

SYNTHESIS, CHARACTERIZATION AND BIOMEDICAL APPLICATION OF MICROPATTERNED POLYMERIC SURFACES

MEENAKSHI VERMA



**DEPARTMENT OF MATERIALS SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING
INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY DELHI
JULY 2025**

© Indian Institute of Technology Delhi (IITD), New Delhi, 2025

Synthesis, characterization and biomedical application of micropatterned polymeric surfaces

by

Meenakshi Verma

Department of Materials Science and Engineering

Submitted

*in fulfilment of the requirements of the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy*

to the



INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY DELHI

July 2025

CERTIFICATE

This is to certify that the thesis entitled, “Synthesis, characterization and biomedical application of micropatterned polymeric surfaces” being submitted by Mrs. Meenakshi Verma to Indian Institute of Technology Delhi for the award of degree of Doctor of Philosophy is a record of bonafide research work carried out by her. Mrs. Meenakshi Verma has worked under my guidance and supervision and has fulfilled the requirements for the submission of this thesis, which to my knowledge has reached the requisite standard. The results contained in this thesis are original and have not been submitted, in part or full, to any other University or Institute for the award of any other degree or diploma.

Prof. Sampa Saha

Associate Professor

Department of Materials Science & Engineering

Indian Institute of Technology Delhi

Hauz Khas, New Delhi - 110016

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I am immensely appreciative of my supervisor, Prof. (Mrs.) Sampa Saha, for her amazing support, encouragement, and important direction during my research journey. I was able to gain a deeper understanding of polymer chemistry thanks to her wise counsel, vast knowledge, and unwavering support.

I extend my sincere thanks to Prof. J. Jacob, Prof. Leena Nebhani, Prof. Hariprasad P., Prof. B.K. Satapathy, Prof. A.K. Ghosh, Prof. Bijay P. Tripathi and Prof. Shib Shankar Banerjee, as well as all faculty members of the Department of Materials Science and Engineering at IIT Delhi, for their invaluable encouragement and support during my work. I would like to deeply appreciate Prof. Anita Shukla and Prof. Vikas Srivastava, Brown University, for their insightful guidance and valuable input while I worked in their labs at Brown University, USA.

I want to express my sincere gratitude to my lab colleagues, whose love, encouragement, and insightful discussions truly made my time conducting research unforgettable. I would like to acknowledge my seniors, Dr. Shivangi Sharma, Dr. Ifra Mirza, Dr. Agni Kumar Biswal, Dr. Sumbul Hafeez, Dr. Shaifali Dhingra and Dr. Kalpana Pandey for their assistance in the early stages of my research career and up to this point and my juniors, Partha Chowdhury, Kunal Verma, Subhashree Subhasmita Pradhan, Vasanth Kumar, Mouli Sarkar, Sumit Kumar, Ashuthosh Kumar, Dharmendra Pandey, Ashank Upadhyay, Rohit Kumar, Ankit Mishra and Sumit Gupta and Dr. Chandrani Sarkar for their continual support. It was a pleasure and an absolute pleasure to work with them. A very Special thanks to the technical staff, including Mr. Surender Sharma, Mr. Shivkant, Mr. Ashok Kapoor, Mr. Islam, Mr. Gajraj, Mr. Jitender, Mr. Ashish Sharma, Mrs. Shalini Arora, Mr. Subhash, and Mr. Amit for their immediate assistance whenever needed. I would like to mention the research collaborators, Mr. Abhishek Rana, Mr. Eshwar Chandra Vidyasagar and Prof. Dinesh Kalyanasundaram from Centre of Biomedical Engineering, IIT Delhi, Dr. Hemlata Rai and Prof. Shilpi Minocha from Kusuma School of Biological Sciences, IIT Delhi, Dr. Zhaowei Jang from Institute for Biology, Engineering and Medicine, Brown University, USA.

I am fortunate to have my family's unwavering love, encouragement, and support, all of which have served as the cornerstone of my doctoral path. This is my chance to express my sincere gratitude to my parents, Mr. Ramesh Verma and Kanta Verma, as well as my brothers, CA Vinay Verma and Adv. Pulkit Verma, for their unwavering faith in me, their tolerance,

and the countless sacrifices they made to help me reach my objectives. I will always be grateful that all four of you were in my life and that you gave me the confidence and bravery I needed to keep going after my goals. My better half, Vikrant Parashar have been my anchor throughout this journey. I appreciate you being there for me during the times of uncertainty as well as joyful moments. I consider myself fortunate to have a family who has never stopped backing me in chasing my ambitions. I would like to thank my uncle Mr. Sunil Borase and Aunt Radha Rani, Hemagini Shirpurkar for their constant encouragement, support, affection and love. My friends always have provided me strength and motivation which has contributed to flourishing personally and academically, particularly Dr. Aiswarya T T, Dr. Lukkumanul Hakkim, Dr. Biswajit Mishra, Dr. Nidhi Gupta, Dr. Vikram Singh Thakur, Khusboo Sagar, Saurabh Pal, Himanshu Garg and Sonam Garg.

Lastly, I want to express my gratitude to everyone who helped me finish my thesis; I really apologize for not being able to thank everyone of them separately. I am also really appreciative of the Ministry of Education, MHRD, India, for giving me financial support that allowed me to continue my Ph.D. studies without any obstacles. Above all, I am grateful to God for the innumerable chances, wisdom, and inspiration; without your favor, this voyage would not have been possible.

Meenakshi

ABSTRACT

Materials used for biomedical applications cover a wide spectrum and must exhibit specific properties. The most important property of materials used for fabricating implants is biocompatibility, followed by corrosion resistance. The main metallic biomaterials are stainless steels, cobalt alloy, and titanium and titanium alloys. Among these metallic biomaterials Ti alloys exhibit the highest biocompatibility, corrosion resistance, and specific strength (ratio of the tensile strength to density). Apart from metallic materials, biodegradable polymers have recently become as an influential platform for biomedical field to be used in various areas such as biosensor, therapeutics, targeted drug delivery, tissue engineering, biomedical implants, etc. due to their biodegradability, biocompatibility, controlled crystallinity, and adequate stability in biological environment. However, tailoring the properties of the polymeric surface widens the functionality, durability and applicability of the biodegradable polymers to be used for various applications. For example, the performance and functionality of the biodegradable polymer-based devices utilized for biomedical applications are significantly marked by the method of modification and its process parameters, emphasizing the need for understanding the methods used for modification.

This thesis explores the fabrication and application of biocompatible inorganic and biodegradable polymeric materials for biomedical implant applications. Chapter 2 discusses the synthesis of micropatterned PolyPEGMA nanobrushes on Titanium for enhancing antifouling and antimicrobial properties. A facile and scalable approach to create microtextured biocompatible and biofunctional polymer brushes with the ability to generate different type of protein patterns (e.g., line and circular) is reported. Nanosecond fibre laser was employed to generate around 30 micron wide patterns on the polymer brush (poly(ethylene glycol) methacrylate (polyPEGMA), a protein repellent brush) modified Ti alloy based substrate. PolyPEGMA brush of varying thickness (11-87 nm, measured by ellipsometry) was grafted on Ti alloy via surface initiated atom transfer radical polymerisation (SIATRP) using chloro functionalized ATRP initiator immobilized on Ti surface with initiator density (σ^*) of 1.5 initiators/nm². Polymer brushes were then selectively laser ablated. Spatial orientation of biomolecules was first achieved by non-specific protein adsorption on areas ablated by the laser, via physisorption. Further, patterned brushes of polyPEGMA were modified to activated ester that gave rise to protein conjugation specifically on non-laser ablated brush areas. Moreover, the laser ablated brush modified

template forming alternate patterns was also demonstrated for generating alternate patterns of bacteria such as *E. coli*.

Chapter 3 details the development of micropatterned dual polymer brushes for biomedical implant and diagnostic applications. Micropatterned dual polymer brushes (PolyPEGMA and PolyDMAEMA) were grafted on PHBV surface via surface-initiated atom transfer radical polymerization (SIATRP). Immobilization of ATRP initiating sites were arranged with the help of hydroxyl groups generated via oxygen plasma on the PHBV surface. Presence of PolyPEGMA brushes on the surface helps to achieve antifouling properties in addition to spatial orientation of biomolecules in alternate patterns on the surface. These micropatterned dual polymer brush modified surfaces were also found to be an excellent source of attachment and detection of HeLa cells through patterned surfaces modified with aptamers immobilized on PolyDMAEMA brushes. In Chapter 4, the micropatterned dual polymer brushes were fabricated as domain selective cationic (PMETA) and anionic (PSPMA) polymer brushes on PLA for biomedical implant applications. The micropatterned dual ionic polymer brushes modified surface showed adsorption of different proteins taking advantage of their unique isoelectric point along with DNA adsorption at varying pH conditions and antimicrobial properties. Chapter 5 introduces synthesis of aliphatic biodegradable polylactide in combination with tartaric acid based copolymers with orthogonal functionality. Compared to the challenges involved for the formation of micropatterns on biodegradable surfaces, a new methodology was applied to fabricate cationic and anionic polymer brushes on copolymer matrix with patterned structure. Spatio-selective attachment of gold and silver microparticles along with the demonstration of spatial attachment of DNA was obtained. Based on this unique construction of polyelectrolyte brushes in one system, UV light enabled the introduction of new surface functionality. A particular advantage of this approach was that triple micropatterns of proteins were also obtained in facile manner. This work clearly establishes the preparation of polyelectrolyte surfaces with orthogonal reaction strategies to achieve multifunctional surface. Finally, Chapter 6 includes a comprehensive summary of all the works and highlights the significant outcomes while proposing directions for future research based on the findings of the thesis. Patterned polymer brushes were developed on both the inorganic biocompatible (Ti) and organic biodegradable polymeric substrates. The techniques used for patterning was broadly classified into nanosecond laser patterning, oxygen plasma treatment and click chemistry. Development of micropatterned surfaces offers promising strategies in the biomedical area to develop protein array, diagnostics,

biosensors, *etc.* Nonetheless, development of such surfaces is a tedious process and require multistep synthesis. A facile, reproducible, and scalable approach to yield micropatterned surfaces having multifunctionality and non-toxicity needs to be developed for future benefits.

सार

जैव-चिकित्सा अनुप्रयोगों में उपयोग की जाने वाली सामग्री का एक व्यापक स्पेक्ट्रम होता है, जिसमें विशिष्ट गुणों का प्रदर्शन आवश्यक होता है। इम्प्लांट निर्माण के लिए उपयोग की जाने वाली सामग्रियों का सबसे महत्वपूर्ण गुण जैव-संगतता है, इसके बाद क्षरण प्रतिरोध का स्थान आता है। मुख्य धात्विक बायोमटेरियल्स में स्टेनलेस स्टील, कोबाल्ट मिश्रधातु और टाइटेनियम और इसके मिश्रधातु (Titanium and its alloys) शामिल हैं। इनमें टाइटेनियम मिश्रधातु उच्चतम जैव-संगतता, क्षरण प्रतिरोध और विशिष्ट शक्ति (ताकत और घनत्व का अनुपात) प्रदर्शित करते हैं। धात्विक सामग्रियों के अलावा, हाल के वर्षों में बायोडिग्रेडेबल पॉलिमर जैव-चिकित्सा क्षेत्र में बायोसेंसर, चिकित्सीय अनुप्रयोग, लक्षित दवा वितरण, ऊतक अभियांत्रिकी और जैव-चिकित्सा इम्प्लांट्स जैसे विभिन्न क्षेत्रों में महत्वपूर्ण भूमिका निभा रहे हैं, क्योंकि वे जैव-अवक्रमणीयता, जैव-संगतता, नियंत्रित क्रिस्टलीनिटी और जैविक वातावरण में पर्याप्त स्थिरता प्रदर्शित करते हैं। हालांकि, पॉलिमर की सतह के गुणों को अनुकूलित करके उनकी कार्यक्षमता, स्थायित्व और विभिन्न अनुप्रयोगों में उपयोगिता को बढ़ाया जा सकता है। उदाहरण के लिए, जैव-चिकित्सा अनुप्रयोगों के लिए उपयोग किए जाने वाले बायोडिग्रेडेबल पॉलिमर-आधारित उपकरणों की कार्यक्षमता संशोधन की विधि और प्रक्रिया मापदंडों पर निर्भर करती है, जिससे इन संशोधन विधियों को समझना आवश्यक हो जाता है। यह शोध प्रबंध जैव-संगत अकार्बनिक और बायोडिग्रेडेबल पॉलिमरिक सामग्री के निर्माण और जैव-चिकित्सा इम्प्लांट अनुप्रयोगों में उनके उपयोग का अन्वेषण करता है। अध्याय 2 में टाइटेनियम पर माइक्रोपैटर्न किए गए PolyPEGMA नैनोब्रशेस के संश्लेषण पर चर्चा की गई है, जिससे रोगाणुरोधी और एंटीफाउलिंग गुणों को बढ़ाया गया है। नैनोसेकंड फाइबर लेजर का उपयोग करके पॉली(इथाइलीन ग्लाइकॉल) मेथाक्राइलेट (PolyPEGMA) ब्रश वाले टाइटेनियम मिश्रधातु पर लगभग 30 माइक्रोन चौड़े पैटर्न बनाए गए। PolyPEGMA ब्रश की मोटाई (11-87 nm, एलिप्सोमेट्री द्वारा मापी गई) को सतह-आरंभित एटीआरपी (SIATRP) के माध्यम से टाइटेनियम मिश्रधातु पर जोड़ा गया, जिसमें क्लोरो-फंक्शनलाइज्ड एटीआरपी इनिशिएटर का उपयोग किया गया। इसके बाद, पॉलिमर ब्रश को लेजर द्वारा चयनात्मक रूप से हटाया गया, जिससे बायोमोलेक्यूल्स का स्थानिक अभिविन्यास (spatial orientation of biomolecules) प्राप्त किया गया। अध्याय 3 में माइक्रोपैटर्नड डुअल पॉलिमर ब्रश (PolyPEGMA और PolyDMAEMA) के विकास का वर्णन किया गया है, जिन्हें PHBV सतह पर SIATRP के माध्यम से जोड़ा गया। ये माइक्रोपैटर्नड डुअल पॉलिमर ब्रश बायोमोलेक्यूल्स की स्थानिक स्थिति और HeLa कोशिकाओं का पता लगाने में उपयोगी पाए गए। अध्याय 4 में PLA पर डोमेन-चयनात्मक कैटायोनिक (PMETA) और एनायोनिक (PSPMA) पॉलिमर ब्रशेस के निर्माण

को प्रस्तुत किया गया है, जो विभिन्न प्रोटीन और डीएनए अवशोषण के लिए अनुकूल हैं। अध्याय 5 में टार्टरिक एसिड-आधारित सह-पॉलिमर के साथ अलिफैटिक बायोडिग्रेडेबल पॉलीलैक्टाइड के संश्लेषण को शामिल किया गया है, जिसमें धातु कणों और डीएनए के स्थानिक अनुलग्नन को दर्शाया गया है। UV प्रकाश द्वारा नए सतही कार्यात्मकताओं की शुरुआत भी प्रदर्शित की गई है। अंततः, अध्याय 6 में संपूर्ण कार्य का सारांश प्रस्तुत किया गया है और भविष्य के अनुसंधान की संभावित दिशाओं का प्रस्ताव दिया गया है। इस शोध में नैनोसेकंड लेजर पैटर्निंग, ऑक्सीजन प्लाज्मा उपचार और क्लिक केमिस्ट्री जैसी तकनीकों का उपयोग करके माइक्रोपैटर्नेड बहुआयामी सतहों का विकास किया गया है, जो प्रोटीन एरे, बायोसेंसर और डायग्नोस्टिक उपकरणों के विकास में उपयोगी हो सकते हैं। हालांकि, इस तरह की सतहों का विकास एक जटिल प्रक्रिया है और इसके लिए कई चरणों वाली संश्लेषण विधियां आवश्यक होती हैं। इसलिए, भविष्य में गैर-विषाक्त, पुनरुत्पादनीय और स्केलेबल माइक्रोपैटर्नेड सतहों के निर्माण के लिए एक सरल दृष्टिकोण विकसित करने की आवश्यकता है, जो जैव-चिकित्सा इम्प्लांट प्रौद्योगिकी में महत्वपूर्ण प्रगति की राह खोल सके।

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CERTIFICATE	i
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.....	ii
ABSTRACT	iv
LIST OF FIGURES	xiii
LIST OF TABLES	xxv
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS.....	xxvi
CHAPTER 1.....	1
INTRODUCTION, LITERATURE REVIEW, MOTIVATION, AND.....	1
OBJECTIVES	1
1.1. Introduction	2
1.2. Strategies to form patterns on various substrates and their integration with polymer brushes	4
1.2.1. Lithography-Based Patterning.....	5
1.2.2. UV Lithography	5
1.2.3. Electron Beam lithography	9
1.2.4. Scanning probe lithography (SPL)	13
1.2.5. Nanoimprint lithography (NIL)	16
1.2.6. Interference photolithography (IL)	18
1.2.7. Soft lithography	20
1.2.8. Capillary force lithography (CFL)	22
1.2.9. Patterned SAMs for initiator free SIP: self-initiated photografting and photopolymerization (SIPGP).....	23
1.2.10. Nanoshaving and nanografting	25
1.3. Applications of Patterned Polymer Brushes.....	26
1.3.1. Applications in Patterning Biomolecules:	26
1.3.2. Stimuli-responsive drug delivery systems.....	31
1.3.3. Investigation of cell–substrate interactions	34
1.3.4. Application to adaptive antibacterial surfaces	37
1.4. Future Perspectives	39
1.5. Conclusion.....	41
1.6. Objective	42
1.7. Thesis organization	42
1.8. Brief overview of each chapter.....	42
CHAPTER 2.....	46

Protein patterning on microtextured polymeric nano-brush templates obtained by nanosecond fibre laser.....	46
2.1. Introduction.....	47
2.2. Materials and methods.....	49
2.2.1. Modification of the Ti _a surface through surface-initiated atom transfer radical polymerization.....	49
2.2.2. Micropatterning of polymer brush modified titanium surface (Ti _a -g-PPEGMA) ..	50
2.2.3. Protein adsorption studies.....	50
2.2.4. Assessment of Anti-adherence activity of bacteria.....	51
2.2.5. Surface characterization.....	52
2.3. Results and discussion.....	52
2.3.1. Modification of Ti _a surface via atom transfer radical polymerization.....	52
2.3.2. Micropatterning of polyPEGMA nano-brushes immobilized on Ti _a surface.....	61
2.3.3. FITC-BSA adsorption on micropatterned Ti _a -g-PPEGMA surface.....	67
2.3.4. Bacteria patterning by exploiting anti-adherence activity of poly(PEGMA brush.....	75
2.4. Conclusion.....	78
CHAPTER 3.....	79
3.1. Introduction.....	80
3.2. Materials.....	82
3.2.1. Characterization techniques.....	83
3.2.2. Preparation of PHBV film.....	84
3.2.3. Optimization of oxygen plasma treatment on PHBV film's surface and immobilization of ATRP initiator on them.....	84
3.2.4. SIATRP to graft dual polymer brushes on micropatterned PHBV film.....	84
3.2.5. Protein adsorption study on patterned surface by fluorescence microscopy.....	85
3.2.6. Immobilization of HeLa Cells on dual brush modified micropatterned surface....	86
3.3. Results and discussion.....	87
3.3.1. Surface modification of PHBV film by oxygen plasma treatment and its characterization.....	87
3.3.2. Creation of dual polymer brushes on micropatterned PHBV surface and their characterization.....	89
3.3.3. Formation of protein microarray on dual brush modified patterned surface.....	97
3.3.4. Adhesion of cells on Micropatterned surfaces.....	98
3.4. Conclusion.....	103
CHAPTER 4.....	105
4.1. Introduction.....	106
4.2. Experimental Section.....	109

4.2.1. Characterization techniques	110
4.2.2. Oxygen plasma treatment of the PLA film and immobilization of ATRP initiator on its surface	111
4.2.3. Surface initiated ATRP of PSPMA, PMETA on patterned PLA film immobilized with ATRP initiator (PLA-Br).....	112
4.2.4. Adsorption of two different proteins on dual brush modified patterned surface .	113
4.2.5. DNA adsorption on dual patterned polymer brush surface	113
4.2.6. Bacteria culture and media preparation.....	114
4.2.7. Monitoring of bacteria cell viability	114
4.2.8. Monitoring Cytocompatibility	114
4.3. Results and discussions	115
4.3.1. Surface modification of PLA film by plasma treatment and its characterization	115
4.3.2. Synthesis of dual polymer brushes on patterned PLA surface and their characterization	118
4.3.3. Protein adsorption against the dual brush modified patterned surface	130
4.3.4. DNA adsorption on the Patterned surface	133
4.3.5. Antimicrobial efficacy of the patterned surface	135
4.3.6. Cytocompatibility assessments	137
4.4. Conclusion.....	138
CHAPTER 5.....	139
5.1. Introduction	140
5.2. Materials	141
5.2.1. Procedure for Distillation of Ethyl Glyoxylate:.....	142
5.2.2. Synthesis of 2-Hydroxy-4-pentynoic Acid Ethyl Ester	142
5.2.3. Synthesis of Compound C.....	142
5.2.4. Synthesis of 2-Hydroxy-4-pentynoic Acid	143
5.2.5. Synthesis of Compound D.....	143
5.2.6. Synthesis of copolyester	144
5.2.7. Synthesis of 3-Azido 1-propanol.....	144
5.2.8. Click chemistry and immobilization of ATRP initiator on copolyesters surface along with light activated deprotection of copolyester CP	145
5.2.9. Surface initiated ATRP of polySPMA and polyMETA patterned polymer brushes on initiator immobilized copolyester surface	146
5.2.10. Immobilization of silver and gold microparticles on micropatterned polymer brushes.....	146
5.2.11. DNA immobilization on micropatterned dual polymer brushes surfaces	147
5.2.12. Multiple protein adsorption on light triggered deprotection of copolymer (CP)	147

5.2.13. Characterizations	148
5.3. Results and discussions	148
5.3.1. Grafting and characterization of micropatterned dual polymer brushes on copolymer surface having orthogonal chemistry.....	149
5.3.2. Immobilization of gold and silver particles onto dualbrush modified micropatterned copolyester surface	158
5.3.4. Formation of triple pattern by multiple protein adsorption.....	162
5.4. Conclusion.....	165
CHAPTER 6.....	166
CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE SCOPES	166
6.1. Conclusions	167
6.2. Future scopes	168
BIBLIOGRAPHY	169
APPENDIX: I	195
CURRICULUM VITAE: Meenakshi Verma.....	207

LIST OF FIGURES

Fig. 1.1: Schematic representation of various strategies for creating patterned polymer brushes. (Abbreviations: SIPGP: self-initiated photografting and photopolymerization; SIP: surface-initiated polymerization; CT: carbon templating; PL: photolithography; SA: self-assembly; EBCL: electron beam chemical lithography; SPL: scanning-probe lithography; SL: soft lithography; NIL: nanoimprinting lithography; CFL: capillary force lithography; CL: colloidal lithography; IL: interference lithography; EBL: electron beam lithography) -----4

Figure 1.2: Schematic diagram of the “grafting-to” (a), “grafting-from” (b), and “grafting-through” (c) techniques. The “grafting-to” (a) approach is based on the attachment of functionalized polymer chains to the surface. “Grafting-from” (b) uses surface initiation, when each polymer chain grows from the surface, and the chains are synthesized already attached to the surface. “Grafting-through” (c) can be regarded as a combination of the “grafting-from” and “grafting-to” techniques since the chains start growing in solution, but at some point spontaneously attach to a surface coated with a monomer (“macromonomer”) and continue to grow, as in the “grafting-from” technique-----5

Figure 1.3: Schematic diagram illustrating the synthesis of a patterned surface modified with dual polymer brushes, *i.e.*, polyDMAPS and polyPEGMA onto the tartarate based polyester using surface-initiated ATRP-----6

Figure 1.3: Reaction scheme for the preparation of binary patterned polymer brushes-----7

Figure 1.5: Spatioselective Deactivation of SI-ATRP Initiator Using UV-Ozone Treatment through a Photomask. Polymer brush growth only occurs from masked regions, whereas in treated regions, the initiator activity is suppressed-----8

Figure 1.6: Pattern using SU-8 photoresist. A) Crosslinking chemistry, B) UV lithography process-----9

Figure 1.7: Formation of Nanopatterned Brushes via EBL-----10

Figure 1.8: Preparation of surface-confined pNIPAAm polymer-brush patterns by combining lift-off electron beam lithography (EBL) and surface-initiated ATRP using surface tethered thiol initiator-----11

Figure 1.9: Schematic illustration of the fabrication of PS or PNIPAAm by EBCL-----11

Figure 1.10. Schematic representation of the DPN process. A water meniscus forms between the AFM tip which is coated with “ink” molecules and the solid substrate-----	13
Figure 1.11: Schematic representation of the dip-pen nanodisplacement lithography technique-----	14
Figure 1.12: (a) lift-off electron beam lithography with surface-initiated polymerization using a surface-tethered initiator. (b) Surface-initiated polymerization of (a) NIPAAm and NIPAAm-VI (7:3) on gold surfaces using surface-tethered thiol initiator (1), and (b) NIPAAm on silicon substrates using surface-tethered silane initiator-----	15
Figure 1.13: Schematic illustration of the large-area, parallel fabrication of 3D polymer brushes -----	15
Figure 1.14: Schematic overview of thermal nanoimprint lithography (NIL) (left) and UV-NIL (right)-----	16
Figure 1.15: Step-wise fabrication process for creating protein-immobilized PEGMA brush nanostructures-----	19
Figure 1.16: (a) Schematic structure of micro/nanopatterned surface with nanopatterned PNIPAAm brushes and the conformation of nanopatterned PNIPAAm brushes-----	19
Figure 1.17: Scheme of strategy for patterning polymer brushes grafted through ATRP --	20
Figure 1.18: Schematic illustration of the overall μ CP process to obtain polymer brush coatings from the stamped ink used during process -----	22
Figure 1.19: (a) Schematic diagram of patterned surfaces created by CFL and ATRP. (b) AFM topography image of a PS pattern created on top of EPB/BPA macroinitiator surfaces ($20 \times 20 \mu\text{m}$, AFM RMS roughness 7 nm). (c) AFM image of PNIPAM brush pattern created by CFL and SIP ($20 \times 20 \mu\text{m}$, AFM RMS roughness 6 nm) -----	23
Figure 1.20: Preparation of structured PS grafts on UNCD-----	24
Figure 1.21. (a) $24 \times 24 \mu\text{m}^2$ AFM height image of a POEGMA brush sample that has been patterned by exposure to a near-field probe. (b) Fluorescence microscopy image of a similar sample following exposure to a solution of NeutrAvidin nanoparticles. The scale bar represents $6 \mu\text{m}$ -----	28

Figure 1.22. Fluorescence images of Biotin-NPs on sample surfaces (after immobilization of BSA-biotin followed by SA) at (a) 37°C and (b) 25°C). The square regions are the areas without PNIPAAm brushes and the grid regions are the areas with nanopatterned PNIPAAm brushes. The scale bar in images represents 50 μm. The corresponding fluorescence intensities of nanopatterned area and un-nanopatterned area are indicated in (c) -----30

Figure 1.23: Temperature-Controlled Flow Switching in Nanocapillary Array Membranes Mediated by Poly(N-isopropylacrylamide) Polymer Brushes grafted by SIATRP-----32

Figure 1.24: Scheme of functionalization of bottleneck-shaped pSi with PDEGMA, loading of the antimicrobial fluorescent dye levofloxacin into the pores above the LCST and thermally stimulated release-----33

Figure 1.25. Schematic drawing of loading and release processes using a nanoporous smart reservoir equipped with thermally responsive nanovalves built of PNIPAM brushes-----33

Figure 1.26: Schematic representation of the stimuli-responsive behavior of the amino-functionalized porous nanocarriers at A) acid pH and B) neutral pH-----34

Figure 1.27: Selective capture of polarized M1 and M2 macrophages on microarrays. a) Localized capture of macrophages incubated with biotinylated specific antibodies on microarrays. b) Zoom-in of the areas (white frames) in (a) of the corresponding macrophage subtype. c) Binding of macrophages on microarrays incubated with nonspecific biotinylated IgG (isotype control). Cells were stained with DAPI and CTOG, arrays with Cy-3. Scale bars are 100 μm in all pictures-----37

Figure 1.28: Fluorescence micrographs for FDA-stained live cells of *E. coli* adhered to the (a) control surface (P2), (b) P2-g-polyPEGMA surface, (c) P2-g-polyDMAPS surface, (d) patterned control surface (P2) modified with the single polyDMAPS brush, (e) patterned P2 surface modified with the single polyPEGMA brush and (f) patterned surface modified with dual brushes-----38

Scheme 2.1. Schematic representation of (a) formation of polyPEGMA brush on Ti_a surface; (b) micropatterning by laser ablation; and protein patterning via (c) physisorption and (d) protein conjugation using DSC/DMAP chemistry-----49

Figure 2.1. Representative ATR-IR spectra for bare Ti_a and modified Ti_a surfaces such as Ti_a-Cl and Ti_a-g-PPEGMA-----50

Figure 2.2. Representative XPS scan for (a) Ti _a -OH and (b) Ti _a -Cl surfaces-----	51
Figure 2.3. C 1s core spectra obtained from XPS scan of (a) Ti _a -g-PPEGMA surface-----	52
Figure 2.4. AFM of (a) Ti _a (Rq: 55.7 nm), (b) Ti _a -Cl (Rq: 56.7 nm), (c) Ti _a -g-PPEGMA (1h, Rq: 43.2 nm), (d) Ti _a -g-PPEGMA (6h, Rq: 15.3 nm), (e) Ti _a -g-PPEGMA (15h, Rq: 16 nm) and (f) Ti _a -g-PPEGMA (24h, Rq: 14.4 nm), respectively; Images referring to (c)-(f) represent the brush modified surfaces prepared at various polymerization time indicated in the parenthesis (1h to 24h). Rq for each sample is mentioned within brackets-----	53
Figure 2.5: AFM of non-polished Ti _a surface (Rq = 95 nm) -----	53
Figure 2.6. Plot of change of brush thickness, measured by AFM and Ellipsometry, with progress of polymerization time. (The added lines are guide to the eyes) -----	54
Figure 2.7: ATR-IR spectra of Ti _a surface after degrafting Ti _a -g-PPEGMA surface in 0.04 M TBAF-----	56
Figure 2.8: Differential refractive index (DRI) signal as a function of elution time of PPEGMA immersed in 0.04 M solution of TBAF-----	56
Figure 2.9: Optical images of laser patterned surface (a) 50 kHz, (b) 150kHz and (c) 250 kHz, respectively-----	58
Figure 2.10: Fluorescence images of laser patterned surface (a) 50 kHz, (b) 150kHz and (c) 250 kHz, respectively treated at 0 day-----	58
Figure 2.11: Optical image (a) Ti _a and Fluorescence image (b) Ti _a (activated and treated with FITC-BSA) -----	64
Figure 2.12: Fluorescence images of (a) bare Ti _a and (b) Ti _a -g-PPEGMA, dipped in FITC-BSA, (c) Optical image of micropatterned (laser frequency = 250 kHz) surface, (d) fluorescence image of micropatterned (laser frequency = 250 kHz) surface dipped in FITC-BSA; AFM micrograph of micropatterned (laser frequency = 250 kHz) surface (e) before protein adsorption, and (f) after protein adsorption, (g) comparative height analysis for micropatterned surfaces - before and after protein adsorption-----	66
Figure 2.13: Fluorescence images of (a) Ti _a -g-PPEGMA _{activated} (non-patterned) dipped in FITC-BSA, (b) optical and (c) fluorescence image of micropatterned Ti _a -g-PPEGMA _{activated} surface dipped in FITC-BSA; AFM micrograph of (d) micropatterned surface before and (e) after protein conjugation-----	67

Figure 2.14. Non-specific binding of FITC-BSA on Ti_a and micropatterned Ti_a -g-PPEGMA surface and specific immobilization levels of FITC-BSA on activated Ti_a -g-PPEGMA and micropatterned Ti_a -g-PPEGMA_{activated} surface. Error bars indicate standard deviation-----69

Figure 2.15. C 1s core spectra obtained from XPS scan of laser ablated surface: (a) non-patterned area and (b) patterned area of same Ti_a -g-PPEGMA surface, (c) DSC/DMAP activated patterned Ti_a -g-PPEGMA surface-----70

Figure 2.16. Optical and fluorescence images for circularly patterned Ti_a -g-PPEGMA_{activated} surface after protein conjugation-----70

Figure 2.17. FESEM images: (a) and (b) after protein fixation on Ti_a , and Ti_a -g-PPEGMA surfaces, respectively (c) non-specific adsorption of protein on patterned Ti_a -g-PPEGMA surface (inset shows protein adsorbed on textured area) and (d) chemically conjugated protein on activated Ti_a -g-PPEGMA surface (inset shows protein adsorbed on non-textured brush covered area). Red arrows indicate the bound proteins on surface-----71

Figure 2.18: Fluorescence image of *E. coli* culture treated (a) Ti_a , (b) Ti_a -g-PPEGMA (c) patterned Ti_a -g-PPEGMA surface. (Pseudo white lines are added to represent the patterned area for guiding the eyes) -----72

Figure 2.19. Optical images of *E. coli* culture treated surfaces: (a) bare Ti_a , (b) Ti_a -g-PPEGMA, (c) Patterned Ti_a -g-PPEGMA and. Red arrows point towards deposited bacteria-73

Figure 2.20. Comparison of bacterial growth on bare Ti_a , Ti_a -g-PPEGMA, Patterned Ti_a -g-PPEGMA against *E. coli*-----73

Scheme 3.1: Schematic diagram illustrating the synthesis of micropatterned dual polymer brushes comprising PPEGMA and PDMAEMA on PHBV surface using surface initiated polymerization-----78

Figure 3.1: (a) Variation of surface water contact angle after introduction of hydroxyl groups on PHBV film at different Rf power for 5 minutes each, (b) GPC traces of neat PHBV film and PHBV film after modification with oxygen plasma at 100 W Rf power and 110 W Rf power-----83

Figure 3.2: Representative XPS scan for (a) neat PHBV (b) after oxygen plasma treatment (PHBV-OH) and (c) after bromo functionalization (PHBV-Br) -----85

Figure 3.3: (a) Change in surface water contact angle after each step of surface modification. (b), and (c) AFM topography images of PHBV-g-PPEGMA and PHBV-g-PDMAEMA after 24 h polymerization time each-----86

Figure 3.4: (a) Representative ATR-IR spectra of PHBV, PHBV-Br, PHBV-g-PPEGMA and PHBV-g-PDMAEMA modified surfaces. (b) Change in grafting yield of PPEGMA and PDMAEMA brushes grafted on PHBV-Br surface with the use of Bipyridyl and PMDETA, respectively with respect to the polymerization time. (c) Plot of change of PPEGMA and PDMAEMA brush thickness measured by AFM with progress of polymerization time-----87

Figure 3.5: (a) Change in grafting yield of PPEGMA and PDMAEMA brushes grafted on PHBV-Br surface with the use of Methyl cyclam, with respect to the polymerization time. (b) Plot of change of PPEGMA and PDMAEMA brush thickness measured by AFM (solid lines) and Grafting yield (dotted lines) with progress of polymerization time-----88

Figure 3.6: FESEM images obtained for (a) PHBV-g-PPEGMA, (b) PHBV-g-PDMAEMA, using BPY and PMDETA (c) PHBV-g-PPEGMA and (d) PHBV-g-PDMAEMA using methylcyclam-----88

Figure 3.7: Comparison of Micro Raman spectra of micropatterned PHBV surface (a) PPEGMA brushes inside the box (marked by black arrow pointed towards optical image), (b) PDMAEMA brushes on the patterned portion (marked by red arrow pointed towards optical image)-----90

Figure 3.8: Deconvoluted core C 1s spectra of (a) micropatterned PHBV-g-PPEGMA (inside the box of pattern), (b) PHBV-g-PDMAEMA (on the pattern), (c) EDX mapping for N atoms acquired from the PDMAEMA brush modified region-----91

Figure 3.9: (a) TOF-SIMS ion imaging mapping of micropatterned PHBV-g-PDMAEMA brushes, AFM topographical images acquired for patterned surfaces as (b) PHBV-g-PPEGMA, and (c) after the growth of dual brushes of PPEGMA and PDMAEMA brushes in their respective areas-----93

Figure 3.10 : Fluorescent micrograph of (a) PHBV and (b) PHBV-g-PPEGMA, (c)PHBV-g-PDMAEMA surface after treatment with lysozyme, respectively, (d) and (e) micropatterned PHBV-g-PPEGMA and PHBV-g-PDMAEMA surface after treatment with FITC conjugated BSA, respectively-----94

Figure 3.11 : FESEM images after attachment of gold nanoparticles (AuNPs) of (a) PHBV-g-PPEGMA, (b) PHBV-g-PDMAEMA, (c) patterned surface modified with both brushes, (d) EDX mapping of AuNP on PDMAEMA modified region on micropatterned surfaces, Fluorescent micrographs of (e) PHBV-g-PPEGMA, (b) PHBV-g-PDMAEMA and (g) micropatterned modified PHBV surface with dual brushes after treatment with fluorescein conjugated to aptamer-----96

Figure 3.12: EDX Spectra for attachment of gold nanoparticles on micropatterned dual brush modified surface-----96

Figure 3.13 : Representative Micro Raman spectra for micropatterned PHBV surface grafted by PPEGMA brushes, PDMAEMA brushes and aptamer treated surface on the patterned portion (marked by black arrow) -----97

Figure 3.14 : Fluorescent images of HeLa cells incubated with various substrates after treatment with gold particles and aptamer (a) PHBV-g-PDMAEMA, (b) PHBV-g-PPEGMA, (c) micropatterned surfaces modified with dual brushes; fluorescent images of HeLa cells incubated with various substrates after attachment of gold particles but with aptamer on (d) micropatterned surfaces modified with dual brushes; with aptamer but no gold particles (e) PHBV-g-PDMAEMA-----99

Scheme 4.1: Schematic illustration of the synthesis of dual brush modified patterned PLA surface comprising of PSPMA and PMETA brushes using SIATRP-----105

Figure 4.1: GPC trace for (a) plasma treated PLA film surface at more than 100 W power and (b) PLA-Br surface-----112

Figure 4.2: (a) Variation of water contact angle after treatment of PLA film at different Rf power for 5 minutes each, (b) GPC traces of neat PLA film and PLA film after treatment with oxygen plasma at 100 W Rf power. Representative XPS scan for PLA (c) neat surface (no treatment), (d) after oxygen plasma treatment and (e) after bromo functionalization (PLA-Br); the spectrum corresponding to Br 3d_{5/2} and 3d_{3/2} are shown in the inset of (e) -----113

Figure 4.3: (a) Representative ATR-IR spectra of PLA, PLA-OH, PLA-Br, PLA-g-PSPMA and PLA-g-PMETA modified surfaces. (b) Variation of GY (grafting yield) of PSPMA and PMETA brushes grafted on PLA-Br surface with respect to the polymerization time. (c) Plot of change of PSPMA brush thickness with progress of polymerization time (measured by

AFM, FESEM and derived from GY), (d) and PMETA brush thickness with progress of polymerization time (measured by AFM, FESEM and derived from GY). -----114

Figure 4.4: (a) Water contact angle variation after each step of surface modification. (b), (c) and (d) AFM topography images of PLA, PLA-g-PSPMA and PLA-g-PMETA; polymerization time was kept at 24 h for both brushes-----115

Figure 4.5: Evaluation of surface charge (Zeta potential) for polymer brush modified PLA surfaces measured at pH 7-----116

Figure 4.6: Representative FESEM images obtained from (a) PLA-Br, (b) PLA-g-PSPMA, (c) PLA-g-PSPMA after treatment with Tributyl amine; and (d) PLA-g-PMETA using BPY and PMDETA as ligands-----117

Table 4.1: Thickness measurement at different polymerization time (mentioned in bracket) by AFM and FESEM-----118

Figure 4.7: Comparison of Micro Raman spectra of patterned inside box and boundary region of modified PLA-g-PSPMA and PLA-g-PMETA surface-----118

Figure 4.8: Representative FESEM images for (a) PLA-g-PSPMA, (b) PLA-g-PSPMA after treatment with Tributyl amine, using Me₄Cyclam as a ligand for surface polymerization--120

Figure 4.9: Comparison of confocal Raman spectra acquired from (a) tributyl amine protected patterned PLA-g-PSPMA and patterned PLA-g-PMETA surface, (b) before protection PLA-g-PSPMA, patterned tributyl amine protected PLA-g-PSPMA and after removal of tributyl amine groups from patterned PLA-g-PSPMA brush modified surface-121

Figure 4.10: Deconvoluted core C 1s spectra of (a) tributyl amine protected patterned PLA-g-PSPMA (N1s and S2p spectra shown as the inset), (b) PLA-g-PSPMA after deprotection from tributyl amine groups (S2p spectrum shown as the inset), (c) Patterned PLA-g-PMETA after protection (N1s shown as the inset) (d and d') Representative XPS images mapped for PSPMA grafted PLA region and PMETA grafted PLA region-----123

Figure 4.11: XPS full survey spectrum of PLA grafted (a) PMETA, (b) PMETA after deprotection, (c) PSPMA, (d) tributylamine protected PSPMA, (e) deprotected PSPMA, and (f) PLA, respectively-----124

Figure 4.12. TOF-SIMS ion image mapping of TBA protected patterned PLA-g-PSPMA/PMETA surface (a) ion imaging showing variations in intensities of S ions from PSPMA grafted area, (b) ion imaging showing variations in intensities of N ions from the

whole brush modified surface; patterned dual PLA-g-PSPMA/PMETA brush modified surface after deprotection showing (c) ion imaging showing variations in intensities of S ions from PSPMA grafted area, (d) ion image showing variations in intensities of N ions from PMETA grafted area, (e) ion image mapping of dual brush modified patterned surface showing the sum of S(green) and N(red) intensities-----126

Figure 4.13: AFM topographical images acquired for patterned surfaces as (a) PLA-g-PMETA, (b) PLA-g-PSPMA and (h) after the growth of dual brushes of PSPMA and PMETA brushes in their respective areas-----127

Figure 4.14: Comparison of confocal Raman spectra of specific PSPMA brushes and lysozyme adsorbed region at PSPMA brushes region; specific PMETA brushes and BSA adsorbed region at PMETA brushes region-----128

Figure 4.15: Fluorescent micrograph of (a) and (b) PLA-g-PSPMA and PLA-g-PMETA surface after treatment with lysozyme at pH 7, respectively, (c) and (d) PLA-g-PMETA and PLA-g-PSPMA surface after treatment with red dye conjugated BSA at pH 7, respectively, (e) micropatterned dual brushes after treatment with lysozyme, (f) red conjugated BSA, (g) merged fluorescence image of (e) and (f), respectively-----129

Figure 4.17: Comparison of Micro Raman spectra of specific double strand DNA (dsDNA) at PSPMA brushes and Single strand DNA(ssDNA) adsorbed region at PMETA brushes region-----130

Figure 4.17: Fluorescence image of double stranded (ds)DNA treated surface after treatment of surfaces at pH 4.8 of (a) PLA-g-PSPMA, (b) PLA-g-PMETA and (c) micropatterned dual brushes of PLA-g-PSPMA/PMETA. Fluorescence image of single stranded (ss)DNA treated surface after treatment of surfaces at pH 7 of (d) PLA-g-PMETA, (e) micropatterned dual brushes of PLA-g-PSPMA/PMETA and (f) overlay image of (e) and (f), respectively-----132

Figure 4.18: Viability assay for (a) *S. aureus* and (b) *E. coli* on four different surfaces—blank control (PLA), cationic polymer brush (META), anionic polymer brush (SPMA), and micropatterned dual brush modified PLA-g-PSPMA/PMETA(Patterned)—at 1 hour and 24 hours of incubation. Results are shown as mean \pm standard deviation. Statistical significance is indicated by matching using two-way ANOVA with multiple comparisons with Dunnett’s test ** indicates $p < 0.01$, *** indicates $p < 0.001$ and **** indicates $p < 0.0001$, with $n > 3$ -----133

Figure 4.19: Cytocompatibility of PLA, PLA-g-PMETA, PLA-g-PSPMA, and micropatterned dual brush modified PLA-g-PSPMA/PMETA(PATT) surfaces. (a) Normalized cell viability was measured relative to an untreated control. (b) Hemolysis assay, with 10% Triton-X serving as the positive lysis control. Results are shown as mean \pm standard deviation. Statistical significance is indicated by matching using two-way ANOVA with multiple comparisons with Dunnett's test ** indicates $p < 0.01$, *** indicates $p < 0.001$ and **** indicates $p < 0.0001$, with $n > 3$ -----133

Scheme 5.1a : Schematic for the synthesis of 2-Hydroxy-4-pentynoic Acid Ethyl Ester---138

Scheme 5.1b : Schematic for the synthesis of 2-Hydroxy-4-pentynoic Acid-----139

Scheme 5.1c: Schematic for the synthesis of copolymer (CP) -----140

Scheme 5.2: Schematic demonstrating fabrication of dual polyelectrolyte polymer brushes i.e. anionic (poly(3-Sulfopropyl Methacrylate Potassium, PolySPMA) and cationic poly[(2-methacryloyloxyethyl] trimethyl ammonium chloride) (PolyMETA) in patterned fashion via SIATRP-----141

Figure 5.1: NMR spectra for (a) 2-Hydroxy-4-pentynoic Acid Ethyl Ester (b) 2-Hydroxy-4-pentynoic Acid (c) Copolymer and (d) 3-Azido 1-propanol-----145

Figure 5.2: (a) Representative ATR-FTIR spectra of pure copolyester CP, CP-OH, CP-Br, and homo polymer brush (polySPMA and polyMETA) modified surfaces (b) Variation of 'Grafting yield' of polySPMA and further polyMETA with respect to polymerization time grafted on CP-Br surface. (d) Thickness of polySPMA and polyMETA brush determined from AFM images with respect to polymerization time (d) Variation of water contact angle after each step of surface modifications of pure copolymer CP, CP-Br, CP-g-polySPMA, CP-g-polyMETA (e) AFM topographic image from neat CP surface (f) AFM topography image obtained from CP-g-polySPMA (polymerization time: 6 h) surface (g) AFM topography image obtained from CP-g-polyMETA (polymerization time: 1 h) surface-----146

Figure 5.3: FESEM images obtained for (a) CP-g-PSPMA, (b) CP-g-PSPMA after treatment with Tributyl amine-----148

Figure 5.4: Comparison of Micro Raman spectra of patterned CP-g-PolyMETA surface, tributyl amine protected patterned CP-g-PolySPMA and patterned tributyl amine protected

CP-g-PSPMA and after removal of tributyl amine groups from patterned CP-g-PolySPMA brushes surface-----149

Figure 5.5: Deconvoluted C1s core-level spectra for various surfaces (a) CP, (b) CP-Br after first step of bromo functionalization (Br 3d spectrum shown as inset) (c) CP-g-polySPMA brush after first ATRP, (d) Tributyl amine (TBA) treated polySPMA brushes and (e) CP-g-polyMETA brush after second ATRP-----151

Figure 5.6: TOF-SIMS ion imaging mapping of patterned PLA-g-PSPMA/PMETA brushes surface showing (a) ion imaging for S from PolySPMA grafted area, (b) ion image for N from PMETA grafted area, (c) ion image mapping of dual brush patterned surface showing the sum of S(green) and N(red) intensities. AFM topographical images acquired for patterned surfaces as (d) CP-g-polySPMA (e) and after the growth of dual brushes of PolySPMA and PolyMETA brushes in their respective areas (arrow shows the patterning region)-----153

Figure 5.7: FESEM micrographs for Gold nanoparticles (a) 15 mM (b) 20 mM (c) 30 mM-154

Figure 5.8: FESEM micrographs for silver nanoparticles (a) 10 mM (b) 5 mM (c) 2 mM-----154

Figure 5.9: FESEM micrographs for (a) Silver and gold microparticles at polySPMA and polyMETA domains on micropatterned dual polymer brushes modified surface (b) Gold microparticles, (c) Silver microparticles, (d) AFM micrograph for gold and silver microparticles at specific domains, TOF-SIMS imaging for (e) silver nanoparticles, (f) gold (g) False-color image for gold(red) and silver(green) microparticles-----156

Figure 5.10: Fluorescent micrographs for double stranded DNA at gold attached specific surface stained by Sybr Safe (a), (b), (e) polyMETA modified domains surface; single stranded DNA stained by acridine orange (c), (d) and (f) polySPMA modified domains on the surface (g) Composite image for both DNA at polySPMA and polyMETA-----158

Scheme 5.3: Schematic representation of triple protein patterning on dual micropatterned polySPMA and polyMETA brushes modified on copolymer surface-----158

Figure 5.11: Fluorescent micrographs for light shined along with EDC/NHS to attach protein (a) copolymer having 10% PAG, (b) polyelectrolyte surface consisting of both brushes; light shined with no treatment of surfaces with EDC/NHS (c) copolymer having 10% PAG (d) polyelectrolyte surface consisting of both brushes (f) triple pattern of red conjugated

BSA(centre and pattern having hydroxyl groups and polyMETA chains, respectively), FITC conjugated lysozyme antibody treated after lysozyme attachment on polySPMA chains in middle portion of the pattern surface-----160

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1.1: Strategies for Constructing Polymer Brush-Modified Surfaces-----	26
Table 2.1: Thickness measurement after dipping in different media for 7 days-----	54
Table 2.2 : Comparison of surface initiation efficiency of Ti_a -g-PPEGMA surface obtained from GPC and XPS analysis-----	61
Table 2.3: EDAX atomic percentage of different elements present in micropatterned Ti_a -g-PPEGMA and Ti_a surface at different days-----	62
Table 2.4: EDAX atomic percentage of different elements present in neat, modified and micropatterned Ti_a surface-----	57
Table 3.1: Sample details and their abbreviations-----	82
Table 4.1: Abbreviations of samples along with their optimized conditions-----	106
Table 4.2: Thickness measurement at different polymerization time (mentioned in bracket) by AFM and FESEM-----	117

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

Surface-initiated polymerization (SIP)
Nanocapillary array membranes (NCAMs)
Poly(N-isopropylacrylamide) (PNIPAAm)
LCST (Lower Critical Solution Temperature)
Electron beam lithography (EBL)
Scanning probe lithography (SPL)
Nanoimprint lithography (NIL)
Self-Assembled Monolayers (SAMs)
Self-initiated photografting and photopolymerization (SIPGP)
Carbon templating (CT)
Photolithography (PL)
Self-assembly (SA)
Electron beam chemical lithography (EBCL)
Scanning-probe lithography (SPL)
Soft lithography (SL)
Nanoimprinting lithography (NIL)
Capillary force lithography (EBCL)
Colloidal lithography (CL)
Interference lithography (IL)
Electron beam lithography (EBL)
Chemical vapour deposition (CVD)
Extreme UV lithography (EUVL)
Microcontact printing (μ CP)
Albumin–fluorescein isothiocyanate conjugate (FITC-BSA)
Atomic force microscopy (AFM)
Confocal Laser Scanning Microscope (CLSM)
Deionized (DI)
Dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO)
Dimethylformamide (DMF)

Differential Scanning Calorimetry (DSC)
Field Emission Scanning Electron Microscopy (FESEM)
Fourier-transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR)
Gel Permeation Chromatography (GPC)
Minimum Inhibitory Concentration (MIC)
Nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR)
Photo acid generator (PAG)
1-ethyl-3-(3-dimethylaminopropyl)carbodiimide (EDC)
N-hydroxysuccinimide (NHS)
Bipyridine (BPY)
N,N,N',N'',N''' pentamethyl diethylenetriamine (PMDETA)
1,4,8,11-tetraazacyclotetradecane (Me₄Cyclam)
Poly(ethylene glycol)methyl ether methacrylate (polyPEGMA/PPEGMA)
Poly(cysteine methacrylate) (PCysMA)
Poly(oligo(ethylene glycol)ether methacrylate) (POEGMEMA)
Poly(2-methacryloyloxyethyl phosphorylcholine), (polyMPC)
Poly[2-(methacryloyloxy)ethyl]dimethyl-(3- sulfopropyl)ammonium hydroxide (polyMEDSAH)
Poly methyl-methacrylate (PMMA)
Poly(benzyl-L-glutamate) (PBLG)
Poly(ethylene-*alt*-tetrafluoroethylene) (ETFE)
Poly(dimethylsiloxane) (PDMS)
Polymethyl methacrylate (PMMA)
Poly(3-dimethyl-(methacryloyloxyethyl) ammonium propane sulfonate)) (polyDMAPS)
Poly([2-(methacryloyloxy)ethyl]trimethylammonium chloride) (PMETA/polyMETA)
Poly(3-Sulfopropyl Methacrylate Potassium) (PSPMA/polySPMA)
Poly(dimethylaminoethyl) acrylate (PDMAEMA)
3-aminopropylethoxysilane (APTES)
Poly(lactic acid) (PLA)
Escherichia Coli (E. coli)
Staphylococcus Aureus (S. aureus)

Methoxynitrosulfohenyl-tetrazolium carboxanilide (XTT)
Surface-initiated vapor deposition polymerization (SI-VDP)
Poly(N-isopropylacrylamide) (PNIPAM)
Reversible complexation-mediated polymerization (RCMP)
Surface-initiated atom transfer radical polymerization (SIATRP)
Ultraviolet-visible (UV)
X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS)