

INVESTIGATION OF GAS-LIQUID DISTRIBUTION IN THREE-PHASE MONOLITH REACTORS

DEEPALI CHUGH



**Department of Chemical Engineering
Indian Institute of Technology Delhi**

NOVEMBER 2017

INVESTIGATION OF GAS-LIQUID DISTRIBUTION IN THREE-PHASE MONOLITH REACTORS

by

DEEPALI CHUGH

Department of Chemical Engineering

Submitted

in fulfillment of the requirements of the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

to the



Indian Institute of Technology Delhi

November 2017

CERTIFICATE

This is to certify that the thesis entitled '**Investigation of Gas-Liquid Distribution in Three-phase monolith reactors**' submitted by **Ms. Deepali Chugh** to the Indian Institute of Technology Delhi for the award of degree of **Doctor of Philosophy** is a bona fide record of research work carried out by her under my supervision. The contents of this thesis have not been submitted to any other institutes or university for the award of any degree or diploma.

Date:

Professor Shantanu Roy
Department of Chemical Engineering
Indian Institute of Technology Delhi
New Delhi – 110016, India

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

At the outset, I express my sincere gratefulness to Prof. Shantanu Roy for his continuous guidance, constructive criticism and motivation which has helped me all through the course of this research. I would really like to appreciate his support and suggestions at numerous stages of this work. I have learned many things under his supervision and would be particularly thankful to him for making me realize the potential of research problem. Also, thank you for being patient with my impatience during the course of time.

My sincere thanks to members of my Student Research Committee (SRC) – Prof. T.R. Sreekrishnan, Prof. A.N. Bhaskarwar and Prof. V.V Buwa for their interest, encouragement and invaluable comments and suggestions concerning my thesis work.

I would like to express my gratitude to Prof. M.H. Al-Dahhan for providing me the opportunity to work in his group for six months and facilitating my stay at Rolla, MO. It was a learning experience and pleasant as well, that I will carry forward in my life. Many thanks to all his group members for all their cooperation and kindness.

This accomplishment reported in this thesis would not have been fulfilled without the financial and logistical supports from Corning Inc. for which I gratefully acknowledge their contribution.

I am thankful to Mr. Brahm Parkash for his assistance in experiments. His combination of immense creativity was important in the execution of several experiments embodied in this thesis. Thanks are also due to Mr. Manoj Gupta (K.C. Engineers), Mr. Rajaram and Mr. Harminder Singh for fabrication and modification of experimental setup. I also wish to thank Mr. Naresh Kumar and Mr. Girish for their help during various stages of my stay.

I wish to thank all my friends and kind labmates - Meenakshi, Tejas, Aakarsha, Ashutosh, Sangram and Mishti for their providing a comfortable and conducive environment. Special thanks to Loveleen for the friendship that began even before I had joined and for being with me through my highs and lows for all these years.

Finally, I would like to thank my family for their support and love which kept me going in my work all these years. These past years of my degree would have been impossible without them.

Thank you.

-Deepali Chugh

ABSTRACT

The present study deals with the gas-liquid distribution offered by various liquid distributors operating under different operating conditions in co-current downflow monolith reactors. Gas-liquid distribution, both at a reactor level and at a channel scale, is a very crucial element and one of the key parameters in determining the performance of monolith reactors in three phase applications. It has been argued that a poor distribution can severely mask the inherent efficiency of a three-phase monolith reactor, no matter how much catalytic activity the monolith is designed for. Further, even if one has a good global gas-liquid distribution (reactor scale), there are no guarantees whether such a “good” distribution would also translate to an effective local irrigation within the channels. Both these aspects are addressed in this work.

Different laboratory scale distributors have been evaluated in monolith reactor using gravimetric collection method to measure and evaluate the global (i.e. monolith) scale distribution. The assessment is done using a *Distribution Index*, which is assessed for a variety of flow conditions. For selected distributors, further investigation has been performed by changing the liquid phase properties. The study has been conducted for different monolith geometries. To investigate hydrodynamics inside channels of the monolith, an optical probe technique has been used. The optical probes have been positioned at pre-selected channel positions for distributors selected from the above “macro-scale distribution study.” This study provides insights into the local flow pattern inside channel, which is in turn dependent on the macro-scale gas-liquid distributor.

सार

प्रस्तुत अनुसंधान में विविध तरल-वितरकों से (gas-liquid distributor), विविध परिस्थितियों में, समवर्ती डाउनफ्लो मोनोलिथ रिएक्टरों में होने वाले गैस-तरल द्रव्य के वितरण पर संशोधन किया गया है. रिएक्टर एवं वाहिका-स्तर में होने वाला गैस-तरल द्रव्य वितरण, त्रि-प्रावस्था मोनोलिथ (three-phase monolith) रिएक्टरों की क्षमता को प्रभावित करनेवाला एक अविभाज्य गुणक होता है. ऐसा प्रमाणित हुआ है कि वितरण व्यवस्थित रीति से ना होने पर, त्रि-प्रावस्था मोनोलिथ रिएक्टरों की कार्यक्षमता उच्च उत्प्रेरक-प्रक्रिया के बावजूद भी उल्लेखनिय ढंग से घटती है. इसके व्यतिरिक्त रिएक्टर-स्तर पर होनेवाला व्यापक गैस-तरल द्रव्य वितरण भी प्रत्येक वाहिका में होने वाले स्थानीय सिंचन की व्यापकता को प्रमाणित नहीं करता है. इस अनुसंधान कार्य में इन दोनों पहलुओं को विषद किया गया है.

इस संशोधन में विविध प्रयोगशालेय तरल-द्रव्य वितरकों से मोनोलिथ रिएक्टर में मोनोलिथ-स्तर पर होने वाले वितरणको भारात्मक संग्रह पद्धति (Gravimetric collection method) से जांचा गया. इन जांचों को "वितरण-सूचनांक" (Distribution Index) के स्वरूप में विविध प्रवाह परिस्थितियों में मूल्यांकित किया गया. चुनिन्दा वितरकों के लिए तरल द्रव्य के स्वभाव को बदलकर अधिक विस्तारपूर्वक जांच की गई. यह अभ्यास विविध मोनोलिथ भूमितियों के लिए संचालित एवं स्थापित किया गया. वाहिकाओं में होने वाले द्रव-गति-प्रक्रियाओं (hydrodynamics) को जांचने के लिए ऑप्टिकल-प्रोब पद्धति (Optical probe technique) अपनाई गई. यह ऑप्टिकल-प्रोब व्यापक वितरण अभ्यास से चुने गए वितरकों के लिए वाहिकाओं में पूर्वनिश्चित स्थानों पर स्थापित किया गया. यह संशोधन वाहिकाओं में रचित होने वाले प्रवाह-व्यवहार के बारे में अंतर्दृष्टि प्रदान करता है जो स्वयं ही व्यापक-स्तर गैस-तरल द्रव्य वितरण पर अवलंबित है.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	i
ABSTRACT	iii
TABLE OF CONTENTS	viii
LIST OF FIGURES	xii
LIST OF TABLES	xviii
Chapter 1: Introduction	1
1.1 Motivation	3
1.2 Objectives of the thesis	5
1.3 Outline of this thesis	6
Nomenclature	7
References.....	8
Chapter 2: Literature Review	11
2.1 Monoliths as three-phase catalytic reactors.....	11
2.2 Gas-liquid distribution in Trickle bed reactors.....	16
2.3 Gas-liquid distribution in Monolith Reactors.....	23
2.4 Pressure drop across Monolith Reactors	28
2.5 Summary.....	29
Nomenclature.....	30
References.....	31
Chapter 3: Gas-liquid distribution in laboratory scale monoliths: Effect of liquid distributor configurations	41
3.1 Introduction	41
3.2 Experimental details.....	42

3.2.1	Monolith reactor flow setup.....	42
3.2.2	Description of Distributor configurations	47
3.2.3	Methodology.....	52
3.3	Results and Discussion.....	54
3.3.1	Pipe distributor configurations	54
3.3.2	Showerhead distributor configurations	59
3.3.3	Spray nozzle distributor configuration.....	63
3.3.4	Packed bed distributor configuration	65
3.3.5	Comparison of the different distributor configurations	67
3.4	Summary and Conclusions	69
	Nomenclature	70
	References.....	71

Chapter 4: Gas-liquid distribution in laboratory scale monoliths: Effect of liquid phase properties and monolith geometries

4.1	Introduction	73
4.2	Experimental setup and methodology	74
4.3	Results and Discussion.....	76
4.3.1	Influence of liquid phase properties.....	76
4.3.2	Influence of monolith geometry	87
4.4	Empirical correlation of liquid flow Distribution Index (φ).....	94
4.5	Conclusions	98
	Nomenclature	99
	References.....	100

Chapter 5: Measurement of gas-liquid distribution investigations in monolith using optical probes

5.1	Introduction	103
5.2	Experimental.....	104
5.2.1	Experimental setup and procedure.....	104

5.2.2	Post Processing: Optical probes.....	106
5.2.3	Image Analysis	109
5.3	Results and Discussion.....	109
5.3.1	Validation of measurements of optical probe technique (in single glass capillary)	109
5.3.2	Optical probe measurements in flow in monolith.....	110
5.4	Conclusions.....	117
	Nomenclature.....	118
	References.....	119
Chapter 6: Two-phase pressure drop studies across monolith		121
6.1	Introduction	121
6.2	Experimental.....	122
6.3	Results and Discussion.....	123
6.3.1	Effect of different distributor configurations	124
6.3.2	Effect of liquid phase properties.....	128
6.3.3	Effect of (downstream) monolith geometries	135
6.4	Conclusions	139
	Nomenclature.....	140
	References.....	141
Chapter 7: Summary and Recommendations		121
7.1	Summary of gas-liquid flow distribution in laboratory scale monoliths: Effect of liquid distributor configurations.....	143
7.2	Summary of gas-liquid flow distribution in laboratory scale monoliths: Effect of liquid phase properties and monolith geometries.....	144
7.3	Summary of gas-liquid flow distribution investigations in monolith using optical probes	144
7.4	Summary of two-phase pressure drop studies across monoliths	145

7.5 Thesis accomplishments.....	145
7.6 Recommendations for Future Work	146
Appendix 1:	147
A1.1 Introduction.....	147
A1.2 Experimental setup and procedure	150
A1.3 Results and Discussion.....	152
A1.3.1 Time domain analysis	152
A1.3.2 Frequency domain analysis	159
A1.3.3 Flow pattern map and transitions.....	161
A1.3.4 Expanding the use of optical probes in monolith	164
A1.4 Summary	168
Nomenclature.....	169
References.....	170
Appendix 2:	175
A2.1 Introduction.....	175
A2.2 Model.....	177
A2.3 Results and discussion	180
A2.4 Summary	184
Nomenclature.....	185
References.....	187
Bio-Data.	191

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure No.	Figure Title	Page No.
1.1	Schematic diagram of (a) a trickle bed reactor and (b) corresponding monolith	2
1.2	Illustration of liquid distribution in (a) trickle bed and (b) monolith channels	5
2.1	Schematic representation of Taylor bubble in a capillary with recirculation inside liquid slugs	12
3.1	Schematic diagram of the liquid distribution experimental setup	43
3.2	Perspective view of (a) laboratory setup and (b) sliding mechanism	44
3.3	Typical diagram showing the artificial plugging of monolith from top; corresponding collector zones that are covered; experimental results (interpolated form)	46
3.4	Schematic diagram of (a) single pipe liquid distributor of ½ in. diameter (b) multipipe distributor (c) showerhead distributor (d) spray nozzle distributor and (e) packed bed distributor	49
3.5	Typical flow matrix of raw experimental data and contour plot at 0.15 m/s (case shown for $U_L = 0.15$ m/s through ½” single pipe distributor)	53
3.6	Contour plots at different liquid superficial velocities and gas velocity=0 for ½ in. pipe distributor (a) 0.04 m/s (b) 0.09 m/s and (c) 0.15 m/s	55
3.7	Effect of liquid superficial velocity on (a) Distribution Index and (b) pressure drop across distributor at corresponding flow condition for different pipe liquid distributor configurations ($U_G=0$)	56
3.8	Contour plots at liquid superficial velocity of 0.15 m/s and no gas flow for (a) 1 in. pipe distributor (b) ½ in. pipe distributor and (c) multipipe distributor	57
3.9	Variation in Distribution Index as a function of air superficial velocity at selected liquid flow velocities for (a) ¼ in. single pipe liquid distributor and (b) multipipe liquid distributor	58
3.10	Effect of liquid superficial velocity on (a) Distribution Index and (b) pressure drop across distributor at corresponding flow condition for showerhead distributor configurations having a different number of liquid openings of diameter 1 mm	60
3.11	Effect of liquid superficial velocity on (a) ϕ and (b) pressure drop across distributor for showerhead distributor configurations each having 181 liquid openings but of different size 0.5 mm; 1 mm; 1.5 mm; (c) Effect of air	61

	superficial velocity on ϕ at selected liquid flow velocities for showerhead liquid distributor (181 liquid openings of diameter 1 mm)	
3.12	(a) Effect of liquid superficial velocity on ϕ and pressure drop across distributor for spray nozzle configuration and (b) Effect of air superficial velocity on ϕ at selected liquid flow velocities	64
3.13	Effect of liquid superficial velocity on Distribution Index and pressure drop across distributor for packed bed distributor configuration	65
3.14	Variation in Distribution Index as a function of air superficial velocity at selected liquid flow velocities (b) Mass fraction (array) plot at $U_L=0.09$ m/s and $U_G=0$ m/s (extreme left); $U_G=0.18$ m/s (middle); $U_G=1.8$ m/s (extreme right)	66
3.15	Illustration of the radial spread at 0.09 m/s for (a) multi pipe (b) showerhead (with 181 liquid openings in perforated plate of 1 mm diameter) (c) packed bed and (d) spray nozzle distributors.	67
3.16	Comparative graph referring to the variability of (a) Distribution Index and (b) pressure drop across distributor among different distributor configurations used in the study	68
4.1	Influence of liquid surface tension on (a) Distribution Index (b) pressure drop across monolith for single pipe liquid distributor	78
4.2	Pressure drop across Distributor as a function of liquid capillary number for (a) single (injection) pipe (b) multi (injection) pipe (c) packed bed distributor configurations	79
4.3	Array plots for packed bed distributor configuration with water as liquid phase (gas flow=0) (a) $U_L=0.04$ m/s (b) $U_L=0.09$ m/s (c) $U_L=0.14$ m/s and with aqueous Tween 20 solution as liquid phase (d) $U_L=0.04$ m/s (e) $U_L=0.09$ m/s (f) $U_L=0.14$ m/s	81
4.4	Distribution Index (ϕ) as a function of liquid Capillary number for (a) single (injection) pipe (b) multi (injection) pipe (c) packed bed distributor configurations	82
4.5	Array plots for multi distributor configuration at $U_L=0.04$ m/s with different liquid phase (gas flow=0) (a) water ($\mu_L/\sigma_L=0.014$) (b) aqueous glycerin solution ($\mu_L/\sigma_L=0.097$) (c) aqueous glycerin solution ($\mu_L/\sigma_L=0.0218$) (d) aqueous glycerin solution ($\mu_L/\sigma_L=0.605$) and at $U_L=0.09$ m/s with (e) water ($\mu_L/\sigma_L=0.014$) (f) aqueous glycerin solution ($\mu_L/\sigma_L=0.097$) (g) aqueous glycerin solution ($\mu_L/\sigma_L=0.0218$) (h) aqueous glycerin solution ($\mu_L/\sigma_L=0.605$)	84
4.6	Pressure drop across distributor as a function of liquid Capillary number for (a) single (injection) pipe (b) multi (injection) pipe (b) packed bed distributor configuration	85
4.7	Distribution Index (ϕ) as a function of U_G for packed bed distributor configuration	87

4.8	Effect of <i>GSA</i> on distribution quality (only liquid phase experiments) for (a) single (injection) (b) multi (injection) pipe distributor and on pressure drop across distributor configuration for (c) single (injection) (d) multi (injection) pipe distributor	89
4.9	Effect of <i>GSA</i> on distribution quality (only liquid phase experiments) for (a) showerhead and (b) spray nozzle distributor	90
4.10	Effect of <i>GSA</i> on distribution quality (two-phase air-water experiments) for (a) single (injection) (b) multi (injection) pipe (c) showerhead (d) spray nozzle distributor configuration.	91
4.11	Effect of <i>OFA</i> on distribution quality (two-phase air-water experiments) for (a) single (injection) (b) multi (injection) pipe (c) showerhead (d) spray nozzle distributor configuration	93
4.12	Parity plot of experimental and predicted <i>Distribution Index</i> (ϕ) values	96
5.1	Schematic diagram of (a) monolith flow reactor experimental set-up (b) radial and axial positions of selected channels in monolith bed where optical probe are inserted (Channels selected are designated by numbers 1, 2, 3 and 4 in figure are located at $r/R=0; 0.25; 0.5; 0.75$) and three axial levels	105
5.2	(a) Laboratory view of monolith column (b) Typical raw signal obtained by three optical probes inserted in a channel at different axial planes with 5 cm distance in between	107
5.3	Comparison of (a) bubble velocity and (b) bubble length at different bubble velocity (U_b) obtained by optical probes and video imaging	110
5.4	Variation in gas holdup in radially selected channels of monolith for single pipe distributor at (a) $U_L = 0.037$ m/s (b) $U_L = 0.091$ m/s (c) $U_L = 0.146$ m/s and bubble frequency (d) $U_L = 0.146$ m/s	112
5.5	Variation in bubble frequency in radially selected channels of monolith for multi pipe distributor configuration at (a) $U_L = 0.037$ m/s (b) $U_L = 0.091$ m/s (c) $U_L = 0.146$ m/s and gas hold up at (d) $U_L = 0.037$ m/s (e) $U_L = 0.091$ m/s (f) $U_L = 0.146$ m/s	113
5.6	Variation in bubble frequency in radially selected channels of monolith for packed bed distributor at (a) $U_L = 0.037$ m/s (b) $U_L = 0.091$ m/s (c) $U_L = 0.146$ m/s and gas hold up at (d) $U_L = 0.037$ m/s (e) $U_L = 0.091$ m/s (f) $U_L = 0.146$ m/s	114
5.7	Variation in (a) bubble frequency and (b) gas holdup with air flow velocity at selected liquid superficial velocities in a channel located at $r/R=0.75$	116
6.1	Schematic diagram of the experimental setup	123
6.2	Typical plot of result for pressure drop across monolith for $\frac{1}{2}$ in single (injection) pipe distributor	125

6.3	Pressure drop across monolith for (a) multi (injection) pipe distributor (b) showerhead distributor (c) spray nozzle distributor and (d) packed bed distributor	127
6.4	Effect of liquid phase surface tension on two-phase pressure drop data across 200/12 <i>CPSI</i> / t_w monolith as a function of μ_L/σ_L ratio (by varying σ_L) for (a) single (injection) pipe distributor (b) multi (injection) pipe and (c) packed bed distributor	130
6.5	Effect of μ_L on two-phase pressure drop data across 200/12 <i>CPSI</i> / t_w monolith as a function of μ_L/σ_L ratio (by varying μ_L) for single (injection) pipe at (a) $U_L=0.04$ m/s (b) $U_L=0.09$ m/s and multi (injection) pipe at (c) $U_L=0.04$ m/s (d) $U_L=0.09$ m/s and for packed bed distributor at (e) $U_L=0.04$ m/s (f) $U_L=0.09$ m/s	132
6.6	Effect of <i>GSA</i> on two-phase pressure drop data across monolith as a function of flow velocities for (a) single (injection) pipe distributor (b) multi (injection) pipe and (c) spray nozzle distributors.	136
6.7	Effect of <i>OFA</i> on two-phase pressure drop data across monolith as a function of flow velocities for (a) single (injection) pipe (b) multi (injection) pipe distributor and (c) spray nozzle distributor	138
A1.1	Typical voltage signal obtained from the optical probe as per the flow in the horizontal capillary shown in the figure	149
A1.2	Schematic diagram of optical probe set-up for (single) glass capillary experiments	151
A1.3	Flow patterns observed in the study (a) Slug flow; (b) Churn; (c) Annular; (d) Bubbly-Slug; (e) Slug-Churn; (f) Slug-Annular	153
A1.4	Raw optical (voltage) signal and probability density function (PDF) of signal for (a) <i>Slug flow</i> at $U_L=0.047$ m/s and $U_G=0.047$ m/s (b) <i>Churn flow</i> regime at $U_L=0.298$ m/s and $U_G=8.9$ m/s (c) <i>Annular flow</i> regime at $U_L=0.047$ m/s and $U_G=16.6$ m/s and (d) <i>Bubbly-Slug</i> at $U_L=0.298$ m/s and $U_G=0.445$ m/s (e) <i>Slug-Churn</i> flow regime at $U_L=0.446$ m/s and $U_G=1.11$ m/s (f) <i>Slug-Annular</i> flow regime at $U_L=0.047$ m/s and $U_G=2.22$ m/s	154
A1.5	Variation in (a) standard deviation (b) skewness and (c) kurtosis as a function of air flow velocity at selected liquid superficial velocities	158
A1.6	FFT representation of optical probe (voltage) signals against air flow velocity	160
A1.7	Flow pattern map proposed as per the flow conditions tested in terms of (a) flow velocities and (b) Weber number and (c) comparison with the literature	163
A1.8	Schematic of monolith reactor setup	165
A1.9	Raw voltage signal and PDF of signal in centrally located channel ($r/R=0$) of monolith at $U_L=0.018$ m/s and (a) $U_G=1.828$ m/s (b) $U_G=2.55$ m/s	166

A1.10	Raw voltage signal and PDF of signal in centrally located channel ($r/R=0.5$) of monolith at $U_L=0.146$ m/s and (a) $U_G=1.828$ m/s (b) $U_G=2.55$ m/s	167
A2.1	Predicted and experimental conversion as a function of the liquid hourly space velocity ($LHSV$): (a) styrene and (b) 1-octene	182
A2.2	Effect of linear velocity on hydrogenation activity: (a) styrene and (b) 1-octene	183
A2.3	Variation of conversion with space contact time under different flow conditions: (a) styrene and (b) 1-octene	184

LIST OF TABLES

Table No.	Title	Page No.
2.1	Correlations from literature for mass transfer coefficients	13
2.2	Summary of flow distribution studies in trickle bed reactors (L and G refers to liquid and gas mass flux respectively)	18
2.3	Summary of flow distribution studies in monolith reactors	25
3.1	Details of the distributor configurations	48
3.2	Spray nozzle specifications	51
4.1	List of inlet distributor configurations	75
4.2	Physical properties of liquid phase used	75
4.3	Key properties of the different monolith geometries	76
4.4	Regression coefficients (Eqn 4.6) for distributor configurations ($\mu_L/\mu_w < 10$)	95
4.5	Regression coefficients (Eqn 4.6) for distributor configurations ($\mu_L/\mu_w > 10$)	96
4.6	Correlations formulated for distributor configurations	97
6.1	Distribution indices (averaged values) corresponding to different distributor configurations as a function of U_L (Chapter 3)	126
6.2	List of distributor configurations and the liquid phase properties	129
6.3	Distribution indices (averaged ϕ values) corresponding to different distributor configurations as a function of U_L and μ_L/σ_L (Chapter 3)	134
6.4	Monolith cell geometries considered for the study	136
A1.1	Summary of flow regimes based on PDF analysis	157
A1.2	Summary of flow regimes based on standard deviation, skewness, and kurtosis of the measured data.	159
A1.3	Summary of flow regimes based on FFT processing	161
A2.1	Regression coefficients (Eq. A2.8)	180
A2.2	Basic properties of NiO/Monolith catalyst modules tested (Liu et al., 2005)	181