

**DC TO RF CHARACTERIZATION, MODELING,  
AND SIMULATION OF MULTIPLE-GATE FETS  
IN SUB-14NM CMOS TECHNOLOGIES**

**RAMENDRA SINGH**



**DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING  
INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY DELHI**

**JUNE 2019**

© Indian Institute of Technology Delhi (IITD), New Delhi, 2019

**DC TO RF CHARACTERIZATION, MODELING,  
AND SIMULATION OF MULTIPLE-GATE FETS  
IN SUB-14NM CMOS TECHNOLOGIES**

by

**RAMENDRA SINGH**

**Department of Electrical Engineering**

*Submitted*

*in fulfilment of the requirements of the degree of Doctor of Philosophy*

*to the*



**INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY DELHI**

**JUNE 2019**

# Certificate

This is to certify that the thesis entitled "*DC to RF Characterization, Modeling, and Simulation of Multiple-Gate FETs in Sub-14nm CMOS Technologies*", being submitted by **Ramendra Singh** to the Indian Institute of Technology Delhi, is worthy of consideration for the award of the degree of **Doctor of Philosophy** in Department of Electrical Engineering and is a record of the original bonafide research work carried out by him. The results presented in the thesis have not been submitted in part or full, to any other University or Institute for the award of any degree or diploma.

I certify that he has pursued the prescribed course of research.

**Dr. Abhisek Dixit**

Associate Professor,

Department of Electrical Engineering,

Indian Institute of Technology Delhi,

Hauz Khas, New Delhi – 110016.

# *Acknowledgements*

This thesis would not be possible without the help of many people. Firstly, I would like to express my sincere gratitude to my supervisor Prof. Abhisek Dixit for the continuous support of my Ph.D study and related research. His motivation, and immense knowledge always enhanced my research-abilities throughout the course of learning from him. His guidance helped me in research all the time and writing of this thesis.

Besides my advisor, I express my sincere thank to Prof. Yogesh S. Chauhan, Electrical Department, IIT Kanpur for his sincere advice and encouragement, but also for the hard question which incented me to widen my research from various perspectives.

I would like to thank the rest of my thesis committee: Prof. Madhusudan Singh, Prof. Manan Suri, and Prof. P. R. Panda, for their insightful comments and encouragement.

I would also like to thank our industry collaborator Reinaldo Vega and Terence B. Hook at IBM Research Group, Albany, USA, and Bertrand Parvais at IMEC, Belgium for their valuable and expert comments.

I would like to thank the Department of Information Technology, Govt. of India, for the instrumentation setup for the Device and Wafer Level Characterization laboratory, through SERB , under grant SB/S3/EECE/037/2014, and through TSG, under grant DST/TSG/AMT/2015/339.

I thank my fellow labmates in for creating and maintaining enthusiastic environment in the lab, and for all the fun we have had in the last four years. Thanks to Anil, Kritika, Charu, Anshul, Chandan, Ishita, Manoj, Shivendra and Pritam, who have been there to support me. I would like to acknowledge Anshul and Anil for their technical inputs during the TCAD simulations of the devices. Special acknowledgements to Kritika and Charu for free ranging technical discussions on various topics and also, helped me in research writing.

Last but not the least, I express my deep heartfelt gratitude to my respected parents for their blessings and supporting me spiritually throughout writing this thesis. Special thanks to my beloved wife for coming into my life, and always my support in the moments when there was no one to answer my queries. I am also thankful to my younger brother and sister for their support and understanding...

Ramendra Singh

# *Abstract*

Multiple-gate FETs are the device architecture of choice beyond 22 nm node CMOS devices. To suppress the short channel effects below 10nm node, gate-all-around NWFETs, along with some novel structure like inserted-oxide FinFET and negative capacitance based CMOS devices are the promising candidates. This thesis presents DC/RF characterization, simulation, and modeling of these structures. As feature size approaches sub-10nm regime, process variation which is a naturally occurring phenomenon becomes prominent. This causes measurable variance in output characteristics of all circuits and it also degrades the manufacturing yield. In this thesis, DC model parameters of NWFETs are extracted and process variations have been modeled, using the BSIM-CMG model card by performing Monte Carlo simulations. The NWFETs used in this work are designed with vertically stacked nanowires in order to attain the same current density and equivalent layout area efficiency as FinFETs. This significantly surge the process complexity in NWFETs as compared to FinFETs. Inserted-oxide FinFET (iFinFET), which is recently proposed as another multigate transistor, has similar fabrication process flow as FinFETs, yet it achieves better device performance. In this thesis, parasitic capacitance in Inserted-Oxide FinFETs is modeled analytically using conformal mapping techniques.

With continued device scaling, NWFETs may be considered by industry, since it provides the best electrostatic control of the channel due to gate all around geometry. However, self-heating effect in NWFETs is a major concern due to their strong confined geometry. In this thesis, self-heating effect is characterized in NWFETs using small-signal output conductance technique and analog/RF figures of merit of these NWFETs have been investigated. To reduce the power density in the modern processors, the transistors with steeper slope or lower subthreshold swing (SS) are preferred. Ferroelectric based negative capacitance FETs (NC-FETs) are the emerging devices with lower SS ( $< 60\text{mV/decade}$ ). In this thesis, RF performance of NC-FinFET is investigated using BSIM-CMG compact model extracted from DC and RF measured data of 10nm technology node bulk FinFET devices. A globally scalable RF model usable for the low power and high-frequency applications has been reported with detailed model description of NC-FinFET.

# सार

22nm नोड CMOS डिवाइस से परे के लिए मल्टीपल गेट FET आर्किटेक्चर पसंदीदा डिवाइस है। 10nm नोड के नीचे लघु चैनल प्रभाव को दबाने के लिए, गेट-ऑल-अराउंड NWFETs के साथ कुछ नवीन संरचना जैसे सम्मिलित-ऑक्साइड FinFET तथा नकारात्मक धारिता पर आधारित CMOS डिवाइस होनहार उम्मीदवार हैं। यह थीसिस इन संरचनाओं के डीसी / आरएफ लक्षण वर्णन, सिमुलेशन और मॉडलिंग प्रस्तुत करता है। जैसे डिवाइस आकृति उप-10nm के पास पहुंचती है, प्रक्रिया भिन्नता जो की स्वाभाविक रूप से होने वाली घटना है प्रमुख हो जाती है। इसकी वजह से सभी सर्किट के आउटपुट विशेषताओं में औसत दर्जे का विचरण तथा विनिर्माण प्राप्ति में कमी आ जाती है। इस थीसिस में, NWFETs के डीसी मॉडल मापदंडों को निकाला गया है और BSIM-CMG मॉडल कार्ड का उपयोग करके मॉटे कार्लो सिमुलेशन प्रदर्शन करके प्रक्रिया भिन्नता को मॉडल किया गया है। FinFETs की तरह वर्तमान घनत्व और समकक्ष लेआउट क्षेत्र दक्षता प्राप्त करने के लिए, इस काम में इस्तेमाल किए गए NWFETs को क्रम में खड़ी स्टैकड नैनोवायर के साथ डिज़ाइन किया गया है। इस वजह से FinFETs की तुलना में NWFETs में प्रक्रिया की जटिलता में काफी वृद्धि हुई है। सम्मिलित-ऑक्साइड FinFET (iFinFET), जो हाल ही में प्रस्तावित एक और मल्टीगेट ट्रांजिस्टर के रूप में, FinFETs की तरह समान निर्माण प्रक्रिया प्रवाह है, फिर भी यह बेहतर डिवाइस प्रदर्शन प्राप्त करता है। इस थीसिस में, सम्मिलित-ऑक्साइड FinFET में परजीवी समाई को कंफर्मल मैपिंग तकनीक का उपयोग करके विश्लेषणात्मक रूप से मॉडल किया गया है।

निरंतर डिवाइस स्केलिंग के साथ, NWFET को उद्योग द्वारा माना जा सकता है, क्योंकि ज्यामिति के चारों ओर गेट के कारण यह चैनल का सबसे अच्छा इलेक्ट्रोस्टैटिक नियंत्रण प्रदान करता है। हालांकि, NWFETs में मजबूत सीमित ज्यामिति के कारण, स्वयं-हीटिंग प्रभाव एक प्रमुख चिंता का विषय है। इस थीसिस में, स्वयं-हीटिंग प्रभाव को लघु-संकेत आउटपुट चालन तकनीक का उपयोग करते हुए NWFETs में वर्णन किया गया है और इन NWFETs की योग्यता के लिये एनालॉग/आरएफ आंकड़ों की जांच की गई है। आधुनिक प्रोसेसर में बिजली के घनत्व को कम करने के लिए, एक तीव्र ढलान या निचले सबथ्रेशोल्ड स्विंग (SS) के साथ ट्रांजिस्टर को प्राथमिकता दी जाती है। फेरोइलेक्ट्रिक आधारित नकारात्मक धारिता FET (NC-FETs) कम SS (<60mV / दशक) के साथ उभरते उपकरण हैं। इस थीसिस में, NC-FinFET के RF प्रदर्शन की BSIM-CMG कॉम्पैक्ट मॉडल का उपयोग करके जांच की गयी है जो 10nm प्रौद्योगिकी नोड बल्क FinFET डिवाइस के मापे गए डीसी और आरएफ डेटा से निष्कर्षित है। निम्न शक्ति और उच्च आवृत्ति अनुप्रयोगों के लिए प्रयोग करने योग्य स्केलेबल आरएफ मॉडल को NC-FinFET के विस्तृत मॉडल विवरण के साथ सूचित किया गया है।

# Contents

<b>Acknowledgements</b>	<b>iii</b>
<b>Abstract</b>	<b>v</b>
<b>List of Figures</b>	<b>xvii</b>
<b>List of Tables</b>	<b>xix</b>
<b>1 Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 CMOS Scaling . . . . .	1
1.2 Advanced Device Architectures to Scale CMOS Further . . . . .	2
1.3 SPICE based Compact Models . . . . .	5
1.4 Why RF Engineering and Modeling? . . . . .	8
1.5 Dissertation Goals and Research Outline . . . . .	9
<b>2 7-nm Nanowire FET Process Variation Modeling using Industry Standard BSIM-CMG Model</b>	<b>19</b>
2.1 Introduction . . . . .	19
2.2 DC Model Parameter Extraction . . . . .	20
2.3 Process Variation Analysis . . . . .	21
2.4 Summary . . . . .	27
<b>3 Analytical Modeling of Parasitic Capacitance in Inserted-Oxide Fin-FETs</b>	<b>33</b>
3.1 Introduction . . . . .	33
3.2 Device Design and TCAD Simulations . . . . .	34
3.3 Analytical Model for Parasitic Capacitance . . . . .	36
3.4 Results and Model Validation . . . . .	41
3.5 Summary . . . . .	45
<b>4 Characterization and Modeling of N-Channel Bulk FinFETs from DC to High Frequency</b>	<b>49</b>
4.1 Introduction . . . . .	49

4.2	Measurement Setup and Device Fabrication . . . . .	51
4.3	DC/RF Modeling and Gate Network Analysis . . . . .	52
4.3.1	Analysis with Existing Gate Network Model . . . . .	55
4.3.2	Analysis with Proposed Gate Network Model . . . . .	58
	Gate Capacitance Model Measurement Data Correlation	60
	Gate Resistance Model Measurement Data Correlation	60
	Current Gain Model Measurement Data Correlation . .	61
4.4	Summary . . . . .	64
<b>5</b>	<b>Experimental Evaluation of Self-Heating and Analog/RF FOM in GAA-Nanowire FETs</b>	<b>71</b>
5.1	Introduction . . . . .	71
5.2	Device Fabrication and DC/RF Measurements . . . . .	72
5.3	GAA FET Modeling . . . . .	75
5.3.1	Extraction of Self-Heating Network . . . . .	76
5.3.2	Extraction of Parasitic Gate Network . . . . .	80
5.3.3	RF FOM Evaluation of GAA FET . . . . .	80
5.3.4	Device Optimization and Future Aspects for SHE . . .	92
5.4	Summary . . . . .	94
<b>6</b>	<b>Evaluation of 10-nm Bulk FinFET RF Performance—Conventional Versus NC-FinFET</b>	<b>103</b>
6.1	Introduction . . . . .	103
6.2	NC-FinFET Characterization and Modeling . . . . .	104
6.3	RF FinFET Characterization and Modeling . . . . .	105
6.4	NC-FinFET RF Response . . . . .	113
6.5	Summary . . . . .	117
<b>7</b>	<b>Conclusion</b>	<b>125</b>
7.1	Scope for Future Work . . . . .	128
<b>A</b>	<b>De-embedding, RF parameter extraction, SOLT calibration, and Model card for FinFET</b>	<b>129</b>
A.1	De-Embedding Routine . . . . .	129
A.2	RF Parameter Extraction . . . . .	130
A.3	Short-Open-Load-Thru Calibration . . . . .	131
A.4	Model card for FinFET . . . . .	132

<b>B</b>	<b>TCAD templates for device generation and simulations</b>	<b>137</b>
B.1	Sentaurus Structure Editor code for FinFET . . . . .	137
B.2	Sentaurus Device code for FinFET . . . . .	147

# List of Figures

1.1	Moore's Law in DRAM and microprocessors [12]. . . . .	2
1.2	CMOS scaling challenges in the conventional planar FET architectures [5]. . . . .	3
1.3	Scaling trends of transistors [15]. . . . .	3
1.4	Comparison of multiple-gate FETs channel profile [13]. . . . .	4
1.5	Ferroelectric based NC-FinFET with Metal-Ferroelectric-Metal-Insulator-Semiconductor (MFMIS) configuration [32]. . . . .	6
1.6	Compact model is the bridge between wafer fab and circuit designers. The device information is captured by a compact model in the form of model parameters and makes it accessible for circuit design [36]. . . . .	7
1.7	A compact model comprises of the core model along with real-device effects [36]. . . . .	7
2.1	Flow chart showing DC model parameter extraction procedure.	22
2.2	Gate capacitance vs. gate voltage characteristic for $L_g = 103$ nm. Bias condition is: $V_{ds} = 0V$ . Symbols: TCAD Data, Lines: BSIM-CMG model. . . . .	23
2.3	Drain current vs. gate voltage characteristics for $L_g = 18$ nm. Bias conditions are: $V_{ds} = 50$ mV and $1.0V$ . Symbols: TCAD Data. Lines: BSIM-CMG model. . . . .	23
2.4	Process Variation flow. . . . .	24
2.5	Statistical Distribution of extracted parameters ( $W_{eff}$ , $EOT$ and $L_{eff}$ ) for $103$ nm device length. . . . .	25
2.6	Statistical Distribution for $V_{tlin}$ and $I_{dlin}$ for $103$ nm device length.	25
2.7	Statistical Distribution of $V_{tlin}$ and $I_{dlin}$ for $18$ nm device length.	26
2.8	Statistical Distribution of $V_{tsat}$ , $I_{dsat}$ and $I_{eff}$ for $18$ nm device length. . . . .	26
2.9	QQ-plots: (a) saturation and effective current (b) threshold voltages ( $V_{tsat}$ , $V_{tlin}$ ) for $18$ nm device length. . . . .	28

3.1	Schematic of parasitics capacitances of FinFET devices: (a) 3-D schematic view (b) Cross section in the gate length direction [6].	34
3.2	3-D schematic of the simulated structures.	35
3.3	Calibration of TCAD models (a) $C_{gg} - V_{gs}$ characteristics with measured data at different gate lengths. (b) $I_{ds} - V_{gs}$ characteristics with measured data for SOI FinFETs in both linear as well as saturation regions of operation.	37
3.4	Fin cross-sections of the simulated SOI devices for (a) FinFET (b) iFinFET and (c) GAA FET.	38
3.5	Additional parasitics in iFinFET (a) $C_{gifin}$ from inner gate sidewall to Si channel separated by inserted-oxide and high-k dielectric. (b) $C_{gifincorner}$ between front gate sidewall to the fin corner under the spacer region.	38
3.6	Enlarged cross-sectional view of gate contact and fin used for equivalent permittivity ( $\epsilon_{eq}$ ) calculation.	39
3.7	Variation of $C_{gd0}$ with $L_{sp}$ for multigate devices.	41
3.8	Effect of $T_{iox}$ on $C_{fringe}$ with different dielectric constants for n-channel iFinFET. Boundary condition $T_{iox} = 0$ represents the FinFET.	42
3.9	$C_{fringe}$ variation for n-channel iFinFET with (a) $T_{rec}$ for different dielectric constants (b) $T_{rec}$ for different $T_{iox}$ value.	43
3.10	$C_{fringe}$ variation for n-channel iFinFET with (a) $T_{hk}$ (b) Spacer dielectric constant ( $\epsilon_{sp}$ ) for different $T_{iox}$ value.	43
3.11	Proportion of the $C_{gifin}$ normalized to the total parasitic capacitance as a function of (a) High-k dielectric constants (b) $T_{rec}$ (c) $T_{hk}$ .	44
3.12	Proportion of the $C_{gifincorner}$ normalized to the total parasitic capacitance as a function of (a) $T_{iox}$ (b) $L_{sp}$ .	44
4.1	Intrinsic part of the MOSFET circuit and its high frequency model components [16].	50
4.2	Measurement setup: Cascade Microtech 200mm wafer probing station	52
4.3	Measurement setup: (a) Keysight's B1500 parameter analyzer is used for C-V and I-V measurement. (b) Keysight's E5071C vector network analyzer is used for RF measurements of the CMOS devices.	53

4.4	(a) Fabrication process flow for bulk FinFETs in 14 nm technology node. RTA, RMG, and BEOL stand for rapid thermal annealing, replacement metal gate, and back-end-of-the-line respectively (b) TEM cross-section image of the fin in gate region. . . . .	54
4.5	RF Layout of 14 nm bulk FinFET. Device dimensions are: $L_g = 28$ nm, $W_g = 46$ $\mu$ m, NF = 6, NFIN = 8, Ncell = 16. . . . .	54
4.6	$I_{ds}$ and $g_m$ vs. $V_{gs}$ for device with $L_g = 28$ nm, $W_g = 46$ $\mu$ m, NF = 6, NFIN = 8, Ncell = 16. Both, the linear region at $V_{ds} = 50$ mV and saturation region at $V_{ds} = 1.0$ V are shown. Also, typical switches and their values used for BSIM-CMG model simulation are shown. Symbols: Measurement. Lines: BSIM-CMG model. . . . .	55
4.7	$I_{ds}$ and $g_{ds}$ vs. $V_{ds}$ for device with $L_g = 28$ nm, $W_g = 46$ $\mu$ m, NF = 6, NFIN = 8, Ncell = 16. Bias conditions are: $V_{gs} = 0.9$ V to 1.1 V in steps of 0.1 V. Symbols: Measurement, Lines: BSIM-CMG model. . . . .	56
4.8	Real part of $Y_{22}$ for device with $L_g = 28$ nm, $W_g = 46$ $\mu$ m, NF = 6, NFIN = 8, Ncell = 16. Bias points: $V_{gs} = V_{ds} = 1.0$ V. Symbols: Measurement, Lines: BSIM-CMG model. . . . .	56
4.9	Smith chart for $S_{11}$ and $S_{22}$ for device with $L_g = 28$ nm, $W_g = 46$ $\mu$ m, NF = 6, NFIN = 8, Ncell = 16. Bias conditions are: $V_{gs} = V_{ds} = 1.0$ V. Symbols: Measurement, Lines: BSIM-CMG model. . . . .	57
4.10	Gate current $I_g$ vs. gate voltage $V_{gs}$ characteristics at $V_{ds} = 1.0$ V, for device with $L_g = 28$ nm, $W_g = 46$ $\mu$ m, NF = 6, NFIN = 8, Ncell = 16. Symbols: Measurement. . . . .	57
4.11	Change in gate leakage with temperature for measured device and existing BSIM-CMG model in (a) Linear region (b) Saturation region. . . . .	59
4.12	Measured and extracted value of gate resistance ( $Real(H_{11})$ ) vs. frequency for different gate biases. $L_g = 28$ nm, $W_g = 46$ $\mu$ m, NF = 6, NFIN = 8, Ncell = 16. Symbols: Measurement at different $V_{gs}$ at fixed $V_{ds} = 1V.$ , Lines: BSIM-CMG model with IGBMOD. . . . .	60
4.13	Existing and proposed BSIM-CMG gate networks. The existing model is enclosed by dashed lines. . . . .	61

4.14	Real part of $Y_{11}$ for device with $L_g = 28$ nm, $W_g = 46$ $\mu$ m, NF = 6, NFIN = 8, Ncell = 16. Bias conditions are: $V_{gs} = V_{ds} = 1.0$ V. Symbols: Measurement, Lines: BSIM-CMG model. . . . .	62
4.15	Measured and extracted imaginary part of $Y_{11}$ vs. frequency for device with $L_g = 28$ nm, $W_g = 46$ $\mu$ m, NF = 6, NFIN = 8, Ncell = 16. Bias conditions are: $V_{gs} = V_{ds} = 1.0$ V. Symbols: Measurement, Lines: BSIM-CMG model. . . . .	63
4.16	Measured and extracted gate resistance ( $Real(H_{11})$ ) vs. frequency for devices with $L_g = 28$ nm and $L_g = 30$ nm having $W_g = 46$ $\mu$ m, NF = 6, NFIN = 8, Ncell = 16. Bias conditions are: $V_{gs} = V_{ds} = 1.0$ V. Symbols: Measurement, Lines: BSIM-CMG model. . . . .	64
4.17	Measured and extracted current gain $ H_{21} $ vs. frequency for device with $L_g = 28$ nm, $W_g = 46$ $\mu$ m, NF = 6, NFIN = 8, Ncell = 16. Gain reduces as frequency increases. Bias conditions are: $V_{gs} = V_{ds} = 1.0$ V. Symbols: Measurement, Lines: BSIM-CMG model. . . . .	65
4.18	Modified small-signal equivalent circuit for RF MOSFET considering source and body terminal are common. . . . .	65
5.1	GAA-NWFET device schematic [1]. . . . .	73
5.2	(a) Device fabrication steps (b) Top-down SEM image obtained during removal of BOX under the fins (c) Gate region cross-section SEM image obtained during nanowire formation (d) TEM fin cross-section region in gate stack. . . . .	74
5.3	Measurement results of two-port $S$ -parameters before and after de-embedding. Bias conditions: $V_{ds} = 1.0V$ and $V_{gs} = 1.2V$ . . . . .	75
5.4	Gate capacitance ( $C_{gg}$ ) vs. gate voltage ( $V_{gs}$ ) characteristics for $L_g = 45$ nm. Symbols: Measurement, Line: BSIM-CMG Model. . . . .	76
5.5	Measurement and model response: (a) $I_{ds}$ and $g_m$ vs. $V_{gs}$ characteristics at $V_{ds} = 50mV$ , and $1V$ . (b) $I_{ds}$ and $g_{ds}$ vs. $V_{ds}$ characteristics for different values of $V_{gs}$ . Symbols: Measurement, Line: BSIM-CMG Model. . . . .	77
5.6	(a) An $n^{th}$ order thermal network used in this work. $T_{amb}$ is the ambient temperature and $\Delta T$ is the rise in temperature due to self-heating. $C_{thn}$ and $R_{thn}$ are the thermal capacitance and resistance of the $n^{th}$ branch. (b) Hot-chuck measurement result for $L_g = 45$ nm and $L_g = 70$ nm devices. . . . .	78

5.7	$C_{dg}$ frequency response for different $L_g$ Bias conditions: $V_{ds} = 1.0V$ and $V_{gs} = 1.2V$ . . . . .	79
5.8	Thermal network results: (a) Thermal impedance; $Im(Z_{th}/2\pi f)$ extracted using $C_{dd}$ (measurement) is plotted vs. frequency. Solid lines: fit of $Im(Z_{th}/2\pi f)$ of an $n^{th}$ order thermal network to the measured data. (b) $Re(Y_{22})$ fitting with 1 <sup>st</sup> , 2 <sup>nd</sup> , 3 <sup>rd</sup> and 4 <sup>th</sup> order thermal network independently. . . . .	81
5.9	Thermal network results: (a) Extraction of the thermal network at different bias conditions, resulting in almost same value of $Im(Z_{th}/2\pi f)$ . (b) Measured $Re(Y_{22})$ vs. frequency plot with 3 <sup>rd</sup> order thermal network fitting at different bias conditions. . . . .	82
5.10	Variability analysis for: (a) $I_{ds}$ versus $V_{gs}$ characteristics, and (b) $\Delta g_{ds}$ versus frequency characteristics at $V_{ds} = 1.0V$ ; $V_{gs} = 1.2V$ . . . . .	83
5.11	Extracted values at different sites for: (a) $I_{on}$ , and (b) Thermal resistance ( $R_{th}$ ). Bias condition: $V_{ds} = 1.0V$ ; $V_{gs} = 1.2V$ . . . . .	84
5.12	Thermal network results: (a) Extracted value of thermal resistance ( $R_{th}$ ) versus frequency ( $f$ ) using 3 <sup>rd</sup> order thermal network for two different gate length ( $L_g$ ). (b) Extracted and measured value of $Re(Y_{22})$ vs. frequency characteristics for different $L_g$ . Bias conditions: $V_{ds} = 1.0 V$ and $V_{gs} = 1.2 V$ . . . . .	85
5.13	Rise in temperature ( $\Delta T$ ) vs. $V_{ds}$ at different overdrive voltage ( $V_{od} = V_{gs} - V_{th}$ ) for (c) $L_g = 45nm$ (d) $L_g = 70nm$ NWFETs. . . . .	86
5.14	RF response of NWFET: (a) $Re(Y_{22})$ i.e. $g_{ds}$ frequency characteristics (b) Extracted value of $C_{dd}$ and $C_{gg}$ vs. frequency. Inset: Zoomed view of model and measurement with and without gate network. . . . .	87
5.15	Equivalent two-port network used to calculate $Y_{11}$ parameter. Here, $G$ denotes gate terminal while $S/D$ denotes the source/drain terminals. . . . .	88
5.16	RF FOM of NWFET with $L_g = 45nm$ : (a) $ H_{21} $ vs. frequency (b) $ U $ vs. frequency. Inset: $f_{max}$ calculation with and without self-heating effect . . . . .	89
5.17	(a) Effect of self-heating on $f_T$ at different $V_{gs}$ . (b) Experimentally obtained values of $g_m$ for different ambient temperatures. Symbols: Measurement, Line: BSIM-CMG Model. . . . .	90
5.18	Smith chart showing $S_{11}$ and $S_{22}$ measurement and model results for $L_g = 45nm$ . Bias conditions: $V_{ds} = 1.0V$ and $V_{gs} = 1.2V$ . . . . .	92

5.19	Flow chart illustrating the methodology used for characterization and modeling of NWFETs. . . . .	93
5.20	Intrinsic voltage gain ( $A_v$ ) vs. frequency response for $L_g=45\text{nm}$ . Model with lower gate electrode resistance ( $R_{g\text{eltd}}$ ) improves the bandwidth ( $BW$ ) of the device. Bias conditions: $V_{ds} = 1.0\text{ V}$ and $V_{gs} = 1.2\text{ V}$ . . . . .	94
6.1	(a) Ferroelectric based NC-FinFET schematic, and its (b) equivalent capacitance model [11]. . . . .	105
6.2	(a) Self-consistent compact model for NC-FinFET using BSIM-CMG and L-K ferroelectric models implemented in Verilog-A. (b) $\alpha$ , $\beta$ , and $\gamma$ parameters of L-K equation are extracted by fitting Landau curve against the P-E hysteresis curve for Y-HfO <sub>2</sub> based FE with 3.6 mol % of YO <sub>1.5</sub> [15]. The extracted values of $\alpha_0$ , $\beta$ , and $\gamma$ in this work are $7.5 \times 10^6\text{m/F/K}$ , $30 \times 10^9\text{m}^5/\text{F/C}^2$ , and $1 \times 10^9\text{m}^9/\text{F/C}^4$ , respectively. $\rho = 10^{-4}\ \Omega\text{-cm}$ is taken from experimental results [14]. . . . .	106
6.3	(a) TEM image of the fin cross-section in gate region (b) reference RF FinFET geometrical parameters. . . . .	107
6.4	(a) $I_{ds} - V_{gs}$ characteristics in the semi-log and linear Y-axes at $V_{ds} = 50\text{mV}$ and $1.0\text{V}$ . (b) $I_{ds}$ and $g_{ds}$ vs. $V_{ds}$ characteristics for different gate biases. . . . .	108
6.5	RF response of the reference FinFET: (a) Output conductance ( $g_{ds}$ ) frequency characteristics (b) $I_{ds}$ dependency on temperature ( $T$ ) is calculated using hot-chuck measurement. Inset: $Re(Y_{22})$ measurement and model with and without substrate network in off state. Bias conditions: $V_{ds} = 1.0\text{V}$ and $V_{gs} = 1.2\text{V}$ .	109
6.6	RF response of the reference FinFET: (a) Gate and substrate network used (b) Frequency variation of $C_{dd}$ and extracted value of $C_{gg}$ . Inset: Extracted value of $R_g$ and $R_c$ from $Re(H_{11})$ . Bias conditions: $V_{ds} = 1.0\text{V}$ and $V_{gs} = 1.2\text{V}$ . . . . .	110
6.7	RF response of the reference FinFET: (a) Extracted values of $R_{sub}$ and $C_{jd}$ Inset: Equivalent circuit of the substrate network (b) Current gain ( $ H_{21} $ ) vs. frequency. Inset: Smith plot for $S_{11}$ and $S_{22}$ . Bias conditions: $V_{ds} = 1.0\text{V}$ and $V_{gs} = 1.2\text{V}$ . . . . .	111
6.8	Simulated DC response of NC-FinFET: (a) $I_{ds}$ vs. $V_{gs}$ of NC-FinFET for varying $t_{fe}$ , and (b) simulated sub-threshold slope ( $SS$ ) vs. $V_{gs}$ for varying $t_{fe}$ . . . . .	114

6.9	Simulated RF response of NC-FinFET with varying $t_{fe}$ : (a) Variation of the cut-off frequency ( $f_T$ ) as a function of $V_{gs}$ at $V_{ds} = 0.8V$ (b) $V_{int}$ vs. $V_{gs}$ at $V_{ds} = 0.8V$ . . . . .	115
6.10	Simulated RF response of NC-FinFET with varying $t_{fe}$ : (a) Temperature rise and power (b) Relative change in $g_{ds}$ vs. frequency . . . . .	116
6.11	Simulated RF response of NC-FinFET with varying $t_{fe}$ : (a) Intrinsic gain ( $A_v$ ) vs. frequency (b) Maximum oscillation frequency ( $f_{max}$ ). . . . .	118
A.1	ISS calibration substrate. . . . .	131
A.2	Measurement results of $S_{11}$ and $S_{22}$ after the SOLT calibration. . . . .	131

# List of Tables

2.1	Target and achieved mean and 3-sigma values for different parameters. . . . .	27
3.1	Device design parameters . . . . .	35
3.2	Reference FinFET design parameters . . . . .	36
3.3	Variables for equivalent permittivity calculation . . . . .	39
5.1	RF NWFETs device description. . . . .	73
5.2	Critical measurements performed, experimentally obtained electrical parameters and extracted parameters. . . . .	91

# List of Abbreviations

---

Abbreviation	Description
<b>CMOS</b>	<b>Complementary Metal Oxide Semiconductor</b>
<b>MOSFET</b>	<b>Metal Oxide Semiconductor Field Effect Transistor</b>
<b>MuGFET</b>	<b>Multiple Gate Field Effect Transistor</b>
<b>NWFET</b>	<b>Nano Wire Field Effect Transistor</b>
<b>GAAFET</b>	<b>Gate All Around Field Effect Transistor</b>
<b>NCFET</b>	<b>Negative Capacitance Field Effect Transistor</b>
<b>TCAD</b>	<b>Technology Computer Aided Design</b>
<b>SOI</b>	<b>Silicon On Insulator</b>
<b>RF</b>	<b>Radio Frequency</b>
<b>SOLT</b>	<b>Short Open Load Thru</b>
<b>FOM</b>	<b>Figure of Merit</b>
<b>SHE</b>	<b>Self Heating Effect</b>
<b>SPICE</b>	<b>Simulation Program with Integrated Circuit Emphasis</b>
<b>BSIM</b>	<b>Berkeley Short Channel IGFET Model</b>
<b>CMG</b>	<b>Common Multiple Gate Devices</b>

# List of Symbols

Symbol	Description
$L_g$	Gate Length
$V_t$	Threshold Voltage
$V_{ds}$	Drain to Source Voltage
$V_{gs}$	Gate to Source Voltage
$I_{ds}$	Drain Current
$g_m$	Transconductance
$g_{ds}$	Output Conductance
$I_{on}$	On Drain Current
$I_{off}$	Off Drain Current
$SS$	Subthreshold Swing
$A_v$	Voltage Gain
$A_i$	Current Gain
$f_T$	Cut-off Frequency
$f_{max}$	Maximum Oscillation Frequency