

MICROMECHANICAL MODELLING OF ELASTIC AND STRENGTH PROPERTIES OF SNOW FROM MICRO-TOMOGRAPHIC IMAGES

PRAVEEN KUMAR SRIVASTAVA



**DEPARTMENT OF APPLIED MECHANICS
INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY DELHI
JULY 2019**

© Indian Institute of Technology Delhi (IITD), New Delhi, 2019

MICROMECHANICAL MODELLING OF ELASTIC AND STRENGTH PROPERTIES OF SNOW FROM MICRO-TOMOGRAPHIC IMAGES

by

Praveen Kumar Srivastava

Department of Applied Mechanics

Submitted

In fulfillment of the requirements of the degree of Doctor of Philosophy
to the



INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY DELHI
JULY 2019

Dedicated to my beloved parents and family

CERTIFICATE

This is to certify that the thesis entitled “**MICROMECHANICAL MODELLING OF ELASTIC AND STRENGTH PROPERTIES OF SNOW FROM MICRO-TOMOGRAPHIC IMAGES**” being submitted by **Mr. Praveen Kumar Srivastava** is the report of bonafide research work carried by him under my supervision. This thesis has been prepared in conformity with the rules and regulations of the INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY DELHI. I further certify that the thesis has attained a standard required for the award of **DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY** degree of the institute. The research reported and the results presented in the thesis have not been submitted, in part or full to any other institute or university for the award of any other degree or diploma.

Date: July 2019

Place: New Delhi

Dr. Puneet Mahajan

Professor

Department of Applied Mechanics

Indian Institute of Technology Delhi

New Delhi-110016, INDIA.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

To my first guru in life, my late father **Sh. R. B. Lal Srivastava** and my mother **Smt. Veena Srivastava**: because I owe everything to you and would always remain indebted. You have made me the person I am today.

To my Ph.D. guru and advisor **Prof. Puneet Mahajan**: I express my deepest sense of gratitude for your guidance, constant encouragement and unconditional support in every aspect concerning my Ph.D. research. I greatly admire your immense knowledge and most importantly your patient and understanding nature in explaining the intricacies and sophistication of computational mechanics to an uninitiated person like me. I could not have imagined a better advisor and mentor for the completion of my Ph.D. journey. Thank you Sir for accepting me as your Ph.D. student.

To my dear wife **Alka**: I am eternally grateful to you for your love, care, understanding and being the pillar of my life. Thank you for taking care of everything without which this research wouldn't have been possible.

To my sons **Raj** and **Rohan**: Thanks to both of you for bringing surprise and excitement on a daily basis.

I am also grateful to my other family members and friends who have supported me throughout this journey.

I am thankful to all the faculty members and staff of Department of Applied Mechanics who played an important role in enriching my knowledge during the course work. I also gratefully acknowledge the funding from the UKIERI and the use of computational facility at University of Edinburgh for carrying out some of the FE simulations. Special thanks to **Dr. Pankaj** for insightful discussions and support during my stay at Edinburgh.

I am also thankful to all the colleagues at my work place: special mention to **Dr Pramod Satyawali, Chaman, Prem, Param** and **Vinod** for discussions and providing technical support.

A very special thanks goes out to my much younger friends: **Anurag, Mohit, Harpreet** and **Rajnish** for their unmatched help and support whenever I required.

And finally above all, I thank **the Lord Almighty**.

Praveen Kumar Srivastava

ABSTRACT

Snow is a porous material consisting of a complex interconnected network of sintered ice crystals. The underlying variations in ice volume fraction and microstructural fabric lead to large heterogeneity in macroscale mechanical properties and failure behaviour of snow. The present study develops a tomographic-image based approach to define the macroscale mechanical behaviour of snow using multiscale computational homogenization methods. Assuming linear elastic properties of ice and periodic boundary conditions, micro-finite element (μ FE) models built directly from the X-ray micro-computed tomography (μ CT) images were used to compute the effective orthotropic stiffness and compliance tensors for a wide range of snow densities and morphologies. The effective isotropic Young's moduli and Poisson ratios derived from these elasticity tensors compare quite well with previously published results thereby validating the numerical modelling approach adopted. The μ CT image dataset also allowed to determine the degree of anisotropy and principle planes of orthotropic symmetry of microstructure by means of second-rank surface- and volume-based fabric tensors. The isotropic elasticity model based on ice volume fraction could explain 89% of the variability of the stiffness tensor computed by the μ FE model with mean relative norm error of 43%. In contrast, the orthotropic elasticity model based on a second-rank fabric tensor and the volume fraction raised the adjusted coefficient of determination (r_{adj}^2) to 97% with mean relative norm error of 28%.

Motivated by successful estimates of elastic properties, the study was extended to nonlinear μ FE analysis for modelling of anisotropic strength properties and multiaxial failure criterion. A damage based elasto-plastic constitutive law with Drucker-Prager yield criteria is

used for ice to account for the tension-compression asymmetry in macroscopic strength behaviour of snow. The nonlinear μ FE models were subjected to 45-48 different load cases each up to failure. The simulations captured the macroscale tension-compression strength asymmetry as well as the anticipated effect of density and anisotropic fabric on the uniaxial strength behavior of snow. While the anisotropic failure strengths were found to be strongly correlated with corresponding elastic moduli, the failure strains were found to be independent of moduli and density. The μ FE derived uniaxial and biaxial strength data were fitted with a Tsai-Wu type orthotropic failure surface in stress space and a strong relationship is demonstrated between volume fraction, fabric and multiaxial strength behaviour of snow. A minimal set of four constants and two exponents is identified for the description of multiaxial failure surface as a function of ice volume fraction and fabric. Neglecting fabric information and using an isotropic model based on only ice volume fraction yielded r_{adj}^2 of 0.89 with associated model norm error of 40.8%. Depending on fabric measure used, the fabric-based orthotropic strength model provided r_{adj}^2 of 0.95 and norm error in the range of 27-28%. The predictions of fabric based strength models are not only consistent with experimental data from the literature but also extend the current knowledge of failure behaviour to multiaxial load cases that can hardly be realized in a laboratory experiment.

संछिप्त विवरण

हिम एक छिद्रिल पदार्थ है जो कि बर्फ के निसदित क्रिस्टल्स द्वारा बना एक मिश्रित और जटिल जालतन्त्र है। बर्फ के अंशखंड और सूछम संरचना फैब्रिक में अन्तर्निहित बदलाव, हिम के स्थूल यांत्रिक गुणों तथा फेलियर व्यवहार में बड़ी विषमता का मूल कारण बनते हैं। वर्तमान अध्ययन हिम के मैक्रो-स्केल यांत्रिक व्यवहार को परिभाषित करने के लिए एक टोमोग्राफिक-छवि आधारित दृष्टिकोण विकसित करता है जिसमे मल्टिस्केल कम्प्यूटेशनल होमोजेनाइजेशन विधियों का उपयोग किया गया है। बर्फ के सूक्ष्म प्रत्यास्थ गुणों और पीरियाडिक बाउंड्री कंडीशंस को मानते हुए, हिम के सूक्ष्म-परिमित एलिमेंट (μFE) मॉडल का निर्माण एक्स-रे माइक्रो-कंप्यूटेड टोमोग्राफी (μCT) छवियों से सीधे किया गया है और इनका उपयोग एक विस्तृत हिम घनत्व और आकारिकी के सैंपल श्रृंखला की प्रभावी ऑर्थोट्रोपिक प्रत्यास्थता और कंप्लायंस टेंसरों की गणना के लिए किया गया। इन प्रत्यास्थता टेंसर से प्राप्त प्रभावी आइसोट्रोपिक यंग'स मोडुली और पॉइसन अनुपात पहले के साथ प्रकाशित परीणामों के साथ काफी अच्छी तरह से मेल करते हैं, जिससे वर्तमान अध्ययन में उपयोग किये गये संख्यात्मक मॉडलिंग दृष्टिकोण को मान्यता मिलती है। द्वितय रैंक के सतह- और आयतन-आधारित फैब्रिक टेंसर, μCT छवि डेटासेट के स्ट्रक्चरल एनीसोट्रॉपी का परिमाण और माइक्रोस्ट्रक्चर के प्रिंसिपल प्लेन्स ऑफ ऑर्थोट्रोपिक सिमिट्री का निर्धारण भी सुनिश्चित करते हैं। 43% की माध्य सापेक्ष त्रुटिमान के साथ, बर्फ अंशखंड पर आधारित आइसोट्रोपिक प्रत्यास्थ मॉडल, μFE मॉडल द्वारा आंकलित प्रत्यास्थता की परिवर्तनशीलता की 89% तक व्याख्या कर सकता है। इसके विपरीत, बर्फ अंशखंड और दूसरी रैंक के फैब्रिक टेंसर पर आधारित ऑर्थोट्रोपिक प्रत्यास्थ मॉडल ने 28% की औसत सापेक्ष त्रुटि के साथ समायोजित गुणांक (r^2_{adj}) को 97% तक बढ़ा दिया।

प्रत्यास्थ गुणों के सफल अनुमानों से प्रेरित होकर, अध्ययन को नोनलीनिअर μFE विश्लेषण से एनीसोट्रोपिक सामर्थ्य गुणों और मल्टीएक्सअल फेलियर क्राइटेरिया की

मॉडलिंग के लिए आगे बढ़ाया गया। हिम के मैक्रोस्कोपिक टेंशन-कम्प्रेशन असममितता को संज्ञान में लेते हुए, बर्फ के लिए ड्रकर-प्रेजर यील्ड मानदंड के साथ एक डैमेज आधारित इलास्टो-प्लास्टिक कॉस्टीट्यूटिव नियम का उपयोग किया गया। प्रत्येक नोनलिनिअर μ FE मॉडल का अध्ययन 45-48 विभिन्न लोड केसेस के लिए फेलिअर तक किया गया। सिम्युलेशन्स ने मैक्रोस्कोपिक टेंशन-कम्प्रेशन असममितता के साथ-साथ सामर्थ्य गुणों पर हिम घनत्व के प्रत्याशित प्रभाव को भलिभांति पकड़ा। जहाँ एक तरफ अनिसोट्रोपिक फेलिअर स्ट्रेंथ संबंधित प्रत्यास्थ मोडुली के साथ दृढ़ता से सहसंबद्ध पाई गई, फेलिअर स्ट्रेन, प्रत्यास्थ मोडुली और घनत्व से स्वतंत्र पाये गये। μ FE मॉडल से व्युत्पन्न एकाक्षीय और द्विअक्षीय स्ट्रेंथ डेटा को स्ट्रेस स्पेस में Tsai-Wu टाइप ऑर्थोट्रोपिक फेलिअर सतह के साथ फिट किया गया और बर्फ के आयतन अंश, फेब्रिक और बहुअक्षीयस्ट्रेंथ व्यवहार के बीच मजबूत सम्बंध प्रदर्शित किया गया। बर्फ की मात्रा-अंश और फेब्रिक के रूप में मल्टीएक्सिसअल फेलिअर सतह के वर्णन के लिए चार स्थिरांक और दो घातांक का न्यूनतम सेट पहचाना गया। फेब्रिक की जानकारी की उपेक्षा करते हुए और केवल आइस वॉल्यूम अंश के आधार पर एक आइसोट्रोपिक मॉडल का उपयोग करके r^2_{adj} का मान 0.89 प्राप्त हुआ जिसमें संबंधित मॉडल मानक त्रुटि 40.8% थी। उपयोग किए गए फेब्रिक के माप के आधार पर, फेब्रिक-आधारित ऑर्थोट्रोपिक स्ट्रेंथ मॉडल से r^2_{adj} का मान 0.95 प्राप्त हुआ और इसमें मानक त्रुटि की सीमा 27-28% थी। फेब्रिक आधारित स्ट्रेंथ मॉडल की भविष्यवाणियां न केवल प्रयोगात्मक डेटा (जोकि लिट्रेचर से लिया गया है) के अनुरूप हैं बल्कि फेलिअर व्यवहार के मौजूदा ज्ञान को भी बहुअक्षीय लोड अवस्थाओं तक विस्तारित करते हैं जिन्हें प्रयोगशाला प्रयोग में शायद ही रियलाइज्ड किया जा सकता है।

CONTENTS

CERTIFICATE	v
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	vii
ABSTRACT	ix
LIST OF FIGURES	xix
LIST OF TABLES	xxv
LIST OF SYMBOLS	xxvii
1. INTRODUCTION	1
1.1. An overview of avalanche hazard in Indian Himalaya	1
1.2. Snow from material science perspective	4
1.2.1. Snow formation	4
1.2.2. Mountain snowpack	5
1.2.3. Dry snow metamorphism	5
1.2.4. Weak snow layers	9
1.2.5. Snow creep	9
1.3. Avalanche formation	10
1.3.1. Loose snow avalanches	10
1.3.2. Snow slab avalanches	11
1.4. Mechanical properties of snow	13
1.4.1. Effect of temperature	13

1.4.2. Effect of strain rate	14
1.4.3. Effect of density and snow microstructure	15
1.5. Motivation	16
1.6. Organization of the thesis	17
2. LITERATURE REVIEW	19
2.1. Introduction	19
2.2. Snow microstructure characterization methods	20
2.3. Mechanical properties of snow: descriptive studies	22
2.3.1. Elastic properties	22
2.3.2. Failure under uniaxial stress	24
2.3.2.1. Compressive and tensile strength	25
2.3.2.2. Shear strength	27
2.3.3. Failure under multiaxial stress	29
2.4. Micromechanical studies: deformation mechanisms	33
2.4.1. Micromechanical studies based on idealized microstructure	35
2.4.2. Micromechanical studies based on μ CT imaging	37
2.4.2.1. Numerical simulation of elastic properties	38
2.4.2.2. Numerical simulation of strength properties	40
2.5. The knowledge gaps	42
2.6. Research objectives	44
3. METHODS: SNOW MICROSTRUCTURE CHARACTERIZATION	45
3.1. Introduction	45
3.2. Snow samples	46

3.3. Kinetic metamorphism experiment	47
3.4. X-ray μ CT imaging	49
3.5. Microstructure parameters and construction of fabric tensors	50
3.5.1. Porosity and volume fraction	50
3.5.2. Ice and pore thickness distribution	51
3.5.3. Fabric tensors as measures of structural anisotropy	52
3.6. Representative volume element and characteristic length scale	57
3.7. Microstructure analysis results	62
3.7.1. Representative volume element	62
3.7.2. Microstructure parameters	65
3.7.3. Fabric tensors	66
4. NUMERICAL MODELING OF ELASTIC PROPERTIES	71
4.1. Introduction	71
4.2. Homogenization approach	72
4.2.1. RVE equilibrium: field and constitutive equations	73
4.2.2. Boundary conditions and spatial averaging of stress and strains	75
4.2.3. The Hill-Mandel principle of macro-homogeneity	77
4.2.4. Apparent and effective properties	77
4.3. μ FE computations of homogenized elastic properties of snow	78
4.4. RVE analysis of elastic properties	85
4.5. Isotropic elasticity model	86
4.6. Fabric tensor based orthotropic elasticity model	87

5. NUMERICAL MODELLING OF STRENGTH PROPERTIES	93
5.1. Introduction	93
5.2. Theoretical models	96
5.2.1. Tsai-Wu failure criterion	97
5.2.2. Fabric dependent orthotropic failure criterion	100
5.3. Deformation characteristics of ice	102
5.4. Damage based elasto-plastic constitutive model for ice	105
5.4.1. Basic concept of Continuum Damage Mechanics (CDM)	105
5.4.2. Mathematical framework of coupled damage plasticity	107
5.5. Constitutive model implementation in ABAQUS	112
5.6. Numerical simulation of multiaxial strength properties of snow	117
6. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS	121
6.1. Introduction	121
6.2. Fabric-based orthotropic elasticity model for snow	122
6.2.1. Representative volume element considerations	122
6.2.2. Effective isotropic Young's modulus and Poisson ratio	126
6.2.3. Relevance of computed Young's moduli for avalanche release models	130
6.2.4. Fabric tensors and orthotropic elastic constants	132
6.2.5. Morphology-elasticity model fits for snow	137
6.3. Fabric-based orthotropic strength criterion for snow	144
6.3.1. Uniaxial tensile and compressive strengths	144
6.3.2. Anisotropic stress strain response of the RVE	151
6.3.3. Strength under pure shear	152

6.3.4. Comparison of uniaxial strengths with published results	154
6.3.5. Elastic moduli - strength relationship	157
6.3.6. Fabric tensors and strength anisotropy	159
6.3.7. Biaxial normal-normal and normal-shear load cases	160
6.3.8. Parameters of fabric dependent orthotropic failure criterion	163
6.4. Summary	168
7. CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE SCOPE	171
BIBLIOGRAPHY	177
APPENDIX	191
LIST OF PUBLICATIONS	193
BRIEF BIO-DATA OF AUTHOR	195

LIST OF FIGURES

1.1	Avalanche fatalities in Indian Himalaya.	3
1.2	(a) Morphology diagram of snow crystals formation in the atmosphere (http://www.snowcrystals.com), (b) Translucent profile depicting different snow layers (Photo taken at Patsio, Great Himalaya range, India).	4
1.3	X-ray micro-tomography images of: (a) Fresh snow (PP), (b) Rounded snow (RG), (c) Faceted snow (FC), and (d) Depth hoar (DH) (SASE cold laboratory, Manali)	6
1.4	(a) Vapour pressure gradient from convex to concave areas drives micro-scale vapour diffusion. (b) Different stages of crystal rounding under equilibrium metamorphism.	7
1.5	(a) Typical snowpack temperature profile. (b) Vapour diffusion from bottom to top of the snowpack: vapour deposits on the underside of crystals and sublimates from the top (adapted from McClung and Schaerer [2006]).	8
1.6	Surface hoar crystals on the snow surface	9
1.7	Loose snow avalanche (January 2009 at Patsio, Greater Himalaya)	11
1.8	Dry snow slab avalanche (March 2006 at Patsio, Greater Himalaya)	12
2.1	Compilation of experimental data on Young's modulus of snow as a function of snow density (refer text for details).	23
2.2	Density dependence of uniaxial compressive and tensile strength of snow (refer text for details). [J & J: Jamieson and Johnston, 1990; C & A: Conway and Abrahamson, 1984]	26
2.3	Summary of shear strength data plotted against density (refer text for details). [J & J: Jamieson and Johnston, 2001; C & A: Conway and Abrahamson, 1984; Y & E: Yamanoi and Endo, 2002].	27
3.1	Schematic of the heat flux experimental setup (top and front views).	48
3.2	Reconstructed 3D microstructure of representative snow classes; PP (Sample M2), DF (sample G1), RG (sample S2 and T2), FC (sample KFC2) and DH (sample HF5).	50

3.3	The ice thickness $\Delta_i(x)$ at any point x in ice phase is the diameter of the largest sphere containing x .	52
3.4	2D illustration of the fabric anisotropy measures. The white areas are ice phase and black areas are pore phase: (a) MIL method, (b) Star methods (SVD and SLD) which involve measurements of intercept lengths at several orientations at random points within the ice phase.	53
3.5	Scale separation: snow layer is viewed as homogeneous at the layer scale (l_{macro}), while heterogeneities (l_{micro}) can be seen at the mesoscale (l_{meso} or l_{RVE}). l_{micro} can be typically identified with mean ice thickness h_{ice} or mean pore thickness h_{pore} . Every point x in the macroscale is associated to a local RVE with a domain V and a boundary ∂V .	59
3.6	Plot showing convergence of ice volume fraction (v_s) computed on concentric cubic snow volumes of increasing sizes. The results for the lowest densities samples M1 and M2 are shown in the inset.	62
3.7	Variation of $(v_s)_{CV}$ with length scale d .	63
3.8	Variation of $\delta_{v_s} := l_{RVE, v_s} / h_{ice}$ as a function of ice volume fraction.	64
3.9	Temporal changes in 3D snow structure caused by temperature gradient metamorphism. The displayed cubic regions have dimensions of 200 x 200 x 200 voxels ($\sim 1.71^3 \text{ mm}^3$).	66
3.10	Box plot of normalized eigenvalues of SVD, SLD and MIL fabric tensors.	68
3.11	Bivariate plots of elongation index (EI) vs. isotropy index (I) for (a) SVD, and (b) SLD fabric tensors respectively.	69
3.12	Ternary shape diagram of isotropy and elongation indices derived from SVD fabric measures.	69
4.1a	Pseudo-code for determination of best orthotropic representation of stiffness matrix $[\mathbf{C}_{FE_{aniso}}]$.	81
4.1b	Pseudo-code for transformation of stiffness matrix (function: rotateStiffness).	82
4.2	The relative norm error (NE^{ortho}) in % associated with orthotropic representations ($\mathbf{C}_{FE_{ortho}}$) of the anisotropic stiffness tensors ($\mathbf{C}_{FE_{aniso}}$).	83
4.3	Voigt and Reuss bounds on effective isotropic bulk (k_{eff}) and shear (G_{eff}) modulus computed on the full image volumes for all the samples (Table 3.2).	85
4.4	Partitioning procedure to generate three sets of cubical sub-volumes of different edge lengths (L) for investigating the statistical variability. (a) set	86

	of 8 cubes with $L=150$ voxels, (b) set of 27 cubes with $L=100$ voxels, and (c) set of 64 cubes with $L=75$ voxels.	
5.1	Schematic stress-strain curves for polycrystalline ice. I, II, and III denote low-, intermediate-, and high-strain rates (adapted from Schulson [1999]).	103
5.2	Concepts of continuum damage mechanics.	106
5.3	Drucker-Prager model in the $(p, \tilde{\sigma})$ space.	109
5.4	(a) Simulated stress-strain and damage growth curves using elasto-plastic model with damage for ice under uniaxial compressive loading. (b) stress-plastic displacement curve after failure with the shaded area representing the fracture energy G_f .	115
5.5	Effect of hardening modulus variation on the compressive response of ice.	116
5.6	(a) Uniaxial response of ice in tension (σ_T), compression (σ_C) and pure shear (τ_{12}) and corresponding damage evolution ω_T , ω_C , and ω_T , respectively. (b) Damage evolution as a function of equivalent plastic strain ($\bar{\epsilon}_p$).	117
5.7	Boundary conditions used for (a) uniaxial tension in $\mathbf{e}_3$ direction., (b) uniaxial compression in $\mathbf{e}_3$ direction, and (c) pure shear on plane $\mathbf{e}_1$, $\mathbf{e}_3$. For uniaxial tension and compression, Node A is fixed along $\mathbf{e}_1$ and $\mathbf{e}_2$ directions to prevent rigid body translation while node B is fixed along $\mathbf{e}_1$ direction to prevent rigid body rotation. Similarly for pure shear, node C is fixed along $\mathbf{e}_2$ direction to prevent rigid body translation. Subscript denotes the direction and superscript denotes the positive (+) or negative (-) face of the cube in that direction.	119
5.8	Boundary conditions used for (a) biaxial normal loading in $\sigma_{11} - \sigma_{33}$ plane, and (b) biaxial normal-shear loading in uniaxial compression in $\sigma_{33} - \tau_{13}$ plane. Node A is fixed along $\mathbf{e}_2$ direction for both the loading cases to prevent rigid body translation.	119
6.1	Plots showing convergence of (a) ice volume fraction (v_s), (b) Effective Young's modulus (E_{eff}), and (c) norm of orthotropic stiffness tensor ($\ \mathbf{C}_{FE_{ortho}}\ $), computed on concentric cubic snow volumes of increasing sizes.	123
6.2	Variation of mean E_{eff} versus mean v_s for cubical sub-volumes with edge length (L) of (a) 75 voxels, (b) 100 voxels, and (c) 150 voxels. The error bars represent $\pm$ one standard deviation. The linear scaling relation showing the correlation between relative variability of a microstructural measure, $(v_s)_{CV}$, and effective property, $(E_{eff})_{CV}$, is shown in (d). Depending on the	125

resolution of individual images, the cube edge lengths of 150 voxel, 100 voxel and 75 voxel translated into physical edge lengths of 2.6-4.8 mm, 1.7-3.2 mm and 1.3-2.4 mm respectively and $\delta := L/l_{\text{micro}}$.

- 6.3 Comparison of E_{eff} with previously published results. Dynamic measurements (A and B) and strain-rate and creep tests results (C) are from Shapiro et al. [1997]. μ FE simulations based exponential fit (D) from Kochle and Schneebeli, [2014], Laboratory measurements from Scapozza and Bartelt, [2003], (E), and Sigrist et al. [2006], (F), are also included. Open symbols correspond to simulations over sub-volumes with edge length, $L=150$ voxels, while filled symbols represents results from the full image volume, i.e. $L=300$ voxels. 128
- 6.4 Scatter plot of v_{eff} with density. Open symbols correspond to simulations over sub-volumes with edge length, $L=150$ voxels, while light filled symbols represents results from the full image volume, i.e. $L=300$ voxels. For comparison, μ FE results (dark filled symbols) from Kochle and Schneebeli (2014) and measurements (region D) from Smith (1969) are also included. 129
- 6.5 Box-plot of measured propagation speed c from field PSTs (adopted from Gaume et al., 2015b). Computed crack speeds using E_{eff} values (green circles) and Sigrist [2006] estimates (purple triangles) are superimposed on experimental measurements. 131
- 6.6 Bivariate plots of elongation index (EI) vs. isotropic index (I) for (a) MIL fabric tensors and (b) mechanical elongation (EI_{Mech}) and isotropy (I_{Mech}) indices. 134
- 6.7 Fabric and mechanical anisotropy indices v/s ice volume fraction. 134
- 6.8 Fabric tensor and orthotropic stiffness tensor ($\mathbf{C}_{ijkl}$) representations of snow samples depicted in Figure 1. The top and middle row depicts fabric ellipsoids for SVD and MIL fabric tensors while the bottom row shows the geometrical representations of $\mathbf{C}_{ijkl}$ for PP, RG, FC and DH snow classes. The fabric tensors are shown in the original image coordinate system (X, Y, Z axes) which matches closely with the mechanical main directions obtained via the optimization procedure. 135
- 6.9 Correlation between normalized orthotropic technical constants (E_{Ni} , G_{Nij} , ν_{ij}) and functions of eigenvalues (m_i) corresponding to MIL, SLD and SVD fabric measures. 136
- 6.10 Correlation between μ FE computed and predicted components of (a) compliance, and (b) stiffness tensors using the (i) Zysset-Curnier (ZC) model with SVD, SLD and MIL fabric measures, and (ii) isotropic model. 138

6.11	Histograms of model norm errors for (a) compliance and (b) stiffness approaches. Compared to ISO model, the ZC model produced 24-36% lower relative model norm errors.	139
6.12	Histograms of residuals of stiffness tensor components for ZC model with SVD, SLD and MIL fabric measures and Isotropic model. Residuals are approximately normally distributed. ZC model appears to perform better with lower mean values and standard deviation of the residuals.	140
6.13	Comparison between μ FE computed and predicted engineering constants (E_i , G_{ij} , ν_{ij}) using (i) ZC model with SVD fabric tensor (top row) and, (ii) isotropic model (bottom row).	141
6.14	Stress-strain response of DF (sample G1, density $\sim 194 \text{ kg m}^{-3}$), FC (sample G3, density $\sim 315 \text{ kg m}^{-3}$), RG (sample S5, density $\sim 395 \text{ kg m}^{-3}$), and DH (sample HF7, density $\sim 406 \text{ kg m}^{-3}$) snow under macroscale compression (C) and tension (T) along primary material direction ($\mathbf{e}_1$).	146
6.15	Evolution of macroscale mean damage measures, percentage DV and D_ω as a function of macroscale strain for the snow samples shown in Fig. 6.14.	147
6.16a	Damage distribution in one of the $\mathbf{e}_1\mathbf{e}_3$ plane at frame numbers (strain levels) 15 ($\varepsilon_c = \varepsilon_t = 3.1 \times 10^{-4}$), 20 ($\varepsilon_c = \varepsilon_t = 4.2 \times 10^{-4}$), and 25 ($\varepsilon_c = \varepsilon_t = 5.2 \times 10^{-4}$). Left column shows uniaxial tension (T) and right column represents uniaxial compression (C). Damaged regions are marked with red arrows.	148
6.16b	Damage distribution in one of the $\mathbf{e}_1\mathbf{e}_3$ plane at frame numbers/stress levels 30 ($\varepsilon_c = \varepsilon_t = 6.2 \times 10^{-4}$), 35 ($\varepsilon_c = \varepsilon_t = 7.3 \times 10^{-4}$), and 40 ($\varepsilon_c = \varepsilon_t = 8.3 \times 10^{-4}$). Left column shows uniaxial tension (T) and right column represents uniaxial compression (C). Damaged regions are marked with red arrows.	149
6.16c	Damage distribution in one of the $\mathbf{e}_1\mathbf{e}_3$ plane at frame numbers/stress levels 45 ($\varepsilon_c = \varepsilon_t = 9.4 \times 10^{-4}$), 50 ($\varepsilon_c = \varepsilon_t = 1.0 \times 10^{-3}$), and 55 ($\varepsilon_c = \varepsilon_t = 1.2 \times 10^{-3}$). Left column shows uniaxial tension (T) and right column represents uniaxial compression (C). Damaged regions are marked with red arrows.	150
6.17	Stress-strain response of representative snow samples under macro-level compression and tension along primary material direction.	152
6.18	Pure shear response of a depth hoar sample along principal planes of symmetry.	153
6.19a	Comparison of homogenization based compressive strengths (C_1 , C_2 , C_3) with previously published experimental results under rapid loading from	155

Mellor [1975].

6.19b	Comparison of homogenization based tensile strengths (T_1, T_2, T_3) with previously published experimental results.	156
6.19c	Comparison of homogenization based shear strengths (S_{12}, S_{13}, S_{23}) with previously published experimental results.	156
6.20	Linear regression of (a) ultimate compressive (C_i) and tensile (T_i) strengths against Young's moduli (E_i), and (b) shear strength (S_{ij}) against shear moduli (G_{ij}).	157
6.21	Linear regression of (a) compressive (ϵ_{Ci}) and tensile (ϵ_{Ti}) failure strains against Young's moduli (E_i), and (b) shear failure strains (ϵ_{ij}) against shear moduli (G_{ij}).	158
6.22	Linear regression of (a) compressive (ϵ_{Ci}) and tensile (ϵ_{Ti}) failure strains, and (b) shear failure strains (ϵ_{ij}) against density of snow.	159
6.23	Correlation between normalized uniaxial strengths (C_{Ni}, T_{Ni}, S_{Nij}) and functions of eigenvalues (m_i) corresponding to SVD, SLD and MIL measures.	160
6.24	Biaxial normal simulation results (a-d) for a FC snow sample (KFC2, density 251 kg m^{-3}). Chronological failure points from the 4 simulations are plotted in biaxial $\sigma_{11} - \sigma_{33}$ plane (e). The uniaxial compressive ($\sigma_{11}^-, \sigma_{33}^-$) and tensile ($\sigma_{11}^+, \sigma_{33}^+$) strengths in primary ($\mathbf{e}_1$) and tertiary ($\mathbf{e}_3$) principal material directions are also plotted in (e).	161
6.25	Biaxial normal-shear simulation results KFC2 sample (density 251 kg m^{-3}) in biaxial $\sigma_{11} - \tau_{13}$ stress space. The uniaxial compressive (σ_{11}^-) and tensile (σ_{11}^+) strengths in $\mathbf{e}_1$ direction and pure shear strength and $\mathbf{e}_3$ directions are also plotted in the figure.	162
6.26	Estimation of interaction coefficients (χ_{ij}) from the biaxial normal-normal simulation results and goodness of fit of fabric based orthotropic failure criterion in biaxial normal-shear stress space for (a) faceted (KFC2), (b) depth hoar (HF6), and (c) round grain (S1), snow samples.	166
6.27	Correlation between μFE computed and fabric based orthotropic strength model predicted strength parameters using (a) SVD, (b) SLD, and (c) MIL fabric measures. In contrast to fabric based model, the performance of an isotropic model is shown in (d).	167

LIST OF TABLES

3.1	Description of the snow samples used in this study. PP: precipitation particles, RG: rounded grains, DF: decomposing and fragmented precipitation particles, FC: faceted crystals, DH: depth hoar, v_s : ice volume fraction, ρ_s : snow density, $\delta_M := L/h_{ice}$ and $\delta_M' := L/h_{pore}$, where h_{ice} is the mean ice thickness and h_{pore} is the mean pore thickness.	65
3.2	Normalized eigenvalues (m_1, m_2, m_3) of the SVD, SLD and MIL derived fabric ellipsoids.	67
5.1	Description of the simulated load cases.	118
6.1	Summary of the orthotropic elastic parameters E_i (MPa), G_{ij} (MPa), and ν_{ij} obtained by μ FE analysis. The tabulated values correspond to the maximum image volume available for each sample.	132
6.2	Coefficient of determination r_{adj}^2 and mean model norm error (NE^{model}) computed for compliance ($\mathbf{S}_{ijkl}$) and stiffness ($\mathbf{C}_{ijkl}$) tensor components as well as individual orthotropic engineering constants (E_i, G_{ij} and ν_{ij}). Entries marked 'n.s' indicate non-significant correlation.	137
6.3	Fabric tensor based orthotropic elasticity (ZC) and isotropic elasticity (ISO) model parameters and 95% confidence intervals for (A) compliance and (B) stiffness approaches respectively.	142
6.4	Summary of the uniaxial compressive C_i (kPa), tensile T_i (kPa) and shear G_{ij} (kPa) strengths obtained by μ FE analysis. The tabulated values correspond to the maximum image volume available for each sample.	154
6.5	Model parameters and 95% confidence intervals for fabric tensor based orthotropic failure criterion.	163

LIST OF SYMBOLS

T	Temperature
T_h	Homologous temperature
T_{melt}	Melting point temperature
$TG, \nabla T$	Temperature gradient
PP	Fresh snow crystals
RG	Rounded grains
FC	Faceted crystals
DH	Depth hoar crystals
DF	Decomposing and fragmented precipitation particles
ϵ	Strain tensor
$\dot{\epsilon}$	Strain rate
μCT	X-ray micro-computed tomography
ρ_s	Density of snow
ρ_{ice}	Density of ice
E	Young's modulus
E_{ice}	Young's modulus of ice
μ_{ice}	Poisson's ratio of ice
σ_t	Tensile strength
$\sigma_{\text{ice},t}$	Tensile strength of ice
$\sigma_{\text{ice},c}$	Compressive strength of ice
$\tau^I, \tau^{\text{II}}, \tau^Y$	Shear strength (superscripts corresponds to grouped classes or author)
τ	Shear stress
c	Cohesion
ϕ	Internal friction angle
σ_n	Normal stress
p_c	Confining pressure
MC	Mohr-Coulomb failure criterion
MCC	Mohr-Coulomb-Cap model

DEM	Discrete element model
μFE	Micro-finite element
RVE	Representative Volume Element
MIL	Mean Intercept Length
SLD	Star Length Distribution
SVD	Star Volume Distribution
υ_P	Porosity
υ_s	Ice volume fraction
$\Delta_i(x)$	Local ice thickness at a point x
$P(\Delta_i)$	Ice thickness distribution
$P(\Delta_p)$	Pore thickness distribution
h_{ice}	Mean ice thickness
h_{pore}	Mean pore thickness
ω	Orientation unit vector
$N_I(\omega)$	Number of intercept segments for direction ω
ω_i	Orientation unit vector along i^{th} direction
(x_i, y_i, z_i)	Direction cosines along i^{th} direction
$L_i(\omega)$	Length of i^{th} intercept segment
$\bar{L}(\omega)$	Mean intercept length for direction ω
$\bar{L}(\omega_i)$	Mean intercept length distribution for n directions ω_i
$\mathbf{X}$	Material tensor
$\mathbf{H}$	MIL fabric tensor
$\overline{\upsilon_V^*}$	Star volume component along direction ω
$s(\omega)$	Star length component along direction ω
SLD	Star length distribution fabric tensor
SVD	Star volume distribution fabric tensor
M	Second rank fabric tensor
$m_i: (m_1, m_2, m_3)$	Normalized eigenvalues of fabric tensor
$\mathbf{m}_i$	Eigenvectors of fabric tensor
$\text{tr}(\mathbf{M})$	Trace of fabric tensor

I	Isotropy index
EI	Elongation index
l_i	Length scales
l_{micro}	Microscale
l_{meso}	Mesoscale
l_{RVE}	RVE length scale
l_{RVE, v_s}	RVE size w.r.t. ice volume fraction
l_{macro}	Macroscale
V	Domain of RVE
∂V	Boundary of RVE
δ	Scale decoupling ratio i.e. $\delta := l_{\text{RVE}}/l_{\text{micro}} \gg 1$
L	Edge length of maximum available cubic image volume
d	Edge length of cubic sub-volumes
CV	Coefficient of variation
$(v_s)_{CV}$	CV of ice volume fraction
α_0, β	Constant and exponent of power law function
δ_{v_s}	Dimensionless ratio i.e. number of heterogeneities/grains in RVE
δ_M	Dimensionless ratio $\delta_M := L/h_{\text{ice}}$
δ_M'	Dimensionless ratio $\delta_M' := L/h_{\text{pore}}$
$\mathbf{C}_{ijkl}, \mathbf{C}$	Stiffness tensor
$\mathbf{C}_{ijkl}^{\text{eff}}, \mathbf{C}^{\text{eff}}$	Effective stiffness tensor
$\mathbf{S}_{ijkl}, \mathbf{S}$	Compliance tensor
$\sigma_{ij}, \boldsymbol{\sigma}$	Stress tensor components
$\bar{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}$	Uniform stress tensor averaged over a small material volume
ϵ_{kl}	Strain tensor components at a material point
$\bar{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}$	Uniform strain tensor averaged over a small material volume
$\mathbf{C}_{ijkl}^{\text{app}}$	Apparent stiffness tensor
$\mathbf{C}_{\text{SUBC}}^{\text{app}}$	Apparent stiffness tensor under SUBC
$\mathbf{C}_{\text{KUBC}}^{\text{app}}$	Apparent stiffness tensor under KUBC

$\mathbf{C}_{\text{PBC}}^{\text{app}}$	Apparent stiffness tensor under PBC
KUBC	Kinematic uniform boundary conditions
SUBC	Static uniform boundary conditions
PBC	Periodic boundary conditions
$\mathbf{x}$	a point in macroscale continuum
$\mathbf{y}$	a point in RVE domain V associated with $\mathbf{x}$
$\boldsymbol{\eta}_i$	Virtual displacement field
$\mathbf{t}_i$	Traction vector
∂V_t	Part of boundary ∂V where the external traction vector is prescribed
ξ	Space of virtual displacement
$\mathbf{u}_i$	Displacement fields
$\mathbf{C}^{\text{ice}}$	Stiffness tensor of ice
$\mathbf{E}_{ij}, \mathbf{E}$	Cartesian components of macroscopic strain tensor
$\langle \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{ij} \rangle$	Mean strain tensor over V
Σ_{ij}	Cartesian components of macroscopic stress tensor
$\langle \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{ij} \rangle$	Mean stress tensor over V
$\mathbf{n}$	a vector normal to ∂V
$\mathbf{v}_i$	Fluctuations in displacement field $\mathbf{u}_i$
$\langle \ \rangle$	Volumetric averaging operator
e_{ij}	Unit macroscopic strains
$\mathbf{C}_{\text{FE}_{\text{aniso}}}$	μ FE derived anisotropic stiffness tensor
c_{ij}, δ_{ij}	Components of Stiffness matrix
Obj	Orthotropy objective function
$\mathbf{C}_{\text{FE}_{\text{ortho}}}$	μ FE derived orthotropic stiffness tensor
NE^{ortho}	Relative norm error by forcing orthotropy
E_i, G_{ij} and ν_{ij}	Orthotropic engineering constants
E_{eff}	Effective isotropic Young's modulus
ν_{eff}	Effective isotropic Poisson's ratio
k_{eff}	Effective isotropic Bulk modulus
G_{eff}	Effective isotropic Shear modulus

k_R	Reuss bound on Bulk modulus
k_V	Voigt bound on Bulk modulus
G_R	Reuss bound on Shear modulus
G_V	Voigt bound on Shear modulus
s_{11}	Components of compliance matrix
$\ \quad \ $	Norm operator
ISO	Isotropic elasticity model
$\{E_0, \nu_0, k\}$	ISO model constants in compliance form
$\{\lambda_0, G_0, k\}$	ISO model constants in stiffness form
$\mathbf{I}$	Second rank identity tensor
$\otimes$	Double tensorial product: $\mathbf{K} = \mathbf{A} \otimes \mathbf{B} \Rightarrow K_{ijkl} = A_{ij}B_{kl}$
$\underline{\otimes}$	Double tensorial product: $\mathbf{K} = \mathbf{A} \underline{\otimes} \mathbf{B} \Rightarrow K_{ijkl} = \frac{1}{2}(A_{ik}B_{jl} + A_{il}B_{jk})$
$\mathcal{G}$	Symmetry group for general orthotropy
$\mathcal{M}_1, \mathcal{M}_2, \mathcal{M}_3$	Reflections with respect to orthogonal planes
$\mathbf{M}_1, \mathbf{M}_2, \mathbf{M}_3$	Structural tensors associated with $\mathcal{G}$
$\mathbf{Q}$	Second rank tensor representation of the orthogonal group $\mathcal{G}$
Ψ	Orthotropic free energy potential
ZC	Zysset-Curnier model
$\{E_0, \nu_0, G_0, k, l\}$	ZC model constant in compliance form
$\{\lambda_0, \lambda'_0, G_0, k, l\}$	ZC model constant in stiffness form
r_{adj}^2	Adjusted coefficient of determination
NE^{model}	Model norm error
$f(\boldsymbol{\sigma})$	Scalar function of stress
$\mathbf{F}, \mathbb{F}, F_{ijk}$	Second, fourth and sixth rank strength tensors
σ_{ii}^-, C_i	Uniaxial compressive strengths along the axis $\mathbf{e}_i$
σ_{ii}^+, T_i	Uniaxial tensile strengths along the axis $\mathbf{e}_i$
τ_{ij}, S_{ij}	Pure shear strength in the plane $\mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{e}_j$
χ_{ij}	Normal stress interaction coefficients
$\{\sigma_0^\pm, \tau_0, \chi_0, p, q\}$	Fabric-based orthotropic strength model constants
K_I	Mode-I stress intensity factor

K_{Ic}	Critical mode-I stress intensity factor
ω	Scalar damage variable
S_D	Damaged area
S	Total area
$\bar{\sigma}$	Effective stress
E_0	Elastic modulus in undamaged state
E	Elastic modulus in damaged state
κ_d	Damage driving variable
g_d	Function of κ_d
$f_d(\varepsilon, \kappa_d)$	Damage loading function
$\mathbf{C}_0, \mathbf{C}$	Stiffness tensor in undamaged and damaged state
ε_{eq}	Equivalent strain
$\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_e$	Elastic strain
$\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_p$	Plastic strain
$F(\bar{\sigma}, \sigma_Y)$	Scalar yield function
σ_Y	Yield stress
$\tilde{\sigma}$	Equivalent stress
α_{DP}	Drucker-Prager model parameter
σ_{Y0}	Initial yield stress
H	Hardening modulus
$\bar{\varepsilon}_p$	Equivalent plastic strain
$\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{p}$	Deviatoric and hydrostatic component of effective stress
σ_C, σ_T	Uniaxial strength in compression and tension
$\dot{\lambda}$	Rate of the plastic multiplier
g	Function of effective stress $\bar{\sigma}$
$\mathbf{N}$	Gradient of yield function
$\mathbf{Q}$	Gradient of plastic potential
δ_{ij}	Kronecker delta
$\sigma_{Y0_{max}}$	Maximum yield stress
$\bar{\varepsilon}_{pD}$	Critical equivalent plastic strain

G_f	Fracture energy release rate
L_c	Characteristic length associated with elements
$\bar{\epsilon}_{pf}$	Equivalent plastic strain at failure
$\bar{\delta}_p$	Equivalent plastic displacement beyond yield
$\bar{\delta}_{pf}$	Equivalent plastic displacement at failure
K_{ice}	Fracture toughness of ice
k_p	Constant of exponential damage evolution model
γ	Regression constant of linear scaling relation
$RVE_{elastic}$	RVE for elastic properties
$RVE_{structure}$	RVE w.r.t. microstructure parameter
c	Propagation speed of transverse collapse
H	Slab thickness
ν	Slab Poisson's ratio
Δy	Total vertical collapse of weak layer
ρ	Mean slab density
g	Acceleration due to gravity
PST	Propagation saw test
E_{N1}, E_{N2}, E_{N3}	Normalized Young's moduli
$G_{N12}, G_{N13}, G_{N23}$	Normalized shear moduli
I_{Mech}	Mechanical isotropy
EI_{Mech}	Mechanical elongation
DV	Percentage damaged volume
D_ω	Mean damage of RVE
N_e	Number of elements in the μ FE model
C_{N1}, C_{N2}, C_{N3}	Normalized compressive strengths
T_{N1}, T_{N2}, T_{N3}	Normalized tensile strengths
$S_{N12}, S_{N13}, S_{N23}$	Normalized shear strengths