

**RELIABILITY OF FIBER-REINFORCED POLYMER
COMPOSITE STRUCTURES IN EXTREME LOADING
CONDITIONS**

KUSUM SAINI



**DEPARTMENT OF CIVIL ENGINEERING
INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY DELHI
OCTOBER 2024**

RELIABILITY OF FIBER-REINFORCED POLYMER COMPOSITE STRUCTURES IN EXTREME LOADING CONDITIONS

by

KUSUM SAINI

DEPARTMENT OF CIVIL ENGINEERING

submitted

in fulfilment of the requirements for the award of the degree of

DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

to the



INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY DELHI

OCTOBER 2024

DEDICATION

Dedicated to my beloved parents, brothers, and grandparents, for whom I wanted to work harder every day, to all the women who struggled and made a lot of sacrifices to fulfil their dreams every day, and to all the teachers who believed in their students and encouraged them to find their own identity.

CERTIFICATE

This is to certify that the Ph.D. thesis titled “**RELIABILITY OF FIBER-REINFORCED POLYMER COMPOSITE STRUCTURES IN EXTREME LOADING CONDITIONS**” being submitted by **KUSUM SAINI** to the Indian Institute of Technology (IIT) Delhi for the award of the degree of **DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY** is a record of the bonafide research work carried out by her. She worked under my supervision for the submission of this Ph.D. thesis, which to my knowledge has reached the requisite standard as demonstrated by excellent publications in international journals and conferences.

Further, the contents of her research work, in full or in part, have not been submitted to any other institute or university for the award of any degree or diploma to the best of my knowledge and belief.

New Delhi,
India.

Prof. Dr Vasant A. MATSAGAR
(Professor, Dogra Chair, and Head)
Department of Civil Engineering,
Indian Institute of Technology (IIT) Delhi,
Hauz Khas, New Delhi - 110 016, India.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

My doctoral research journey gave me many lessons, professionally and personally. This journey would not have been this successful without the wonderful people around me. I want to extend my gratitude to my Ph.D. supervisor, Prof. Dr Vasant A. Matsagar, for his constant guidance and support throughout my journey. His teaching, input, advice, and support contributed to completing my doctoral research work. I will always be grateful to him. Thank you, Sir, for seeing great potential in me.

I would also like to thank the members of my doctoral student research committee (SRC), Prof. Dipti Ranjan Sahoo, Prof. S. Pradyumna, and Prof. D. R. Kaushal, for their valuable input that has helped enhance the quality of my doctoral research. In addition, the support from all faculty members and staff of the Department of Civil Engineering is acknowledged. I also want to thank Prof. V Ramgopal Rao (former director of IIT Delhi) for allowing Ph.D. scholars to submit their theses based on their startups. This motivated me to work on my startup and add in the contribution in my Ph.D. thesis. I am also thankful to my coauthors, Prof. Akanshu Sharma from Purdue University, United States of America (USA) (former faculty at University of Stuttgart, Germany), Prof. Venkatesh Kodur from Michigan State University, USA, Prof. Steffen Marburg from Technical University of Munich, Germany, and Prof. Saverio Spadea from the University of Dundee, United Kingdom (UK), for their guidance and valuable contribution to my international journal publications.

I am grateful to the Industrial Research and Development (IRD) unit and the 1969 Batch Alumni of IIT Delhi for awarding me with IRD Student Startup Grant 2022 and The 1969 Batch Innovation Fellow Award, which encouraged me in implementing my research on bio-composites for product development for structural applications in my startup. I also thank the laboratory staff for their assistance throughout my stay at IIT Delhi. Additionally, I thank the postgraduate (PG) section and Hostel Management of IIT Delhi for the various support I received during my stay at IIT Delhi. I acknowledge the scholarship received from the Ministry of Human Resource Development (MHRD), Ministry of Higher Education India, and the awards DAAD Research grant to conduct research at the University of Stuttgart, ASEM DUO-India Fellowship to conduct research at the University of Dundee, and Research Scholar Travel Award (RSTA) received from

Acknowledgements

IIT Delhi. The financial support received from all has made it possible to execute the research work, travel worldwide, and present my research at international conferences.

Also, I am thankful to my other coauthors, Dr Sourav Chandra, Prof. Sagnik Dey, Mr Varun Datta, Mr Rohen Singh, and Mr Gaurav Jagtap, for their valuable contributions in my research publications. The contribution of fellow students at the Multi-Hazard Protective Structures (MHPS) Laboratory is greatly acknowledged. I thank all my colleagues for their input and friendship, which made my stay at IIT Delhi conducive.

Special gratitude goes to my parents, younger brothers, grandfather, family members, and friends whose blessings and support have helped me achieve this milestone. I am grateful to all.

New Delhi, India.

Kusum Saini

ABSTRACT

The current developing advanced civil infrastructure are moving towards lightweight structures due to their benefits with cost effectiveness, easy to transport, sustainability, reduced waste, and lesser inertial forces. Lightweight structures can be advantageously used for emergency shelters, defense facilities, and other structures geographically located at places that pose complex construction and transportation challenges. These structures can also be used to build structures that protect army soldiers from harsh environmental conditions and man-made attacks at the forward posts. Structures at such posts could be subjected to blast, impact, and extreme weather and pose significant challenges, especially for structures at high altitude areas. Lightweight rapidly deployable modular structures that can be assembled from prefabricated lightweight building units could be suitable structures for the aforementioned applications. Therefore, this study aims to assess the behavior of different lightweight and high-performance building units made of fiber-reinforced polymer (FRP), FRP and foam sandwich, ultra-high-performance concrete (UHPC), natural fiber-reinforced foamed concrete (NFRFC), and bio-composites under various loadings for modular structures. Compared to other conventional structural materials, composite materials generally have better physical and mechanical properties, such as low density, low thermal expansion coefficient, high specific strength, and high specific stiffness. As a result, composite materials are suitable for use in civil structures subjected to extreme loading conditions. However, there are various uncertainties associated with composites, including variability in material properties and applied loads. Therefore, it is essential to investigate the behavior of composites considering these uncertainties.

In this study, suitable numerical models are developed for FRP, FRP and foam sandwich, UHPC, and NFRFC building units subjected to extreme loadings. Moreover, a finite element model is developed for a full-scale FRP and foam sandwich modular structure subjected to various blast load scenarios. Different deterministic and probabilistic numerical investigations are conducted on the building units and structures subjected to static and blast loads. Furthermore, the effect of different protection coatings, i.e., foamed concrete and polyurea, on the blast resistance of the building units is studied. The application of polyurea coating enhances the blast resistance of the structures significantly. Notably, the behavior of the FRP composites, having various

Abstract

configurations, is studied under different blast load scenarios. Here, the failure of the FRP composites is determined by implementing various failure criteria, namely, the maximum stress, Tsai-Hill, Hoffman, and Tsai-Wu failure criteria. Moreover, the stochastic performance assessment of the FRP composite is conducted using Monte Carlo simulation and generalized polynomial chaos methods in this doctoral research. In addition to the building units, a selected connection, i.e., anchor channel, is investigated under static and high-rate loadings. Experimental studies are also conducted to assess the behavior of FRP and foam sandwich beams, and anchor channels under static loads. Indentation failure in the FRP facesheet and shear failure in the foam core are obtained as the most governing failures in the sandwich composite beams. Concrete edge breakout is obtained as a dominating failure mode for anchor channels under shear load. Furthermore, the effect of moderate and high loading rates on the anchor channel shows enhancement in the influence of structural inertia and further increases the localized failure around the anchor channel.

Climate change has become a worldwide problem, and many conventional construction materials are contributing to the carbon footprint. Stubble burning also causes a huge amount of greenhouse gas emissions (GHG) in many countries every year. Therefore, the environmental impacts of stubble burning in India and alternative bio-composite production have been compared. Subsequently, the use of natural fibers in civil engineering structures is studied as an alternative to conventional construction materials. Finally, an initial production of prototype bio-composite building units is carried out. The production of the building units is accomplished through a startup initiated as part of this doctoral study. Thus, this doctoral research contributes to reliable modular structures made of lightweight and high-performance materials subjected to extreme loadings.

सार

वर्तमान समय में उन्नत बुनियादी निर्माण के लिए हल्के ढांचे उनकी लागत प्रभावशीलता, स्थानांतरण में सरलता, स्थिरता, कम अपशिष्ट, और कम जड़त्विय बलों के कारण एक प्राथमिकता बन गए हैं। हल्के ढांचो का उपयोग आपातकालीन आश्रयों के लिए, रक्षा सुविधाओं के लिए, और भौगोलिक रूप से जटिल निर्माण और परिवहन चुनौतियों का सामना करने वाले स्थानों पर स्थित संरचनाओं के लिए लाभप्रद रूप से किया जाता है। इन ढांचो का उपयोग उन संरचनाओं के निर्माण के लिए भी किया जा सकता है जो सेना के सैनिकों को कठोर पर्यावरणीय परिस्थितियों और सीमाओं पर मानव निर्मित हमलों से बचाते हैं। ऐसी सुरक्षा चौकीयों पर संरचनाएं विस्फोट और संघात के सम्पर्क में हो सकती हैं, और कठोर मौसम भी संरचनाओं के लिए चुनौतियां पैदा कर सकता है। मॉड्यूलर संरचनाएं, जो तेजी से परिणियोजन योग्य होती हैं और इन्हें पूर्वनिर्मित हल्की भवन इकाइयों को जोड़ने से बनाया जा सकता है, ऐसी संरचनाएं उपरोक्त अनुप्रयोगों के लिए उपयुक्त हो सकती हैं। इसलिए, इस अध्ययन का उद्देश्य विभिन्न बलों के तहत रेशो से प्रबलित बहुलक (रेब), रेब और फोम सैंडविच, अति उच्च प्रदर्शन वाली कंक्रीट (अप्रकं), प्राकृतिक रेशो से प्रबलित फोमयुक्त कंक्रीट (प्रारेफोकं), और जैव समिश्रित इकाइयों के व्यवहार का आकलन करना है। आमतौर पर पारंपरिक संरचनात्मक सामग्रियों की तुलना में समिश्रित सामग्रियों में बेहतर भौतिक और यांत्रिक गुण होते हैं, जैसे की कम घनत्व, कम तापीय विस्तार गुणांक, उच्च विशिष्ट शक्ति, और उच्च विशिष्ट कठोरता। परिणामस्वरूप, उच्च बलों की स्थितियों के अधीन संरचनाओं में समिश्रित सामग्रियों का उपयोग उपयुक्त है। हालाँकि, समिश्रित निर्माण सामग्रीयों में विभिन्न भौतिक गुणों और बलों से अनिश्चितताएँ जुड़ी होती हैं। इसलिए, इन अनिश्चितताओं को लेकर समिश्रित निर्माण सामग्रीयों के व्यवहार की जांच करना आवश्यक है।

इस अध्ययन में, रेब, रेब और फोम सैंडविच, अप्रकं, प्रारेफोकं, और जैव समिश्रित इकाइयों के लिए उपयुक्त अभिकलनात्मक निदर्श विकसित किए गए हैं। इसके अलावा, विभिन्न विस्फोटक बलों परिदृश्यों के अधीन पूर्ण पैमाने पर रेब और फोम सैंडविच मॉड्यूलर संरचनाओं के लिए एक अभिकलनात्मक निदर्श विकसित किया गया है। विभिन्न स्थैतिक और विस्फोटक बलों के अधीन इन भवन इकाइयों और संरचनाओं की नियतात्मक और प्रसंभाव्य अभिकलनात्मक जांच की गई है। इसके अलावा, भवन इकाइयों के विस्फोटक बल प्रतिरोध के लिए विभिन्न सुरक्षा लेप, जैसे की फोमयुक्त कंक्रीट और पॉलीयूरिया के प्रभाव का अध्ययन किया जाता है। पॉलीयूरिया लेप के अनुप्रयोग से संरचनाओं की विस्फोटक प्रतिरोधक क्षमता काफी बढ़

जाती है। विशेष रूप से, विभिन्न विन्यासों वाले रेब समिश्रित इकाइयों के व्यवहार का अध्ययन विभिन्न विस्फोटक बल परिदृश्यों के तहत किया गया है। यहां, रेब समिश्रित इकाइयों की विफलता मापन के लिए विभिन्न मानदंडों, जैसे की मैक्सिमम स्ट्रेस, साई-हिल, हॉफमैन और साई-वू विफलता मानदंडों को लागू किया गया है। इसके अलावा, इस वाचस्पति अनुसंधान में मॉटे कार्लो अनुकरण और जेनेरलाइज़्ड पोलीनोमिअल केओस विधियों का उपयोग करके रेब समग्र का प्रसंभाव्य प्रदर्शन का आकलन किया गया है। भवन इकाइयों के अलावा, एक चयनित कनेक्शन, एंकर चैनल की जांच स्थैतिक और उच्च दर बलों के तहत की गयी है। रेब और फोम सैंडविच बीम और एंकर चैनलों के व्यवहार का आकलन स्थैतिक बलों के तहत प्रायोगिक अध्ययन भी किया गया है। रेब फेसशीट में इंडेंटेशन विफलता और फोम कोर में कतरनी विफलता को सैंडविच मिश्रित बीम में प्रमुख विफलता के रूप में प्राप्त किया जाता है। एंकर चैनलों में कंक्रीट किनारे का टूटना प्रमुख विफलता का माध्यम प्राप्त किया गया है। इसके अलावा, एंकर चैनलों में मध्यम और उच्च दरों के बलों के प्रभाव में संरचनात्मक जड़त्व बल के प्रभाव में वृद्धि मिली है, जो स्थानीय विफलताओं जैसे की चैनल के चारों ओर अपरूपण दरारों को बढ़ाता है।

जलवायु परिवर्तन एक विश्वव्यापी समस्या बन गई है और कई पारंपरिक निर्माण सामग्रियां भी कार्बन उत्सर्जन के रूप में इसमें योगदान देती हैं। पराली जलाने से भी हर साल कई देशों में भारी मात्रा में ग्रीनहाउस गैस उत्सर्जन (ग्रीनहाउस) होता है। इसलिए, भारत में पराली जलाने और वैकल्पिक जैव समिश्रित इकाइयों के उत्पादन के पर्यावरणीय प्रभावों की तुलना की गई है। इसके बाद, पारंपरिक निर्माण सामग्रियों के विकल्प के रूप में, बुनियादी ढांचों में प्राकृतिक रेशों के उपयोग का अध्ययन किया गया है। अंत में, जैव समिश्रित आदिरूप इकाइयों का परीक्षित उत्पादन किया जाता है। जैव समिश्रित भवन निर्माण इकाइयों का उत्पादन, स्टार्टअप के माध्यम से इस वाचस्पति अनुसंधान अध्ययन के हिस्से के रूप में शुरू किया गया है। इस प्रकार, यह वाचस्पति अनुसंधान विभिन्न प्रकार के बलों के अधीन हल्के और उच्च प्रदर्शन सामग्रियों से बने विश्वसनीय मॉड्यूलर संरचनाओं में योगदान देता है।

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER	TITLE	PAGE
DEDICATION		i
CERTIFICATE		iii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS		v
ABSTRACT		vii
संक्षेप		ix
TABLE OF CONTENTS		xi
LIST OF TABLES		xix
LIST OF FIGURES		xxiii
ABBREVIATIONS		xxxv
MATHEMATICAL NOTATIONS		xxxix
CHAPTER 1.	INTRODUCTION.....	1
1.1.	BACKGROUND	1
1.2.	STRUCTURES SUBJECTED TO EXTREME LOADING CONDITIONS	2
1.2.1.	Defense Structures	2
1.2.2.	Emergency Structures	4
1.3.	FIBER-REINFORCED POLYMER COMPOSITE	6
1.4.	LIGHTWEIGHT AND HIGH-PERFORMANCE CONCRETE	9
1.5.	CONNECTIONS	10
1.6.	NATURAL FIBERS	11
1.7.	RESEARCH NEEDS	13
1.8.	OBJECTIVES OF THE DOCTORAL RESEARCH	14
1.9.	ORGANIZATION OF THE PH.D. THESIS	15
CHAPTER 2.	BEHAVIOR OF FIBER-REINFORCED POLYMER (FRP) COMPOSITE PLATES UNDER BLAST LOAD	19
2.1.	OVERVIEW	19
2.2.	INTRODUCTION	19
2.3.	NUMERICAL MODELING	22
2.3.1.	Finite Element Modeling	23

2.3.2.	Failure Analysis	30
2.4.	NUMERICAL STUDY	32
2.4.1.	Failure Assessment of Laminated Composite Plates	33
2.4.2.	Comparison of Blast Resistance of Laminated Composite Plates.....	41
2.5.	SUMMARY	45
CHAPTER 3.	STOCHASTIC PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT OF FRP COMPOSITE PLATE UNDER UNCERTAIN BLAST LOAD	47
3.1.	OVERVIEW	47
3.2.	INTRODUCTION	47
3.3.	FINITE ELEMENT MODELING	49
3.4.	STOCHASTIC ANALYSIS OF LAMINATED COMPOSITE PLATES	51
3.4.1.	Probability of Failure using MCS	53
3.4.2.	Probability of Failure using gPC.....	53
3.5.	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	56
3.5.1.	Comparison of MCS and gPC Approaches	56
3.5.2.	Fragility Analysis of Laminated Composite Plates	57
3.6.	SUMMARY	64
CHAPTER 4.	BEHAVIOR OF FRP AND FOAM SANDWICH COMPOSITE PANELS UNDER QUASI-STATIC AND BLAST LOADS	65
4.1.	OVERVIEW	65
4.2.	INTRODUCTION	65
4.3.	FLEXURAL BEHAVIOR OF FRP AND FOAM SANDWICH PANEL UNDER QUASI-STATIC LOAD.....	67
4.3.1.	Analytical Approach	68
4.3.2.	Experimental and Numerical Approaches	70
4.4.	FRP AND FOAM SANDWICH PANEL UNDER BLAST LOAD	73
4.4.1.	Material Properties.....	73
4.4.2.	Blast Load	75
4.4.3.	Results and Discussion	76

4.5.	SUMMARY	80
CHAPTER 5.	PERFORMANCE OF ULTRA-HIGH- PERFORMANCE CONCRETE WITH FOAMED CONCRETE AND POLYUREA COATINGS UNDER BLAST LOAD	81
5.1.	OVERVIEW	81
5.2.	INTRODUCTION	81
5.3.	FINITE ELEMENT MODELING	84
5.3.1.	Materials	84
5.3.2.	Blast Load	86
5.4.	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	86
5.5.	SUMMARY	97
CHAPTER 6.	NATURAL FIBER-REINFORCED FOAMED CONCRETE UNDER QUASI-STATIC AND BLAST LOADS.....	99
6.1.	OVERVIEW	99
6.2.	INTRODUCTION	99
6.3.	MATERIAL PROPERTIES	102
6.4.	NUMERICAL MODELING	106
6.4.1.	Material Modeling	107
6.4.2.	Finite Element Modeling	108
6.5.	SIMPLIFIED APPROACH	112
6.6.	DESIGN EXAMPLE	114
6.7.	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	115
6.8.	STRENGTHENING OF FOAMED CONCRETE WITH NATURAL FIBER-REINFORCED POLYMER COMPOSITE.....	122
6.8.1.	Numerical Modeling	122
6.8.2.	Results and Discussion	123
6.9.	SUMMARY	125
CHAPTER 7.	ANCHOR CHANNELS UNDER QUASI-STATIC AND HIGH-RATE LOADINGS.....	127
7.1.	OVERVIEW	127
7.2.	INTRODUCTION	127

7.3.	NUMERICAL MODELING APPROACH	131
7.3.1.	Material Modeling	131
7.3.2.	Finite Element Modeling	136
7.3.3.	Validation of the Model Against Static Tests	140
7.4.	BEHAVIOR OF ANCHOR CHANNEL UNDER HIGH-RATE LOADINGS.....	146
7.5.	SUMMARY	152
CHAPTER 8.	FRP AND FOAM SANDWICH COMPOSITE MODULAR STRUCTURE WITH POLYUREA COATING UNDER BLAST LOAD.....	153
8.1.	OVERVIEW	153
8.2.	INTRODUCTION	153
8.3.	FINITE ELEMENT MODELING.....	156
8.3.1.	Material Properties.....	158
8.4.	PERFORMANCE OF SANDWICH MODULAR STRUCTURE WITH AND WITHOUT POLYUREA UNDER BLAST	160
8.4.1.	Blast Load Applied on the Flat Wall	162
8.4.2.	Blast Load Applied on the Curved Wall.....	165
8.4.3.	Response with Varying Thicknesses of Polyurea.....	168
8.5.	SUMMARY	170
CHAPTER 9.	NATURAL FIBERS IN CIVIL ENGINEERING STRUCTURES.....	171
9.1.	OVERVIEW	171
9.2.	INTRODUCTION	171
9.3.	PLANT-BASED NATURAL FIBERS AS CONSTRUCTION MATERIALS.....	174
9.3.1.	Natural Fibers in Earth Bricks	175
9.3.2.	Natural Fibers in Cementitious Composites	177
9.3.3.	Natural Fibers in Concrete	178
9.3.4.	Natural Fibers in Polymer Composites.....	183
9.4.	APPLICATIONS OF NATURAL FIBERS FOR STRUCTURAL MEMBERS.....	185
9.4.1.	Main Reinforcement of Structures.....	186

9.4.2. Strengthening of Structures.....	188
9.5. RESPONSE OF NATURAL FIBER-REINFORCED STRUCTURAL MEMBERS UNDER EXTREME LOADINGS	191
9.5.1. Earthquake	191
9.5.2. Impact	192
9.5.3. Fire	193
9.6. DURABILITY OF NATURAL FIBER-REINFORCED STRUCTURAL MEMBERS.....	195
9.7. RESEARCH NEEDS.....	198
9.8. SUMMARY	199
CHAPTER 10. ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT OF STUBBLE BURNING AND PRODUCTION OF BIO- COMPOSITES.....	201
10.1. OVERVIEW	201
10.2. INTRODUCTION	201
10.3. EMISSIONS IN STUBBLE BURNING	205
10.4. EMISSIONS IN PRODUCTION OF BIO-COMPOSITES FROM AGRO-RESIDUE.....	209
10.4.1. Collection of Agro-residues in the Field.....	209
10.4.2. Transportation of Straw and Other Materials to the Manufacturing Plant.....	210
10.4.3. Manufacturing of Bio-Composites from Agro- residues	211
10.5. LIFE-CYCLE ASSESSMENT OF BIO-COMPOSITES FROM AGRO-RESIDUE.....	213
10.6. BIO-COMPOSITES FROM AGRO-RESIDUES FOR SUSTAINABLE INFRASTRUCTURE DEVELOPMENT	216
10.7. SUMMARY	219
CHAPTER 11. PRODUCTION OF BIO-COMPOSITES MADE OF AGRICULTURAL-RESIDUES FOR MODULAR STRUCTURES.....	221
11.1. OVERVIEW	221
11.2. INTRODUCTION	221

11.2.1. Fire Resistance of Bio-Composite Products	222
11.3. PRODUCT DEVELOPMENT	223
11.3.1. Processing of Straw.....	224
11.3.2. Manufacturing of Bio-Composite Products	224
11.3.3. Challenges.....	225
11.4. SUMMARY	225
CHAPTER 12. CONCLUSIONS AND CONTRIBUTIONS.....	227
12.1. MAJOR CONCLUSIONS	227
12.2. MAJOR CONTRIBUTIONS.....	229
12.3. LIMITATIONS AND FUTURE SCOPE OF STUDY	230
REFERENCES	233
APPENDICES	279
APPENDIX A: VALIDATION AND CONVERGENCE STUDIES FOR NUMERICAL MODELS	279
A.1. Validation of FE model with Analytical Formulation for Fiber-Reinforced Polymer Composite	279
A.2. Validation and Convergence Studies for Fiber- Reinforced Polymer Composite Plate under Static and Dynamic Loads	284
A.3. Validation of Fiber-Reinforced Polymer and Foam Sandwich Composite Plate under Blast Load.....	290
APPENDIX B: STOCHASTIC PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT OF FRP COMPOSITE PLATES UNDER STATIC LOAD	293
B.1. Overview	293
B.2. Introduction.....	293
B.3. Reliability Analysis of the Composite Plate	296
B.4. Numerical Study	302
B.5. Summary	306
APPENDIX C: NUMERICAL INVESTIGATION ON CAST-IN-SITU ANCHOR IN CONCRETE BREAKOUT FAILURE.....	309
C.1. Overview	309
C.2. Introduction.....	309
C.3. Finite Element Modeling	310

	C.4. Results and Discussion	311
	C.5. Summary	314
APPENDIX D:	FAILURE IN ANCHOR CHANNELS UNDER STATIC LOAD FROM EXPERIMENT AND EUROCODE	315
	D.1. Failure Loads as per the Eurocode 2.....	315
	D.2. Tensile Failure of Anchor Channel from Experiment	323
APPENDIX E:	BEHAVIOR OF POST-INSTALLED ANCHORS UNDER TENSION	327
APPENDIX F:	OPTIMIZATION OF FRP COMPOSITE STRUCTURE UNDER WIND LOAD.....	331
	F.1. Introduction.....	331
	F.2. Multi-Objective Optimization.....	332
	F.3. Summary	335
APPENDIX G:	SAFETY FACTORS FOR FRP COMPOSITE STRUCTURES USING DIFFERENT DESIGN STANDARDS	337
	G.1. Overview	337
	G.2. First Order Second Moment Method	338
	G.3. Egyptian Code of Practice 208: 2005	339
	G.4. Canadian Standard Association S-806: 2002.....	341
APPENDIX H:	TENSILE TEST OF FRP LAMINATE.....	343
	H.1. Anchor Design for Grip of the Laminate	343
	H.2. Tensile Testing of the Laminate	344
	LIST OF PUBLICATIONS AND ACHIEVEMENTS.....	347
VITA		351

Table of Contents

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1.	Criteria for various failure modes of a lamina based on maximum stress criterion.	32
Table 2.2.	The material properties of IM7-PEEK lamina (Rawal and Misra, 1992).	33
Table 2.3.	First-ply failure parameters for symmetric and antisymmetric cross-ply and angle-ply laminates with fixed boundary conditions under blast load due to 20 kg explosive weight.	36
Table 2.4.	First-ply failure parameters for symmetric and antisymmetric cross-ply and angle-ply laminates with simply supported boundary conditions under blast load due to 20 kg explosive weight.....	37
Table 3.1.	The material characteristics of IM7-PEEK lamina at ambient temperature (Rawal and Misra, 1992).....	50
Table 4.1.	The material properties of extruded polystyrene (XPS) foam core.	67
Table 4.2.	The material properties of CFRP laminate facesheet.....	68
Table 4.3.	The failure load of the CFRP and XPS foam sandwich beam with respect to various failure modes.....	70
Table 4.4.	The peak flexure loads in indentation governing failure mode for CFRP and XPS foam sandwich beams.	72
Table 4.5.	Material properties for unidirectional glass fiber-reinforced epoxy laminate face sheet (Bahei-El-Din et al., 2006).	74
Table 4.6.	Material properties of H100 divinycell foam core (Bahei-El-Din et al., 2006).....	74
Table 4.7.	Material properties of polyurea (Bahei-El-Din et al., 2006).....	75
Table 5.1.	Material properties for UHPC (Li et al., 2020) and foamed concrete (Goh et al., 2016).....	85
Table 5.2.	Parameters of concrete damage plasticity (CDP) model for UHPC (Li et al., 2020) and foamed concrete (Goh et al., 2016).	86
Table 5.3.	Parameters of polyurea using Mooney-Rivlin model (Ackland et al., 2013).	86
Table 6.1.	Material properties of foamed concrete (Barnes, 2009).....	103
Table 6.2.	Geometrical and material properties of roselle fibers.	105

List of Tables

Table 6.3.	Properties of simply supported natural fiber-reinforced concrete beams.	107
Table 6.4.	Input and output parameters considering ULS and SLS for simply supported beam, B1.....	116
Table 6.5.	Input and output parameters considering ULS and SLS for simply supported beam, B2.....	116
Table 6.6.	Comparison of forces in natural fiber-reinforced and plain foamed concrete beams using the numerical approach.	119
Table 6.7.	Material properties of foamed concrete and bio-composite considered in this study.	123
Table 7.1.	Concrete properties considered for the numerical model.....	136
Table 7.2.	Material properties considered for the numerical model.	136
Table 7.3.	Comparison of failure for anchor channel under various displacement rates using numerical approach.	151
Table 8.1.	Different configurations of sandwich modular structure considered in this study.	157
Table 8.2.	Material properties of CFRP considered in the study.	159
Table 8.3.	Material properties of XPS foam considered in the study.	160
Table 8.4.	The parameters of the Mooney-Rivlin hyperelastic material model for polyurea (Ackland et al., 2013).	160
Table 9.1.	Worldwide annual production of different natural fibers (Campilho, 2016; Staiger and Tucker, 2008).	173
Table 9.2.	Physical and mechanical properties of different synthetic and natural fibers (Mohammed et al., 2015; Dittenber and GangaRao, 2012; Brebu, 2020, Mohanty et al., 2005; Cheung et al. 2009; Shah, 2013; Al-Oqla and Salit, 2017).	173
Table 10.1.	Comparison of emission factors (g/kg) of various pollutants in the burning of agricultural residue.	206
Table 10.2.	Estimated emissions of various pollutants from burning of rice straw residues from October to November 2020 in Punjab and Haryana.....	209
Table 10.3.	Greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions during the collection of one ton agro-residue from the field.	210
Table 10.4.	Emission factors of materials used in the manufacturing of rice straw bio-composite fiberboard and particleboard.	212

Table 10.5.	Energy consumption of processes during the manufacturing of fiberboard from one ton of agro-residues.....	212
Table 10.6.	Energy consumption of processes during the manufacturing of particleboard from one ton of agro-residues.	213
Table 10.7.	Life-cycle inventory of materials for fiberboard and particleboard from rice straw in SimaPro 9.0 [®] LCA software.....	214
Table 10.8.	Life-cycle inventory of processes involved in the manufacturing of fiberboard and particleboard from rice straw in SimaPro 9.0 [®] LCA software.	215
Table 10.9.	Comparison of physical and mechanical properties of rice straw boards, industrial strawboards, and wooden boards.....	218
Table A.1.	Non-dimensional deflections of simply supported two-layer ($0^\circ/90^\circ$) laminates under sinusoidal transverse load.	284
Table A.2.	Non-dimensional deflections of simply supported two-layer ($45^\circ/-45^\circ$) laminates under sinusoidal transverse load.	284
Table A.3.	Comparison of the static first-ply failure loads for the simply supported graphite-epoxy (Q-1115) cross-ply laminates considering various failure criteria and a/h ratios.....	285
Table A.4.	Comparison of the quasi-static deflection at center of the T300/5208 graphite-epoxy laminated composite plate.....	286
Table A.5.	Comparison of quasi-static first-ply failure load for graphite-epoxy (Q-1115) laminated composite plate.....	287
Table A.6.	Mesh convergence study for static deflection of IM7-PEEK laminated composite plate for suddenly applied pulse loading, $q = 0.1 \text{ N/mm}^2$	288
Table B.1.	Material properties and dimensions of the IM7-PEEK laminated composite plate (Rawal and Misra, 1992).....	303
Table B.2.	The quasi-static failure load for IM7-PEEK laminated composite plate.	304
Table B.3.	Comparison of the probability of failure of laminated composite plate obtained using gPC expansion and MCS considering Tsai-Wu failure criterion under quasi-static loads.	305

List of Tables

Table B.4.	Probability of failure of the IM7-PEEK composite plate with various configurations using gPC expansion method considering Tsai-Wu failure criterion under quasi-static load.....	305
Table C.1.	Comparison of peak load for the cast-in-situ headed anchor corresponding to concrete breakout.	313
Table D.1.	Parameters for anchor steel failure and failure resistance under tension.	318
Table D.2.	Parameters for lip failure and failure resistance under tension.	318
Table D.3.	Parameters for pull-out failure and failure resistance under tension.....	319
Table D.4.	Parameters for concrete cone failure and failure resistance under tension.	319
Table D.5.	Parameters for concrete splitting failure and failure resistance under tension.	319
Table D.6.	Parameters for slab and anchor channel.	321
Table D.7.	Parameters for lip failure and failure resistance under shear load.	322
Table D.8.	Parameters for concrete pry-out failure and failure resistance under shear load.....	322
Table D.9.	Parameters for concrete edge failure and failure resistance under shear load.....	322
Table F.1.	Optimized results for the mentioned cases, considering 0° attack angle of the wind.	334
Table F.2.	Optimized results for the mentioned cases, considering 90° attack angle of the wind.	334
Table F.3.	Objective function values at maximum reliability for 90° attack angle.	335
Table G.1.	Environmental reduction factor for FRP.	340

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1.	Defense structures: (a) fallout and underground structure (US Government, 1958) and (b) bunker at Possum Park in Queensland, Australia (Possum Park, 1986).....	3
Figure 1.2.	Defense structures: (a) air-raid structure in Tateyama, Nagasaki, (b) bomb structure in Germany from World War II, Bundeswehr Military History Museum, Dresden, (c) bunkers from Albania, and (d) Anderson structure standing in World War II (USWD, 1881; Atlas Obscura, 2013; IWM, 2017; Cromwell, 2024).	4
Figure 1.3.	Defense structures: (a) modular facility made of Lego blocks, and (b) modular training facility at Taji Military Complex.....	4
Figure 1.4.	Emergency structures: (a) paper-tube rapid structures (Liang, 2016), (b) paper-tube structure (Liang, 2016), (c) ZipFlat building system (Techxlab), (d) hard shell structure, (e) Habihut, and (f) bamboo structure (Ecohomes).....	5
Figure 1.5.	Emergency structures: (a) IKEA structure assembly (Liang, 2016), (b) structure made of SIPs (Liang, 2016), and (c) FRP dome housing (Halliwell, 2008).	6
Figure 1.6.	Geometry of the laminated composite plate with reference axes, displacement components, and fiber orientation.....	8
Figure 1.7.	(a) University of Southern Denmark with porous UHPC façade panel (HiCON) and (b) lightweight road sub base foamed concrete for Dartford Park development (Propump Engineering Ltd., 2022).....	10
Figure 1.8.	Bio-composite bridge made of (a) flax-reinforced bio-composite (Dahy, 2022) and (b) hemp and flax-reinforced bio-composite (TU Delft, 2016).	12
Figure 1.9.	Different components of modular structures.....	15
Figure 1.10.	Organization of the doctoral research.	18
Figure 2.1.	Laminated composite plate: (a) schematic diagram with translational displacements and rotations and (b) configuration of cross-ply and angle-ply laminated composite plates.	22

List of Figures

Figure 2.2.	Application of a blast load, (a) blast scenario considered on a laminated composite plate, and (b) pressure profile of a typical blast wave due to air explosion.....	29
Figure 2.3.	The finite element discretization of a laminated composite plate with the numbering sequence of the elements and Gauss points.	33
Figure 2.4.	Central deflection time histories of laminated composite plates for various configurations with (a) fixed boundary conditions and (b) simply supported boundary conditions subjected to blast load.....	34
Figure 2.5.	Time histories of stresses at failure location of laminated composite plates with (a) fixed boundary conditions and (b) simply supported boundary conditions subjected to blast load using maximum stress criterion.	39
Figure 2.6.	Time histories of stresses at failure location of laminated composite plates with (a) fixed boundary conditions and (b) simply supported boundary conditions subjected to blast load using Tsai-Hill criterion.....	40
Figure 2.7.	Time histories of stresses at failure location of laminated composite plates with (a) fixed boundary conditions and (b) simply supported boundary conditions subjected to blast load using Tsai-Wu criterion.	40
Figure 2.8.	Time histories of stresses at failure location of laminated composite plates with (a) fixed boundary conditions and (b) simply supported boundary conditions subjected to blast load using Hoffman criterion.....	41
Figure 2.9.	Peak central deflection of laminated composite plates with (a) fixed boundary conditions and (b) simply supported boundary conditions subjected to blast load.	42
Figure 2.10.	The configuration of the laminated composite plate under blast load, which shows the minimum failure index as per various failure criteria with fixed boundary conditions.	44
Figure 2.11.	The configuration of the laminated composite plate under blast load, which shows the minimum failure index as per various failure criteria with simply supported boundary conditions.....	44
Figure 3.1.	A general schematic diagram of a fiber-reinforced polymer laminated composite plate.....	50
Figure 3.2.	Flow chart for the fragility assessment of laminated composite plates subjected to uncertain blast load.	52

Figure 3.3.	Flowchart of the algorithm for the gPC to obtain the probability of failure of laminated composite plates subjected to uncertain blast load.	55
Figure 3.4.	Comparison of variation in the probability of failure (P_f) with reference to explosive weight (W) for $(0^\circ/90^\circ)_s$ laminate with fixed boundary conditions using Monte Carlo simulation and generalized polynomial chaos.....	57
Figure 3.5.	Fragility curve of laminated composite plates with fixed boundary conditions using maximum stress criterion.	60
Figure 3.6.	Fragility curve of laminated composite plates with simply supported boundary conditions using maximum stress criterion.....	60
Figure 3.7.	Fragility curve of laminated composite plates with fixed boundary conditions using Tsai-Hill failure criterion.	61
Figure 3.8.	Fragility curve of laminated composite plates with simply supported boundary conditions using Tsai-Hill failure criterion.	61
Figure 3.9.	Fragility curve of laminated composite plates with fixed boundary conditions using Hoffman failure criterion.	62
Figure 3.10.	Fragility curve of laminated composite plates with simply supported boundary conditions using Hoffman failure criterion.	62
Figure 3.11.	Fragility curve of laminated composite plates with fixed boundary conditions using Tsai-Wu failure criterion.....	63
Figure 3.12.	Fragility curve of laminated composite plates with simply supported boundary conditions using Tsai-Wu failure criterion.	63
Figure 3.13.	Permissible scaled distance (Z_{limit}) of laminated composite plates under uncertain blast load with respect to $P_{f, \text{limit}} = 0.01$	64
Figure 4.1.	Schematic diagram of three-point bending experimental setup for sandwich beam.	68
Figure 4.2.	Experimental setup of three-point bending test of CFRP and XPS foam sandwich beam.	70
Figure 4.3.	Indentation failure of CFRP and XPS foam sandwich composite beams using experimental and numerical approaches.	71

List of Figures

Figure 4.4.	Load-displacement curves of CFRP and XPS sandwich composite beams of length 300 mm using experimental and numerical approaches.....	72
Figure 4.5.	Load-displacement curves of CFRP and XPS sandwich composite beams of length 500 mm using experimental and numerical approaches.....	72
Figure 4.6.	Configurations of sandwich panel with and without additional polyurea layer.....	74
Figure 4.7.	Blast load application, (a) pressure time history and (b) applied on a sandwich composite panel.....	76
Figure 4.8.	The peak response time histories of GFRP and divinycell foam sandwich composite panels with and without polyurea: (a) deflection at mid-node, (b) equivalent von Mises stress at mid-node, and (c) dissipated energy.....	77
Figure 4.9.	The deformed shape of GFRP and divinycell foam sandwich composite panels: (a) without polyurea, (b) polyurea at front face, and (c) polyurea at back face at different time instants.....	78
Figure 4.10.	The peak response quantities of CFRP and divinycell foam sandwich composite panels with and without polyurea: (a) deflection at mid-point, (b) equivalent von Mises stress at mid-point, (c) kinetic energy, and (d) energy dissipation at different scaled standoff distances.	80
Figure 5.1.	Ultra-high-performance concrete structure subjected to far-field and near-field blast scenarios.....	82
Figure 5.2.	Ultra-high-performance concrete (UHPC) panel coated with foamed concrete and polyurea layers.	83
Figure 5.3.	Equivalent von Mises stress of UHPC panels with and without 10 mm thick foamed concrete and polyurea coatings subjected to blast Scenario 1.	87
Figure 5.4.	Deformed shapes of UHPC panels with foamed concrete and polyurea coatings of thickness 2.5 mm and 10 mm subjected to blast Scenario 1.....	89

Figure 5.5.	Equivalent von Mises stresses of UHPC panels with and without 10 mm thick foamed concrete and polyurea coatings subjected to blast Scenario 2.	90
Figure 5.6.	Deformed section of UHPC panels with foamed concrete and polyurea coatings of thickness 2.5 mm and 10 mm subjected to blast Scenario 2.	92
Figure 5.7.	The peak response time histories of UHPC panels with and without 10 mm thick foamed concrete and polyurea coatings: (a) displacement and (b) equivalent von Mises stress at the bottom of UHPC panel (Point A), and (c) kinetic energy under blast Scenario 1.	92
Figure 5.8.	The peak response time histories of UHPC panels with and without 10 mm thick foamed concrete and polyurea coatings: (a) displacement and (b) equivalent von Mises stress at the bottom most layer of the panel (Point B), and (c) kinetic energy under blast Scenario 1.	93
Figure 5.9.	The peak response time histories of UHPC panels with and without 10 mm thick foamed concrete and polyurea coatings: (a) displacement and (b) equivalent von Mises stress at the bottom of UHPC panel (Point A), and (c) kinetic energy under blast Scenario 2.	93
Figure 5.10.	The peak response time histories of UHPC panels with and without 10 mm thick foamed concrete and polyurea coatings: (a) displacement and (b) equivalent von Mises stress at the bottom most layer of the panel (Point B), and (c) kinetic energy under blast Scenario 2.	94
Figure 5.11.	The peak deflection at mid-point of UHPC panels coated with (a) foamed concrete and (b) polyurea of different thicknesses subjected to far-field and near-field blast scenarios at the bottom of UHPC panel (Point A).	95
Figure 5.12.	The peak deflection at mid-point of UHPC panels coated with (a) foamed concrete and (b) polyurea of different thicknesses subjected to far-field and near-field blast scenarios at the bottom most layer of the panel (Point B).	96
Figure 5.13.	The peak equivalent von Mises stress at mid-point of UHPC panels coated with (a) foamed concrete and (b) polyurea of different	

List of Figures

	thicknesses subjected to far-field and near-field blast scenarios at the bottom of UHPC panel (Point A).....	96
Figure 5.14.	The peak equivalent von Mises stress at mid-point of UHPC panels coated with (a) foamed concrete and (b) polyurea of different thicknesses subjected to far-field and near-field blast scenarios at the bottom most layer of the panel (Point B).	97
Figure 5.15.	The peak kinetic energy of UHPC panels coated with (a) foamed concrete and (b) polyurea of different thicknesses subjected to far-field and near-field blast scenarios.	97
Figure 6.1.	The most used plant-based natural fibers for civil engineering structures.	102
Figure 6.2.	Tensile testing of roselle fiber: (a) schematic diagram of a prepared sample, (b) front view of fiber testing using UTM, and (c) side view of fiber testing using UTM.	104
Figure 6.3.	Stress-strain behavior of roselle fiber from tensile strength test.	104
Figure 6.4.	Tensile strength testing of roselle fiber rope: (a) testing using UTM, and (b) breakage of ropes.	106
Figure 6.5.	Four-point bending test of the natural fiber-reinforced foamed concrete beam, elevation and cross-section views.	107
Figure 6.6.	Numerical model of the beam: (a) multifiber model, and (b) degrees of freedom for e^{th} element of the beam.	110
Figure 6.7.	Flowchart of the displacement-controlled numerical approach.	112
Figure 6.8.	Comparison of force-displacement curves using simplified and numerical approaches for the beam: (a) B1 and (b) B2.	117
Figure 6.9.	Comparison of force-displacement curves using the numerical approach for B1, B2, and plain foamed concrete beams.	117
Figure 6.10.	Comparison of stress-displacement curves of the beam: (a) B1 and (b) B2.	119
Figure 6.11.	Variation of the moment with a span of the beam considering ULS and SLS for beams, B1 and B2.	120
Figure 6.12.	Variation of deflection with a span of the beam for ULS and SLS for beams: (a) B1, (b) B1 up to 3.5 m span, (c) B2, and (d) B2 up to 3.5 m span.	121

Figure 6.13.	Variation of the moment capacity and strain in concrete with reinforcement ratio for the beam: (a) B1 and (b) B2.....	122
Figure 6.14.	Blast load applied on foamed concrete wall panel strengthened with bio-composite.	123
Figure 6.15.	Deflection of (a) foamed concrete wall panel and (b) foamed concrete wall panel strengthened with bio-composite at 2.5 ms time instant.	123
Figure 6.16.	Equivalent von Mises stress of (a) foamed concrete wall panel and (b) foamed concrete wall panel strengthened with bio-composite.....	124
Figure 6.17.	Deflection and equivalent von Mises stress time histories of foamed concrete wall panel and foamed concrete wall panel strengthened with bio-composite under blast load.	124
Figure 6.18.	Kinetic and strain energy time histories of foamed concrete wall panel and foamed concrete wall panel strengthened with bio-composite under blast load.	125
Figure 7.1.	Load characterization with respect to strain rate.....	128
Figure 7.2.	Elevation view of lightweight modular structure to concrete foundation assembly with anchor channels.....	130
Figure 7.3.	Concept of the microplane model (Ožbolt et al., 2001): (a) integration point with microplanes and (b) decomposition of strain vector on the specified microplane (normal and shear).	132
Figure 7.4.	The dimensions of the concrete slab with anchor channel: (a) plan of the slab with anchor channel and (b) section AA of the slab with anchor channel.....	137
Figure 7.5.	Finite elements considered for the numerical model: (a) front elevation of channel assembly with bolts, anchors and connecting elements, (b) side elevation of channel assembly (c) contact layer for channel and anchors, and (d) connectivity of channel assembly and anchor with concrete.	138
Figure 7.6.	Anchor channel cast in concrete with contact layer, (a) undeformed shape and (b) deformed shape.	139
Figure 7.7.	Dimetric view of numerical model of the concrete slab with anchor channel.	139

List of Figures

Figure 7.8.	Test setup for anchor channel under shear: (a) plan view and (b) dimetric view.....	142
Figure 7.9.	Schematic diagram of test setup for anchor channel under shear: (a) plan view and (b) AA section view.....	143
Figure 7.10.	Plan view of concrete edge failure of slab with anchor channel under shear: (a) sample from experiment and (b) numerical model.	144
Figure 7.11.	Normalized load-displacement curves for the anchor channel under quasi-static shear obtained from experimental and numerical approaches. (Here, V : shear force, V_u : ultimate shear force, D : displacement, and D_m : displacement with respect to V_u).	145
Figure 7.12.	Failure mode and pattern of anchor channel under shear displacement rate considering rate-sensitive static (RSS) and rate-sensitive dynamic (RSD) analyses: (a) under 1 mm/s RSS, (b) under 300 mm/s RSD, and (c) under 6000 mm/s RSD.	147
Figure 7.13.	Plan view of concrete edge failure of the slab with the anchor channel under quasi-static (QS) and 1000 mm/s rate-sensitive dynamic (RSD) analyses in the numerical models.....	148
Figure 7.14.	Load-displacement curve for anchor channel under various displacement rates under quasi-static and rate-sensitive static (RSS) case.	149
Figure 7.15.	(a) Comparison of load-displacement curves for 300 mm/s and 1000 mm/s: rate-sensitive static (RSS) and rate-sensitive dynamic (RSD) cases, (b) load-displacement curves for RSD case, (c) variation of dynamic increase factor (DIF) with displacement rate up to 1000 mm/s, and (d) variation of DIF with displacement rate beyond 100 mm/s.	151
Figure 8.1.	The finite element model of carbon fiber-reinforced polymer (CFRP) and extruded polystyrene (XPS) sandwich modular structure.	156
Figure 8.2.	The mode shapes of CFRP and XPS sandwich modular structure.	161
Figure 8.3.	Deflection of CFRP and XPS foam sandwich modular structure with and without polyurea at exposed face under blast load applied at flat wall: (a) at time instant 3 ms and (b) at time instant 6 ms.	162

Figure 8.4.	Response time histories of CFRP and XPS foam sandwich modular structure with and without polyurea applied at external face of the flat wall under blast load.	163
Figure 8.5.	Deflection of CFRP and XPS foam sandwich modular structure with and without polyurea applied at inner face of the flat wall under blast load: (a) at time instant 3 ms and (b) at time instant 6 ms.	163
Figure 8.6.	Response time histories of CFRP and XPS foam sandwich modular structure with and without polyurea applied at internal face of the flat wall under blast load.	164
Figure 8.7.	Deflection of CFRP and XPS foam sandwich modular structure with and without polyurea applied at internal face of the flat wall under blast load: (a) at time instant 3 ms and (b) at time instant 6 ms.....	164
Figure 8.8.	Deflection of CFRP and XPS foam sandwich modular structure with and without polyurea applied at internal face of the flat wall under blast load: (a) at time instant 3 ms and (b) at time instant 6 ms.....	165
Figure 8.9.	Deflection of CFRP and XPS foam sandwich modular structure with and without polyurea applied at the external face of the curved wall under blast load: (a) at time instant 3 ms and (b) at time instant 6 ms.....	166
Figure 8.10.	Response time histories of CFRP and XPS foam sandwich modular structure with and without polyurea applied at the external face of the curved wall under blast load.....	167
Figure 8.11.	Deflection of CFRP and XPS foam sandwich modular structure with and without polyurea applied at the internal face of the curved wall under blast load: (a) at time instant 3 ms and (b) at time instant 6 ms.....	167
Figure 8.12.	Response time histories of CFRP and XPS foam sandwich modular structure with and without polyurea applied at the internal face of the curved wall under blast load.....	168
Figure 8.13.	The response of the sandwich modular structure with varying thickness of polyurea applied at external face of the structure: (a) peak deflection and (b) kinetic energy.	169
Figure 9.1.	Plant-based natural fibers commonly used for construction.	174
Figure 9.2.	Stress-strain curves of different fibers: (a) synthetic fibers and (b) natural fibers.....	174

List of Figures

Figure 9.3.	Plant-based natural fibers commonly used: (a) in various forms and (b) different forms of composites for construction.	175
Figure 9.4.	Design parameters of natural fiber-reinforced concrete, optimum fiber content ratio and length.	183
Figure 9.5.	Natural fiber-reinforced concrete beam strengthened with natural fiber wrap.	186
Figure 9.6.	Natural fibers as a reinforcement in concrete structural members: (a) natural fiber rebars as main longitudinal reinforcement and (b) natural fabric-reinforced polymer (NFRP) composite as stirrups.	187
Figure 9.7.	Strengthening of the structural members: (a) concrete column with natural fiber rope reinforced polymer (NFRP) composite wrap and (b) masonry wall with cement and natural fabric composite layers.	190
Figure 9.8.	Natural fiber rope reinforcement for mortar free wall interlocking block under gravity and earthquake loadings.	192
Figure 9.9.	Bamboo strip reinforcement in concrete panel.	195
Figure 10.1.	Comparison between the path of open field burning and production of bio-composites from agro-residues.	205
Figure 10.2.	Production and burning of agro-residues in different cities of Punjab from October to November 2020 in the post-harvest season (CREAMS, 2020).	207
Figure 10.3.	Production and burning of agro-residues in different cities of Haryana from October to November 2020 in the post-harvest season (CREAMS, 2020).	208
Figure 10.4.	Greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions in the production of fiberboard from paddy (rice) straw using life-cycle assessment.	216
Figure 10.5.	Greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions comparison of rice straw boards with industrial strawboards, wooden boards, and open field burning of straw.	219
Figure 11.2.	Manufacturing of bio-composite products.	223
Figure 11.3.	Bio-composite insulation boards and bricks.	223
Figure 11.1.	Processing of wheat straw.	224
Figure A.1.	Validation of transient response of the fixed (0°/90°/0°) laminate due to uniformly distributed impulse load.: (a) deflection at center and (b) stress in x-direction σ_x at center.	288

Figure A.2.	Convergence study of the probability of failure for $(0^\circ/90^\circ)_s$ laminated composite plate subjected to uncertain blast load.	289
Figure A.3.	Validation of the present numerical model under blast load with, (a) response of steel and dytherm foam sandwich panel by Goel et al. (2013), and (b) response of steel panel with polyurea by Ackland et al. (2013).	291
Figure B.1.	Flow-chart for Monte Carlo simulation implemented for the probability assessment of FRP composite plates.	297
Figure B.2.	Flow-chart for generalized polynomial chaos implemented for the probability assessment of FRP composite plates.	302
Figure B.3.	Geometry of the laminated composite plate: (a) plan of plate geometry with numbering of elements and Gauss points and (b) representation of first-ply failure location and numbering of plies.....	304
Figure B.4.	Reliability of the laminated composite plate with various configurations under quasi-static load with mean values: (a) $q = 0.12$ MPa, (b) $q = 0.3$ MPa, and (c) $q = 0.6$ MPa.....	306
Figure C.1.	A three-dimensional finite element model of the cast-in-situ headed anchor embedded into the concrete.	311
Figure C.2.	A load-displacement curve from the concrete breakout for the headed stud embedded into the concrete.....	312
Figure C.3.	The deformed shape from the concrete breakout for the headed stud embedded into the concrete.....	312
Figure D.1.	Schematic diagram of test setup for pull-out test on anchor channel.....	323
Figure D.2.	Test setup for pull-out test on anchor channel with three configurations.....	324
Figure D.3.	Failure patterns and modes obtained from pull-out tests on anchor channel.	324
Figure D.4.	Load-displacement curves obtained from pull-out test on anchor channel.	325
Figure E.1.	Pull-out test of concrete screw anchor.	327
Figure E.2.	Load-displacement curves of concrete screw anchor under tension.	328
Figure E.3.	Pull-out test of expansion anchor.....	328
Figure E.4.	Load-displacement curves of expansion anchor under tension.....	329

List of Figures

Figure E.5.	Pull-out test of undercut anchor.	329
Figure E.6.	Load-displacement curves of undercut anchors under tension.	330
Figure F.1.	Inhabitable zone within the structure with a height of 2.5 m.	332
Figure F.2.	Feasible design domain of the structure.	332
Figure G.1.	Load and resistance interaction.	338
Figure H.1.	Anchor preparation of CFRP laminate.	344
Figure H.2.	Experimental setup of tensile testing of CFRP laminate.	344
Figure H.3.	The load-displacement curve for CFRP laminate obtained from the tensile test.	345
Figure H.4.	Tensile failure of the CFRP laminate.	346

ABBREVIATIONS

3D	Three-dimensional
ACI	American concrete institute
ANN	Artificial neural networks
BRB	Bamboo reinforced beam
CDP	Concrete damage plasticity
CE	Concrete edge failure
CFD	Computational fluid dynamics
CFRP	Carbon fiber-reinforced polymer
CLPT	Classical laminate plate theory
COV	Coefficient of variation
CRC	Coir fiber-reinforced concrete
CSA	Crow search algorithm
DIF	Dynamic increase factor
DOF	Degree of freedom
EN	European
EPS	Expanded polystyrene
ETA	European technical assessment
ETPS	European technical product specification
FBr	Fiber breakage
FBu	Fiber buckling
FE	Finite element
FFRP	Flax fiber-reinforced polymer
FI	Failure index
FORM	First order reliability method
FOS	Factor of safety
FRP	Fiber-reinforced polymer
FSDT	First-order shear deformation theory
gPC	Generalized polynomial chaos
GHG	Greenhouse gas
GA	Genetic algorithm
GFRP	Glass fiber-reinforced polymer
GP	Gauss point

Abbreviations

GWP	Global warming potential
HAA	High altitude area
HRC	Hybrid fiber-reinforced concrete
HS	High strength
HSDT	Higher-order shear deformation theory
IIT	Indian institute of technology
IRD	Industrial research and development
IS	Indian standard
JFRCM	jute fabric reinforced cement mortar
JFRP	Jute fiber-reinforced polymer
LCA	Life-cycle assessment
LVDT	Linear variable differential transformers
MC	Matrix crushing
MCS	Monte Carlo simulation
MS	Modular structure
MSF	Matrix shear failure
MS-C	Modular structure with blast load applied on the curved wall of the structure
MS-F	Modular structure with blast load applied on the flat wall of the structure
NBC	National building code
NFC	Natural fiber composite
NFRP	Natural fiber-reinforced polymer
NS	Normal strength
PDF	Probability density function
PEEK	Polyether ether ketone
PUE	Polyurea applied on external face of the structure
PUI	Polyurea applied on internal face of the structure
QS	Quasi-static
RC	Reinforced concrete
RSD	Rate-sensitive dynamic
RSM	Response surface methodology
RSS	Rate-sensitive static
SAN	Styrene acrylonitrile

SCP	Sandwich composite panel
SCP-PB	Sandwich composite panel with polyurea at opposite face to blast
SCP-PF	Sandwich composite panel with polyurea at exposed face to blast
SDG	Sustainable development goal
SFEM	Stochastic finite element method
SORM	Second order reliability method
SLS	Serviceability limit state
SRB	Steel reinforced beam
SVR	Support vector regression
TMC	Transverse matrix cracking
TNT	Trinitrotoluene
UHPC	Ultra-high-performance concrete
ULS	Ultimate limit state
UTM	Universal testing machine
WL	Wind load
XPS	Extruded polystyrene

Abbreviations

MATHEMATICAL NOTATIONS

a	Length of fiber-reinforced polymer laminated composite plate
A_e	Total area of element
A_s	Plan area of structure
b	Width of fiber-reinforced polymer laminated composite plate
$\mathbf{B}$	Strain-displacement relationship matrix
b'	Parameter to control rate of decay of the pressure
c_1	Edge distance of anchor channel
C	Compression force
$\bar{C}$	Stiffness matrix of a lamina
C_{01}, C_{10}	polynomial constants for Mooney-Rivlin hyperelastic material model
CO_2	Carbon dioxide
COV	Coefficient of variation
$\bar{d}$	Displacement vector
$\ddot{d}$	Acceleration vector
$\bar{D}$	Load-strain relationship matrix
d_0	Mid-plane displacement vector
d_c	Deflection capacity of a beam
d_s	Deflection of a beam with respect to SLS
d_u	Deflection of a beam with respect to ULS
d_r	Diameter of natural fiber rope
d_{tnn}	Displacement vector for a total tnn number of nodes
D_1	Compressibility constant for Mooney-Rivlin hyperelastic material model
D_m	Displacement with respect to ultimate shear force
d_f^C	Damage index of fiber in compression
d_f^T	Damage index of fiber in tension
d_m^C	Damage index of matrix in compression
d_m^T	Damage index of matrix in tension
E	Young's modulus of the material

Mathematical Notations

E_{11}	Elastic modulus of FRP laminate in longitudinal local 1 direction
E_{22}	Elastic modulus of FRP laminate in longitudinal local 2 direction
E_c	Elastic modulus of the core material
$E_{\text{composite}}$	Elastic modulus of composite
E_{con}	Elastic modulus of concrete
E_f	Elastic modulus of the facesheet material
E_r	Elastic modulus of natural fiber rope reinforcement
E_{steel}	Elastic modulus of steel
EF	Emission factor
$\mathbf{F}$	Stress-resultant vector
f_{cc}	Compressive strength of concrete
f_r	Tensile strength of natural fiber rope
f_t	Tensile strength of concrete
F_s	Shear strength of the lamina
F_{xc}	Compressive strength along 1 principal direction of the lamina
F_{yc}	Compressive strength along 2 principal direction of the lamina
F_{xt}	Tensile strengths along 1 principal direction of the lamina
F_{yt}	Tensile strengths along 2 principal direction of the lamina
FE	Fuel efficiency
g	Failure criterion limit for FRP laminate
G_{12}	Shear modulus of FRP laminate in local 12 plane
G_{13}	Shear modulus of FRP laminate in local 13 plane
G_{23}	Shear modulus of FRP laminate in local 23 plane
G_c	Shear modulus of the core material
G_{cc}	Fracture energy of concrete
G_f	Shear modulus of the facesheet material
h	Height of fiber-reinforced polymer laminated composite plate
$\mathbf{I}$	Identity matrix

I_c	Moment of inertia of cross-section
$\mathbf{K}$	Stiffness matrix
L	Span length of a beam
L_e	Length of e^{th} element
L_f	Length of fiber
L_p	Length of anchor
L_s	Length of the structure
$\mathbf{M}$	Inertia matrix
M_c	Moment capacity of a beam
$M_{c, B1}$	Moment capacity of beam B1
$M_{c, B2}$	Moment capacity of beam B2
$\mathbf{M}_s$	Mass matrix of primary structure/superstructure
M_s	Moment of a beam with respect to SLS
M_u	Moment of a beam with respect to ULS
$\mathbf{N}$	Shape function vector
N_{alt}	Number of simulations
N_f^{gPC}	Number of failure using gPC
N_f^{MCS}	Number of failure using MCS
$N_{\text{Rk},c}$	Characteristic normal resistance of anchor in concrete failure
$N_{\text{Rk},cb}$	Characteristic normal resistance of anchor in concrete blow-out failure
$N_{\text{Rk},p}$	Characteristic normal resistance of anchor in pull-out failure
$N_{\text{Rk},s}$	Characteristic normal resistance of anchor in steel failure
$N_{\text{Rk},sp}$	Characteristic normal resistance of anchor in concrete splitting failure
$\mathbf{O}$	Null matrix
p_0	Ambient pressure in MPa
P	Applied load on a beam
P_f	Probability of failure
P_f^{gPC}	Probability of failure using gPC
P_f^{MCS}	Probability of failure using MCS
$P_{f, \text{limit}}$	Limiting probability of failure
P_{exp}	External pressure

Mathematical Notations

P_{grip}	Pressure applied on the grip of the laminate
P_i	Load required to cause indentation failure in the facesheet
P_m	Load required to cause microbuckling
p_{pos}	Positive peak pressure of shock wave
P_p	Positive peak pressure due to explosion
P_s	Load required to cause shear failure in the core
P_w	Load required to cause wrinkling
$p_z(t)$	Pressure of shock wave at time t
q	Magnitude of static pressure
$q(t)$	Peak magnitude of dynamic pressure
Q_{Fuel}	Total fuel consumption per ton of load
$\bar{Q}_k$	Transformed reduced stiffness matrix for the k^{th} lamina
R	Radial distance from the explosive charge center
$\mathbf{R}$	Reuter matrix
$\bar{R}$	Reliability of FRP laminate
$\bar{R}^{\text{gPC}}$	Reliability of FRP laminate using gPC
$\bar{R}^{\text{MCS}}$	Reliability of FRP laminate using MCS
R_s	Radius of the structure
s	Spacing between anchors
s_{cbo}	Spacing between channel bolts
S_c	Stresses in concrete
S_r	Stress in natural fiber rope reinforcement
t	Total elapsed time since the beginning of the excitation
T	Tension force
t_a	Arrival time of shock wave in ms
t_c	Thickness of core in a sandwich panel
t_f	Thickness of facesheet in a sandwich panel
t_{pos}	Duration of positive pressure in shock wave
$\mathbf{T}_k$	Transformation matrix
T_p	Maximum load capacity of anchorage
u	Input vector

u_0	Mid-plane translational displacements along X axis
U_{inner}	Inner perimeter of FRP sample
U_{outer}	Outer perimeter of FRP sample
U_p	Perimeter of FRP sample
U_s	Linear deviatoric strain energy
v_0	Mid-plane translational displacements along Y axis
w_0	Mid-plane translational displacements along Z axis
W_L	Lobbato points
$w_{,x}$	First order derivative of w with respect to X axis
$w_{,y}$	First order derivative of w with respect to Y axis
V	Shear force
$V_{\text{Rk,c}}$	Characteristic shear resistance of anchor in concrete edge failure
$V_{\text{Rk,cp}}$	Characteristic shear resistance of anchor in concrete pry-out failure
$V_{\text{Rk,s}}$	Characteristic shear resistance of anchor in steel failure
$N_{\text{Rk,sp}}$	Characteristic resistance of anchor in concrete splitting failure
V_u	Ultimate shear force
W	Weight of explosive charge in kg
W_{limit}	Limiting explosive weight
$\mathbf{X}_s$	Column vector of floor displacements in the X direction
$\dot{\mathbf{X}}_s$	Column vector of floor velocities in the X direction
$\ddot{\mathbf{X}}_s$	Column vector of floor accelerations in the X direction
Z	Scaled distance of explosion
Z_{limit}	Limiting scaled distance of explosion
$\beta_{(\chi)}$	A vector of the unknown coefficients for a specific point at which response shall be obtained
β	Reliability index
ξ	Random variable
Δ	Slip between reinforcement and concrete
Δt	Small time interval
ε	Error

Mathematical Notations

$\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$	Strain vector for a lamina
$\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_0$	Mid-plane strain vector
ε_{ij}	Components of macroscopic strain tensor
ε_{ik}	Complex Gaussian random number with zero mean
ε_m	Strain at microplane
$\varepsilon_K, \varepsilon_M$	Shear strain components at microplane
ε_N	Normal strain at microplane
ε_{xx}^c	Strain in concrete
ε_{xx}^r	Strain in reinforcement
θ_k	Angle of fibers in the k^{th} lamina with respect to the major principal axis (i.e., X -axis) of the laminate coordinate system
θ_x	Rotations about X axis
θ_y	Rotations about Y axis
$\bar{\kappa}$	Shear correction factor
ν_{12}	Poisson's ratio of FRP laminate for load applied in the 1 direction and strain in the 2 direction
ν_{21}	Poisson's ratio of FRP laminate for load applied in the 2 direction and strain in the 1 direction
ρ	Air density
$\boldsymbol{\sigma}$	Stress vector for a lamina
σ_{11}	Normal stress in direction 1 in local coordinate system
σ_{22}	Normal stress in direction 2 in local coordinate system
σ_D	Deviatoric stress
σ_{ij}	Components of macroscopic stress tensor
σ_K, σ_M	Shear stresses at microplane
σ_N	Normal stress at microplane
σ_V	Volumetric stress
τ_{12}	Shear stress in 12 plane in local coordinate system
φ_x	Shear rotation in $X - Z$ plane

φ_y	Shear rotations in $Y-Z$ plane
$\psi_{ch,c}$	Factor for the effect of the corner on the characteristic resistance
$\psi_{ch,e}$	Factor for the effect of edge distance on the characteristic resistance
$\psi_{ch,h}$	Factor for the effect of thickness of concrete member on the characteristic resistance
$\psi_{ch,s}$	Factor for the effect of influence of neighboring anchors on the characteristic resistance
$\psi_{h,sp}$	Factor for the effect of thickness of concrete member on the characteristic resistance
ω	Angular frequency of wind