

**BANK LIQUIDITY CREATION UNDER BASEL NORMS: EVIDENCE FROM THE  
ASIA-PACIFIC REGION**

**JUHI GUPTA**



**DEPARTMENT OF MANAGEMENT STUDIES  
INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY DELHI**

**MAY 2023**

© Indian Institute of Technology Delhi (IITD), New Delhi, 2023

**BANK LIQUIDITY CREATION UNDER BASEL NORMS: EVIDENCE FROM THE  
ASIA-PACIFIC REGION**

**by**

**JUHI GUPTA**

**Department of Management Studies**

**Submitted**

**In fulfilment of the requirements of the degree of Doctor of Philosophy**

**to the**



**Indian Institute of Technology Delhi**

**May 2023**

*Dedicated to my parents*

*For their endless love, support, and encouragement*

## CERTIFICATE

The thesis titled, “**Bank liquidity creation under Basel norms: Evidence from the Asia-Pacific Region**”, being submitted by **Ms. Juhi Gupta** to the Indian Institute of Technology Delhi, for the award of the degree of **Doctor of Philosophy** is a record of bonafide research work carried out by her. She has worked under my guidance and supervision and has fulfilled all the requirements for the submission of this thesis, which has attained the standard required for a Ph.D. degree at this institute. The results presented in this thesis have not been submitted elsewhere for the award of any degree or diploma.

**(Dr. Smita Kashiramka)**

Associate Professor

Department of Management Studies

Indian Institute of Technology Delhi

New Delhi

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This thesis marks the culmination of my incredible journey at the Department of Management Studies (DMS), Indian Institute of Technology Delhi. It has taken the efforts and generosity of many people for me to reach this point, and I am grateful for the opportunity to thank some of them here while many others remain carved in my memory. At the outset, I would like to thank the Almighty for his blessings, which have helped me to achieve this academic endeavor.

I would like to express my deepest gratitude and sincere thanks to my supervisor, **Prof. Smita Kashiramka**, for her dedicated guidance, generosity, and support. She has been an exceptional mentor to me with her remarkable research insights and enthusiasm to pursue interesting questions. She has always provided me the academic freedom to pursue my interests, ultimately leading to my growth as a researcher. Her unwavering belief in me, her constant encouragement, and her endless patience have made this possible. She has shown greater faith in me than I have in myself, and I am deeply grateful for her wisdom, care, and skill in applying just the right amount of pressure. Her ability to consistently provide positive yet constructive feedback is impressive, and I hope to emulate it one day.

I am thankful to my Student Research Committee (SRC) members: Chairperson - Prof. Shveta Singh (DMS, IIT Delhi), External expert(s) - Prof. Nomes Bolia (Department of Mechanical Engineering, IIT Delhi) and (Late) Prof. K. C. Iyer (Department of Civil Engineering, IIT Delhi), and Internal expert - Prof. Amlendu Dubey (DMS, IIT Delhi), for their time, encouragement, and support during various phases of the research work. Their insightful comments and constructive criticism of my research have strengthened this thesis.

I am profoundly grateful to numerous DMS faculty members for always being approachable and for their roles in shaping me as a researcher. Special mention goes to some professors who have had a particular influence on my work: Prof. P. K. Jain and Prof. Surendra S.

Yadav for helping me realize the power of critical reasoning, Prof. Vigneswara Ilavasaran for inspiring me with his enthusiasm for interactive lectures, and Prof. Amlendu Dubey for enhancing my concepts in econometrics.

I am honored and very grateful for the financial support provided by the University Grants Commission and IIT Delhi for my research, workshops, and international conferences. My sincere thanks go to the administrative staff of DMS, PG section, and Accounts section for their prompt support and kind care. I am grateful for the librarians and unparalleled resources of the IIT Delhi library that I have been so fortunate to have had access to during my research. I thank all the individuals working behind-the-scenes whose efforts made it easier for me to conduct a substantial part of my research online during the pandemic.

I sincerely thank the editors and reviewers of our manuscripts for their thoughtful comments and valuable feedback, which have helped me broaden the perspective of this thesis. I am beyond thankful to the Academy of Management Review for selecting me to participate in their bridge reviewer program and giving me an opportunity to develop my reviewing skills. Special thanks to Prof. Claudine Gartenberg (Wharton School, University of Pennsylvania) for mentoring me and providing me feedback on my review during the program.

I am very fortunate to have academic colleagues- but really, close friends- who celebrated my accomplishments and went through hard times with me by encouraging, loving, and supporting me throughout the tenure of my Ph.D.: Anjali Sain, Monika Dahiya, Samridhi Sawlani, and Surbhi Gupta. The extraordinary bond and countless precious memories woven together with them will forever hold a special place in my heart. I am also beyond thankful to have seniors like Dr. Nisha Thomas, Dr. Noor Rizvi, Dr. Pankaj Rawat, Dr. Prashant Gupta, Dr. Vikas Gupta, and Dr. Yukti Bajaj, who created a welcoming lab and always extended their help. I truly appreciate the support of all my research lab colleagues (especially Akanksha, Anita Ma'am, Ayushi, Dhulika, Kavita Ma'am, Mamta, Meghna, Navendu, Neha,

and Priyam). I also wish to convey my gratitude to several people who helped me with data collection and statistical analyses: Siddharth Chatterjee (Indian Statistical Institute, Delhi), Vinay Maurya (Indian Institute of Management Bangalore), Prof. Anat Tchetik (Ben Gurion University, Israel), and Prof. Naina Grover (O. P. Jindal University).

Throughout my doctoral journey, the love and faith of family and friends have been the most powerful and comforting form of support and sustenance. I dedicate this work to my lifetime mentor: my mother (Jaya Garg). I am grateful to her for keeping me nourished with food and prayers. She has been an incredible role model of faith and a best friend who has walked beside me my entire life. I am forever indebted to my father, Vinay Garg, for believing in my potential during the toughest days and encouraging me to keep moving despite difficulties. My parents have worked hard together to support my dreams and provide me with everything I needed to succeed. I am fortunate to have my brother, Amit Gupta, who always watches out for me. His perseverance in life constantly motivated me to keep pushing forward during this work. I am grateful to my late grandfather, K.P. Gupta, who continued learning till his last breath and always inspired me to follow the same path. Finally, this thesis would not have been a reality without the love and support of Pawan. He willingly listened to all of my frustrations and complaints, and his encouragement was always well-timed, even if it wasn't graciously received in the moment.

There are far more people than I can reasonably mention to whom I would like to extend my gratitude - my teachers, family members, and closest friends – for without them, I would never have made it to the Ph.D. program in the first place. They continue to be a source of inspiration for me.

Ph.D. has shaped the course of my life, inside academia and out. To everyone who has contributed their efforts to the realization of this thesis – Thank you!

**Juhi Gupta**

## ABSTRACT

The social and economic costs associated with the global financial crisis (GFC) reinstated the interest of policymakers in improving the resilience and stability of banks. While the pre-crisis regulations predominantly dealt with bank capital, the crisis drove home the importance of regulating bank liquidity. During the crisis, liquidity shortages led to a fire sale of assets by solvent banks. Due to the contagion effect, the unexpected failure of banks spilled over to the financial systems and the economies at large. Notably, post-GFC, the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision (BCBS) introduced liquidity regulations under Basel III, which require banks to maintain sufficient liquidity buffers. Though the regulations aim at enabling banks to withstand potential liquidity shocks, they can unintendedly reduce the supply of loans and increase the cost of funds, consequently hampering the liquidity creation (LC) of banks.

Banks create liquidity by funding long-term illiquid assets with short-term liquid liabilities and providing off-balance sheet loan commitments and guarantees. By channelizing funds from savers to borrowers, LC promotes both consumption and supply of goods and services in the economy. Though LC is necessary for a well-functioning financial system and economic growth, it also exposes banks to liquidity crunches and bank runs (as evidenced by GFC). Therefore, it is crucial to understand bank LC under Basel norms as it has important implications for policymakers and bank regulators.

The study is motivated to explore different aspects of bank LC. First, it identifies the bank-specific, macroeconomic, and regulatory factors that drive bank LC. Second, it examines the nonlinearity in the bi-directional relationship between LC and bank capital and probes if bank size moderates this relationship. Third, it investigates the impact of LC on bank stability, with the moderating effect of environmental, social, and governance (ESG) disclosures. Finally, it also presents disaggregated analyses for (i) advanced economies (AEs) and emerging market

and developing economies (EMDEs), (ii) Basel II (2006-2012) and Basel III (2013-2019) periods, and (iii) small and large banks.

To achieve these objectives, the study employs data from commercial banks across fourteen Asia-Pacific economies during the period 2006 to 2019. The Asia-Pacific region has risen to the forefront of global economic growth and enjoys a well-developed financial structure with a dominant role played by the banking sector. The sample of the study comprises 597 commercial banks with 5857 bank-year observations. A two-step system generalized method of moments (GMM) estimator is employed to identify the determinants of bank LC as well as to investigate the impact of LC on bank stability. Further, a simultaneous equations model with a two-step system GMM estimator is used to examine the bi-directional relationship between LC and capital. The GMM estimator not only accounts for the dynamic nature of the panel data but also corrects for endogeneity, serial correlation, and heteroscedasticity.

The main findings of the study indicate that LC is primarily driven by bank capital, income diversification, asset quality, concentration in the banking industry, stock market development, financial access, and banking regulations. However, the disaggregated analyses reveal heterogeneity in the factors driving LC across sub-samples. The analysis of LC and bank capital indicates a non-linear bi-directional relationship between the two variables, with a significant moderating effect of bank size. The relationship is U-shaped in all samples except for EMDEs (where it is inverted U-shaped). Lastly, LC has a positive impact on the stability of banks, with the exception of large banks. ESG disclosure positively moderates the stability effects of LC.

Based on the findings, the study makes key recommendations to policymakers, bank regulators, bank managers, and other stakeholders. To the regulators, supervisory authorities, and policymakers, the findings of the study caution against formulating ‘one-size-fits-all’ or

uniform blanket regulations as they might not be effective in controlling the risks associated with LC and bank capital. Specifically, they should implement regulations that are modified according to the specific risk profiles of the banks as well as the institutional and structural environment of the countries. For instance, since LC can destabilize large banks due to moral hazard problems, there is a need to monitor these institutions closely and impose regulatory limits on their size. The findings also raise a cautionary flag regarding the liquidity-destroying effects of Basel III liquidity norms, as curbing LC might prove to be counterproductive in enhancing the stability of the banking system. Finally, the results call for coordination between liquidity and capital regulations, as these are complementary mechanisms.

Concerning the bank managers, the study provides them with a better understanding of the impact of various bank-specific factors on LC and macroeconomic and regulatory conditions that can alter LC, facilitating their decision-making process. The study also recommends that managers of small banks and banks in emerging economies use ESG disclosure to mitigate information asymmetry, enhance their reputation, and partially alleviate the uncertainty associated with lower institutional quality. Lastly, the study recommends that potential investors should have a better understanding of bank-level factors before deciding to invest in a bank.

## सार

वैश्विक वित्तीय संकट (GFC) से जुड़ी सामाजिक और आर्थिक संकट ने नीति निर्माताओं का ध्यान बैंकों में स्थिरता और लचीलेपन (resilience) सुधार करने की ओर आकर्षित किया। इस संकट से पूर्व के नियम मुख्य रूप से बैंक पूंजी (capital) से संबंधित थे, परन्तु इस संकट ने चित्रित किया कि बैंक में तरलता (liquidity) को विनियमित करना महत्वपूर्ण है। संकट के दौरान तरलता की कमी के कारण पर्याप्त पूंजी वाले बैंकों को भी कम कीमतों पर संपत्ति की बिक्री करनी पड़ी। कॉन्टेजियन प्रभाव के कारण बैंकों की अप्रत्याशित विफलता वित्तीय प्रणालियों और अर्थव्यवस्थाओं में भी फैल गई। विशेषतः GFC के बाद बेसल कमेटी ऑन बैंकिंग सुपरविजन (BCBS) ने बेसल III के अंतर्गत चलनिधि नियम स्थापित किये जिसके तहत बैंकों को पर्याप्त तरलता बफर बनाए रखने की आवश्यकता है। यद्यपि विनियमों का उद्देश्य बैंकों को संभावित तरलता के झटकों का सामना करने में सक्षम बनाना है, परंतु यह नियम अनपेक्षित रूप से बैंक ऋण की आपूर्ति को कम कर सकते हैं और कोष की लागत में वृद्धि कर सकते हैं, जिसके परिणामस्वरूप बैंकों की तरलता सृजन (LC) में बाधा उत्पन्न हो सकती है।

बैंक तरलता सृजन के अंतर्गत दीर्घकालिक अल्पकालिक दायित्व का उपयोग करके अतरल संपत्तियों का वित्तपोषण करते हैं और ऑफ-बैलेंस शीट ऋण प्रतिबद्धता और गारंटी प्रदान करते हैं। LC बचतकर्ताओं से उधारकर्ताओं में धन अंतरण की सुविधा प्रदान करके अर्थव्यवस्था में वस्तुओं और सेवाओं की उपभोग और आपूर्ति दोनों को बढ़ावा देता है। यद्यपि LC एक सुसंचालित वित्तीय प्रणाली और आर्थिक विकास के लिए आवश्यक है, परंतु यह तरलता संकट और बैंक भगदड़ (GFC द्वारा प्रमाणित) उजागर करने की भी क्षमता रखता है। अतः बेसल मानदंडों के तहत बैंक LC के प्रभाव को समझना महत्वपूर्ण है क्योंकि इसका नीति निर्माताओं और बैंक नियामकों के लिए निर्णायक निहितार्थ है।

यह अध्ययन बैंक LC के विभिन्न पहलुओं का अन्वेषण करने के लिए प्रेरित है। सबसे पहले यह अध्ययन LC के बैंक-विशिष्ट, व्यापक आर्थिक और विनियामक और संस्थागत निर्धारकों की पहचान करता है। दूसरा, यह अध्ययन LC और बैंक पूंजी के बीच द्वि-दिशात्मक संबंध में गैर-रैखिकता का परीक्षण करता है और साथ में बैंक के आकार की इस संबंध में संयमित भूमिका का अनुसंधान करता है। तीसरा, यह अध्ययन बैंक की स्थिरता पर LC के प्रभाव का आकलन करता है और इस संबंध में पर्यावरण, सामाजिक, और शासन (ESG) प्रकटीकरण की संयमित भूमिका की जांच करता है। अंत में, यह (i) विकसित अर्थव्यवस्थाओं (AEs) और उभरते बाजार और विकासशील अर्थव्यवस्थाओं (EMDEs), (ii) बेसल II (2006-2012) और बेसल III (2013-2019), और (iii) छोटे और बड़े बैंक के लिए उप-विश्लेषण भी करता है।

उपरोक्त उद्देश्यों का आकलन करने के लिए यह अध्ययन 2006 से 2019 की अवधि के दौरान चौदह एशिया-प्रशांत (Asia-Pacific) अर्थव्यवस्थाओं में वाणिज्यिक बैंकों के डेटा का उपयोग करता है। एशिया-प्रशांत क्षेत्र वैश्विक आर्थिक विकास में प्रमुख स्थान प्राप्त कर चुका है और इसकी वित्तीय संरचना सुविकसित है जिसमें बैंकिंग क्षेत्र एक प्रभावशाली भूमिका निभा रहा है। इस अध्ययन के सैम्पल में 597 वाणिज्य-बैंक अथवा 5857 बैंक-वर्ष प्रेक्षण हैं। बैंक LC के निर्धारकों की पहचान करने एवं बैंक की स्थिरता पर LC के प्रभाव की जांच करने के लिए एक टू-स्टेप सिस्टम जनरलाइज्ड मेथड ऑफ मोमेंट्स (GMM) का उपयोग किया गया है। LC और बैंक पूंजी के बीच द्वि-दिशात्मक संबंध का अनुसंधान करने के लिए युगपत समीकरण मॉडल के साथ टू-स्टेप सिस्टम GMM अनुमानक का प्रयोग किया गया है। GMM एस्टीमेटर पैनल डेटा की गतिशील प्रकृति, अंतर्जातता, क्रमिक सहसंबंध, और विषमलैंगिकता को नियंत्रण करता है।

इस अध्ययन के मुख्य निष्कर्षों से पता चलता है कि LC प्राथमिक रूप से बैंक पूंजी, आय विविधीकरण, संपत्ति की गुणवत्ता, बैंकिंग उद्योग में संकेंद्रणता, शेयर बाजार के विकास, वित्तीय अभिगम, और बैंकिंग नियमों द्वारा संचालित होता है। यद्यपि उप-विश्लेषण के परिणाम LC के निर्धारकों में विषमता प्रकट करते हैं। LC और बैंक पूंजी का विश्लेषण द्वि-दिशात्मक संबंध में गैर-रैखिकता इंगित करता है, जिसमें बैंक आकार का एक महत्वपूर्ण संयमित प्रभाव है। इस विश्लेषण में सभी नमूनों में यू-आकार (U-shaped) है, परन्तु EMDE में औंधा यू-आकार (inverted U-shaped) है। अंत में, परिणाम यह भी स्थापित करते हैं कि बड़े बैंकों के अतिरिक्त, LC का बैंकों की स्थिरता पर सकारात्मक प्रभाव पड़ता है। ESG स्कोर LC के स्थिरता प्रभावों को सकारात्मक रूप से संशोधित करते हैं।

इन निष्कर्षों के आधार पर यह अध्ययन नीति निर्माताओं, बैंक नियामकों, बैंक प्रबंधकों और अन्य हितधारकों के लिए महत्वपूर्ण संस्तुति प्रदान करता है। यह शोध नियामकों, पर्यवेक्षी प्राधिकरणों और नीति निर्माताओं को 'वन-साइज़-फिट्स-ऑल' या एक समान विनियम तैयार करने के प्रति सावधान रहने का सुझाव देता है क्योंकि वे LC और बैंक पूंजी से जुड़े जोखिम को नियंत्रित करने में प्रभावी नहीं होते हैं। विशेषतः उन्हें उन विनियमों को लागू करना चाहिए जिन्हें बैंकों के विशिष्ट जोखिम प्रोफाइल के साथ-साथ देशों के संस्थागत और संरचनात्मक वातावरण के अनुसार संशोधित किया गया हो। उदाहरणार्थ, नैतिक खतरों की समस्याओं के कारण LC बड़े बैंकों को अस्थिर कर सकता है, इसलिए इन संस्थानों की जागरूकता से निगरानी करने और इनके आकार पर नियामक सीमाएं लगाने की आवश्यकता है। अध्ययन के निष्कर्ष बेसल III चलनिधि नियमों के तरलता विनाशकारी प्रभावों के बारे में भी चेतावनी देते हैं क्योंकि LC पर अंकुश लगाना बैंकिंग प्रणाली की स्थिरता को

बढ़ाने में प्रतिकूल साबित हो सकता है। अंत में, अध्ययन के परिणाम तरलता और पूंजी विनियमों के बीच समन्वय की मांग करते हैं क्योंकि ये संपूरक तंत्र हैं।

यह अध्ययन बैंक प्रबंधकों को LC पर विभिन्न बैंक-विशिष्ट कारकों, व्यापक आर्थिक और नियामक स्थितियों के प्रभाव की बेहतर समझ प्रदान करता है, जो उनके निर्णय लेने की प्रक्रिया सुविधाजनक बनाएगा। यह शोध छोटे बैंकों और उभरती अर्थव्यवस्थाओं में बैंकों के प्रबंधकों को सलाह देता है कि सूचना विषमता को कम करने के लिए, उनकी प्रतिष्ठा बढ़ाने के लिए, और खराब संस्थागत गुणवत्ता से जुड़ी अनिश्चितता को आंशिक रूप से कम करने के लिए ESG प्रकटीकरण का प्रयोग करना चाहिए। अंत में, यह अध्ययन संभावित निवेशकों को बैंक में निवेश करने का निर्णय लेने से पहले सूक्ष्म कारकों की बेहतर समझने की सलाह देता है।

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>Certificate</b>	<b>i</b>
<b>Acknowledgements</b>	<b>ii</b>
<b>Abstract</b>	<b>v</b>
<b>List of figures</b>	<b>xvii</b>
<b>List of tables</b>	<b>xviii</b>
<b>Abbreviations</b>	<b>xx</b>
<b>Chapter 1: Introduction .....</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Introduction to the study .....	1
1.2 Motivation of the study .....	4
1.3 Objectives and significance of the study .....	9
1.3.1 Research objectives .....	9
1.3.2 Significance of the study .....	10
1.4 Scope of the study .....	13
1.5 Statistical software used .....	13
1.6 Research methodology .....	13
1.7 Organization of the thesis .....	14
1.8 Concluding observations .....	15
<b>Chapter 2: Review of Literature .....</b>	<b>16</b>
2.1 Introduction .....	16
2.2 Determinants of LC .....	16
2.3 LC and bank capital .....	30

2.4	LC and bank stability .....	38
2.5	Summary of select studies.....	46
2.6	Research gaps.....	50
2.7	Concluding observations .....	54
<b>Chapter 3: Research objectives and methodology .....</b>		<b>55</b>
3.1	Introduction .....	55
3.2	Research questions and research objectives.....	55
3.2.1	Research questions.....	55
3.2.2	Research objectives.....	56
3.3	Scope of the study .....	57
3.4	Sample countries .....	58
3.5	Data and research methodology .....	59
3.5.1	Determinants of LC.....	59
3.5.1.1	Data.....	59
3.5.1.2	Variables .....	61
3.5.1.3	Research Methodology .....	73
3.5.2	Bi-directional relationship between bank LC and capital.....	80
3.5.2.1	Data.....	80
3.5.2.2	Variables .....	80
3.5.2.3	Research Methodology .....	82
3.5.3	Impact of LC on bank stability .....	86

3.5.3.1	Data.....	86
3.5.3.2	Variables.....	88
3.5.3.3	Research Methodology.....	91
3.6	Concluding observations.....	96
<b>Chapter 4: Determinants of liquidity creation.....</b>		<b>98</b>
4.1	Introduction.....	98
4.2	Descriptive statistics.....	98
4.3	Determinants of LC in the overall sample.....	103
4.4	Determinants of LC in EMDEs and AEs.....	112
4.5	Determinants of LC during Basel II and Basel III.....	120
4.6	Determinants of LC in small and large banks.....	125
4.7	Robustness tests.....	132
4.7.1	Liquidity hoarding as an alternative proxy for LC.....	132
4.7.2	Computation of LC excluding equity.....	133
4.7.3	Alternative LC measure to account for securitization differences.....	134
4.7.4	Basel III degree of implementation.....	135
4.8	Concluding observations.....	136
<b>Chapter 5: Liquidity creation and bank capital.....</b>		<b>143</b>
5.1	Introduction.....	143
5.2	Descriptive statistics.....	143
5.3	Bi-directional relationship between LC and capital in the overall sample.....	144

5.4	Bi-directional relationship between LC and capital in EMDEs and AEs .....	150
5.5	Bi-directional relationship between LC and capital during Basel II and Basel III .....	155
5.6	Robustness tests.....	168
5.6.1	LCR and NSFR as proxies of LC in Basel III sub-sample .....	168
5.6.2	Banks holding CAR above 8 percent.....	169
5.6.3	Alternative LC measure to account for securitization differences.....	170
5.6.4	Degree of implementation of Basel III .....	171
5.7	Concluding observations .....	172
<b>Chapter 6: Bank stability and liquidity creation .....</b>		<b>176</b>
6.1	Introduction .....	176
6.2	Descriptive statistics.....	176
6.3	Impact of LC on bank stability in the overall sample .....	177
6.4	Impact of LC on bank stability in EMDEs and AEs.....	182
6.5	Impact of LC on bank stability in small and large banks.....	186
6.6	Robustness tests.....	192
6.6.1	Liquidity hoarding as an alternative proxy of LC.....	192
6.6.2	LCR and NSFR as alternative proxies of LC.....	193
6.6.3	ROE-based Z-score as a measure of bank stability .....	193
6.7	Concluding observations .....	194
<b>Chapter 7: Conclusions and Recommendations .....</b>		<b>198</b>
7.1	Introduction .....	198

7.2	Summary of the work done .....	198
7.3	Significant findings from the study .....	200
7.3.1	Objective 1: To identify the determinants of bank LC .....	200
7.3.2	Objective 2: To analyze the bi-directional relationship between LC & CAR .....	201
7.3.3	Objective 3: To investigate the impact of LC on bank stability .....	201
7.4	Recommendations from the study .....	204
7.4.1	Recommendations for regulators and policymakers .....	204
7.4.2	Recommendations for bank management .....	206
7.4.3	Recommendations for investors .....	207
7.5	Contributions of the study .....	208
7.6	Limitations and future scope of work .....	209
7.6.1	Limitations of the study .....	209
7.6.2	Future scope of work .....	210
7.7	Concluding observations .....	211
	<b>References .....</b>	<b>213</b>
	<b>Appendix A .....</b>	<b>247</b>
	<b>Curriculum Vitae .....</b>	<b>249</b>

## List of Figures

Figure 1.1: Research papers on LC published over the years .....	7
Figure 1.2: Country-wise distribution of research papers published on LC .....	7
Figure 3.1: Summary of Research Objectives and Research Methodology .....	97
Figure 4.1: NFLC in AEs and EMDEs .....	101
Figure 4.2: FLC in AEs and EMDEs .....	101
Figure 4.3: NFLC by bank size.....	102
Figure 4.4: FLC by bank size.....	102
Figure 5.1: Bi-directional relationship between CAR and FLC .....	150

## List of Tables

Table 2.1: Select studies on the determinants of bank LC.....	46
Table 2.2: Select studies on the relationship between bank capital and LC.....	48
Table 2.3: Select studies on the association between bank stability and LC.....	49
Table 3.1: Distribution of the sample banks.....	61
Table 3.2: Classification of activities for computing liquidity creation.....	64
Table 3.3: Description of variables.....	68
Table 3.4: Results of paired sample t-test for NFLC and FLC.....	73
Table 3.5: Results of independent sample t-test for LC in various sub-samples.....	73
Table 3.6: Tests for autocorrelation and heteroscedasticity.....	75
Table 3.7: Distribution of the sample banks.....	87
Table 3.8: Results of paired sample t-test for NFLC and FLC.....	92
Table 3.9: Results of independent sample t-test for LC and Z-Score in sub-samples.....	93
Table 3.10: Tests for autocorrelation and heteroscedasticity.....	93
Table 4.1: Descriptive Statistics.....	99
Table 4.2: Aggregate LC, total assets, and equity in the Asia-Pacific region.....	100
Table 4.3: Pairwise correlation.....	104
Table 4.4: Determinants of NFLC and FLC in the Asia-Pacific region.....	105
Table 4.5: Determinants of NFLC and FLC in EMDEs and AEs.....	112
Table 4.6: Determinants of NFLC and FLC during Basel II and Basel III.....	120
Table 4.7: Determinants of NFLC and FLC in small and large banks.....	126
Table 4.8: Robustness tests using alternative measures of LC.....	133
Table 4.9: Determinants of adjusted LC in EMDEs.....	134
Table 4.10: Determinants of LC considering Basel III degree of implementation.....	136
Table 5.1: Mean values of LC and CAR.....	144

Table 5.2: Pairwise correlation .....	145
Table 5.3: Bi-directional relationship between LC and Capital .....	146
Table 5.4: Bi-directional relationship between LC and Capital in EMDEs .....	151
Table 5.5: Bi-directional relationship between LC and Capital in AEs .....	152
Table 5.6: Bi-directional relationship between LC and Capital during Basel II .....	156
Table 5.7: Bi-directional relationship between LC and Capital during Basel III .....	157
Table 5.8: Summary of results for the bi-directional relationship between LC and CAR.....	161
Table 5.9: Bi-directional relationship between alternative LC and CAR during Basel III....	169
Table 5.10: Bi-directional relationship between LC and CAR (CAR $\geq$ 8%) .....	170
Table 5.11: Bi-directional relationship between adjusted LC and CAR in EMDEs .....	171
Table 5.12: Bi-directional relationship between LC and CAR during Basel III .....	172
Table 6.1: Descriptive Statistics .....	177
Table 6.2: Pairwise correlation .....	178
Table 6.3: Impact of LC on the financial stability of banks .....	179
Table 6.4: Impact of LC on the financial stability of banks in EMDEs and AEs.....	182
Table 6.5: Impact of LC on the financial stability of small and large banks.....	187
Table 6.6: Robustness check using alternative proxies of LC .....	192
Table 6.7: Impact of LC on ROE-based Z-Score in EMDEs and AEs.....	194
Table 7.1: Summary of Results.....	203

## Abbreviations

AEs	Advanced economies
AR (2)	Arellano-Bond serial correlation test
ASF	Available stable funding
ATM	Automated teller machine
BCBS	Basel Committee on Banking Supervision
BIS	Bank for International Settlements
BRICS	Brazil, Russia, India, China, and South Africa
CAR	Capital adequacy ratio
CEO	Chief executive officer
C-I	Cost-to-income ratio
EIU	Economic Intelligence Unit®
EMDEs	Emerging markets and developing economies
EPU	Economic policy uncertainty
ESG	Environmental, Social, and Governance
FLC	Catfat liquidity creation
GCC	Gulf Cooperation Council
GDP	Gross domestic product
GFC	Global financial crisis
GMM	Generalized method of moments
HHI	Herfindahl-Hirschman Index
HLCH	High liquidity creation hypothesis
IMF	International Monetary Fund
IV	Instrument variable
LC	Liquidity creation
LCR	Liquidity coverage ratio
LLP	Loan loss provisions

LMI	Liquidity mismatch index
LSDV	Least square dummy variable
LSH	Liquidity shortage hypothesis
LT	Liquidity transformation
M&A	Mergers and acquisitions
MENA	Middle East and North Africa region
NFLC	Catnonfat liquidity creation
NPL	Non-performing loans
NSFR	Net stable funding ratio
OBS	Off-balance sheet
OLS	Ordinary least squares
PCA	Principal component analysis
ROA	Return on assets
RSF	Required stable funding
TBTF	Too big to fail
VIF	Variance inflation factor
WDI	World Development Indicators
WFH	Weak fundamental hypothesis
WUI	World uncertainty index
2SLS	Two-stage least squares estimator
3SLS	Three-stage least squares estimator