abrasive..... | 96 |

| | | |
|----------------------|--|-----|
| 5.3.1 | Magnetic property of the prepared magnetic nanoparticle-based abrasive..... | 98 |
| 5.3.2 | Dispersion and sedimentation properties of the magnetic nanoparticle (SPION)- base MR fluid | 99 |
| 5.3.3 | BEMRF polishing performance of the prepared magnetic nanoparticle (SPION) based MR fluid..... | 100 |
| 5.4 | Material removal mechanism of the SPION abrasive-based MR fluid..... | 101 |
| 5.5 | Conclusions | 103 |
| Chapter 6: | Development of magnetic core-shell-based hybrid nanoabrasive | 105 |
| 6.1 | Synthesis of magnetic core-shell-based hybrid nanoabrasive | 106 |
| 6.1.1 | Preparation of magnetic nanocomposites | 106 |
| 6.1.2 | Preparation of hybrid core shell-based nanoabrasive with SiO ₂ | 106 |
| 6.2 | Characterization of the hybrid magnetic core-shell-based nanoabrasive..... | 107 |
| 6.2.1 | Structural analysis | 107 |
| 6.2.2 | Morphological, particle size, and surface area analysis | 109 |
| 6.2.3 | Magnetic property of the hybrid core-shell-based nanoabrasive..... | 112 |
| 6.2.4 | Zeta potential of the hybrid core-shell nanoabrasive-based slurries | 112 |
| 6.3 | Polishing performance of the core-shell-based hybrid nanoabrasive..... | 113 |
| 6.4 | Material removal mechanism of the core-shell-based hybrid nanoabrasive | 114 |
| 6.5 | Conclusions | 116 |
| Chapter 7: | Conclusions and future scope of the research..... | 117 |
| References | | 120 |
| List of publications | | 138 |
| Curriculum Vitae | | 141 |

List of Figures

| Fig. No. | Description | Page No. |
|----------|--|----------|
| 1.1 | (a-b) Conventional CMP-based polishing | 4 |
| 1.2 | Ultra-precision CNC polishing machine tool with five axes, (a) the external appearance of the machine tool, (b) the internal structure of the machine tool and (c) the principle of 7-axes fluid Jet and bonnet-based CNC machine | 5 |
| 1.3 | a) Interfacial scenario of polishing slurry and polishing pad with the abrasive before polishing, (b) during the polishing, (c) chemical reaction between the upper surface of the workpiece and abrasive particle during CMP polishing, and (d) mechanical action of the CMP during polishing | 8 |
| 1.4 | MRF polishing process | 9 |
| 1.5 | Schematic representation of BEMRF polishing machine | 10 |
| 1.6 | Material removal mechanism of MFAP process | 11 |
| 1.7 | Stylus-based mechanical profilometer | 13 |
| 1.8 | AFM-based surface roughness measurement system | 14 |
| 1.9 | Laser scanning microscope for surface roughness measurement | 15 |
| 1.10 | White light interferometer based on the Mirau interferometry principle for surface roughness measurement | 16 |
| 1.11 | Surface irregularity of a line with surface roughness for an evaluation length | 19 |
| 1.12 | Two different surfaces having the same Ra and Rq | 19 |
| 1.13 | Frequency distribution by PSD analysis | 20 |

| | | |
|-------------|--|----|
| 1.14 | Surface profile composed of form, waviness, and roughness | 21 |
| | | |
| 2.1 | Magnetic property and crystal structure of magnetic nanoparticle (Fe_3O_4) | 28 |
| 2.2 | Chemical structures of different types of magnetic nanomaterials | 30 |
| 2.3 | Different synthesis processes for nanomaterials | 31 |
| 2.4 | Molecularly dissolved metal salt concentration at processing time. graph before and after nucleation and growth | 32 |
| | | |
| 3.1 | Synthesis route of SPION nanoabrasive | 38 |
| 3.2 | (a) XRD powder pattern, (b) FT-IR spectra, and (c) EDX spectra of SPION nanoabrasives | 40 |
| 3.3 | (a-b) FESEM images at different magnifications, (c) TEM image and (d) particle size distribution curve of the SPION nanoabrasive | 41 |
| 3.4 | (a) Nitrogen adsorption/desorption curve and (b) pore size distribution curve of the powder sample of SPION nanoabrasive | 42 |
| 3.5 | Zeta potential variation with pH of the SPION nanoabrasive-based polishing slurries | 43 |
| 3.6 | (a) M-H hysteresis curve of the SPION nanoabrasive at room temperature and (b) magnetic separation process of the nanoabrasive with the help of an external magnetic | 44 |
| 3.7 | Schematic representation of the CMP polishing by polyurethane pad with SPION nanoabrasive-based slurry | 45 |
| 3.8 | Measured Sa of the BK7 optical disc before and after different polishing times with the developed SPION nanoabrasive at pH=5 | 47 |
| 3.9 | Measured Ra of the BK7 optical disc before and after different polishing times with the developed SPION nanoabrasive at pH=5 | 48 |
| 3.10 | Measured Sa and Ra of the BK7 optical disc before and after different polishing times with the developed SPION nanoabrasive at pH=7 | 48 |

| | | |
|-------------|--|----|
| 3.11 | Measured Sa of the BK7 optical disc before and after different polishing times with the developed SPION nanoabrasive at pH=12 | 49 |
| 3.12 | Measured Sa of the BK7 optical disc before and after different polishing times with the developed SPION nanoabrasive at pH=12 | 49 |
| 3.13 | Sa value of polished surface by SPION-nanoabrasive based slurry at the pH of 12 measured via AFM | 50 |
| 3.14 | Images of (a) unpolished and (b) polished BK7 optical surface | 50 |
| 3.15 | Sa value of BK7 glass disc polished by CeO ₂ nanoabrasive-based slurries at different polishing times | 51 |
| 3.16 | Ra value of BK7 glass disc polished by CeO ₂ nanoabrasive-based slurry at different polishing times | 51 |
| 3.17 | The polishing mechanism of the developed SPION nanoabrasives-based slurry with optical glass | 53 |
| | | |
| 4.1 | Synthesis route of the functionalized SPION nanoabrasive with different surface modifiers | 57 |
| 4.2 | Surface modification of SPION with different surface modifiers | 59 |
| 4.3 | XRD powder pattern of (a) Malic acid, (b) Glutamic acid, and (c) Cysteine functionalized SPION nanoabrasives | 60 |
| 4.4 | XPS spectra of (a-b) Malic acid, (c) Glutamic acid, and (d) Cysteine functionalized SPION nanoabrasives | 62 |
| 4.5 | FT-IR spectra of (a) bare Malic acid, (b) Malic acid-functionalized SPION nanoabrasive, (c) bare Glutamic acid, (d) Glutamic acid-functionalized SPION nanoabrasive, (e) bare Cysteine, and (f) Cysteine-functionalized SPION nanoabrasive | 64 |
| 4.6 | FE-SEM images of (a-b) Malic acid, (c-d) Glutamic acid, and (e-f) Cysteine functionalized SPION nanoabrasives at two different magnifications | 66 |

| | | |
|-------------|---|----|
| 4.7 | TEM image and particle size distribution curve of (a-b) Malic acid, (c-d) Glutamic acid, and (e-f) Cysteine functionalized SPION nanoabrasives | 67 |
| 4.8 | Elemental mapping and EDX spectra of (a-b) Malic acid, (c-d) Glutamic acid, and (e-f) Cysteine functionalized SPION nanoabrasives | 68 |
| 4.9 | Nitrogen adsorption/desorption curve of (a-b) Malic acid, (c-d) Glutamic acid, and (e-f) Cysteine functionalized SPION nanoabrasives | 69 |
| 4.10 | (a) M-H hysteresis curve and (b) plots of Magnetization σ versus. $1/H^2$ for Malic acid, (c) L-glutamic acid, and (d) Cysteine functionalized SPION nanoabrasives at room temperature | 71 |
| 4.11 | Zeta potential variation with respect to pH of (a-b) Malic acid, (c-d) Glutamic acid, and (e-f) Cysteine-functionalized SPION nanoabrasives-based polishing slurries | 73 |
| 4.12 | Effects of the pH on the adsorption of Malic acid functionalized SPION nanoabrasive-based slurries via IR spectrum | 74 |
| 4.13 | The schematic representation of the CMP process with polyurethane pad using the functionalized SPION nanoabrasives-based slurries | 75 |
| 4.14 | Measured Sa and Ra of the BK7 glass discs using Cysteine-functionalized SPION nanoabrasive-based slurry at different pH values of (a-b) pH=5, (c-d) pH=7, and (e-f) pH=12 and at different polishing times | 76 |
| 4.15 | Measured Sa and Ra of pre-polished and polished BK7 glass discs using Cysteine-functionalized SPION nanoabrasive-based slurries at different pH values of (a-d) pH=5, (e-h) pH=7, and (i-l) pH=12 | 77 |
| 4.16 | Measured Sa via AFM of the polished surface using the Cysteine-functionalized SPION nanoabrasive-based slurry | 78 |
| 4.17 | Measured Sa and Ra of BK7 glass discs using Glutamic acid-functionalized SPION nanoabrasive-based slurries at different pH values of (a-b) pH=5, (c-d) pH=7, and (e-f) pH=12 and at different polishing times | 79 |

| | | |
|-------------|--|----|
| 4.18 | Measured Sa and Ra of pre-polished and polished BK7 glass discs using Glutamic acid-functionalized SPION nanoabrasive-based slurries at different pH values of (a-d) pH=5, (e-h) pH=7, and (i-l) pH=12 | 80 |
| 4.19 | Measured Sa via AFM of the polished surface using the Glutamic acid-functionalized SPION nanoabrasive-based slurry | 81 |
| 4.20 | Measured Sa and Ra of BK7 glass discs using Malic acid-functionalized SPION nanoabrasive-based slurries at different pH values of (a-b) pH=5, (c-d) pH=7, and (e-f) pH=12 and at different polishing times | 82 |
| 4.21 | Measured Sa and Ra of pre-polished and polished BK7 glass discs using Malic acid-functionalized SPION nanoabrasive-based slurries at different pH values of (a-d) pH=5, (e-h) pH=7, and (i-l) pH=12 | 83 |
| 4.22 | Measured Sa via AFM of the polished surface using the Malic acid-functionalized SPION nanocomposites-based slurry | 84 |
| 4.23 | Measured Sa and Ra of (a-b) pre-polished surface and (c-d) polished fused silica glass disc using the Malic acid-functionalized SPION nanoabrasive-based slurry at pH=12 via pad-based polishing | 85 |
| 4.24 | Measured (a) Sa and (b) Ra of fused silica glass disc using the Malic acid-functionalized SPION nanoabrasive-based slurry at different polishing times via polyurethane pad-based polishing | 85 |
| 4.25 | Measured Sa and Ra of (a-b) pre-polished and (c-d) polished fused silica glass sample, respectively, using Malic acid-functionalized SPION nanoabrasive-based slurry at pH=12 via pitch-based polishing | 86 |
| 4.26 | Measured (a) Sa and (b) Ra of final polished fused silica glass sample, using Tartaric acid-functionalized SPION nanoabrasive-based slurry at pH=12 via pitch-based polishing. | 87 |
| 4.27 | The schematic of the polishing mechanism of developed functionalized SPION nanoabrasives-based slurries | 91 |
| | | |
| 5.1 | BEMR-based polishing setup using the magnetic nanoparticle-based MR fluid | 95 |
| 5.2 | XRD powder pattern of magnetic nanoparticle based nanoabrasive | 97 |

| | | |
|------------|--|-----|
| 5.3 | IR spectra of powder sample of the magnetic nanoparticle-based nanoabrasive | 97 |
| 5.4 | (a-b) FE-SEM images at different magnifications, (c) TEM image, and (d) particle size distribution of magnetic nanoparticle-based abrasive | 98 |
| 5.5 | (a) Magnetization (σ) vs magnetic field (H) curve and (b) plots of Magnetization σ vs $1/H^2$ for magnetic nanoparticle-based abrasive | 99 |
| 5.6 | (a) Visual sedimentation test and (b) Zeta potential of SPION nanoabrasive-based MR fluid | 100 |
| 5.7 | Measured Sa and Ra of (a-b) pre-polished and (c-d) polished BK7 glass samples, respectively, using SPION nanoabrasive-based MR fluid via the BEMRF process | 101 |
| 5.8 | Schematic of (a) flow of magnetic flux due to the induced magnetic field and the SPION magnetic particle (b) “CIP- Abrasive arrangement in existing MRF process and its variants and (c) Arrangement of SPION nanoabrasive in the current study are shown in BEMRF process | 103 |
| | | |
| 6.1 | Synthesis route of magnetic SPION core-shell-based hybrid nanoabrasive | 107 |
| 6.2 | XRD powder pattern of magnetic core-shell based hybrid nanoabrasive | 108 |
| 6.3 | (a) XPS spectra of the powder samples of the magnetic core-shell-based hybrid nanoabrasive in the range of 100-780 eV, and the deconvoluted peaks of (b) Fe 2p, (c) O 1s, (d) Si 2p, and (e) C 1s | 108 |
| 6.4 | (a-b) FESEM images at different magnifications, (c) EDX spectra along with Elemental mapping of (d) Carbon, (e) Oxygen, (f) Silicon, and (g) Iron, of the powder samples of the magnetic core-shell-based hybrid nanoabrasive | 110 |
| 6.5 | (a-b) TEM images at two different magnifications along with (c) particle size distribution analysis of the magnetic core-shell-based hybrid nanoabrasive | 111 |
| 6.6 | (a) BET adsorption/desorption curve and (b) pore size distribution of the powder samples of the magnetic core-shell-based hybrid nanoabrasive | 111 |

| | | |
|-------------|--|-----|
| 6.7 | Magnetic measurement of the powder samples of (a) functionalized magnetic nanoabrasive and (b) magnetic core-shell-based hybrid nanoabrasive via AGM curve | 112 |
| 6.8 | (a) Zeta potential analysis of the magnetic core-shell hybrid nanoabrasive-based slurries at different pH values along with (b) the measured zeta potential of the pH=12 slurry. | 113 |
| 6.9 | Measured Sa values of the glass sample polished using the magnetic core-shell nanoabrasive-based slurry at (a) 0 min, (b) 30 mins, (c) 60 mins, (d) 90 mins, (e) 120 mins, (f) 150 mins, (g) 210 mins, (h) 240 mins, and (i) 270 mins of polishing times | 115 |
| 6.10 | Measured Ra values of the glass sample polished using the magnetic core-shell nanoabrasive-based slurry at (a) 0 min, (b) 30 mins, (c) 60 mins, (d) 90 mins, (e) 120 mins, (f) 150 mins, (g) 180 mins, (h) 210 mins, (i) 240 mins, and (i) 270 mins of polishing times | 115 |

List of Tables

| Table No. | Description | Page No. |
|-----------|--|----------|
| 1.1 | Measurement capabilities of contact and non-contact-based measuring instruments | 16 |
| 1.2 | Cut-off (λ_c) filtering range for different rough optical surfaces | 21 |
| 3.1 | Magnetic properties of the SPION nanoabrasive | 44 |
| 3.2 | Polishing performance of SPION-based nanoabrasive at different pH on glass specimen disc | 46 |
| 4.1 | Molecular structure of selected surface modifiers for the functionalized SPION nanoabrasives | 58 |
| 4.2 | Estimated crystal size of the functionalized nanoabrasives | 60 |
| 4.3 | Average particle size (APS) and particle size distribution (PSD) of different functionalized SPION-nanoabrasives | 67 |
| 4.4 | Estimated pore size, volume, and surface area of different functionalized SPION-nanoabrasives | 69 |
| 4.5 | Magnetic properties of different functionalized SPION nanoabrasives | 71 |
| 5.1 | BEMRF-based polishing parameters and conditions | 96 |
| 5.2 | Magnetic properties of magnetic nanoparticle-based abrasive | 99 |

List of abbreviations

| | |
|--------------|---|
| AFM | Atomic Force Microscope |
| AGM | Alternate Gradient Magnetometry |
| BEMRF | Ball-end magnetorheological finishing |
| BET | Brunauer–Emmett–Teller |
| BJH | Barret-Joyner-Halenda |
| CAD | Computer-Aided Design |
| CCI | Coherence Correlation Interferometry |
| CMP | Chemical mechanical polishing |
| CNC | Computer Numerical Control |
| DLS | Dynamic light scattering |
| EDX | Energy Dispersive X-ray |
| FESEM | Field Emission Electron Microscope |
| FTIR | Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy |
| FWHM | Full-Width Half Maximum |
| JCPDS | Joint Committee on Powder Diffraction Standards |
| MFAP | Magnetic Field-Assisted Polishing |
| MRF | Magnetorheological Finishing |
| MRR | Material Removal Rate |
| PPMS | physical properties measurement system |
| RMS | Root Mean Square |

| | |
|--------------|---|
| SPION | Superparamagnetic Iron Oxide Nanoparticle |
| TEM | Transmission electron microscopy |
| VSM | Vibrating Sample Magnetometry |
| W-H | Williamson-Hall |
| XPS | X-ray Photoelectron Spectroscopy |
| XRD | X-ray Diffraction |