

DESIGNING, LABORATORY AND FIELD STUDIES OF BIOMASS COOKSTOVE

AMIT RANJAN VERMA



**CENTRE FOR RURAL DEVELOPMENT & TECHNOLOGY
INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, DELHI**

FEBRUARY 2021

DESIGNING, LABORATORY AND FIELD STUDIES OF BIOMASS COOKSTOVE

by

AMIT RANJAN VERMA

Centre for Rural Development & Technology

Submitted

In fulfilment of the requirements of the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

to the



Indian Institute of Technology Delhi

FEBRUARY 2021

Dedicated to

My Beloved parents

CERTIFICATE

This is to certify that the thesis entitled “**Designing, Laboratory and Field Studies of Biomass Cookstove**” being submitted by **Mr. Amit Ranjan Verma** to the Indian Institute of Technology Delhi for the award of the degree of **Doctor of Philosophy** is a record of bonafide research work carried out by him. He has worked under our guidance and supervision, and has fulfilled the requirements for the submission of this thesis, which has attained the standard required for a Ph.D. degree of this Institute.

The results represented in this thesis have not been submitted elsewhere for the award of any degree or diploma.

Prof. Rajendra Prasad
Honorary Professor
Centre for Rural Development and
Technology
Indian Institute of Technology Delhi
Hauz Khas, New Delhi–110016, India

Prof. Virendra K. Vijay
Professor and Head
Centre for Rural Development and
Technology
Indian Institute of Technology Delhi
Hauz Khas, New Delhi–110016, India

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The writing of this thesis has been one of the most significant academics challenges I have ever had to face. Without the support, patience and guidance of the following people, this study would not have been completed. I would like to express my sincere gratitude for the immense amount of encouragement. I have received from both of my research supervisors, Prof. Rajendra Prasad and Prof. Virendra Kumar Vijay. Their wisdom, knowledge and commitment to the highest standards inspired and motivated me.

I am highly obliged to the members of Student Research Committee (SRC), Prof. S. N.Naik (Chairman), Prof. K. K. Pant (External Expert) and Prof. Satyawati Sharma (Internal Expert), who gave me technical suggestions and positive way of direction to my research work.

I am grateful to Dr. Ram Chandra for his valuable suggestions, encouragement and inspiring support during this work.

My special thanks to Mr. Ratneah Tiwar, my colleague at Biomass cookstoves laboratory (CRDT) for helping me conduction laboratory and as well as field testing of biomass cookstoves.

My hearty thanks to Ms. Risha Mal, my colleague at Biomass cookstoves laboratory (CRDT) for helping me in developing of TEG cookstove. She has carried out the TEG integration work in TEG cookstove (Mal, 2017).

I express my humble thanks to my staff mates Mr. Krishna Singh, Mr. Ramesh Yadava Mr. Birender Singh and Mr. Pratap Singh for their continuous support and help to accomplish the goals. I am also grateful, to all those who in some way or other bestowed generosity upon me regarding this study.

Last but not the least, I would like to thank my mother & father for educating me with aspects from both arts and sciences, for unconditional support and encouragement to pursue my interests, for

listening to my complaints and frustrations, and for believing in me.

Date:

Amit Ranjan Verma

ABSTRACT

This research work starts with an evaluation of a general methodology for designing and development of improved biomass cookstoves. A natural draft, a forced draft, and a self-sustaining power generating Thermo-Electric Generating (TEG) biomass cookstoves have been developed by following the general methodology of designing of biomass cookstoves. The performance of these cookstoves were measured under laboratory conditions using Bureau of Indian Standards (BIS) protocol and all of them met the BIS standards.

Further field testing of two of the developed cookstoves (out of five), along with other available commercially biomass cookstoves were done in the field using Uncontrolled Cooking Test (UCT) protocol. Most of the improved stoves had significant fuel and emissions reductions relative to the traditional cookstove. Five (2 developed and 3 commercially available) of the cookstoves models saved more than 30% of fuel compared to the traditional cookstove. The best performing cookstove, in terms of fuel efficiency and emissions performance, was a model using processed fuel (pellets) and a fan, which assisted in supply of controlled amount of air for the combustion process. The Forced-Draft TEG stove performed quite well with high Modified Combustion Efficiency ($MCE = \text{Molar ratio of } (CO_2 / (CO_2 + CO))$) (95 - 96 %) and relatively low emissions of both $PM_{2.5}$ and CO, reducing particulate matter and CO by 84 % and 71 % respectively as compared to Traditional Chulha. In Madhya Pradesh the developed forced draft medium Stove performed well compared to Bihar states with relatively low emissions of both $PM_{2.5}$ and CO reducing particulate matter and CO by 62 % and 75 % respectively as compared to Traditional Chulha.

Overall, the results show that many of the cookstoves provide substantial performance improvements relative to the traditional cookstove, suggesting that user adoption should play a key role in deciding which cookstove models are ultimately promoted.

Comparisons of laboratory and field performance results indicate that the general trends in fuel efficiency were somewhat consistent between the lab and the field, but emissions were much higher in the field tests than the laboratory tests.

शोध- सार

यह शोध कार्य बेहतर चूल्हे (कुकस्टोव) के डिजाइन और विकास के लिए एक सामान्य पद्धति के मूल्यांकन के साथ शुरू होता है। एक नेचुरल ड्राफ्ट , एक फोर्सड ड्राफ्ट , , और एक आत्मनिर्भर शक्ति उत्पन्न करने वाले थर्मोइलेक्ट्रिक जनरेटिंग (टीईजी) चूल्हों को चूल्हे के डिजाइन की सामान्य पद्धति का पालन करके विकसित किया गया है। इन चूल्हों का मूल्यांकन भारतीय मानक ब्यूरो (बीआईएस) के प्रोटोकॉल का उपयोग कर प्रयोगशाला की स्थितियों के तहत मापा गया था और वे सभी बीआईएस मानकों पर खरे उतरे।

इसके बाद अनियंत्रित खाना पकाने के टेस्ट (यूसीटी) प्रोटोकॉल का उपयोग करके दो विकसित चूल्हों (पांच में से दो) के साथ-साथ अन्य व्यावसायिक रूप से उपलब्ध चूल्हों का मूल्यांकन क्षेत्र में किया गया था। अधिकांश उन्नत स्टोवों में पारंपरिक चूल्हों के सापेक्ष ईंधन और उत्सर्जन में कमी महत्वपूर्ण आई थी। पांच चूल्हों के मॉडल (2 विकसित और 3 वाणिज्यिक रूप से उपलब्ध) पारंपरिक चूल्हे की तुलना में 30% से अधिक ईंधन बचाया। ईंधन दक्षता और उत्सर्जन प्रदर्शन के मामले में सबसे अच्छा प्रदर्शन करने वाला चूल्हा, संसाधित ईंधन (पेलेट) का उपयोग करने वाला मॉडल था, जो दहन प्रक्रिया के लिए नियंत्रित मात्रा में हवा की आपूर्ति में सहायता करता था।

फोर्सड-ड्राफ्ट टीईजी चूल्हे की उच्च संशोधित दहन क्षमता 95 - 96 % थी, और पारंपरिक चुला की तुलना में पीएम 2.5 और सीओ क्रमशः 84% और 71% अपेक्षाकृत कम उत्सर्जन थे । मध्य प्रदेश में फोर्सड ड्राफ्ट माध्यम स्टोव ने बिहार राज्यों की तुलना में अच्छा प्रदर्शन किया, जिसमें पीएम 2.5 और सीओ दोनों पारंपरिक चुल्हा की तुलना में अपेक्षाकृत 62% और 75% क्रमशः कम उत्सर्जन थे ।

कुल मिलाकर, परिणाम दिखाते हैं कि कई चूल्हे पारंपरिक चूल्हे की तुलना में अच्छा प्रदर्शन करते हैं, यह निर्णय लेने में महत्वपूर्ण भूमिका निभानी चाहिए कि कि उपयोगकर्ता आखिरकार कौन से चूल्हे को ले।

प्रयोगशाला और क्षेत्र के प्रदर्शन के परिणामों की तुलना से संकेत मिलता है कि प्रयोगशाला परीक्षण के मुकाबले ईंधन दक्षता में सामान्य रुझान कुछ हद तक संगत थे, लेकिन प्रयोगशाला परीक्षणों के मुकाबले क्षेत्र परीक्षण में उत्सर्जन बहुत अधिक था।

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Sl. No.	Title	Page No.
	Certificate	i
	Acknowledgments	ii-iii
	Abstract	iv-vi
	Contents	vii-xii
	List of Figures	xiii-xvi
	List of Tables	xvii-xviii
	Nomenclature	xix-xxii
Chapter - 1	Introduction	1 - 14
1.1	General	3
1.2	Need for present work	11
1.3	Objectives	12
1.4	Organization of the thesis	13
Chapter - 2	Review of Literature	15-50
2.1	General	17
2.2	Mechanism of biomass combustion	17
2.2.1	Biomass drying	18
2.2.2	Biomass pyrolysis	19
2.2.3	Kinetics of pyrolysis	20
2.2.4	Volatile gases	21
2.2.5	Flaming gas phase combustion of volatile	21
2.2.6	Glowing solid phase combustion of char	21
2.3	Overall combustion models used in cookstoves	22
2.4	Mechanism of heat transfer	23
2.4.1	Conduction	23
2.4.2	Convection	23
2.4.3	Radiation	24
2.5	Fundamentals of design and development of efficient cookstoves	24
2.6	Dimensioning the stove elements	25
2.6.1	The combustion chamber	25

Sl. No.	Title	Page No.
2.6.2	The fuel loading opening/Fuel feeding door	26
2.6.3	Primary and secondary air holes	27
2.6.4	The grate	28
2.6.5	Flow path resistances	28
2.7	Improved stoves in practice and further advancements	29
2.7.1	Natural draft stoves	29
2.7.2	Forced draft stoves	30
2.8	Analysis of cookstoves developed by various researchers	31
2.9	Thermoelectric generating stove	39
2.9.1	Design and development of forced draft cookstoves	39
2.9.2	Design and development of TEG assembly	40
2.9.3	Power management circuit box	42
2.10	Performance evaluation of biomass cookstoves	42
2.10.1	Laboratory testing	42
2.10.2	Field performance protocol	43
2.10.3	Evaluating the performance of the biomass cookstoves at field condition	44
Chapter - 3	Designing of Improved Biomass Cookstoves	51-122
3.1	Designing of biomass cookstoves	53
3.1.1	Iterative common design methodology	53
3.1.2	Experimental setup and equipment	55
3.2	Fuel characterization	57
3.2.1	Proximate analysis	57
3.2.1.1	Moisture content determination	57
3.2.1.2	Volatile matter content determination	58
3.2.1.3	Ash content	58
3.2.1.4	Fixed content	59
3.2.2	Results of the proximate analysis of different type of fuel	59
3.2.3	Ultimate analysis	60
3.2.4	Results of the ultimate analysis of different type of fuel	62
3.2.5	Calorific value (higher heating value) of fuel	62
3.2.6	Results of the calorific value tests	63

Sl. No.	Title	Page No.
3.3	Protocol selected for experimentation	64
3.3.1	The protocol	64
3.3.2	Protocol parameters for experimentation	68
3.4	Error or uncertainty analysis	69
3.4.1	Components of uncertainty	69
	3.4.1.1 Uncertainty in equipment	69
	3.4.1.2 Uncertainty in BIS protocol	70
3.5	Benchmarking study on traditional biomass cookstove	72
3.5.1	Determination of burning rate and performance of traditional cookstove	73
3.6	Design of the stoves in practice	74
3.6.1	Natural Draft Domestic (ND-D) cookstove	74
	3.6.1.1 Theoretical design procedure	77
	3.6.1.1.1 Theoretical air required for combustion of wood	77
	3.6.1.1.2 Basic calculation for cookstove designing	79
	3.6.1.2 Parametric study	82
	3.6.1.3 Modification made in existing design based on the acceptable values of parameters studied	90
	3.6.1.4 Performance evaluation of natural biomass cookstove (after modification)	91
3.6.2	Forced Draft Domestic (FD-D) cookstove	91
	3.6.2.1 Designing the cookstove	92
	3.6.2.2 Determination of volumetric flow rate (Q) of blower	94
	3.6.2.3 Calculation for primary and secondary air	96
	3.6.2.4 Parametric study	100
	3.6.2.5 Modification made in existing design of the stove based on the acceptable values of parameters studied	105
3.6.3	Forced Draft Medium (FD-M) cookstove	105
	3.6.3.1 Parametric study	107
	3.6.3.2 Modification made in existing design of the stove based on the acceptable values of parameters studied	110
3.6.4	Forced Draft Institutional (FD-I) cookstove	110
	3.6.4.1 Parametric study	112
	3.6.4.2 Modification made in existing design of the stove based on the acceptable values of parameters studied	115
3.6.5	Forced Draft – Thermo-Electric Generator (FD-TEG)	115
	3.6.5.1 Development of TEG assembly module	116

Sl. No.	Title	Page No.
	3.6.5.2 Design and development of prototype FD-TEG	116
	3.6.5.3 Final prototype TEG cookstove	117
3.7	Strategy for large scale production of developed cookstoves	121
Chapter - 4	Laboratory Performance Evaluation of Biomass Cookstoves	123-132
4.1	Performance evaluation of developed biomass cookstoves in laboratory conditions	125
4.1.1	Performance evaluation of developed biomass cookstoves	125
4.1.1.1	Thermal efficiency	125
4.1.1.2	Power output	127
4.1.1.3	Emissions rate	128
4.1.2	Performance evaluation of other commercial cookstoves models	129
4.1.2.1	Thermal efficiency	129
4.1.2.2	Power output	130
4.1.2.3	Emissions rate	131
Chapter - 5	Field Testing Procedure and Performance Evaluation of Biomass Cookstoves	133-182
5.1	General	135
5.2	Study sites	136
5.2.1	Uttar Pradesh	136
5.2.2	West Bengal	138
5.2.3	Bihar	138
5.2.4	Odisha	139
5.2.5	Madhya Pradesh	141
5.3	Methods	142
5.3.1	Stove selection	142
5.3.2	Test protocol	144
	5.3.2.1 Fuel use and characteristics	146
	5.3.2.2 Emissions sampling	146
	5.3.2.3 Operational conditions	148
5.3.3	Project stoves	148
	5.3.3.1 Traditional cookstoves	149
	5.3.3.2 Developed cookstoves	150
	5.3.3.3 Other available cookstoves	151

Sl. No.	Title	Page No.
5.3.4	Community and participant selection	159
5.3.5	Sampling design	160
5.4	Quality control and assurance	161
5.4.1	Equipment checks and calibration	161
5.4.2	Quality control of data	162
5.5	Performance evaluation of improved biomass cookstoves in the field	163
5.5.1	Sampling overview	163
5.5.2	Fuel efficiency performance	164
5.5.2.1	Specific energy consumption (MJ/SA-meal)	164
5.5.2.2	Specific fuel consumption (MJ/kg food)	167
5.5.3	Fire power	169
5.5.4	Cooking time	170
5.5.5	Emissions performance	172
5.5.5.1	Modified combustion efficiency	172
5.5.5.2	Emission rates	175
Chapter - 6	Comparisons of Field and Laboratory Test Results	183-196
6.1	Fire power	185
6.2	Specific fuel consumption	187
6.3	Modified combustion efficiency	190
6.4	Emissions	190
6.5	Specific time consumption (min/kg)	193
6.6	Critical analysis of why laboratory results differ from field tests results	195
Chapter - 7	Summary, Conclusions and Recommendation	197-202
7.1	Summary	199
7.2	Conclusions	200
7.2.1	Conclusion in designing	200
7.2.2	Laboratory and field performance evaluation	201
7.3	Recommendation	201
7.4	Future scope of work	202
References		203-215

Sl. No.	Title	Page No.
	Annexures	216--
A.	Detailed stove performance results – Uttar Pradesh	
B.	Detailed stove performance results – West Bengal	
C.	CHN analysis result	
D.	Calorific value	
E.	Aluminium vessels for thermal efficiency test	
F.	Contribution of candidate	
G.	Brief bio-data of the author	

LIST OF FIGURES

Sl. No.	Title	Page No.
Figure 1.1	Percentage of Indian rural (A) and urban (B) households using various sources of household energy (Census 2011).	5
Figure 1.2	A. Greenway Jumbo, B. Environfit C. Supernova cookstoves.	8
Figure 1.3	TLUD cookstoves; A.Servals B. Champion	8
Figure 1.4	A Philips, B. Mimi Moto, C. TERI SPT 0610.	9
Figure 1.5	A. BioLite Home Stove, B.TERI Annapurna.	10
Figure 2.1	Different stage of wood combustion	18
Figure. 2.2	Five stages of drying curve	19
Figure 2.3	a. Mode of conductive; b. convective; and c.radiative heat transfer in a cookstove	23
Figure 2.4	Stoves with chimney - A) Three pan Astra ole developed at IISc, B) Daxustove developed in China	29
Figure 2.5	Some metal stoves - A) Priyagni B) Harsha	30
Figure 2.6	Philips wood stove - in-situ combustion stove	31
Figure 3.1	Iterative design methodology of cookstove modification	54
Figure 3.2	Cookstove testing setup under lab conditions	56
Figure 3.3	CHN elemental analyzer	61
Figure 3.4 A	Traditional Indian cookstove	73
Figure 3.4 B	Traditional cookstove simulated in the lab with 6 bricks	73
Figure 3.5	Drawing of ND-D cookstove	75
Figure 3.6	Performance result of cookstove with different burning rate (kg/h)	84
Figure 3.7	Emissions result of cookstove with different burning rate (kg/h)	84
Figure 3.8	Performance result of cookstove with different grate height (cm)	86
Figure 3.9	Emissions result of cookstove with different grate height (cm)	87
Figure 3.10	Performance result of cookstove with different pan support height	89
Figure 3.11	Emissions result of cookstove with different pan support height	89
Figure 3.12	Modifications made in ND-D cookstove	91
Figure 3.13	Experimental setup for measurement of volumetric flow rate (Q) of blower fan	94
Figure 3.14	Air flow area of fan	95
Figure 3.15	Air flow pattern in prototype design	97
Figure 3.16	Drawing of inner structure FD-D cookstove	99
Figure 3.17	Performance result of FD-D cookstove with different burning rate	101
Figure 3.18	Emissions result of FD-D cookstove with different burning rate (kg/h).	101
Figure 3.19	Performance result of FD-D cookstove with different fan power (W).	102
Figure 3.20	Emissions result of FD-D cookstove with different fan power (W).	103
Figure 3.21	Performance result of FD-D cookstove with different pan support height	104

Sl. No.	Title	Page No.
Figure 3.22	Emissions result of FD-D cookstove with different pan support height (cm).	104
Figure 3.23	Modifications made in FD-D cookstove	105
Figure 3.24	Drawing of FD-M cookstove	106
Figure 3.25	Performance result of FD-M cookstove with different burning rate (kg/h)	107
Figure 3.26	Emissions result of FD-M cookstove with different burning rate (kg/h)	108
Figure 3.27	Performance result of FD-M cookstove with different fan power (W).	109
Figure 3.28	Emissions result of FD-M cookstove with different fan power (W)	109
Figure 3.29	Drawing of FD-I cookstove.	111
Figure 3.30	Performance result of FD-I cookstove with different burning rate (kg/h)	113
Figure 3.31	Emissions result of FD-I cookstove with different burning rate (kg/h)	113
Figure 3.32	Performance result of FD-I cookstove with different fan power (W).	114
Figure 3.33	Emissions result of FD-I cookstove with different fan power (W).	115
Figure 3.34	Model to simulate the IMPMUD stove in metal and fitted with the TEG module	116
Figure 3.35	Front and rear view of FD- TEG cookstove filled with TEG module assembly	117
Figure 3.36	Inner combustion chamber	118
Figure 3.37	A. Outer cookstove body, B. Extended outer jacket, C. Air jacket, D. Pan support, E. TEG assembly and F. Assembly of components into cookstove	118
Figure 3.38	Drawing of TEG module	119
Figure 3.39	Drawing of cold side plate	119
Figure 3.40	Drawing of hot side plate	120
Figure 3.41	Drawing of TEG assembly	120
Figure 3.42	Drawing of FD-TEG cookstove	121
Figure 4.1	The thermal efficiency of developed biomass cookstoves	125
Figure 4.2	The power output of all developed biomass cookstoves	127
Figure 4.3	Emissions performance of all developed biomass cookstoves	128
Figure 4.4	The thermal efficiency of selected commercial cookstoves	130
Figure 4.5	The power output of selected commercial cookstoves	131
Figure 4.6	Emissions performance of selected commercial cookstoves	132
Figure 5.1	Map of Uttar Pradesh with location of Fatehpur District (left) and project site location in Fatehpur District of Uttar Pradesh	137

Sl. No.	Title	Page No.
Figure 5.2	Map of West Bengal with location of Howrah District (left) and the project site location in Howrah District of West Bengal.	138
Figure 5.3	Map of Purnia District highlighting the project site locations Bihar	139
Figure 5.4 a	Map of Jagadsinghpur District highlighting the project site locations in Odisha	140
Figure 5.4 b	Map of Mayurbhanj District highlighting the project site locations in Odisha	140
Figure 5.5	Map of Chhindwara District highlighting the project site locations in Madhya Pradesh.	141
Figure 5.6	Emissions sampling setup for a traditional cookstove in Uttar Pradesh project site	147
Figure 5.7	A typical picture of traditional cookstoves in Uttar Pradesh (left) and West Bengal.	149
Figure 5.8	Mean specific energy consumptions of states A. Uttar Pradesh, B. West Bengal, D. Bihar, E. Madhya Pradesh, E. Odisha	166
Figure 5.9	Mean specific fuel consumption of states A. Uttar Pradesh, B. West Bengal, D. Bihar, E. Madhya Pradesh, E. Odisha	168
Figure 5.10	Fire power of biomass cookstoves tested at A. Uttar Pradesh, B. West Bengal, D. Bihar, E. Madhya Pradesh, E. Odisha states	170
Figure 5.11	Cooking time of biomass cookstoves tested at A. Uttar Pradesh, B. West Bengal	171
Figure 5.12	Mean modified combustion efficiency of states A. Uttar Pradesh, B. West Bengal, D. Bihar, E. Madhya Pradesh, E. Odisha.	174
Figure 5.13	Emission rates of biomass cookstoves at Uttar Pradesh	178
Figure 5.14	Emission rates of biomass cookstoves at West Bengal	179
Figure 5.15	Emission rates of biomass cookstoves at Bihar	180
Figure 5.16	Emission rates of biomass cookstoves at Madhya Pradesh	181
Figure 5.17	Shows emission rates of biomass cookstoves at Odisha	182
Figure 6.1	A. Fire power of stoves tested in laboratory and in field B. Ratio of the Fire power in Laboratory and in Field	186
Figure 6.2	A. Specific fuel consumption of stoves in laboratory and in field B. Ratio of specific fuel consumption in the field and in the laboratory	189
Figure 6.3	Modified combustion efficiency of stoves in laboratory and in field	190
Figure 6.4	A. CO emissions rates of biomass cookstoves tested in laboratory and in the field B. Ratio of CO emissions rate in the field and in the laboratory	191

Sl. No.	Title	Page No.
Figure 6.5	A. PM _{2.5} emissions rates of biomass cookstoves tested in laboratory and in the field	192
	B. Ratio PM _{2.5} rate in the field and in the laboratory	
Figure 6.6	Specific time consumption (min/kg) of stoves in laboratory and in field	193
Figure 6.7	Ratio specific time consumption in the field and in the laboratory	194
Figure 6.8	Normalized specific time consumption (min/kg of water boiled) in the laboratory in and in the field	194

LIST OF TABLES

S. No	Title	Page No.
Table 2.1	Criteria used for dimensioning the fuel loading opening	26-27
Table 2.2	Analysis of cookstoves developed by various researchers	31-39
Table 2.3	Summary of field testing conducted in the past by various researches.	46-50
Table 3.1	List of equipment	55
Table 3.2	Results of proximate analysis of wood, pellet and charcoal	60
Table 3.3	Results of C:H:N analysis of eucalypti wood, wood char and pellets used for cookstove testing.	62
Table 3.4	Result of calorific value (higher heating value) of wood, pellet and charcoal fuels	63
Table 3.5	Systematic and random uncertainties in variables used in BIS protocol	72
Table 3.6	Benchmarking study on traditional biomass cookstove	74
Table 3.7	Dimensions of ND-D cookstove	76
Table 3.8	BIS permitted limits for forced and natural draft cookstoves	76
Table 3.9	Performance evaluation of ND-D cookstove	77
Table 3.10	Conclusion from theoretical design	82
Table 3.11	Performance result of ND-D cookstove with different burning rate	83
Table 3.12	Performance result of ND-D cookstove with different grate height (cm)	86
Table 3.13	Performance result of ND-D cookstove with different pan support height	88
Table 3.14	Dimension of design parameters of FD-D cookstove	98
Table 3.15	Preliminary performance tests result of FD-D prototype cookstove	99
Table 3.16	Performance result of FD-D cookstove with different burning rate	100
Table 3.17	Performance result of FD-D cookstove with different fan power (W)	102
Table 3.18	Performance result of FD-D cookstove with different pan support height (cm)	103
Table 3.19	Dimension of design parameters of FD-M cookstove	106
Table 3.20	Performance result of FD-M biomass cookstove with different burning rate (kg/h)	107
Table 3.21	Performance result of FD-M biomass cookstove with different fan power (W)	108
Table 3.22	Dimension of FD-I cookstove	112
Table 3.23	Performance result of FD-I cookstove with different burning rate	112
Table 3.24	Performance result of FD-I cookstove with different fan power (W)	114
Table 3.25	Production strategy for IMPMUD cookstoves	122
Table 3.26	Production strategy for TEG cookstove	122
Table 4.1	The thermal efficiency of cookstoves	125
Table 4.2	BIS limits for forced and natural draft cookstoves	126
Table 4.3	Power output of cookstoves	127
Table 4.4	Emissions performance of cookstoves	128
Table 4.5	Analysis of overall energy balance in cookstoves	129

Table 4.6	The thermal efficiency of selected commercial cookstoves	130
Table 4.7	Power output of selected commercial cookstoves	131
Table 4.8	Emissions performance of selected commercial cookstoves	132
Table 5.1	Detailed specifications of traditional cookstoves	154-155
Table 5.2	Detailed specifications of developed biomass cookstoves	156
Table 5.3	Detailed specifications of other cookstoves	157-158
Table 5.4	List of different local partner at different geographical locations	159
Table 5.5	Sample size table for cross-sectional study design adapted from	161
Table 5.6	Number of samples collected across location for each stove type	163-164
Table 6.1	Ratio of the fire power in laboratory and in field	187
Table 6.2	Specific fuel consumption (kg of fuel used/kg of cooking)	189
Table 6.3	Ratio of specific fuel consumption in the field and in the laboratory	189
Table 6.4	Ratio of CO emissions rate in the field and in the laboratory	191
Table 6.5	Ratio PM _{2.5} rate in the field and in the laboratory	192
Table 6.6	Specific time consumption (min/kg) of stoves in laboratory and in field	193
Table 6.7	Normalized specific time consumption (min/kg of water boiled) in the laboratory in and in the field	194

Nomenclature

Symbols and abbreviations

%	= Percent
/	= Per
<	= Lower than
>	= Greater than
0	= Degree
⁰ C	= Degree celsius
A	= Pre-exponential factor
A	= Area
A/F	= Air-to-fuel ratio
A _{cc}	= The cross sectional area of the combustion chamber
ASTRA	= Application of Science and Technology for Rural Areas
B	= Heat of Combustion (heating value) of wood fuel
BIS	= Bureau of Indian Standards
BR	= Bihar
C	= Carbon
CAD	= Computer Aided Design
CCT	= Controlled Cooking Test
CH ₄	= Methane
cm	= Centimetre
CNG	= Compressed Natural Gas
CO	= Carbon monoxide
CO ₂	= Carbon dioxide
Conc.	= Concentration
C _p	= Heat capacity at constant pressure
d	= Gap between the pan bottom and the top plate
db	= Dry basis
DC	= Direct Current
E	= Activation energy
FD-D	= Forced Draft -Domestic
FD-I	= Forced Draft -Institution
FDM	= Forced Draft Metal
FD-M	= Forced Draft- Medium
FDP	= Forced Draft Pellet
FD-TEG	= Forced Draft -Thermo Electric Genetor
g	= Gram
GACC	= Global Alliance for Clean Cookstoves
GIZ	= Deutsche Gesellschaft fur Internationale Zusammenarbeit
GJ	= Gigajoule
h	= Hour
H	= Hydrogen
H ₂ O	= Water
H _{cc1}	= Height of combustion chamber occupied by the fuelbed where charcoal burns and the pyrolysis take place
H _{cc2}	= Height of combustion chamber occupied by the flames

HH	= Household
I	= Current
ICS	= Improved Cookstove Stove
IIT	= Indian Institute of Technology
IMPMUD	= Improved Mud
IQR	= Interquartile range
ISO	= International Organization for Standardization
ITDG	= Intermediate Technology Development Group
IWA	= International Workshop Agreement
K	= Kelvin temperature
kg	= Kilogram
kJ	= Kilojoule
KPT	= Kitchen Performance Test
kW	= Kilowatt
kW-h	= Kilowatt hour
L	= Litre
LPG	= Liquefied Petroleum Gas
M	= Mass of volatiles produced
<i>m</i>	= Fuel burning rate (kg/h)
m	= Meter
n	= Numbers of air holes
M Pa	= Megapascal
m ³	= Cubic metre
MC	= Moisture Content
MCE	= Modified Combustion Efficiency
mg	= Milligram
MJ	= Megajoule
MJ _d	= Megajoules of energy delivered to the pot
mm	= Millimetre
MNES	= Ministry of Non-Conventional Energy Sources
MNRE	= Ministry of New and Renewable Energy
MP	= Madhya Pradesh
MW	= Megawatt
ND-D	= Natural Draft -Domestic
NPIC	= National Program on Improved Chulha
O ₂	= Oxygen
OD	= Odisha
P	= Power
PEMS	= Portable Emissions Measurement System
PIC	= Products of Incomplete Combustion
PM _{2.5}	= Particulate matter less than 2.5 microns in diameter
ppm	= Parts per million
R	= Ideal gas constant
R1	= Rocket 1
R2	= Rocket 2
rpm	= Revolution per minute
R _{specific}	= Specific gas constant for dry air
s	= Second
SA	= Standard Adult
SO _x	= Sulphur dioxides

STP	= Standard Temperature and Pressure
St. Dev	= Standard Deviation
CoV	= Coefficient of Variation
CE	= Combustion Efficiency
t	= Time
T	= Absolute temperature
TC	= Traditional Chulha
TERI	The Energy and Resources Institute
TLUD	= Top Lit Up Draft
TPM	= Total Particulate Matter
TPM stove	= Two-Pot Mud Stove
UCT	= Uncontrolled Cooking Test
UN	= United Nations
UP	= Uttar Pradesh
V	= Volume
v	Velocity
V	= Voltage
VITA	= Volunteers in Technical Assistance
W	= Fan power
W	= Watt
WB	= West Bengal
WBT	= Water Boiling Test
WSG	= Woodburning Stove Group
yr	= Year

Greek symbols

$\varnothing$	= Diameter (cm)
α	= Fraction of mass
α	= Sharp edges
δ	= Gap between the pan and the shield (cm)
ε	= Emissivity
η	= Efficiency (%)
λ	= Excess air factor
μ	= Viscosity (Pa-s)
ρ	= Density (kg/m ³)
σ	= Stephan- Boltzman constant (W/m ² K ⁴)
v	= Volatile fraction
φ	= Equivalence ratio
χ	= Packing density
ω	= Fire penetration rate (kJ/h)
ψ	= Stoichiometric air required for complete combustion of fuel (m ³ STP)

Subscripts

c	=	Charcoal
cc	=	Combustion chamber
des	=	Design
max	=	Maximum
n	=	Normal
d	=	Deliver