

MECHANISTIC INSIGHTS INTO THE EFFECT OF TREHALOSE ON STABILIZATION AND AGGREGATION OF PROTEINS

NIDHI KATYAL



**DEPARTMENT OF CHEMISTRY
INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY DELHI
OCTOBER 2018**

© Indian Institute of Technology Delhi (IITD), New Delhi, 2018

MECHANISTIC INSIGHTS INTO THE EFFECT OF TREHALOSE ON STABILIZATION AND AGGREGATION OF PROTEINS

by

NIDHI KATYAL

Department of Chemistry

Submitted

in fulfilment of the requirements of the degree of Doctor of philosophy

to the



INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY DELHI

OCTOBER 2018

To My Parents

CERTIFICATE

This is to certify that the thesis entitled “**Mechanistic insights into the effect of trehalose on stabilization and aggregation of proteins**”, being submitted by Ms. **Nidhi Katyal** to the Indian Institute of Technology Delhi for the award of the degree of **Doctor of Philosophy** in Chemistry is a record of bonafide research work carried out by her. Ms. Nidhi Katyal has worked under my guidance and supervision and has fulfilled the requirements for the submission of her thesis, which to my knowledge has reached the requisite standard.

The results contained in this dissertation have not been submitted in part or full to any other University or Institute for the award of any degree or diploma.

(Dr. Shashank Deep)

Professor,

Department of Chemistry

Indian Institute of Technology Delhi

New Delhi-110016

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First and foremost, I owe my deepest gratitude to my supervisor **Dr. Shashank Deep** for inculcating in me the ability to independently and logically pursue my goals. He was always there to motivate and provide me appropriate direction whenever needed. It is his continuous optimism concerning this work, enthusiasm, encouragement, and support which led to successful completion of this study. Most importantly, his patience during the entire tenure and his immense faith in my abilities have tremendously boosted up my confidence which couldn't have been possible without his mentorship.

I would like to express my humble thanks to all the past and present heads of the department, DRC chairperson and all the faculty and staff members and entire IIT-Delhi for providing the necessary infrastructure and facilities to proceed smoothly towards my aim. It is an honor for me to acknowledge my 'Scientific Research Committee' members Dr. Bishwajit Kundu (external expert, KSBS, IIT Delhi), Dr. Pramit Choudhury and Dr. Siddharth Pandey for their constructive suggestions and guidance at every step. Their criticism and appreciation both have contributed remarkably in this journey. I thank UGC for providing me the financial assistance during the course of my research.

I take this opportunity to express my deep sense of respect to all the teachers who have taught me so far with the special mentioning of those at St. Stephens College for building the solid basic foundation and imbibing in me the interest in chemistry.

I would also like to acknowledge HPC, IIT-Delhi, Prof. B. Jayaram and Shashank Shekhar for providing me the computational resources. Special thanks to Dr. Manish Agarwal for technical support, valuable discussions, and suggestions in my research work. He is the most helpful person I have ever met. I must thank the Gromacs User Group and Delphi group for immense assistance in sorting out problems in running Gromacs and Delphi; Dr. Gaurav

Goel and Dr. Hemant Kashyap for being profoundly supportive. I wish to extend my thanks to Richa, Hari, Mayank and Utkarsh for providing me the platform to run my experiments during my synopsis time when my allotted quota got ceased.

I am grateful to all my colleagues and lab mates: Unnati ma'am, Komal ma'am, Ashhar sir, Amrita ma'am, Vinay, Preeti, Shivnetra, Priya, Nitika, Sonam, Meena ma'am and Fatima ma'am, and all MSc students for their enormous cooperation during different crests and trough of PhD. Special thanks to Shahid Nayeem sir for helping me in initiating my project; Vikrant, Raktim, and Aayushi for their assistance in experimental work. I am very thankful to Shilpa for being highly supportive during my thesis writing.

I am extremely indebted to Nidhi Kaur Bhatia for her relentless affection and care during my entire tenure of PhD. From the smallest of happiness to the largest of sorrowness, I would bank on her for every kind of emotional support. Her magical spark, wisdom, and advice are truly commendable. My PhD journey couldn't have been better had she not been there. She is the closest of my friends with whom I have produced countless memorable moments. Equally acknowledgeable are the indelible fun-filled time spent with Pardeep sir. I would like to thank him for giving me a flavor of different amazing aspects of life, bringing out my hidden characteristics and evolving me as an individual.

I am thankful to all my wonderful friends Aditi, Ritika, Mohini, Garima, Swati, Asmita, Sakshi, Sushma, Mona, Pancham, Madhulika, Samridhi, Lisa, Pooja, Vijeta, Jasdeep, Vipul, Pankaj, and Ambika for the lovely time we shared together. Heartfelt thanks to Poonam, Rashmi, Vaishali, Namrata and Savitri for providing me the homely environment in the hostel, Deekshita for lively talks and giving me the comfort to share the thoughts within me. I owe my deep sense of gratitude to my close friends Bhawna and Richa who have been a great moral support during my mood swings and without whom my PhD journey would have been

incomplete. I would like to extend my thanks to Hari, Vijay, and Rajesh for lightening up the moments which is absolutely essential to drive the research forward and Utkarsh for always being there to offer me the help of every kind.

Specifically, I would like to express my sincere thanks to the core of my heart to all my family members for bestowing on me their unconditional love, constant encouragement and perpetual support of all kind. My mom and dad for being extremely patient and for always there behind me with their prayers, blessings, and assistance. My brother Vikas for being unequivocally benevolent- ever ready to render help, my sister-in-law Sakshi after whose entrance happiness has started to knock our doors and lastly my twin sister Neha for being “the” most important part of my life since my first breath. I fall short of words to describe the bond and connection I have with her. She’s always there for me in every component of my life’s journey. I believe in “her” wisdom more than anybody else. Her tremendous moral support ensured the success of this work.

Lastly and most importantly, I wholeheartedly would like to thank God for showering His magical blessings, good health, peace and wellbeing that were all necessary to complete this work.

(Nidhi Katyal)

ABSTRACT

Amyloid fibrils are aberrantly associated with a plethora of pathological neurodegenerative diseases. This fibrillation process is believed to be initiated by partial misfolding of proteins and proceeds via oligomer formation. Thus, understanding the mechanism by which any known inhibitor stabilizes the native state of the protein and/or impedes the assembly process is imperative for the design of new therapeutic drugs. Amidst various additives, trehalose has emerged as a promising cosolvent with GRAS status and wide-ranging applications in preventing unfolding, stress intolerance etc. However, its mechanism of action still awaits an adequate description. Various theories proposed in literature have elements of contrasting features. Additionally, the literature is also deficit in the database that sheds light on its efficacy in impeding aggregation pathway and onto intrinsically disordered proteins. Thus, in this thesis entitled ‘**Mechanistic insights into the effect of trehalose on stabilization and aggregation of protein**’, we have delved into each of these aspects at a reasonable atomic level using molecular dynamics simulations. Experimental validation is also done in cases where experimental data were not available.

The thesis is composed of seven chapters. **Chapter 1** (Introduction) provides an overview of protein aggregation, its repercussions, strategies to curb the process with a detailed review of osmolytes and special emphasis on “Trehalose” and the apparent contradiction in the literature about its mechanism of action. The chapter finally discusses the origin of the problem in the context of this thesis and the outline of the present research problem. **Chapter 2** (Materials and Methodologies) describes the theory of molecular dynamics simulations, the details of procurement of chemicals, and methodologies used. **Chapter 3** (Scrutinizing trehalose’s interaction with peptides of different nature) is aimed at discerning the plausible interaction motifs of trehalose and comparing its induced perturbation on

structural and dynamical properties of different solution species. The dissection of the interaction energy profiles of acidic, basic, neutral, hydrophilic and hydrophobic peptides with trehalose suggests a stronger preference of trehalose to interact with acidic amino acids.

Chapter 4 (Revisiting the conundrum of trehalose stabilization) focuses on the controversial dichotomy between direct and indirect interactions of trehalose with protein, and their relevance in driving the folding-unfolding equilibria. We propose that direct interactions mainly rule the mechanistic action of trehalose thereby providing relative stabilization to native state of protein rather than relative destabilization of the denatured state. **Chapter 5** (Inhibition of GNNQQNY prion peptide aggregation by trehalose: a mechanistic view) delves into the way trehalose inhibits the oligomerization phenomenon of “GNNQQNY” peptide fragment from the yeast prion protein SUP35. It interacts with the hydrophilic amino acids, delays the encounter of different peptides, and inhibits the interchain β -sheet formation. The expelled water molecules during the process have larger translational movement, suggesting entropy factor to play a role in the presence of trehalose. **Chapter 6** (Introspecting trehalose’s intervention into the aggregation pathway of α -synuclein) provides insights into the way trehalose affects the fibrillation process of intrinsically disordered protein “ α -synuclein”, which is associated with the etiology of Parkinson’s disease. It expedites onset of aggregation by stabilizing the aggregation-prone “extended” conformer of α -synuclein yet the total fibrils formed are still promisingly less since it accelerates the competing pathway toward the formation of amorphous aggregates. **Chapter 7** (Summary and Future Perspectives) presents the highlights of the study. In a nutshell, our findings have been instrumental in understanding the mechanistic role of trehalose at different stages of protein aggregation. The information might also be helpful in designing new therapeutics and to *a priori* predict trehalose’s action on different proteins.

सार

एमिलॉयड फाइब्रिल, पैथोलॉजिकल न्यूरोडेजेनरेटिव बीमारियों के साथ काफी हद तक जुड़े हुए हैं। माना जाता है कि यह फाइब्रिलेशन प्रक्रिया प्रोटीन के आंशिक मिस्फोल्डिंग द्वारा शुरू की जाती है और ओलिगोमर गठन के माध्यम से आगे बढ़ती हैं। इस प्रकार, तंत्र को समझना जिसके द्वारा कोई भी ज्ञात अवरोधक किस प्रकार प्रोटीन की मूल स्थिति को स्थिर करता है और/या असंबली प्रक्रिया में बाधा डालता है, नई चिकित्सीय दवाओं के डिजाइन के लिए अनिवार्य है। विभिन्न ऐडिटिव के बीच, ट्रेहलोस GRAS स्थिति के साथ एक आशाजनक कोसोल्वेंट के रूप में उभरा है और इसके व्यापक अनुप्रयोग हैं जैसे प्रोटीन को खुलने न देना, तनाव असहिष्णुता को रोकना आदि। हालांकि, कार्वाई की इसकी व्यवस्था अभी भी एक पर्याप्त विवरण का इंतजार कर रहा है। साहित्य में प्रस्तावित विभिन्न सिद्धांतों में विरोधाभासी विशेषताओं के तत्व हैं। इसके अतिरिक्त, साहित्य डेटाबेस में भी घाटा है जो एकत्रीकरण पथ को रोकने और आंतरिक रूप से विकृत प्रोटीन पर अपनी प्रभावकारिता पर प्रकाश डालता है। इस प्रकार, इस सिद्धांत में 'प्रोटीन के स्थिरीकरण और एकत्रीकरण पर ट्रेहलोस के प्रभाव में मैकेनिकल अंतर्दृष्टि' नामक थीसिस, हमने आणविक गतिशीलता सिमुलेशन का उपयोग करके उचित परमाणु स्तर पर इन पहलुओं में से प्रत्येक को हल किया है। प्रायोगिक सत्यापन भी उन मामलों में किया गया है जहां प्रयोगात्मक डेटा उपलब्ध नहीं था।

थीसिस सात अध्यायों से बना है। अध्याय 1 (परिचय) प्रोटीन एकत्रीकरण, इसके प्रतिक्रियाओं, ओस्मोलाइट्स की विस्तृत समीक्षा और "ट्रेहलोस" पर विशेष जोर और कार्वाई के तंत्र के बारे में साहित्य में स्पष्ट विरोधाभास के साथ प्रक्रिया को रोकने के लिए रणनीतियों का एक सिंहावलोकन प्रदान करता है। अध्याय अंततः इस थीसिस के संदर्भ में समस्या की उत्पत्ति और वर्तमान शोध समस्या की रूपरेखा पर चर्चा करता है। अध्याय 2 (सामग्री और पद्धतियाँ) आण्विक गतिशीलता सिमुलेशन, रसायनों की खरीद के विवरण, और उपयोग की जाने वाली पद्धतियों के सिद्धांत का वर्णन करती हैं। अध्याय 3 (विभिन्न प्रकृति के पेप्टाइड्स के साथ ट्रेहलोस की बातचीत को जांचना) का उद्देश्य ट्रेहलोस के व्यावहारिक परस्पर क्रिया की प्रकृति को समझना और मिश्रण के विभिन्न

प्रजातियों के संरचनात्मक और गतिशील गुणों पर इसके प्रभाव की तुलना करना है। ट्रेहलोस के साथ अम्लीय, मूल, तटस्थ, हाइड्रोफिलिक और हाइड्रोफोबिक पेप्टाइड्स की इंटरैक्शन ऊर्जा प्रोफाइल का विच्छेदन अम्लीय एमिनो एसिड के साथ बातचीत करने के लिए ट्रेहलोस की एक मजबूत वरीयता का सुझाव देता है। अध्याय 4 (ट्रेहलोस स्थिरीकरण के पहली की समीक्षा) प्रोटीन के साथ ट्रेहलोस के प्रत्यक्ष और अप्रत्यक्ष बातचीत के बीच विवादास्पद विरोधाभास पर केंद्रित है, और फोल्डिंग-अनफोल्डिंग एक्विलिब्रियम ड्राइविंग में उनकी प्रासंगिकता। हम प्रस्ताव देते हैं कि प्रत्यक्ष अंतःक्रिया मुख्य रूप से ट्रेहलोस की यांत्रिक क्रिया पर शासन करती है जिससे डेनिचरड राज्य के सापेक्ष अस्थिरता के बजाय प्रोटीन की मूल स्थिति को सापेक्ष स्थिरीकरण प्रदान किया जाता है। अध्याय 5 (ट्रेहलोस द्वारा GNNQQNY प्रायन पेप्टाइड एकत्रीकरण का अवरोध: एक यांत्रिक दृश्य) ट्रेहलोस खमीर प्रिये प्रोटीन SUP35 से "GNNQQNY" पेप्टाइड खंड की ओलिगोमेराइजेशन घटना को रोकता है। यह हाइड्रोफिलिक एमिनो एसिड के साथ बातचीत करता है, विभिन्न पेप्टाइड्स के मुठभेड़ में देरी करता है, और इंटरचैन β -sheet गठन को रोकता है। प्रक्रिया के दौरान निष्कासित पानी के अणुओं में बड़े अनुवादक आंदोलन होते हैं, जो ट्रेहलोस की उपस्थिति में भूमिका निभाने के लिए एन्ट्रॉपी कारक का सुझाव देते हैं। अध्याय 6 (α -synuclein के एकत्रीकरण मार्ग में ट्रेहलोस के हस्तक्षेप को आत्मनिर्भर करने) अंतर्दृष्टि प्रदान करता है जिस तरह से ट्रेहलोस आंतरिक रूप से विकृत प्रोटीन " α -synuclein" की फाइब्रिलेशन प्रक्रिया को प्रभावित करता है, जो पार्किंसंस रोग की ईटियोलॉजी से जुड़ा हुआ है। यह α -synuclein के एकत्रीकरण-प्रवण "विस्तारित" अनुरूपता को स्थिर करके एकत्रीकरण की शुरुआत को तेज करता है, फिर भी गठित कुल फाइब्रिल अभी भी कम से कम कम हैं क्योंकि यह असंगत समेकन के गठन की दिशा में प्रतिस्पर्धी मार्ग को गति प्रदान करता है। अध्याय 7 (सारांश और भविष्य के दृष्टिकोण) अध्ययन की मुख्य विशेषताएं प्रस्तुत करते हैं। संक्षेप में, हमारे निष्कर्ष प्रोटीन एकत्रीकरण के विभिन्न चरणों में ट्रेहलोस की यांत्रिक भूमिका को समझने में महत्वपूर्ण भूमिका निभा चुके हैं। जानकारी नए चिकित्सकीय डिजाइन करने और पूर्व प्रोटीन पर ट्रेहलोस की कार्रवाई की भविष्यवाणी करने में भी मददगार हो सकती है।

CONTENTS

	Page Number
CERTIFICATE	I
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.....	III
ABSTRACT.....	VII
CONTENTS.....	IX
LIST OF FIGURES.....	XVII
LIST OF TABLES.....	XXVII
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS.....	XXIX

Chapter 1: Introduction	1
1.1 Protein folding and energy landscape	1
1.2 Protein folding versus aggregation	3
1.3 Cellular Protein quality control.....	4
1.4 Types of Protein Aggregation.....	5
1.5 Pathological implications/darker side of protein aggregation.....	7
1.6 Positive side of protein aggregation.....	8
1.7 Aggregation is a generic tendency of polypeptide chain	9
1.8 Mechanism of protein aggregation	9
1.9 Factors affecting protein aggregation	13
1.10 Strategies to inhibit protein aggregation	16
1.11 Computational means to study the effect of additives on protein aggregation	17
1.12 Osmolytes	23
1.13 Classification of osmolytes	24
1.14 Mode of action of osmolytes: Widely held view	25

1.15	Conundrum in osmolyte's mechanism of stabilization	28
1.16	Effect of osmolytes on protein aggregation	28
1.17	Trehalose: a molecule of special interest	35
1.18	Origin of the problem in the context of this thesis.....	39
1.19	Outline of the current research problem.....	41
1.20	References.....	45
Chapter 2: Materials and Methodologies		55
2.1	Introduction.....	55
2.2	Molecular Dynamics simulations.....	55
2.2.1	Classical Mechanics: Newton's Equation of Motion.....	56
2.2.2	Constraints	57
2.2.3	Initial parameters.....	59
2.2.4	Force fields.....	60
2.2.5	Periodic boundary conditions.....	63
2.2.6	Neighbor searching	63
2.2.7	Particle Mesh Ewald	64
2.2.8	Molecular dynamics at constant temperature and pressure.....	65
2.2.9	Water models	67
2.2.10	Analyses.....	68
2.2.10.1	Root mean square deviation (RMSD)	68
2.2.10.2	Radius of gyration (R_g)	68
2.2.10.3	Root mean square fluctuations (RMSF).....	69
2.2.10.4	Dictionary of secondary structure of proteins (DSSP).....	69
2.2.10.5	Principal Component Analysis.....	69
2.2.10.6	Nematic and polar order parameter.....	71
2.2.10.7	Tetrahedral order parameter	71
2.2.10.8	Preferential interaction coefficient	72

2.2.10.9	Diffusion coefficient	72
2.2.10.10	Radial distribution function	74
2.3	Experimental Work	74
2.3.1	Chemicals	74
2.3.2	Expression and purification of α -synuclein	75
2.3.3	Techniques Used	75
2.3.3.1	Sodium Dodecyl Sulphate Polyacrylamide Gel Electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) ...	75
2.3.3.2	Ultraviolet-visible (UV-Vis) spectroscopy	76
2.3.3.3	Fluorescence spectroscopy	77
2.3.3.4	Dynamic Light Scattering (DLS)	79
2.3.3.5	Circular Dichroism (CD) spectroscopy	79
2.3.3.6	Transmission electron microscopy (TEM)	80
2.3.3.7	Isothermal titration calorimetry (ITC)	80
2.4	References	81
Chapter 3: Scrutinizing trehalose's interaction with peptides of different nature		85
3.1	Introduction	85
3.2	Materials and Methods	87
3.2.1	Simulation Details	87
3.2.2	Analyses	91
3.2.2.1	Root mean square deviation (RMSD), Radius of gyration (R_g), Root mean square fluctuations (RMSF)	91
3.2.2.2	Solvent accessible surface area (SASA)	91
3.2.2.3	Intra-peptide contacts	91
3.2.2.4	Trehalose clustering	91
3.2.2.5	Preferential interaction coefficient	91
3.2.2.6	Energy	92
3.2.2.7	Hydrogen bonds	92

3.2.2.8	Radial distribution function	92
3.2.2.9	Tetrahedral order parameter.....	92
3.2.2.10	Diffusion coefficient	93
3.2.2.11	Electrostatic contribution to binding energy using Delphi.....	93
3.3	Results and Discussion	93
3.3.1	Effect of trehalose on conformational properties of peptides of different nature	93
3.3.2	Clustering of trehalose	95
3.3.3	Distribution of trehalose around peptide.....	97
3.3.4	Direct interaction of trehalose with peptides of different nature	98
3.3.5	Indirect interaction of trehalose by modulating the properties of nearby water molecules	103
3.3.6	Probable repercussions of our observations on protein stabilization/aggregation	108
3.4	Conclusions.....	115
3.5	References.....	116
Chapter 4: Revisiting the conundrum of trehalose stabilization		121
4.1	Introduction.....	121
4.2	Materials and methods	123
4.2.1	Simulation details.....	123
4.2.2	Construction of property space	125
4.2.3	Principal component analysis.....	126
4.2.4	Local distribution of water molecules.....	126
4.2.5	Tetrahedral order parameter.....	127
4.2.6	Diffusion coefficient	127
4.2.7	Interaction energy	128
4.2.8	Electrostatic interaction energy using Delphi	128
4.3	Results and discussion	129

4.3.1	Effect of trehalose on two dimensional probability distribution curves at different temperature.....	129
4.3.2	Effect of trehalose on the free energy landscape constructed by the property space approach	131
4.3.3	Principal component analysis.....	132
4.3.4	Effect of concentration.....	133
4.3.5	Effect of trehalose on protein dynamics.....	134
4.3.6	Clustering of trehalose	136
4.3.7	Interaction of trehalose with protein in the native state	138
4.3.8	Interaction of trehalose with protein in the denatured state	139
4.3.9	Exploration of nature of binding, interaction sites and binding energy	140
4.3.10	Monitoring structural and dynamical characteristics of water and trehalose	144
4.3.11	Plausible mechanism of trehalose stabilization.....	151
4.4	Conclusions.....	153
4.5	References.....	155

Chapter 5: Inhibition of GNNQQNY prion peptide aggregation by trehalose: a mechanistic

view 159

5.1	Introduction.....	159
5.2	Materials and methods	162
5.2.1	Analyses.....	162
5.2.1.1	Construction of a transition matrix	163
5.2.1.2	Principal component analysis.....	164
5.2.1.3	Nematic and polar order parameter.....	164
5.2.1.4	Geometric clustering	165
5.2.1.5	Other properties.....	166
5.3	Results and discussion	166
5.3.1	Effect of trehalose on aggregate size distribution	166

5.3.2	Effect of trehalose on the aggregation profile of the largest size cluster	168
5.3.3	Cause of existence of lags	170
5.3.4	Principal component analysis.....	171
5.3.5	Projecting configurations onto collective coordinates	176
5.3.6	Effect of trehalose on the secondary structural content accompanying aggregation ..	178
5.3.7	Structural intermediates during aggregation	180
5.3.8	Mechanism of growth of peptides in the presence of trehalose	185
5.3.9	Distribution of trehalose around the peptide	189
5.3.10	Interactions governing the aggregation in the presence of trehalose	192
5.3.11	Role of water in mediating the self-assembly of peptides in the absence and presence of trehalose..	195
5.3.12	Plausible mechanism of inhibition of aggregation by trehalose	207
5.4	Conclusions.....	208
5.5	References.....	211

Chapter 6: Introspecting trehalose's intervention into the aggregation pathway of α -synuclein **217**

6.1	Introduction.....	217
6.2	Material and methods.....	219
6.2.1	Computational Details.....	219
6.2.1.1	Analyses	221
6.2.2	Experimental Details.....	223
6.2.2.1	Materials.....	223
6.2.2.2	Expression and Purification of α -Synuclein.....	223
6.2.2.3	UV–Visible Spectroscopy.....	223
6.2.2.4	Preparation of Samples for Aggregation Studies	223
6.2.2.5	Fluorescence Assays	224
6.2.2.6	Circular Dichroism.....	224

6.2.2.7	Dynamic Light Scattering	225
6.2.2.8	Transmission Electron Microscopy.....	225
6.2.2.9	Isothermal Titration Calorimetry	225
6.3	Results and discussion	226
6.3.1	Effect of Trehalose on the Aggregation Kinetic Profile of α -Synuclein via Experimental Tools.....	226
6.3.2	Effect of Trehalose on Monomeric Form of α -Synuclein.....	227
6.3.3	Effect of Trehalose on the Early Oligomeric State	237
6.3.4	Effect of Trehalose on Preformed Early Oligomers	241
6.3.5	Effect of Trehalose on the Fibrillar State of α -Synuclein	242
6.3.6	Effect of Trehalose on Off-Pathway Nonfibrillar Aggregates	243
6.3.7	Effect of Trehalose on the Structural and Dynamical Properties of Water Molecules.....	245
6.3.8	Plausible mechanism of aggregation in the presence of trehalose	255
6.4	Conclusions.....	259
6.5	References.....	261
Chapter 7: Summary and Future perspectives		267
CURRICULUM VITAE		

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure Number	Figure Caption	Page Number
1.1	Energy landscape of protein folding and misfolding. Adapted from reference 22	2
1.2	Pathway by which a polypeptide chain folds into native form or form aggregates. Adapted from reference 27. k_1 is the rate constant of the first step and k_2 that of the second step. If $k_1 \gg k_2$, aggregates are formed	4
1.3	Protein quality control systems in the cell. Adapted from reference 32	5
1.4	Schematic representation of different models of amyloid fibrils. Figure adapted from reference 42	6
1.5	Schematic representation of different models of protein aggregation. (1) Reversible self-association of monomeric proteins, (2) Aggregation of conformationally altered monomeric proteins, (3) Nucleated polymerization model, (4) Nucleated conformational conversion model, and (5) Downhill model	12
1.6	Approximate free energy diagram for different models of protein aggregation: (a) Nucleated polymerization, (b) Nucleated conformational conversion and (c) Downhill polymerization. Figure adapted from reference 97	12
1.7	Generalized pathway by which a protein can fibrillate	16
1.8	Schematic representation of different models of trehalose's stabilization proposed in the literature	39
2.1	Flowchart depicting the steps involved in molecular dynamics simulations	56
2.2	Depiction of potential energy terms of classical force field.	62
2.3	Representation of periodic boundary conditions. Adapted from reference 47	63
2.4	Potential cutoff range is shown by a solid inner circle. Dotted outer circle denotes the list range.	64
2.5	(a) SDS-PAGE gel lane with (a) as protein marker lane and (b) as purified α -synuclein; (b) UV absorption spectrum of α -synuclein; (c) Tyrosine fluorescence emission spectrum of α -synuclein; (d) Far-UV CD spectrum of α -synuclein	76
2.6	Structures of (a) ANS, (b) ThT dye	78
3.1	Average value of (a) RMSD w.r.t backbone (b) Rg (c) SASA and (d) Intra protein contacts, during last 50 ns for different systems in the presence of different concentrations of trehalose at 300 K	94
3.2	Root mean square fluctuations of C α atoms of each residue averaged over last 50 ns for different systems: (a) Basic, (b) Acidic, (c) Hydrophilic, and (d) Hydrophobic-9 in the absence and presence of 0.1 M trehalose at 300 K	95

3.3	Percentage of molecules in the most populated cluster of trehalose averaged over last 50 ns, (a) formed in the presence of different concentrations of trehalose at 300 K and 400K for different systems. The inset represents time variation of a number of trehalose molecules in the most populated cluster for basic peptide system. (b) formed in the presence of 0.1 M trehalose at 300 K for different systems	96
3.4	Plot of g_{now} versus distance from the acidic peptide EEGYQD at various concentrations of trehalose, averaged over last 50 ns. Data for the other peptides were plotted at 0.3 nm and is depicted in Figure 3.5	97
3.5	Bar graph representing g_{now} value at 0.3 nm from the peptide showing effect of: (a) concentration of trehalose and temperature, for the acidic peptide. Similar effect was observed for other peptides (data not shown), (b) nature of peptide at 0.1 M trehalose concentration, (c) effect of mutating acidic residue in basic peptide at 0.1 M trehalose concentration, (d) effect of charge in acidic and basic peptides at 0.1 M trehalose concentration.	98
3.6	Coulombic and Lennard Jones interaction energy of peptide's mainchain and sidechain atoms with trehalose at (a) different concentrations of trehalose for acidic peptide system. Similar trend in data was observed for other peptides as well (data not shown), (b) different temperatures for acidic peptide system, (c) 0.1 M trehalose concentration for different peptide systems showing the effect of nature of peptide, (d) 0.1 M trehalose concentration for different peptide systems showing the effect of charge on peptide	100
3.7	Average (averaged over six pdbs from last 100 ns) electrostatic contribution of each residue to the binding energy calculated for complex of trehalose with different peptide systems: (a) acidic, (b) basic, and (c) hydrophobic-6 peptide systems, in the presence of 0.3 M trehalose. Left panel depicts the changes in the corresponding binding energy values in the presence of 0.1 M trehalose (d) on mutating residue "E" of basic peptide with "K" and "A", (e) different variants of acidic peptide system, and (f) different variants of basic peptide system showing the effect of charge of different residues	101
3.8	Number of hydrogen bonds formed by trehalose with each residue of (a) basic, (b) acidic, (c) hydrophobic-6 peptide system at different concentrations of trehalose, and (d) different variants of basic peptide system at 0.1 M trehalose concentration	102
3.9	Radial distribution function of (a) hydroxylic oxygens, acetalic oxygens and glycosidic oxygens of trehalose with oxygens of water at 0.05 M trehalose concentration, (b) hydroxylic oxygens of trehalose with oxygens of water at different trehalose concentrations, for acidic peptide system	106
3.10	Tetrahedral order parameter computed in acidic and hydrophobic-6 peptide system at 0 M and 0.3 M trehalose concentration, for water molecules (a) in the entire box, (b) in the hydration layer around 5 Å from the peptide(s)	107
3.11	Mean square displacement of hydration layer water molecules in the absence and presence of 0.3 M trehalose in acidic and hydrophobic-6 peptide system	108
3.12	Plot of g_{NOW} versus distance from hydrophilic GNNQQNY and hydrophobic GVATVA peptides in the presence of 1:10 peptide: trehalose molar ratio	110

3.13	Coulombic and Lennard-Jones Interaction energy of trehalose with backbone and sidechains of hydrophilic GNNQQNY (left panel) and hydrophobic GVATVA peptides in the presence of 1:10 peptide: trehalose molar ratio	111
3.14	Variation of interchain contacts with time in the presence of different peptide: trehalose molar ratio for (a) hydrophilic GNNQQNY, and (b) hydrophobic GVATVA peptides; Time variation of percentage of peptides aggregating versus those forming β -sheet in the presence of 1:10 peptide: trehalose molar ratio for (c) hydrophilic GNNQQNY, and (d) hydrophobic GVATVA peptides	112
3.15	(a) Plot of increase in transition temperature on the addition of different concentrations of trehalose for Ribonuclease A (RNase), α -chymotrypsinogen (CTgen) and lysozyme protein at pH 2.5, data was taken from reference 45. Slopes are 9.9, 6.1 and 8.2 respectively. (b) The fraction of exposed acidic residues w.r.t total acidic residues, for RNase A, α -chymotrypsinogen (CTgen) and lysozyme protein. Pdb used for calculations: 1fs3 for RNase, 2cga for CTgen and 193l for lysozyme	114
3.16	pH dependence of net charge on α -LA (blue) and lysozyme (red). Figure adapted from ref 85	114
4.1	Contour plots of the two-dimensional probability distributions as a function of the number of native contacts and RMSD of C-alpha atoms of lysozyme at (a) 300 K (b) 350 K (c) 400 K in the absence (left panel) and presence (right panel) of 0.5 M trehalose.	130
4.2	(a) Histogram of the mean distance to the native cluster from samples of folded and thermally unfolded simulation data constructed using three sets of temperature (300 K, 350 K, 400 K) for systems in the absence of trehalose (black) and in the presence of 0.5 M trehalose (red), (b) Approximate 1D free energy reaction coordinate calculated by taking the negative natural log (ln) of the count at each mean distance to the reference bin.	132
4.3	Bar graph representing the loadings of physical parameters for the first two principal components in the absence and presence of 0.5 M trehalose (tre) at 350 K. Properties are (1) RMSD (2) protein-protein hydrogen bonds (3) side chain-side chain contacts (4) SASA (5) native contacts (6) Rg (7) α -helical content (8) β -sheet content.	133
4.4	Fraction of native contacts retained during the course of simulation in the presence of different concentrations of trehalose at 300 K	134
4.5	(a) MSD of hydrogen atoms of lysozyme as a function of temperature, (b) Mean square displacement of lysozyme hydrogen atoms at 300 K and 380 K in absence and presence of 0.5 M trehalose	135
4.6	Root mean square fluctuations of C-alpha atoms of each residue averaged over last 10 ns in the absence (black) and presence of different concentrations of trehalose at 300 K	135
4.7	Time variation of number of clusters of trehalose formed at different concentrations at 300 K. Inset shows the bar graph representing the fraction of trehalose molecules in the most populated cluster averaged over last 10 ns	136
4.8	Snapshots of a system containing protein in 0.3 M trehalose solution at (a) 1 ns (b) 50 ns at 300 K. Protein is shown in secondary structure representation and trehalose molecules are depicted in line representation	137

4.9	Trehalose protein percolating cluster that transcends the borders of periodic simulation cell at 0.5 M trehalose concentration. Trehalose molecules are shown in lines representation and protein molecules in secondary structure representation	138
4.10	Plot of g_{NOW} versus distance from the protein at (a) 300 K in the presence of different concentrations of trehalose, (b) different temperatures in the presence of 0.5 M trehalose	139
4.11	Plot of g_{NOW} versus distance for native and four different conformations of the denatured state (den1, den2, den3, den4) of protein at 300 K in the presence of 0.5 M trehalose. Denatured states den1 to den4 are defined in Materials and methods section	140
4.12	Plot of g_{NOW} versus distance for the native and denatured state (den) of protein at 300K in the presence of (a) 0.05 M (b) 0.1 M trehalose	140
4.13	Bar graph representing Coulombic and Lennard-Jones interaction energy between protein and trehalose at different concentrations of trehalose	141
4.14	Hydrogen bond existence map between 0.05 M trehalose and (a) the native state of lysozyme (b) the unfolded state of lysozyme. Only those residues of the protein that are involved in the interaction are shown.	142
4.15	Average (averaged over five pdbs) electrostatic contribution of each residue to the binding energy calculated for complex of trehalose with native and denatured states (den) of lysozyme at 300 K in the presence of 0.05 M trehalose	142
4.16	Orientational order parameter (q_{tet}) of water as a function of (a) concentration of the native lysozyme system, (b) concentration of the unfolded lysozyme system, (c) temperature, (d) concentration of the native lysozyme system in the hydration layer	145
4.17	Radial distribution function of (upper panel) hydroxylic oxygen ($O_{2\text{H}1}$), (middle panel) acetalic ring oxygen ($O_{\text{R}1}$) and (lower panel) glycosidic oxygen (O_{T}) atoms of trehalose and oxygen of water (O_{W}) at different concentrations of trehalose	149
4.18	Snapshots of the system at 50 ns in the presence of 0.05 M trehalose along with (a) native conformer of protein (b) unfolded conformer of protein. Protein is shown in secondary structure representation and trehalose molecules are depicted in bond representation. For clarity, water molecules are not shown. Arrows indicate trehalose molecules not in the vicinity of protein	152
5.1	Time variation of interchain peptide contacts in the presence of different peptide: trehalose molar ratios	166
5.2	Time evolution of the aggregate size at 8 mM peptide concentrations with different peptide:trehalose molar ratios: (a) 1 : 0, (b) 1 : 6 and (c) 1 : 10. Color bar on the right represents the number of peptides	167
5.3	Time evolution of the size of the maximum populated cluster at different (a) peptide concentrations, (b) temperatures and (c) peptide: trehalose molar ratios, keeping the peptide concentration fixed at 8 mM. For clarity, only the lowest, intermediate and highest concentrations and temperature are shown	169

5.4	Simultaneous time evolution of (a) the number of clusters and the maximum populated cluster size, and (b) the largest and second largest populated cluster size at 6 mM, 300 K. 6 mM concentration was chosen for better visualization since it has lags that existed for the longest duration. Similar curves are obtained in the presence of trehalose (data not shown)	171
5.5	Bar graph representing loadings of 26 different properties for first two principal components in the presence of 8 mM peptide concentrations at a different peptide: trehalose molar ratio: (a) 1:0 (b) 1:6 and (c) 1:10. Properties are: (1) Interchain contacts, (2) Beta-sheet contacts, (3) Polar order parameter P1, (4) Nematic order parameter P2, (5) Polar atom contacts, (6) Non-polar atom contacts, (7) Hydrophobic solvent accessible surface area, (8) Hydrophilic solvent accessible surface area, (9) Polar atom contacts with water, (10) Non-polar atom contacts with water, (11) Coil content, (12) Beta-sheet content, (13) Beta-bridge content, (14) Bend content, (15) Turn content, (16) End to end distance, (17) Inter-mainchain coulombic energy, (18) Inter-mainchain Lennard Jones energy, (19) Inter-sidechain coulombic energy, (20) Inter-sidechain Lennard Jones energy, (21) Intra-mainchain coulombic energy, (22) Intra-mainchain Lennard Jones energy, (23) Mainchain-water coulombic energy, (24) Mainchain-water Lennard Jones energy, (25) Sidechain-water coulombic energy, (26) Sidechain-water Lennard Jones energy	173
5.6	Bar graph representing loadings of 26 different properties for the first two principal components in the presence of 6 mM peptide concentrations during the (a) first lag and (b) second lag. Labels and property numbers are the same as described in Figure 5.5. 6 mM concentration was chosen since it has lags that existed for the longest duration. The curves at 8 mM peptide concentration in the presence of trehalose are shown in Figure 5.7	174
5.7	Bar graph representing loadings of 26 different properties for first two principal components in the presence of 8 mM peptide concentrations at a different peptide: trehalose molar ratio: (a) 1:0 (b) 1:6 and (c) 1:10. Left panel represents that during the first lag, and right panel: during the second lag. Labels and Property numbers are same as described in Figure 5.5	176
5.8	Left panel represents the projection of the sampled conformational/configurational ensemble onto the first two eigenvectors in the presence of different peptide: trehalose molar ratios: (a) 1:0, (b) 1:6 and (c) 1:10. The right panel represents the direction of variation of different properties	177
5.9	Time variation of the percentage of peptides forming aggregates/beta-sheets at different (a) peptide concentrations: (i) 4 mM, (ii) 8 mM and (iii) 52 mM. For simplicity, only three concentrations are shown viz. lowest, 4 mM; intermediate, 8 mM and highest, 52 mM; and in the presence of (b) different peptide : trehalose molar ratios at 8 mM peptide concentration: (i) 1:0, (ii) 1:6 and (iii) 1:10	180
5.10	Secondary structure (as calculated by dssp) along with absolute value of polar order parameter for stable dimers at 8 mM peptide concentration at (a) 1:0 (b) 1:6 peptide: trehalose molar ratio	181
5.11	Left panel represents time variation of number of hydrogen bonds between stable dimers at 8 mM peptide concentration for (a) 1:0 (b) 1:6 peptide: trehalose molar ratio. Right panel denotes residue-residue hydrogen bond interactions between them averaged during the time they are in β -sheet	183

5.12	Most populated conformers at 8 mM peptide concentration in the presence of different peptide:trehalose molar ratio 1:0, 1:6 and 1:10 respectively during the entire course of simulation (a),(b),(c); during lag 1 (d),(e),(f); and during lag 2 (g),(h),(i). Figures are drawn in VMD	184
5.13	Time variation of (a) number of clusters of trehalose (b) size of maximum populated trehalose cluster, formed at different peptide: trehalose molar ratio	189
5.14	Snapshot of the system at 300 ns in the presence of a 1: 6 peptide: trehalose molar ratio. Peptides are shown in the secondary structure representation and trehalose molecules are depicted in bond representations with violet color. For clarity, water molecules are not shown	190
5.15	Plot of g_{NOW} versus distance from the peptide at different peptide: trehalose molar ratios	190
5.16	Time evolution of the (a) number of hydrogen bonds formed by bonded trehalose molecules with peptide molecules and with other bonded trehalose molecules, (b) number of bonded trehalose molecules forming hydrogen bonds with other bonded trehalose molecules and with peptide molecules, for a 1:10 peptide: trehalose molar ratio. Bonded trehalose molecules are those that form hydrogen bonds with the peptide at the 300 th ns. The graph in the inset shows the same at short times	191
5.17	Time variation of interchain mainchain and sidechain Coulombic and Lennard Jones Interaction energies at 8 mM peptide concentration in the presence of different peptide: trehalose molar ratio	192
5.18	Time variation of mainchain and sidechain Coulombic and Lennard Jones Interaction energies of peptide molecules at 8 mM peptide concentration with trehalose molecules in the presence of different peptide: trehalose molar ratio	193
5.19	(a) Number of hydrogen bonds between peptide and trehalose molecules averaged over the last 100 ns. Number of contacts formed by (b) chain I (chain with the minimum number of hydrogen bonds in (a)), (c) chain J (chain with the maximum number of hydrogen bonds in (a)), with other chains and with trehalose molecules, for the system containing peptide:trehalose molar ratio of 1:10	194
5.20	Radial distribution of water molecules around the (a) mainchain and (b) sidechain of peptide molecules at 8 mM, 300 K with peptide:trehalose molar ratio: (i) 1:0, (ii) 1:6 and (iii) 1:10, during the time that it exists in the monomeric form (black), small sized oligomeric form (red) and large size oligomeric form (blue)	196
5.21	Beta-sheet content of different residues	197
5.22	Time evolution of normalized interpeptide mainchain (peptide-peptide) and mainchain-water (peptide-water) Coulombic interaction energy at 8 mM peptide concentrations at 300 K in the presence of different peptide : trehalose molar ratios: (a) 1: 0, (b) 1:6 and (c) 1:10	198
5.23	Time evolution of normalized interpeptide mainchain (peptide-peptide) and mainchain-water (peptide-water) Coulombic interaction energy at different peptide concentrations at 300 K	199

5.24	Tetrahedral orientational order parameter (q_{tet}) of (a) bulk water molecules (b) local water molecules during small oligomer formation, and (c) bulk water molecules (d) local water molecules during large oligomer formation, at different temperatures for 8 mM peptide concentration	200
5.25	Tetrahedral order parameter (q_{tet}) of local water molecules at 8 mM peptide concentration, 300 K in the presence of 1:0, 1:6 and 1:10 peptide:trehalose molar ratios during two different time zones: (a) small oligomer formation and (b) large oligomer formation	201
5.26	MSD of hydration layer water molecules at 8 mM during two different time zones in the presence of different peptide: trehalose molar ratios: (a) 1:0, (b) 1:6 and (c) 1:10	205
5.27	MSD of total water molecules at 8 mM during two different time zones in the presence of peptide: trehalose molar ratio: (a) 1:0 (b) 1:6 (c) 1:10	206
5.28	Schematic representation of the aggregation mechanism of GNNQQNY prion peptide in the absence and presence of trehalose molecules.	210
6.1	Structures of different conformers of α -synuclein used for MD simulations	221
6.2	Kinetics of fibrillation of α -synuclein alone and in the presence of 0.1, 0.3, 0.5, and 0.7 M trehalose at 37 °C, 1200 rpm, and pH 7.5. Continuous lines represent fits of the curve to the equation 6.1.	226
6.3	Time evolution of (a) radius of gyration (R_g) and (b) solvent accessible surface area (SASA) of α -synuclein starting from its human micelle-bound form, conf 1, in the presence of different numbers of trehalose molecules	229
6.4	Time evolution of alpha-helical content of α -synuclein starting from its human micelle-bound form, conf 1, in the presence of different number of trehalose molecules	229
6.5	C α residue contact map of α -synuclein starting from its human micelle-bound form, conf 1, in the presence of (a) 0, (b) 166 (0.05 M), and (c) 668 (0.2 M) trehalose molecules, averaged over the last 30 ns. Distance scale bar is shown below	229
6.6	Time evolution of secondary structure, as calculated by DSSP, with conf 3 as initial configuration of α -synuclein, in the presence of (a) 0 (b) 166 (0.05 M) trehalose molecules. Color bar is shown at the bottom	231
6.7	Time evolution of (a) radius of gyration (R_g) and (b) solvent accessible surface area (SASA) of α -synuclein starting from its disordered structure (conf 3) in the presence of 166 trehalose molecules	231
6.8	Time variation of different properties of α -synuclein in the presence of different concentrations of trehalose and with different initial protein conformations: (a) R_g , (b) SASA, (c) Root mean square deviation (RMSD) w.r.t the backbone, (d) alpha-helical content. Legends are defined in Table 6.1	232
6.9	Plot of g_{now} averaged over the last 30 ns versus distance from the peptide in the presence of different numbers of trehalose molecules for (a) conf 1 and (b) different initial conformations of α -synuclein (see Table 6.1 for the definition of conformers).	233

6.10	Time variation of mainchain and sidechain Coulombic and Lennard Jones interaction energies of conf 1 conformer of α -synuclein with trehalose in the presence of different number of trehalose molecules	234
6.11	Bar graph representing the Coulombic interaction energy between trehalose and side- chain of different conformers of protein, averaged over the last 30 ns for a system containing (a) 166 and (b) 668 trehalose molecules	234
6.12	Human micelle bound α -synuclein is depicted in red color using secondary structure representation, using VMD software. Putative residues involved in bonding with trehalose are shown as beads represented by green color. These include: 2, 13, 20, 21, 28, 46, 57- 61, 72, 75, 79, 87, 98, 99, 101–105, 110, 114, 115, 119–123, 126,129–135, 137, 139–140. Similar residues were involved for all the conformations simulated	235
6.13	(a) Far UV CD spectrum of α -synuclein, (b) ANS Fluorescence emission intensity in the presence of different concentrations of trehalose	235
6.14	ITC profile of α -synuclein with trehalose at 25°C. (Fitted using one site model, $n=2.27\pm0.09$, $K=7.01\times10^5\pm3.06\times10^5\text{ M}^{-1}$, $\Delta H= -153.5\pm8.97\text{ cal/mol}$, $\Delta S=26.3\text{ cal/mol/deg}$)	237
6.15	Time evolution of the number of clusters of synuclein conformers: (a) conf 3; (b) conf 2; and number of interchain hydrogen bond formation for (c) conf 3; (d) conf 2, in the presence of different numbers of trehalose molecules. Data obtained for conf 1 was similar to that obtained for conf 3	238
6.16	Particle size distribution of different soluble species of α -synuclein in the absence and presence of 0.7 M trehalose at different incubation time intervals: (a) 4 hours (b) 21 hours and (c) 80 hours	240
6.17	Far UV CD spectrum of α -synuclein in the absence and presence of 0.7 M trehalose at different incubation time intervals: (a) 2 hours and (b) 7 hours	241
6.18	Secondary structure evolution of four β -sheet chains of α -synuclein in the presence of (a) 0 M and (b) 0.05 M trehalose, as calculated by DSSP	242
6.19	Time evolution of the secondary structure of fibrils (containing 10 chains of β -sheet) in the presence of (a) 0 M (b) 0.1 M trehalose	243
6.20	Far-UV CD spectra of soluble oligomers in the saturation phase, in the absence and presence of 0.7 M trehalose	244
6.21	TEM images of fibrils formed in the presence of (a) 0 M and (b) 0.7 M trehalose	244
6.22	Radial distribution function of water molecules around backbone of protein molecules (conf3-10mol) during the time it exists in the monomeric form, oligomeric form (of size 10). Curves w.r.t to fibrillar form was plotted by doing calculations over Fibril-10ch system	246
6.23	Tetrahedral order parameter of hydration water molecules around (a) conf 1 conformer in the presence of different number of trehalose molecules, (b) different conformers in the absence and presence of 166 number of trehalose molecules, (c) different conformers in the absence and presence of 668 number of trehalose molecules; (d) tetrahedral order parameter of bulk water molecules for different conformers in the absence and presence of 668 number of trehalose molecules	247

6.24	Tetrahedral order parameter of hydration water molecules around (a) conf3-5mol system in the presence of different number of trehalose molecules during oligomerization process (b) conf3-10mol system during the time it exists in the monomeric form, oligomeric form (of size 10). Curves w.r.t to fibrillar form was plotted by doing calculations over Fibril-10ch system	247
6.25	Left panel denotes radial distribution function of (a) hydroxylic oxygen, (b) acetalic oxygen, and (c) glycosidic oxygen atoms of trehalose and oxygen atom of water, for conf 1 conformer of α -synuclein in the presence of different number of trehalose molecules. Similar data were obtained for other conformers of α -synuclein (data not shown). Right panel represents corresponding curves for conf3-5mol system in the presence of 166 number of trehalose molecules during the time protein exists in the monomeric and oligomeric form. Curves w.r.t to fibrillar form was plotted by doing calculations over Fibril-10ch system	249
6.26	Mean square displacement (MSD) values of hydration layer water molecules around (a) conf3-5mol system in the presence of different number of trehalose molecules during oligomerization, (b) conf3-10mol system during the time it exists in the monomeric form, oligomeric form (of size 10). Curves w.r.t to fibrillar form was plotted by doing calculations over Fibril-10ch system	251
6.27	Left panel represents mean square displacement (MSD) values of hydration layer water molecules in the presence of (a) 0, (b) 166, (c) 247, and (d) 668 trehalose molecules around conf3-5mol system during the time it exists in the monomeric form, oligomeric form (of size 10). Right panel represents the corresponding values for total water molecules	252
6.28	Mean square displacement (MSD) values of total and hydration layer trehalose molecules in the presence of (a) 166, (c) 247, and (d) 668 trehalose molecules around conf3-5mol system during the time it exists in the monomeric form, oligomeric form (of size 10)	254
6.29	Fraction of molecules in the most populated cluster of trehalose, formed in the presence of different number of trehalose molecules and conf 1 conformer of trehalose. If all the molecules form part of a cluster then the fraction would correspond to 0.1. Similar data were obtained in the presence of other conformers of α -synuclein as well (data not shown)	255
6.30	Schematic representation of the proposed aggregation pathway of α -synuclein in the presence of trehalose. The length of the arrows is in accordance with the equations proposed	258

LIST OF TABLES

Table Number	Title	Page Number
1.1	List of some of the diseases caused by aggregation of proteins	8
1.2	Key peptide fragments playing a critical role in aggregating protein	20
1.3	List of osmolytes and their effect on protein stability and aggregation	29
1.4	Mechanism proposed for cases enlisted in Table 1.3	32
3.1	(a) Conditions used for simulating different peptides (single peptide in the presence of different trehalose concentration). The letters in bold represent the amino acids which were kept charged. Lysine K is assigned +1 charge while E/D are assigned -1 charge. The cubic box was used in each case with the length of each side being 7 nm. All of these systems were simulated for 300 ns each. (b) Conditions for simulating 16 molecules of peptides (neutral) in the absence and presence of trehalose molecules keeping the molar ratio of peptide to trehalose as 1:10 (as cosolvent concentration is usually kept 10 times higher).	89
3.2	Mean number of trehalose molecules forming hydrogen bonds with the acidic and hydrophobic-6 peptide with n atoms involved in bonding. These two peptides are chosen for comparison since they have a maximum and minimum distribution of trehalose molecules relative to water.	103
3.3	Mean number of water molecules forming hydrogen bonds with trehalose in acidic and hydrophobic-6 peptide system with n atoms involved in bonding. The numbers in bracket indicate the hydration number of trehalose.	105
3.4	Diffusion coefficients of water and trehalose at different concentrations of trehalose in acidic and hydrophobic-6 peptide system.	107
4.1	Conditions for various MD simulations of native and denatured states of lysozyme in presence of trehalose	125
4.2	Mean number of trehalose molecules forming hydrogen bonds with protein with n atoms involved in bonding	143

4.3	Diffusion coefficient of water and trehalose at different temperatures.	146
4.4	Mean number of water molecules forming hydrogen bond with protein and/or trehalose molecules, with n atoms involved in bonding. The numbers in the bracket indicate the hydration number of trehalose	148
4.5	Mean number of water molecules forming hydrogen bond shared between protein and trehalose with n atoms involved in bonding. The number in parenthesis denotes % of hydration water shared	150
5.1	Conditions used for various MD simulations of peptide	163
5.2	Cumulative percentage of total variance captured by first two principal components	175
5.3	Transition matrix representing the total number of transitions from state i (row) to state j (column) where i and j represent the maximum populated oligomeric size at different concentrations. Transitions highlighted in italics represent condensation of oligomers; the term given in bracket below them denotes the size of the oligomers that fuse together (a)1:0 , (b) 1:6 and (c) 1:10 peptide: trehalose molar ratio	186
5.4	Fractional loss of water molecules in forming (a) small oligomers from monomers and (b) large oligomers from small oligomers around mainchain and sidechain atoms of the peptide	196
5.5	Mean number of water molecules forming hydrogen bonds with peptides with n atoms involved in bonding.	202
5.6	Mean number of trehalose molecules forming hydrogen bonds with peptides with n atoms involved in bonding	202
5.7	Diffusion coefficient of water and trehalose during small and large oligomer formation.	206
6.1	Conditions for MD simulations of α -synuclein in the presence of different concentrations of trehalose	222
6.2	Parameters obtained on fitting the ThT Fluorescence kinetic curves of α -synuclein in the absence and presence of 0.7 M trehalose solution, to equation 6.1	227
6.3	Diffusion coefficient of water and trehalose under different simulation conditions	250

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ALS	Amyotrophic lateral sclerosis
AD	Alzheimer's disease
ANS	1-anilinonaphthalene-8-sulphonate
APS	Ammonium persulfate
BEMD	Bias exchange metadynamics
CD	Circular dichroism
DSSP	Dictionary of secondary structure of proteins
DLS	Dynamic light scattering
ER	Endoplasmic reticulum
EGCG	Epigallocatechin gallate
FEL	Free energy landscape
FTIR	Fourier-transform infrared
GB	Generalized Born
GROMACS	GRoningen machine for chemical simulation
GdnHCl	Guanidinium hydrochloride
GRAS	Generally regarded as safe
IDP	Intrinsically disordered protein
ITC	Isothermal titration calorimetry
IPTG	Isopropyl- β -D-1-thiogalactopyranosid
LINCS	Linear constraint solver
LJ	Lennard Jones
MD	Molecular dynamics
MSD	Mean square displacement
MM-PBSA	Molecular mechanics poisson Boltzmann surface area
NP	Nucleation polymerization
NMR	Nuclear magnetic resonance
NCC	Nucleated conformational conversion

PAGE	Polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis
PD	Parkinson's disease
PBC	Periodic boundary conditions
PME	Particle mesh ewald
PCA	Principal component analysis
pdb	Protein data bank
QENS	Quasi-elastic neutron scattering
RMSD	Root mean square deviations
RMSF	Root mean square fluctuations
RDF	Radial distribution function
R_g	Radius of gyration
REMD	Replica exchange molecular dynamics
SOD	Superoxide dismutase
SDS	Sodium dodecyl sulphate
SPC	Simple point charge
SASA	Solvent accessible surface area
TMAO	Trimethylamine N-oxide
TIP	Transferable interaction potential
ThT	Thioflavin T
TEMED	Tetramethylethylenediamine
TEM	Transmission electron microscopy
TFE	Trifluoroethanol
UPS	Ubiquitin-proteasome system
UV	Ultraviolet
VMD	Visual molecular dynamics