

**ANDROSTENEDIONE PRODUCTION BY BIOTRANSFORMATION
OF PHYTOSTEROL**

by

ALOK KUMAR MALAVIYA

DEPARTMENT OF BIOCHEMICAL ENGINEERING AND BIOTECHNOLOGY

Submitted

in fulfillment of the requirements of the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

to the



Indian Institute of Technology, Delhi

June, 2009

Dedicated to My Parents

CERTIFICATE

This is to certify that the thesis entitled “**Androstenedione Production by Biotransformation of Phytosterol**” being submitted by **Mr. Alok Kumar Malaviya** to the Indian Institute of Technology, Delhi, for the award of degree of “Doctor of Philosophy”, is a record of the bonafide research carried out by him, which has been prepared under my supervision and guidance in conformity with the rules and regulations of Indian Institute of Technology, Delhi. The results contained in it have not been submitted in part or full to any other university or institute for award of any degree / diploma.

Dr. James Gomes
Associate Professor
Department of Biochemical
Engineering & Biotechnology,
Indian Institute of Technology, Delhi
New Delhi – 110016
India

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

“So many of our dreams seem impossible, then improbable, then inevitable”,
Quotes the famous former film star, Christopher Reeve, bedridden with paralysis, giving hope and a new dimension to people in distress to look up to fulfill their dreams despite the many roadblocks in front of them. When one pursues the dreams relentlessly, the dreams do become inevitable and accessible. I have also taken inspiration from these brave words and feel one can achieve anything if one pursues it with diligence and perseverance and finally the day has come when I am going to submit my doctoral thesis.

The thesis dissertation marks the end of a long and eventful journey. The journey was long but made easier only because I was accompanied and supported by many people. It is a pleasure that I now have the opportunity to express my gratitude to all of them. This thesis would be incomplete without acknowledging the intellectual and moral contributions of my mentor, friends and family.

The very first person to whom I would like to express my sincere gratitude is my supervisor, Dr. James Gomes, Associate Professor of DBEB, Indian Institute of Technology, Delhi, who has been my supervisor since the beginning of doctoral research. It gives me immense pleasure to express my sound perception of gratitude and humble regards to my supervisor for his guidance, unflinching support, suggestions and encouragements throughout the course of this study. This day would never have come without him. He provided me with many valuable suggestions, important advice and constant encouragement during the course of this work. He challenged me to set my benchmark even higher and to look for solutions to problems rather than to focus on the problem. I am grateful to him for inculcating and infusing in me the confidence to take up challenges, ability to think big and to be a leader. Thank you Sir for inculcating and infusing in me the confidence to take up challenges, ability to think big and to be a leader.

I would also like to thank the members of my Ph. D. research committee – Prof. V. S. Bisaria, Prof. T. R. Sreekrishnan and Dr. K. K. Pant who monitored my work and took effort in reading and providing me with valuable comments at each SRC meeting. I would also like to pay my sincere thanks to Prof. Subhash Chand for his kind suggestions and encouragements during the course of this study.

Special thanks to Mr. D.V. Sharmaji for being there with his technical support whenever needed be it 1:00 am on a cold winter night. He was always there making sure my experiments would not stop due to some technical problem. I am highly thankful to Mr. Santram for his support and help in laboratory work during the entire course of my study. Special thanks to Sitaram ji, Vijaysingh ji and Harish for making clean glassware available. Many thanks are also due to Mrs. Renu Sethi, Mr. V. K. Ghosh, Mr. Mukesh Anand, Mrs. Meena Mathur, Mrs. Neera Verma, Mrs. Sunita Dang, Mr. J. A. Khan, Mr. Rana, Mr. Kishanchand, Mr. Prashant, and Mr. Bhagwan Singh for their assistance and cooperation throughout my work.

The fellowship awarded by the Council of Scientific and Industrial Research is duly acknowledged.

The help and support given to me by my seniors Dr. Amalendu Prakash Ranjan, Dr. Shilpi Khanna, Dr. Ashwani Mathur, Dr. Mili Prabhakar, Dr. Gunjan Prakash and my friends Raju Shankarayan, Md. Asif Shah, Mr. Nakul Barfa, Mr. Pranjal Trivedi, Mr. Shashank Shivhare is duly acknowledged. I shall always cherish the love, encouragement and care endowed by them.

A special thanks goes to Rajib, who was always with me at the time of need. My achievements during my research work reflect the support and help provided by him. Right from the days of our course work when he used to help me with downstream processing, till today each day I have learnt something new from him. I thank him for being a good colleague and acknowledge him for adjusting with me during my course of my PhD period.

Special mention is due for my old time friend Sanjay, who in the course of time became my labmate. I would like to thank him for performing countless tasks with me with dedication far beyond the call of any duty. He has always a source of loving advice, encouragement, consideration and caring.

I have been fortunate enough to come across many funny and good friends. Special thanks goes to Anuj, Sundeep Choker, Abdullah, Manisha and Ashish for their ever-present support and for making me relaxed during toughest phase of research. Anuj is the companion and friend that one needs during all phases of life. He always had the capability to make me smile whenever I was in any tension. He has been a constant source of encouragement, enthusiasm and support in the toughest phases of my work. I am especially thankful to Abdullah, who helped me in carrying out analytical work at INMAS, DRDO, New Delhi.

Guidance and support can be of help in research and can also be of spiritual and moral in nature. Mrs. Bipasha Gomes, has always been a source of great moral guidance and support. I am deeply grateful to her and I sincerely acknowledge her support.

There are also a number of other people who do not work with me but have been friends and I would also like to thank them for their support – Dr. Saurabh Saran and Dr. Jasmine Isar. I have been fortunate to come across a friend, without whom life would be bleak. No words are sufficient to express thanks to Zaid, for his ever-present support and for making me laugh as often and as loudly as he could. A special thanks goes to Zaki, for his moral and emotional support during the final stage of my thesis submission.

Life is just not professional; it has its root under the roof of loved ones. I greatly feel that the patience and faith by My Family in me has made me strong enough to face any situation. No words are sufficient to express thanks to my mother, Mrs. Prem Lata Malviya and father Mr. Barun Kumar Malviya, who have given me endless love and support throughout my life. It is

because of their encouragement and care that I have made it through all the steps to reach this point in life, and I couldn't have done it without them. I am greatly indebted to my brother Anuj Kumar Malviya and sisters Rani, Soni and Moni who were a perpetual source of encouragement and inspiration through the difficulties during all these years of my Ph.D. Anuj is really a gem without whom I can not think about myself. I will always be indebted to all of them for their affection, encouragement and support at every step of my life.

Special thanks goes to my mother-in-law Mrs. Manju Mishra, father-in-law Mr. A. K. Mishra and brother-in-law, Shrawan who always encouraged me.

Finally, I would like to thank my wife Shruti for her endless love and encouragement throughout this entire journey. Words fail me to express my appreciation for the many sacrifices she has made to support me in undertaking my doctoral studies. Through each stage of preparation, she shared the burdens, anxieties and pleasures of this study. She was always there like a pillar of strength, listening to my woes and giving me encouragement during the toughest phase of my work, I would also like to thank her for the countless hours I have spent with her proof reading papers and this dissertation. I owe an immeasurable debt and deep affection to her.

Special mention has to be made of my one and a half year old son Atharv for his being a wonderful baby. His smile made me forget all my tensions and worries when I came back in the evening. His patient wait for his father to come in the evening and pick him up in his arms encouraged me even more to finish my work as soon as possible.

I wholeheartedly thank all of you for just being there.

Most importantly, I would like to thank the almighty God, for it is under his grace that we live, learn and flourish.

Alok Kumar Malviya

Abstract

This thesis embodies the work carried out using free *Mycobacterium* sp. cells as whole cell biocatalysts for androstenedione (AD) production from β -sitosterol by selective side chain cleavage. While studying sitosterol to AD biotransformation in aqueous medium, different strategies were tried to improve the production of AD. In the first step, a mutant strain of *Mycobacterium* sp DSMZ 2966 was developed. A two stage strain improvement program was applied for this purpose. Acclimatization to stage wise increasing concentration of sitosterol was applied and a strain (AS-08) capable of growing on 20 g^l⁻¹ sitosterol was obtained. A total of 2.2 fold increase in AD accumulation ability was exhibited by AS-08. AS-08 was further subjected to chemical mutagenesis resulting in isolation of an improved strain (PL-17). This strain was resistant to 1 g^l⁻¹ AD and exhibited a 3.3 fold increase in AD accumulation capacity of the parent *Mycobacterium* sp. While studying the effect of operational parameters, maximum AD formation was observed at 28°C, 300 rpm and at 7 pH in aqueous medium. Addition of cyclodextrin (CD) in the fermentation medium at substrate to CD ratio of 1:3 resulted in 2.20 fold increase in AD formation.

Mycobacterial cell wall is rigid and offers a high resistance to the transport of sitosterol into the cytosol. Therefore, the effect of cell wall permeabilizing antibiotics, such as ethambutol, penicillin, polymixin and bacitracin, on biotransformation of sitosterol to androstenedione by modification of cell wall permeability was examined. Drug sensitivity assay results established that bacitracin increased the permeability of the cell wall to hydrophobic compounds. Growth inhibitory study of bacitracin and rifamycin individually as well as in combination showed that these two antibiotics act

synergistically to reduce cell growth. A comparison of transmission electron micrograph results of the bacitracin treated cells with untreated cell, revealed deformities caused in the cell wall structure by bacitracin treatment. These deformities increased the cell wall permeability and transport of sitosterol inside the cell, and thus enhanced androstenedione (AD) production. A maximum of 1.37, 1.44, 1.65 and 1.76 gram AD per gram dry cell weight of mycobacterial cells was produced in the presence of ethambutol, penicillin, polymixin and bacitracin respectively. Below the minimum inhibitory concentration, bacitracin can be used as potent enhancer of permeability of hydrophobic substances across the mycobacterial cell wall.

Surfactants are known to improve the solubility, mobility and availability of the insoluble hydrophobic substrates to the biocatalysts. Therefore, effect of eight different surfactants (ionic and non ionic) on biotransformation of β -sitosterol to androstenedione by *Mycobacterium* sp. was also investigated as a strategy to improve the product formation in aqueous medium. A maximum of 1.9 fold increase in product concentration was achieved by using DMSO and an increase of 1.6 fold by using Triton X-114. Effect of these two surfactants on mycobacterial cell growth was studied. Inoculum was prepared in nutrient broth and was transferred to the medium containing 10 g^l⁻¹ DMSO and the medium containing 10 g^l⁻¹ Triton X114 as well as to the medium without any surfactant. DMSO was found to be inhibitory for cell growth when compared with control. After 72 hours of cell growth, DMSO led to 21.2±3.6 % decrease in dry cell weight of mycobacterial cells in comparison to the control culture. The cell growth was comparatively unaffected in presence of 1% Triton X-114. Sitosterol biotransformation

study in optimized conditions exhibited a maximum of 1.09 mM AD production in aqueous medium.

With the aim to further improve the sitosterol to AD biotransformation process, the focus was shifted towards designing a biotransformation system with improved substrate solubility. For this purpose, the application of microemulsion (ME) medium was investigated. Since microemulsions are dispersed micro-heterogeneous systems composed of water, oil and surfactant and are also isotropic, optically clear and thermodynamically stable, microemulsion medium possess extremely large interfacial area for biotransformation. Although, ME has been employed for chemical and biochemical reactions, there are no reports on its application for microbial transformation of sitosterol to AD. During the course of present work, a systematic study on preparation of microemulsions containing nutrient broth and PEG 200 (1:1) as aqueous phase, 40 g/l sitosterol dissolved in chloroform as organic phase, Triton X114 and Tween 80 (1:1) as surfactant phase, was investigated. The phase behavior of this system was studied for 10 different ratios(w/w), 10:0, 9:1, 8:2, 7:3, 6:4, 5:5, 4:6, 3:7, 2:8, 1:9 and 0:10 of the organic phase and surfactant at 30°C. A pseudoternary phase diagram was constructed to demarcate the region giving stable microemulsions. Seventeen different compositions were found to give stable MEs. Finally, it was found that microemulsions can effectively be applied as a reservoir of solubilized hydrophobic substrates. The maximum solubility of sitosterol in microemulsion medium was observed to be 8 g^l⁻¹, three orders of magnitude higher than the reported sitosterol solubility of 2 – 4 mg^l⁻¹ in aqueous medium. During sitosterol biotransformation studies, ME medium was found to exhibit better sitosterol biotransformation results as compared to the aqueous system. Highest

percent molar conversion (88.5%) of solubilised sitosterol was observed in ME medium containing 1: 9: 90 ratio of oil phase, surfactant phase and aqueous phase. However, a maximum concentration of AD (1.6 mM) was produced in ME medium containing 6:14:80 ratio of oil phase, surfactant phase and aqueous phase. Operational parameters were also optimized and highest sitosterol biotransformation was obtained at 250 rpm and at 32°C. Addition of external electron acceptor (menadione) and maintenance of dissolved oxygen concentration at the level of 30% of maximum saturation resulted in enhanced biotransformation of sitosterol to AD. Finally, a maximum of 2.6 mM AD could be produced in ME medium containing 4:16:80 ratio of oil phase, surfactant phase and aqueous phase. Hence, ME based medium offers a better alternative for carrying improved biotransformation of hydrophobic compounds.

Parallel to these studies, on screening and isolation of organisms capable of sitosterol to AD biotransformation was also carried out. This involved screening and isolation of microorganisms from soil samples using the conventional enrichment technique as well as by using a reverse genetics based approach. Random screening and isolation resulted in isolation of a novel bacterial strain, *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia*. Using reverse-genetics approach, *Aspergillus oryzae* was identified as the organism capable of sitosterol to AD biotransformation. Novelty lies in the methodology used for screening and isolation of microorganisms with requisite functional attributes. To the best of our knowledge, both the isolates - *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia* and *Aspergillus oryzae* are also novel in terms of reported sitosterol to AD biotransformation capability of these two organisms.

CONTENTS

	Page
<i>Abstract</i>	<i>i</i>
<i>Contents</i>	v
<i>List of Figures</i>	x
<i>List of Tables</i>	xvi
<i>List of Abbreviations</i>	xviii
CHAPTER 1. Introduction and Objectives	1
1.1 Introduction	2
1.2 Objectives	10
1.3 Organization of thesis	12
CHAPTER 2. A Review on Androstenedione Production by Biotransformation of Phytosterols	14
2.1 Androstenedione	15
2.2 Status of research	18
2.3 Outline of chapter	19
2.4 Androstenedione synthesis	19
2.4.1 Substrates used for AD(D) synthesis	19
2.4.2 Chemical Synthesis of Androstenedione	22
2.4.3 Biochemical methods of AD synthesis	22
2.4.3.1 Protection of steroidal nucleus	25
2.4.3.1.1 Chemical inhibition of 9- α -hydroxylase	25
2.4.3.1.2 Screening and improvement of microorganisms for higher AD(D) yield	26
2.5 Methods of Biotransformation of sterols to AD(D)	29
2.5.1 Biotransformation in aqueous medium	29
2.5.2 Biotransformation in two-phase systems	34
2.5.3 Biotransformation in cloud-point system	38

2.5.4 Biotransformation using immobilized biocatalysts	39
2.5.5 Microemulsions and liposomes as an alternative biotransformation system	41
2.6 Future perspective	42
CHAPTER 3. Material and Methods	45
3.1 Materials	47
3.1.1 Major equipments	47
3.1.2 Chemicals	48
3.1.3 Microorganism	49
3.2 Experimental methods	50
3.2.1 Inoculum development	50
3.2.2 Sitosterol biotransformation in aqueous medium	50
3.2.3 Sitosterol biotransformation in microemulsion (ME) medium	51
3.2.4 Extraction of metabolites obtained from sitosterol biotransformation in aqueous medium	51
3.2.5 Extraction of metabolites obtained from sitosterol biotransformation in microemulsion medium	52
3.3 Analytical methods	52
3.3.1 Biomass estimation	52
3.3.2 AD(D) estimation	53
3.3.3 Sitosterol estimation	53
3.3.4 Fluorescence microscopy of the cells	54
3.3.5 Transmission electron microscopy of the cells	54
3.3.6 Scanning electron microscopy of the cells	55
3.3.7 Flow cytometry	55
CHAPTER 4. Strain Improvement and Study of the Factors Affecting Sitosterol Biotransformation in Aqueous Medium	56
4.1 Experimental	59
4.2 Results and Discussion	62
4.2.1 Strain improvement	62
4.2.1.1 Acclimatization to higher sitosterol concentration	62

4.2.1.2	Chemical mutagenesis	64
4.2.2	Influence of operational parameters and cultural conditions	68
4.2.3	Studies on sitosterol biotransformation enhancement strategies	72
4.2.3.1	Effect of different strategies for substrate addition	72
4.2.3.2	Effect of cyclodextrin addition	73
4.3	Conclusions	76
CHAPTER 5.	Studies with Cell Wall Permeabilizing Antibiotics	78
5.1	Experimental	80
5.2	Results and Discussion	81
5.2.1	β -sitosterol biotransformation by antibiotic treated <i>Mycobacterium</i> sp. DSMZ 2966 cells	81
5.2.2	Drug sensitivity assays	83
5.2.3	Transmission Electron Microscopy of the bacitracin treated mycobacterial cells	88
5.3	Conclusions	88
CHAPTER 6.	Sitosterol Biotransformation with Surfactants	89
6.1	Experimental	91
6.2	Results and Discussion	92
6.2.1	Study the effect of different biomass surfactant ratio on biotransformation of sitosterol	92
6.2.2	Study of effect of DMSO and triton X114 on Cell growth of <i>Mycobacterium</i> sp.	93
6.2.3	Optimization of biomass: surfactant ratio	94
6.2.4	Sitosterol biotransformation in optimized condition	95
6.3	Conclusions	98
CHAPTER 7.	Preparation and Preliminary Studies with NutrientBroth/ PEG200/TritonX114/Tween80/Chloroform Based Microemulsion	99
7.1	Experimental	103
7.2	Results and Discussion	104
7.2.1	Preparation and characterization of microemulsions	104
7.2.2	Sitosterol biotransformation studies in microemulsions	119

7.2.3	Transmission electron microscopy of microemulsion grown cells	121
7.3	Conclusions	123
CHAPTER 8.	Sitosterol to Androstenedione Biotransformation Studies in Microemulsion	124
8.1	Experimental	125
8.2	Results and Discussion	126
8.2.1	Characterization of microemulsion medium	127
8.2.2	Biotransformation efficiency and cell viability	131
8.2.3	Effect of operational conditions on sitosterol biotransformation in ME	136
8.2.4	Effect of dissolved oxygen concentration	140
8.3	Conclusions	142
CHAPTER 9	Summary Conclusions and Future Scope	144
9.1	Summary	145
9.2	Conclusions	150
9.3	Future Scope	154
CHAPTER 10	References	157
APPENDIX I	Random Screening and Isolation of Microorganism Capable of Sitosterol to Androstenedione Biotransformation	173
AI.1	Experimental	176
AI.1.1	Isolation and maintenance of cultures	176
AI.1.2	Screening of isolate for sitosterol to androstenedione biotransformation	176
AI.1.3	Extraction and analysis of transformed metabolite	177
AI.1.4	16S-Ribosomal DNA sequencing	177
AI.2	Results and Discussion	177
AI.2.1	Isolation and identification of the isolated strain	177
AI.2.2	Sitosterol biotransformation study	179
AI.3	Conclusions	183

APPENDIX II	Rapid Screening and Isolation of a Fungus Capable of Sitosterol to Androstenedione Biotransformation	184
	AII.1 Experimental	186
	AII.1.1 Microorganisms and maintenance	186
	AII.1.2 Computational screening of candidate organisms capable of sitosterol to AD biotransformation	187
	AII.1.3 Experimental screening of sitosterol to AD biotransformation ability of computationally screened microorganism	188
	AII.1.4 Extraction and analysis of metabolites from biotransformation medium	188
	AII.2 Results and Discussion	189
	AII.2.1 Computational screening of microorganisms capable of sitosterol to AD biotransformation	189
	AII.2.2 Biotransformation of β -sitosterol to AD by <i>Aspergillus oryzae</i>	198
	AII.3 Conclusions	201
	Resume of the Author	202
