

**BUILDING A MODEL OF
STRATEGY EXECUTION EXCELLENCE:
A STUDY OF SELECT FIRMS IN INFRASTRUCTURE SECTOR**

AMIT KUMAR SRIVASTAVA



**DEPARTMENT OF MANAGEMENT STUDIES
INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY DELHI
FEBRUARY 2014**

**BUILDING A MODEL OF STRATEGY EXECUTION
EXCELLENCE: A STUDY OF SELECT FIRMS IN
INFRASTRUCTURE SECTOR**

By

AMIT KUMAR SRIVASTAVA

Department of Management Studies

Submitted

In fulfillment of the requirements of the degree of

Doctor of Philosophy

to the



Indian Institute of Technology Delhi

February 2014

CERTIFICATE

This is to certify that the thesis entitled '**Building a Model of Strategy Execution Excellence: A Study of Select Firms in Infrastructure Sector**' being submitted by *Amit Kumar Srivastava* to the Indian Institute of Technology Delhi for the award of the degree of **Doctor of Philosophy (Ph.D.)**, is a record of bona fide research work carried out by him. He has worked under our guidance and supervision and fulfilled the requirements for the submission of the thesis, which has attained the standard required for a Ph.D. degree of the Institute. The results presented in this thesis have not been submitted elsewhere for the award of any degree or diploma.

(Prof. Sushil)

Research Supervisor
Department of Management Studies
Indian Institute of Technology Delhi

(Dr. Vinayshil Gautam)

Research Supervisor
Formerly: Emeritus Professor
Department of Management Studies
Indian Institute of Technology Delhi

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I express my deepest gratitude to my guides, Prof. Vinayshil Gautam and Prof. Sushil, whose continuous support and guidance on every step helped me understand the research problem, issues, and finally in writing the thesis. I am indebted for their personal support and professional guidance in the area of data analysis, its interpretation, and presentation of the facts in a structured format. They also provided me a valuable learning environment that led to appreciation of the strategy execution excellence in the infrastructure sector in Indian context. I may sum up that but for their support it was hard to explore the uncharted terrain of strategy execution in a meaningful way.

I am grateful to Prof. P.K. Jain, the Chairperson of the ‘Student Research Committee’ for his rich scholarly inputs that facilitated my research work. I also sincerely thank to Prof. Amrit Srinivasana and Dr. Mahim Sagar, members of the ‘Student Research Committee’, for their support and encouragement while pursuing my research work.

It will be unfair to forget my fellow research colleagues including Dr. Saboohi Nasim, Dr. Tuhina Mukherjee, Mr. Krishnendu Shah, Mr. Abhishek Narain Singh, Ms. Priyanka Jaiswal, and Mr. Sumant Biswas, who provided their personal support and valuable inputs throughout my doctoral work. I am also grateful to Mr. S.B. Khare of BSNL, Ms. Rashmi Verma of NTPC, and Mr. Vineet Sehgal of Colt Technologies who was very kind and supported me a lot on the task of data collection. I also thank the office staff of Department of Management Studies for their assistance and cooperation.

Doctoral study during early years of marriage is a challenge that requires full support of the entire family. The continuous support and encouragement that I received from my wife Prerna and daughter Anubhuti throughout my doctoral research work can better be felt than expressed. Nevertheless, for the grace of God and good wishes of my family members and friends, it would not have been possible to complete the work.

New Delhi

(Amit Kumar Srivastava)

Abstract

Many scholars suggested strategy models as formula for success. However, failure of many giant corporations shows that the even best-formulated strategies may fail to produce superior performance if not successfully executed. Many scholars as well as practitioners pointed out that strategy execution is the most significant management challenge. There has been a lack of strategy execution framework, which in turn became one of the fundamental reasons for execution failure. The negative consequences of failure of strategy execution are comparatively more serious in infrastructure sector, especially in developing countries such as India. However, the infrastructure sector in India is facing multiple challenges. The poor state of execution in infrastructure sector in India necessitates a systematic inquiry. The available literature on strategy execution rarely took infrastructure sector as the domain of the study. Thus, drawing from literature, the research under consideration explores the issues involved in strategy execution and building a model of strategy execution excellence.

The evolution of strategy execution research can be categorized in four Eras representing different time phase and research focus: Early Era (up to 1980); Separation Era (1981-1990); Eclectic Era (1991-2000); and Integration Era (2001 onward). The ‘Early Era’ defined strategy management as business policy to pull together the learning of separate business fields in analyzing business problems. The prime focus was on long-term approach to align the business with its surrounding environment. The ‘Separation Era’ focused on intersection of the strategic process of business firms and responsibilities of general management. Formulation was seen as strategic process and execution was left to the general managers. The distinction between

formulation and execution encouraged the illusion that good programmes necessarily produce good results. The divide of strategy management during 1980s continued for so long that the research on strategy execution got dispersed. The ‘Eclectic Era’ is characterized by this tendency of research on strategy execution. The roots of the strategy execution research were “eclectic” and integrated approach was almost missing. The last decade primarily focused on integrating the learning and development of framework of strategy execution. The last decade is defined as ‘Integration Era’. The scholars have come out with the proposition that strategic management should move from a 90:10 concern with strategy formulation relative to execution to at least a 50:50 concern with each. Emphasizing on integrated approach to strategy execution, many scholars, as well as practitioners raised a fundamental research question: if a strategy produces poor results, can it be brilliant.

The literature analysis highlights research gaps on two fronts: theme of the research and methodology undertaken. Some of the theme based research gaps are – a lack of empirically validated frameworks on execution excellence; few integrated approach to strategy execution excellence; and contextual limitations of the available frameworks. Some of the methodological gaps are – a lack of empirical justification of the frameworks, sampling, and research tools used; a lack of standardized data collection tool; and poor rate of response. Considering the research gaps and opinion of the experts and practitioners, this doctoral work aims to identify the macro and micro variables that influence strategy execution; identify important variables of ‘strategic performance’ linked with execution; establish the linkages among the identified macro and micro-variables; and develop a validated ‘Strategy Execution Excellence’ model and interpret it in real life situation.

The research methodology adopted combines both theory building and testing for more enriched theoretical contribution and accumulation and sharing of knowledge. The study attempts the multilevel theory building by combining different research routes in a logical manner to realize the research objectives of the study. The study is conducted in different phases using appropriate research techniques: (1) preliminary groundwork; (2) conceptual framework and hypotheses formulation; (3) empirical study for hypotheses testing; and (4) case studies for cross validation and interpretation of the model. Finally, the results from the empirical study and the insights from the case studies have been triangulated and synthesized, based on which a validated model for strategy execution excellence has been suggested.

The research findings are discussed addressing different issues contributing to successful strategy execution such as managing people and environment; performance management; role of leadership; managing organization systems and knowledge base; and managing organization design and development. The study significantly contributes through a validated research model, the structural paths, case insights etc. The study attempts to theoretically contribute to the ‘fit theory’, ‘change theory’, ‘stakeholder theory’, ‘duality theory’, and ‘system theory’. Besides contributing to theoretical debates, the study also bridges the gaps between the different theoretical debates such as classical ‘Fit Approach’ and ‘Change Approach’ of organizational effectiveness. However, there are some limitations of the study.

The empirical part of the study is constrained by the limitation of opinion survey. Though some level of cross-validation has been done, a bias for the responses to other items cannot be ruled out. The study is confined to Power, Telecom, and Transportation sectors only. The other

sectors of infrastructure could not be explored due to time and resource constraints. Case feedbacks were collected only from senior corporate practitioners, which may bring in some personal biases. Therefore, the validated model of the study requires review and reflection by other scholars in different contextual settings. The study also suggests direction for future research. Since organization structure, systems, and culture have emerged as the most significant predictors of performance, future studies may distinguish between the organizations on the basis of that. The mediating effect among Align, Act, and Adapt can further be analyzed to have greater insights. The proposed model can also be tested for other institutional and business contexts by observing the relative criticality of different factors.

Contents

<i>Certificate</i>	i
<i>Acknowledgements</i>	ii
<i>Abstract</i>	iv
<i>Contents</i>	viii
<i>List of Figures</i>	xv
<i>List of Tables</i>	xvii
<i>List of Appendices</i>	xx
<i>Nomenclature</i>	xvi

Chapter 1: Introduction to the Study

1.1 Background	1
1.2 Context of the Study / Research Domain.....	3
1.3 Motivation for Research	4
1.4 Research Questions and Objectives	5
1.5 Scope of the Study	6
1.6 Overview of the Methodology	8
1.7 Organization of the Thesis	10
1.8 Concluding Observations.....	13

Chapter 2: Literature Review

2.1 Outline of the Literature Review	15
2.2 Significance of Strategy Execution Research	15
2.3 Methodology for Literature Review	16

2.4 Defining Strategy Execution.....	17
2.5 Evolution of Strategy Execution Research	19
2.5.1 Early Era of Evolution of Strategy Management (From Beginning To 1980).....	19
2.5.2 Separation Era of Strategy Planning and Execution (1981-1990)	20
2.5.3 Eclectic Era of Strategy Execution (1991-2000).....	20
2.5.4 Consolidation and Integration Era of Strategy Execution (2001 to The Current)	22
2.6 Review of Frameworks of Strategy Execution	23
2.7 Identifying Major Constructs of Strategy Execution Process.....	26
2.7.1 Align: The Foundation of Strategy Execution Excellence.....	28
2.7.2 Automate: Building Systemic Pillar to Operationalize Strategy Execution Process	34
2.7.3 Act: Channelizing Operations into Actions	39
2.7.4 Adapt: Tuning Strategy Execution Process with Changing Time.....	45
2.8 Classifying Major Constructs of Strategic Performance	50
2.9 Research Gaps.....	56
2.10 Concluding Observations.....	58

Chapter 3: Context of the Study

3.1 Introduction.....	59
3.2 Defining Infrastructure Sector	60
3.3 Context of the Study	62
3.3.1 Power Sector	63
3.3.2 Telecom Sector.....	64
3.3.3 Transportation Sector	65
3.4 Strategy Execution in Infrastructure Sector.....	69
3.5 Concluding Observations.....	70

Chapter 4: Research Design

4.1 Overview of the Design of Study.....	71
4.2 Research Constructs and Variables.....	72

4.2.1 Align.....	72
4.2.2 Automate	73
4.2.3 Act	73
4.2.4 Adapt	74
4.2.5 Strategic Enterprise Performance.....	74
4.2.6 Strategic Customer Performance.....	75
4.3 Conceptual Framework of Research.....	75
4.4 Research Propositions.....	76
4.5 Hypotheses Formulation	81
4.5.1 Hypotheses of Difference.....	81
4.5.2 Hypotheses of Association	83
4.5.3 Hypotheses of Mediation	85
4.5.4 Hypotheses for Micro Variables	87
4.6 Research Methodology	92
4.6.1 Research Methodology for Homogeneity Test and Instrument Development.....	94
4.6.2 Research Methodology for Interpretive Hierarchical Modeling of Constructs.....	95
4.6.3 Research Methodology for the Empirical Study	97
4.6.4 Research Methodology for the Case Study	98
4.6.5 Research Methodology for Triangulation and Synthesis	99
4.7 Research Roadmap.....	101
4.8 Concluding Observations.....	103

Chapter 5: Pilot Study 1: Development of Questionnaire and Univariate Analysis

5.1 Overview of the Study	105
5.2 The Construct and Variable Operationalization	105
5.2.1 Micro Variables of Align	106
5.2.2 Micro Variables of Automate.....	109
5.2.3 Micro Variables of Act.....	112
5.2.4 Micro Variables of Adapt.....	115
5.2.5 Micro Variables of Strategic Performance.....	117

5.3 Questionnaire Design, Pre-Testing and Finalization	120
5.4 Sample Design and Questionnaire Administration	122
5.4.1 Opinion Survey of Corporate Practitioners.....	122
5.4.2 Validity	124
5.4.3 Reliability	126
5.5 Findings of Validity and Reliability Analysis	126
5.5.1 Results of Construct Validity: Exploratory Factor Analysis.....	126
5.5.2 Results of Reliability Testing.....	129
5.6 Results of the Univariate Analysis.....	131
5.6.1 Univariate Analysis for Macro Constructs.....	131
5.6.2 Univariate Analysis for Micro Variables	132
5.7 Testing of Hypotheses of Difference	133
5.8 Concluding Observations.....	135

Chapter 6: Pilot Study 2: Exploratory Modeling of the Research Constructs

6.1 Introduction.....	137
6.2. Need for Modeling Strategy Execution Constructs	137
6.2.1 Research Methodology.....	139
6.3 TISM Model of Align	142
6.3.1 Interpreting TISM Model of Align.....	143
6.4 TISM Model of Automate	146
6.4.1 Interpreting TISM Model of Automate.....	147
6.5 TISM Model of Act.....	151
6.5.1 Interpreting TISM Model of Act.....	151
6.6 TISM Model of Adapt	154
6.6.1 Interpreting TISM Model of Adapt.....	156
6.7 TISM Model of Strategic Performance.....	158
6.7.1 Interpreting TISM Model of Strategic Performance	160
6.8 Concluding Observations.....	162

Chapter 7: Empirical Validation and Structural Analysis of the Proposed Research Framework

7.1 Introduction.....	163
7.2 Testing Hypotheses of Association.....	165
7.3 Testing Hypotheses of Association for Macro Variables	166
7.3.1 Discussion on Hypotheses Testing of Macro Constructs.....	171
7.4 Hypotheses Testing Controlled Influence of Align, Automate, Act, and Adapt	173
7.5 Hypotheses Testing for Mediation Effect	180
7.5.1 Hypotheses Testing for Mediation effect of Automate, Act, and Adapt on Strategic Performance	181
7.6 Testing Hypotheses of Association for Micro Variables.....	187
7.6.1 Testing Micro Hypotheses with Strategic Actor Performance as Dependent Variable .	188
7.6.2 Testing Micro Hypotheses with Strategic Process Performance as Dependent Variable	192
7.6.3 Testing Micro Hypotheses with Strategic Customer Performance as Dependent Variable	194
7.6.4 Testing Micro Hypotheses with Performance Variable as Dependent Variable.....	195
7.7 Analyzing Structural Relationships among Variables: Path Analysis.....	197
7.7.1 Analysis of Structural Relationships among Macro Variables	198
7.7.2 Analysis of Structural Relationships among Micro Variables	200
7.8 Concluding Observations.....	205

Chapter 8: Case Studies: Interpreting the Research Model

8.1 Introduction.....	207
8.2 Methodology for the Case Study	208
8.3 Case Study 1: National Thermal Power Corporation (NTPC).....	210
8.3.1 Background	210
8.3.2 Strategic Diversification by NTPC: Hydro Power Generation	211
8.3.3 Assessment of Strategic Performance Factors of the Firm	214

8.3.4 Assessment of Strategy Execution Factors of the Firm	215
8.3.5 Relationships Interpretation and Model Validation	222
8.3.6 Key Learning and Suggestions for Strategic Actions	226
8.4 Case Study2: Bharat Sanchar Nigam Limited (BSNL)	228
8.4.1 Background of the Company.....	228
8.4.2 Strategic Diversification by BSNL: Broadband Expansion.....	228
8.4.3. Assessment of Strategic Performance Factors of BSNL.....	230
8.4.4 Assessment of Align, Automate, Act, and Adapt Factors.....	233
8.4.5 Relationships Interpretation and Model Validation	238
8.4.6 Key Learning and Suggestions for Strategic Actions	242
8.5 Case Study3: Delhi Metro Rail Corporation.....	244
8.5.1 Background of the Urban Transportation in India	244
8.5.2 Launch of Delhi Metro Rail Corporation.....	246
8.5.3 Assessment of Strategic Performance Factors of DMRC	247
8.5.4 Assessment of Align, Automate, Act, and Adapt Factors.....	249
8.5.5 Relationship Interpretation and Model Validation.....	259
8.5.6 Key Learning and Suggestions for Strategic Actions	264
8.6 Comparison of Cases	266
8.7 Concluding Observations.....	270

Chapter 9: Synthesis of Learning and Triangulation

9.1 Introduction.....	271
9.2 Learning from Preliminary Study	272
9.3 Learning from Pilot Studies	272
9.4 Learning from Empirical Survey	273
9.5 Learning from Case Study Research.....	275
9.6 Triangulation and Synthesis.....	276
9.6.1 Data Triangulation.....	276
9.6.2 Theoretical Triangulation.....	277
9.6.3 Methodological Triangulation.....	279

9.7 Final Validated Model	280
9.8 Concluding Observations.....	283

Chapter 10: Conclusions, Recommendations and Future Research Directions

10.1 Introduction.....	285
10.2 Achievement of Research Objectives	286
10.2.1 Identification and Validation of Key Research Variables.....	286
10.2.2 Revisiting Research Propositions.....	287
10.2.3 Critical Path Affecting Strategic Performance.....	290
10.2.4 Comparing Moderate and High Performing Cases	292
10.3 Major Recommendations	293
10.4. Implications.....	294
10.4.1 Implications for Corporate Managers	294
10.4.2 Implications for Researchers.....	298
10.5 Significant Research Contributions	299
10.6 Limitations of the Study	300
10.7 Directions for Future Research	301
10.8 Concluding Observations.....	302