

**STATIC AND DYNAMIC RESPONSE OF DELHI METRO TUNNELS**

**SUBHASH SONI**



**DEPARTMENT OF CIVIL ENGINEERING  
INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY DELHI**

**MARCH 2015**

© Indian Institute of Technology Delhi (IITD), New Delhi, 2015

**STATIC AND DYNAMIC RESPONSE OF DELHI METRO TUNNELS**

by

**SUBHASH SONI**

**DEPARTMENT OF CIVIL ENGINEERING**

Submitted

In fulfilment of the requirements of the degree of

**DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY**

to the



**INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY DELHI**

**MARCH 2015**

## CERTIFICATE

This is to certify that the thesis entitled “**STATIC AND DYNAMIC RESPONSE OF DELHI METRO TUNNELS**”, is being submitted by **Mr. SUBHASH SONI** in the partial fulfilment for the award of the degree of Doctor of Philosophy of the Indian Institute of Technology Delhi. This is a record of his work and is entirely carried out by him under our supervision and guidance. The matter presented in this report has not been submitted for the award of any other degree or diploma.

Prof. G.V. Ramana  
Department of Civil Engineering  
Indian Institute of Technology Delhi  
New Delhi-110016

Prof. K.G. Sharma  
Department of Civil Engineering  
Indian Institute of Technology Delhi  
New Delhi-110016

Date:

New Delhi

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

“I believe in intuition and inspiration. Imagination is more important than knowledge. For knowledge is limited, whereas imagination embraces the entire world, stimulating progress, giving birth to evolution. It is, strictly speaking, a real factor in scientific research.”

Albert Einstein, 1931

Doctor of Philosophy is not just another degree, but it marks the culmination of long years of academic education. I would like to take this opportunity to thank everyone who has contributed to my learning process since I was a child in school.

I would like to convey my most earnest appreciation for my supervisor Professor K.G. Sharma, who has the attitude and the substance of a genius: He has continually and convincingly conveyed a spirit of adventure in every aspect of research and scholarship and his constant excitement to teach. I am extremely fortunate to have the opportunity to complete my PhD under someone who truly practices and appreciates deep thinking, without his guidance and persistent help; this dissertation would not have been possible.

I have great pleasure in expressing my deep sense of gratitude, admiration and appreciation towards my supervisor Professor G. V. Ramana for his invaluable guidance, constant supervision, continuous encouragement and support throughout the course of project. My prior interaction with my PhD guide, Professor G.V. Ramana, was during my post graduate studies in 2003-2004 while I was completing my M. Tech. project in Seismic design of Underground Structures and then joined back for a PhD in January 2007. I have had a great time working with him and will be forever indebted to him for all the time he has spent with me in discussions. Thank you very much, Sir.

I would also like to thank the members of my Doctoral Committee, Chairmain

Professor Ashok Gupta, Department expert, Professor J.T.Shahu and External Expert Dr. Ravi Kumar for reviewing my work from time to time and for giving me positive and valuable feedback.

I would also like to take this opportunity to convey my gratefulness to my former colleagues at SNC-Lavalin, in particular, Dr. Vinod Batta, for his support and for allowing my frequent visits to IITD during working hours. I extend my wishes to Mr. O.P. Sharma for the real interest in my research and supporting me in maintaining my research and work life balance.

I also extend my sincere thanks to my friends for their suggestions and help in completing the project.

Finally, I am thankful to my parents for their encouragement, love, affection and kindness to me throughout my research and in writing this thesis. There is one person to whom I am eternally grateful, she stayed with me throughout and her love and encouragement for me never reduced. We started out as firm friends and later she became my wife. I dedicate this thesis to my wife, Thank you Neha.

I thank my lovely son, Reyansh, for his sweet smile and innocent understanding. I will be forever indebted to him for pilfering his play time during the most difficult time of thesis writing. I hope he will understand this one day.

*“Attempt the end and never stand to doubt; Nothing's so hard, but search will find it out.”*

Robert Herrick, 1963

**Subhash Soni**

## **ABSTRACT**

The ever increasing population of large cities, density of transportation and the need for storage capacity have led, inevitably, to an increased use of underground facilities. Many of these underground facilities often pass through soft ground (soil), complex geological conditions, and many of the projects are situated in active zones of high seismicity. It is necessary to understand the influence of the geological conditions, and stability of such soft grounds, including seismic activity and the resulting states of the stresses due to excavation and insitu stress condition on the engineering behaviour of the underground openings. Tunnelling using Tunnel Boring machine (TBM) is one of the most reliable and stable methods amongst the other available urban tunnelling techniques.

TBM tunnelling in an urban environment requires accurate prediction of ground movements around the TBM in order to assess any potential effect on the existing infrastructure. The empirical method and the finite element/difference methods are two common methods of predicting ground surface settlements induced by excavation. Unfortunately, most or all of the empirical methods adopt the zero plastic deformation approach and predict the surface settlement profile by adopting the normal (or Gaussian) distribution function or informally the bell shaped curve.

Most of the modern finite element/difference method (FEM/FDM) modelling techniques prescribe the deformation pattern around the tunnel excavation caused by the Tunnel Boring Machine (TBM) known as gap modelling. Standard uniform and oval-shaped volume loss models have been developed earlier by various authors and are used extensively to simulate TBM tunnel excavation in FEM/FDM modelling.

A Rational Modelling Technique (RMT) using FLAC 2D for the analysis of ground movement around TBM excavation is described. The proposed RMT is a

more realistic approach to simulate tunnel movements associated with TBM excavation as an alternative to prescribing the deformation boundary condition around the tunnel. The technique present is based closely on the rationalisation of TBM characteristics and tunnelling aspects and thus called as rational modelling technique (RMT) within the present thesis. The technique proposed herein can be briefly explained as a boundary condition applied by the TBM on tunnel excavation boundary with respect to time and/or forward motion of TBM. Tunnel stability is maintained using new programming code written within the FLAC 2D framework (Fish Function), based on the characteristics, functional aspects and different phases of the TBM excavation.

The proposed modelling (RMT) technique allows the tunnel to deform naturally and gradually during excavation as the TBM passes through the section. The RMT allows the tunnel to deform slowly so that the unbalanced forces are distributed throughout the model in order to provide a more accurate representation of the modelled volume loss.

The proposed modelling (RMT) technique could also be used to simulate multiple tunnel openings in close proximity and to simulate the interaction between multiple structures/tunnels.

In the present thesis, scope of work is limited to the assessment of ground loading and its impact on the tunnel lining.

In order to establish the proposed rational modelling technique, a case study based on actual TBM tunnel (twin tunnel, each 6.53 m diameter at Cutter-head) excavation completed along the selected reference line of the Delhi Metro Rail Corporation (DMRC) project is presented. The analysis has been carried out for the tunnels driven in Delhi silt for the between Connaught Place to Patel Chowk (Line-B6) on the Yellow line constructed in Phase I work of DMRC. Sensitivity analysis has been carried out and results are compared with the empirical method as suggested by Peck (1969), Attewell (1977), O'Reilly and New (1982), Yadav (2005) and field monitoring observations.

Delhi Metro tunnels are aligned under varying tunnel depths (10.5 m to 14.2 m) and varying centre pillar widths (7.2 m to 11.2 m). No well-established design chart or empirical correlation is available to predict the surface settlement in Delhi silt due to TBM tunnelling in these varying tunnel configurations.

A comprehensive parametric study was carried out for various models developed with combination of tunnel depths and separation or pillar width (called as clearance) ranging 1.5, 2.0, 2.5 and 3.0 times of tunnel diameter. Three volume loss cases (1.0%, 2.0% and 3.0%) have been adopted for estimating the surface settlement and tunnel lining response (axial force and bending moment) under various tunnel configurations. Following the extensive parametric study, empirical correlations have been developed for surface settlement, maximum axial force, and maximum bending moment. The results of the present study can be used for future design of relevant tunnel sections in the different field configurations in Delhi Silt.

Due to lack of actual earthquake data recorded in Delhi region, equivalent sinusoidal wave motion has been adopted for combination of expected peak ground accelerations and predominant periods for carrying out seismic analysis. A comprehensive parametric study was carried out for various models developed with combination of tunnel depths and clearance ranging 1.5, 2.0, 2.5 and 3.0 times of tunnel diameter.

Empirical correlation equations have been developed for calculating maximum surface settlement, maximum axial force and maximum bending moment in the tunnel liner under various seismic scenarios.

The presented thesis provides an easy to use empirical formulation for predicting surface settlement and liner response under static and dynamic conditions for the design.

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

<i>CERTIFICATE</i> .....	<i>I</i>
<i>ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS</i> .....	<i>III</i>
<i>ABSTRACT</i> .....	<i>V</i>
<i>TABLE OF CONTENTS</i> .....	<i>IX</i>
<i>LIST OF FIGURES</i> .....	<i>XV</i>
<i>LIST OF TABLES</i> .....	<i>XIX</i>
<i>LIST OF NOTATIONS</i> .....	<i>XXI</i>
<b>CHAPTER 1 : INTRODUCTION</b> .....	<b><i>I</i></b>
1.1    General.....	1
1.2    Objectives .....	4
1.3    Scope.....	4
1.4    Organization of the Thesis.....	5
<b>CHAPTER 2 : LITERATURE REVIEW</b> .....	<b><i>7</i></b>
2.1    General.....	7
2.2    Static Analysis .....	7
2.2.1    Surface settlements .....	7
2.2.1.1    Empirical methods.....	9
2.2.1.2    Analytical methods.....	11
2.2.1.3    Upheaval in shallow tunnels.....	13
2.2.2    Effects on surface structures due to tunnelling .....	14
2.2.3    TBM segmental lining .....	16
2.2.3.1    Empirical methods.....	17
2.2.3.2    Analytical methods.....	17
2.3    Dynamic Analysis.....	19

2.3.1	Seismic excitation .....	20
2.3.1.1	Design earthquake criteria .....	21
2.3.1.2	Ground motion.....	22
2.3.2	Seismic design input parameters.....	22
2.3.2.1	Amplitude parameters.....	24
2.3.2.2	Frequency content parameters .....	25
2.3.2.3	Duration.....	27
2.3.3	Tunnel response to seismic excitation .....	27
2.3.3.1	Free-field deformation approach .....	30
2.3.3.2	Soil-structure interaction approach.....	31
2.4	Numerical Methods.....	32
2.4.1	Numerical analysis.....	33
2.4.2	Static analysis .....	33
2.4.2.1	Soil-structure interaction analysis.....	33
2.4.2.2	TBM modelling .....	34
2.4.2.3	Bedded beam modelling .....	35
2.4.2.4	Three-dimensional segmental lining model.....	36
2.4.3	Dynamic analysis.....	37
2.5	Conclusions and Scope of Investigations.....	38
<b>CHAPTER 3: FEATURES OF FLAC .....</b>		<b>41</b>
3.1	Introduction .....	41
3.2	FLAC Overview and Formulation .....	41
3.2.1	Solution scheme.....	42
3.2.2	Equations of motion.....	44
3.2.3	Grid elements.....	45
3.2.4	Mechanical Damping.....	46
3.3	Constitutive Models .....	47
3.4	Interface Elements.....	49
3.5	Beam Elements.....	51
3.6	Seismic Analysis .....	53
3.6.1	Dynamic modelling considerations.....	54
3.6.2	Dynamic Formulation.....	54
3.6.3	Boundary conditions.....	55

3.7	Mechanical Damping.....	56
3.7.1	Rayleigh Damping.....	57
3.7.2	Hysteretic damping.....	59
3.7.2.1	Default damping model.....	60
3.7.2.2	Sigmoidal models — sig3, sig4.....	60
3.7.2.3	Hardin/Drnevich model.....	60
3.8	Conclusions.....	61
<b>CHAPTER 4: GEOLOGICAL AND GEOTECHNICAL CHARACTERISATION OF DELHI REGION 63</b>		
4.1	Introduction of Delhi Metro Rail.....	63
4.2	Geology of Delhi State.....	66
4.3	Geotechnical Characteristics of Study Area.....	68
4.3.1	Field investigations and geotechnical profiles.....	68
4.4	Engineering Properties of Soils.....	71
4.4.1	Physical characteristics.....	71
4.4.2	Elastic properties.....	72
4.4.3	Strength properties.....	73
4.5	Shear Modulus and Damping Properties.....	73
4.5.1	Shear wave velocity measurements at Delhi.....	74
4.5.2	Modulus reduction and damping behaviour of Delhi Silt.....	78
4.6	Seismicity of Delhi.....	79
4.7	Expected Seismic Scenarios.....	81
<b>CHAPTER 5: RATIONAL MODELLING TECHNIQUE FOR TBM TUNNELS..... 83</b>		
5.1	Introduction.....	83
5.2	Introduction of a TBM.....	84
5.2.1	Slurry Shield Machine (SSM).....	84
5.2.2	Earth Pressure Balance Machine (EPBM).....	86
5.3	Characteristics of TBM Components.....	87
5.3.1	Cutter head.....	87

5.3.2	Shield .....	88
5.3.3	Grouting .....	90
5.3.4	Settlement and volume loss due to TBM advancement .....	91
5.4	Rational Modelling Technique (RMT).....	92
5.4.1	Distribution of Volume loss at different stages.....	92
5.4.2	Conceptualisation of TBM simulation stages .....	93
5.4.2.1	Stage-1: Face loss .....	94
5.4.2.2	Stage-2: Shield loss .....	94
5.4.2.3	Stage-3: Tail loss .....	95
5.4.2.4	Stage-4: Ground-liner interaction .....	95
5.4.3	Numerical implementation in FLAC .....	95
5.5	Implementation of Proposed Numerical Model .....	97
5.5.1	Geotechnical details.....	99
5.5.2	Modelling in FLAC .....	100
5.5.2.1	Grid generation .....	100
5.5.2.2	Tunnel simulation .....	102
5.5.2.3	Tunnel sequencing (RMT).....	102
5.5.2.4	Tunnel sequencing (GMT) .....	103
5.6	Validation of Rational Modelling Technique.....	104
5.6.1	Parametric study for <b>VL</b> .....	104
5.6.2	Comparison with empirical methods .....	106
5.7	Comparison with Gap modelling Technique.....	107
5.7.1	Stress redistribution around excavation .....	108
5.7.2	Ground movement and tunnel lining .....	109
5.7.2.1	Ground movement around TBM for RMT .....	109
5.7.2.2	Ground-liner interaction .....	114
5.7.3	Surface subsidence.....	118
5.7.4	Excavation influence zone .....	119
5.8	Conclusions .....	122
<b>CHAPTER 6: PARAMETRIC STUDY: STATIC ANALYSIS .....</b>		<b>125</b>
6.1	General .....	125
6.1.1	Parametric models and cases .....	125
6.2	FLAC Model .....	127
6.2.1	Grid generation .....	127

6.2.2	Geotechnical details.....	129
6.2.3	Tunnel sequencing (Rational modelling technique) .....	129
6.3	Surface Settlements .....	130
6.3.1	Effect of tunnel clearance and overburden depth.....	130
6.3.2	Proposed empirical correlation .....	137
6.3.2.1	Trough width coefficient, $i$ .....	137
6.3.2.2	Ground upheaval variable, $S_u$ .....	138
6.3.2.3	Maximum surface settlement, $S'Max$ .....	139
6.3.2.4	Estimation of surface settlement.....	141
6.4	Effect on Tunnel Lining.....	145
6.4.1	Axial force .....	145
6.4.2	Bending moment.....	148
6.5	Conclusions.....	151
<b>CHAPTER 7: PARAMETRIC STUDY: DYNAMIC ANALYSIS .....</b>		<b>153</b>
7.1	General.....	153
7.1.1	Models for parametric study .....	153
7.2	FLAC Model.....	155
7.2.1	Model description .....	155
7.2.2	Geotechnical parameters adopted .....	155
7.2.3	Damping models.....	157
7.2.3.1	FLAC damping models for Delhi silt .....	157
7.2.3.2	Parametric study of damping models .....	158
7.3	Parametric Study for Volume Loss.....	163
7.4	Ground Response.....	165
7.5	Surface Settlement .....	168
7.6	Effect on Tunnel Lining.....	173
7.6.1	Axial force .....	173
7.6.2	Bending moment.....	177
7.7	Conclusions.....	180
<b>CHAPTER 8: SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS .....</b>		<b>181</b>

8.1	General .....	181
8.2	Delhi Metro Rail.....	182
8.3	Rational Modelling Technique.....	182
8.3.1	Observations .....	182
8.3.2	Advantages .....	183
8.4	Static Parametric Study .....	184
8.4.1	Surface settlement profile .....	184
8.4.2	Maximum axial force.....	185
8.4.3	Maximum bending moment.....	185
8.5	Dynamic Parametric Study.....	185
8.5.1	Maximum surface settlement.....	186
8.5.2	Maximum axial force and bending moment .....	186
8.6	Conclusions .....	186
8.7	Suggestions for Further Research Work .....	187
	<b>REFERENCES.....</b>	<b>189</b>
	<b>ANNEXURE-A.....</b>	<b>211</b>
	<b>ANNEXURE-B.....</b>	<b>229</b>
	<b>BIO-DATA .....</b>	<b>247</b>