

**SELECT STUDIES IN ANALYTICAL MODELING OF SUPPLIER  
EVALUATION AND SELECTION**

**NILESH RAMBHAU WARE**



**DEPARTMENT OF MANAGEMENT STUDIES  
INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY DELHI  
JANUARY 2016**

© Indian Institute of Technology Delhi (IITD), New Delhi, 2016

**SELECT STUDIES IN ANALYTICAL MODELING OF SUPPLIER  
EVALUATION AND SELECTION**

by

**NILESH RAMBHAU WARE**

**Department of Management Studies**

Submitted

in fulfillment of the requirements of the degree of

**DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY**

to the



**INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY DELHI**

**JANUARY 2016**

# CERTIFICATE

This is to certify that the thesis titled “**Select Studies in Analytical Modeling of Supplier Evaluation and Selection**” being submitted by **Mr. Nilesh Rambhau Ware** (Entry No. 2010SMZ8203) to the Department of Management Studies, Indian Institute of Technology, Delhi, for the award of degree of **Doctor of Philosophy (Ph.D.)** is a bonafide record of original research work carried out by him. He has worked under our guidance and supervision and has fulfilled the requirements for the submission of this thesis, which has attained the requisite standard for Ph.D. degree. The results presented in this thesis have not been submitted to any other university or institute for the award of any Degree or Diploma.

**Dr. S. P. Singh**

Associate Professor

Department of Management Studies,  
Indian Institute of Technology Delhi  
New Delhi – 110016, (INDIA).

**Prof. (Dr.) D.K.Banwet**

Emeritus Professor

Department of Management Studies,  
Indian Institute of Technology Delhi  
New Delhi – 110016, (INDIA).

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to thank all people who have helped and inspired me during my doctoral study.

Foremost, I would like to express my sincere gratitude and deep appreciation to my supervisors Dr. S. P. Singh and Prof. D. K. Banwet for their continuous support during my PhD study and research. I sincerely appreciate their amazing dedication, constant words of encouragement, and immeasurable wisdom which paved the way to accomplish a wealth of knowledge. They have been a relentless source of inspiration to me and the completion of this thesis is attributable to their zealous interest of my progress and attainment of reaching the pinnacle in my PhD work. Words are not enough to express my heartfelt gratitude to them. I could not have imagined having a better advisors and mentors for my PhD study.

I also wish to express my warm and sincere thanks to my Student Research Committee (SRC) members Prof. Ravi Shankar (Chairman), Prof. S.S. Yadav (Internal Expert), Dr. Abhijit Mujumdar (External Expert) for their encouragement and insightful comments. I would like to extend my gratitude to other distinguished faculty members of Department of Management Studies, IIT Delhi for their moral support and encouragement throughout my research journey. In particular, I wish to thank Prof. Kanika T. Bhal, Prof. Sushil, Prof. S.K. Jain, Dr. Vigneswara Ilavarasan, and Dr. Seema Sharma. I also express my gratitude to faculty members from other department Prof. S.G. Deshmukh and Dr. M.S. Kulkarni for their valuable insights.

I am very lucky to have my department colleagues who made it a convivial place to work. In particular, I would like to thank Mr. Vivek Soni, Ms. Harpreet Kaur, Mr. Ravi Kumar, Mrs. Aarti Singh, Mrs. Seema Shukla, Mrs. Vandana Verma, Dr. Neetu Yadav, Mr. Abhay Badani, and all other DMS colleagues. Also, I would like to thank to my friends from outside my department; Dr. Chandu Madankar, Mrs. Swati Singh, Dr. Avinash Samvedi, Dr. Shantanu Lale, for their continuous help and support throughout my stay at Delhi.

The most challenging and interesting journey of my PhD would not have been completed without love and support from my family members. My profound heartfelt

gratitude to my parents Mr. Rambhau Ware and Mrs. Keshar Ware for their great patience and wise decisions to support me in every up and downs of my life. Because of their visionary decisions, my whole life goes into tremendous transformation. Specially, thanks to my elder brother Mr. Prashant for always being standing with me and guided throughout my life with his personal sweet and sore experiences. I never forget cheerful encouragement and confidence boosting worth-full heavy compliments of my younger sister Ms. Priyanka who never allow me to down myself at every hard and fast situation. Also, I extend my gratitude to my sister-in-law Mrs. Shital Ware and niece Ms. Pranjal who always reminds me my responsibilities and gives inspiration to finish this journey successfully.

I also would like to convey thanks to those who put me in my difficult times as well as who left me in those difficult times which will always remind me who I am and why I am so. Every time, which keeps me to concentrate on my goal.

I am thankful to the experts from companies who helped me to finish this research with real industry data in developing a case study. I deeply appreciate to my karate Master Mr. Rajesh Kumar for his dedication and sincere guidance to excel me in martial art which results into black belt in *Shito Ryu* karate style. Apart from combat techniques his mind blowing life tips on mental health, breathing control and patience helps me to find the positive way for live happily.

I humble myself in expressing my eternal thankfulness to God for all the blessings that he bestowed on me and my family; for healthy life, prosperity and all the wonderful things that we have been blessed with in this lifetime.

**Nilesh Rambhau Ware**

## ABSTRACT

“Supplier selection” is the basic building block for any sustainable supply chain network. It is a process of finding the best/alternative set of potential suppliers, at the right time, at the right price, in the right quantity with right quality for a stipulated time horizon. For sustainable and dynamic market scenario, every organization focused on their core competencies while non-core competence activities get outsourced. Supplier gets involved in the product outsourcing process. Cost of materials and services for finished product incurred more than 60% of total sales. Selection of right supplier results in reducing operational cost, lead time; while increasing product quality, profit, competitiveness, customer satisfaction. In the competitive market, all boundaries collapse between firms and collaborative work environment is at first priority. To identify the best supplier(s), various direct and indirect criteria involved which are influencing on supplier evaluation and selection process. Therefore, this problem is considered to be multi-criteria decision making (MCDM) problem. In this process many conflicting criteria gets involved which needs to be ranked or given preference according to organization’s policy. Various qualitative as well as quantitative approaches are available in the literature. From a comprehensive literature review, numerous gaps were identified. To bridge these gaps three broad objectives mentioned which is considered as the contribution to the body of knowledge. This research also gives many insightful implications to academicians, researchers and practitioners.

Major contributions made in this research are as follows:

- A comprehensive literature review is done and identifies research issues related to the supplier selection in an automotive industry.
- MICMAC analysis in ISM based methodology here provides the idea on driver-dependence power to all supplier selection factors.

- Comparative study of qualitative methodologies such as AHP, fuzzy-AHP, fuzzy-TOPSIS, IRP, weighted-IRP is done to rank the suppliers.
- Mixed-integer non-linear programming (MINLP) model is developed for multi-time period, multi-source and multi-product scenario supplier selection problem under deterministic scenario. This MINLP mathematical model is illustrated with two different sized problems (2T-4S-2P and 4T-10S-7P problems).
- A new approach of flexible supplier selection integrates the results of qualitative and quantitative methods to get better results considering long term buyer-supplier relationship. Two numerical illustrations 3T-6S-3P and 4T-6S-6P problem are provided to show the working methodology.
- A mathematical model for a stochastic business scenario is proposed. The proposed model handles multi-source, multi-product problem with addition for a multi-time period. The proposed model is developed for three cases where 1) supplier's capacity is stochastic 2) demand is stochastic, and 3) both capacity and demand is stochastic. A chance constraint mathematical approach is used to solve the proposed stochastic model. Two numerical illustrations 2T-4S-3P and 10T-10S-10P are also provided here.
- A case study: Real time data from an automotive company is collected and the proposed model is tested and validated for the real data.

**Keywords:** Outsourcing, Supplier selection, Supplier evaluation, MCDM

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<b>Page No.</b>
Certificate	i
Acknowledgement	ii-iii
Abstract	iv-v
Table of Contents	vi-ix
List of Figures	x-xi
List of Tables	xii-xiv
List of Abbreviations	xv-xvi
<b>CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>1-16</b>
1.1 Supplier selection	1
1.2 Supplier identification process	6
1.3 Supplier evaluation process	7
1.3.1. Through a quotation	7
1.3.2. Through comparing all potential suppliers	8
1.3.3. Through negotiation on terms and conditions	8
1.4 Getting the right supplier	8
1.5 Objectives of the research	10
1.6 Scope of the research	12
1.7 Organization of thesis	12
1.8 Concluding remarks	16
<b>CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	<b>17-33</b>
2.1 Introduction	17
2.2 Types of supplier selection problem	17
2.3 Classification of supplier selection literature	20
2.4 Methods for supplier selection	27
2.4.1 Analytic hierarchy process	27
2.4.2 Analytic network process	27
2.4.3 Data envelopment analysis	29
2.4.4 Linear and non-linear programming	30

2.4.5 Fuzzy set techniques	30
2.4.6 Multi-objective problems	31
2.4.7 Optimization techniques	31
2.4.8 Other methodologies	31
2.5 Gaps from the literature	33
2.6 Research questions	33
2.7 Concluding remarks	33

### **CHAPTER 3: QUALITATIVE METHODS: SUPPLIER'S RANKING PROCESS**

	<b>34-77</b>
3.1 Introduction	34
3.2 Supplier selection factors	35
3.3 Interpretive structural modelling (ISM)	46
3.3.1 Numerical illustration: Development of ISM model	50
3.4 Analytic hierarchy process (AHP)	55
3.4.1 Numerical illustration: AHP	58
3.5 Fuzzy-AHP approach	60
3.5.1 Numerical illustration: Fuzzy-AHP	63
3.6 Fuzzy-TOPSIS approach	66
3.6.1 Numerical illustration: Fuzzy-TOPSIS	68
3.7 Interpretive ranking process (IRP)	70
3.7.1 Numerical illustration: IRP	72
3.8 Weighted-IRP	73
3.9 Comparative study of qualitative methods for supplier selection	74
3.10 Concluding remarks	77

### **CHAPTER 4: DETERMINISTIC DYNAMIC SUPPLIER SELECTION**

	<b>78-102</b>
4.1 Introduction	78
4.2 Mathematical model for dynamic supplier selection problem	80
4.3 Numerical illustration for MINLP	84
4.4 Analysing variability of proposed MINLP model	97
4.4.1 An illustrative example for demand variability	98

4.5 Concluding remarks	101
------------------------	-----

**CHAPTER 5: FLEXIBLE SUPPLIER SELECTION: AN INTEGRATED APPROACH**

**103-129**

5.1 Introduction	103
5.2 Qualitative approach	104
5.2.1 Analytical hierarchy process	104
5.2.2 Interpretive ranking process	106
5.3 Quantitative approach	107
5.4 Modelling flexible supplier selection framework	108
5.5 Illustrative examples	111
5.5.1 Analytical hierarchy process	112
5.5.2 Interpretive ranking process	113
5.5.3 Mixed integer non-linear programme	116
5.5.4 Flexible supplier selection	118
5.6 Concluding remarks	129

**CHAPTER 6: STOCHASTIC DYNAMIC SUPPLIER SELECTION**

**130-150**

6.1 Introduction	130
6.2 Mathematical model for stochastic dynamic supplier selection problem	132
6.2.1 Case 1: Stochastic supplier's capacity	135
6.2.2 Case 2: Stochastic demand	136
6.2.3 Case 3: Stochastic supplier's capacity and demand	138
6.3 Numerical illustrations	139
6.3.1 An illustrative example 1: 2T-4S-3P problem	139
6.3.2 An illustrative example 2: 10T-10S-10P problem	146
6.4 Concluding remarks	150

**CHAPTER 7: A CASE STUDY**

**151-162**

7.1 Introduction	151
7.2 Qualitative approach	153
7.2.1 Case Study: AHP approach	153
7.2.2 Case study: IRP approach	154
7.2.3 Case study: Weighted-IRP	156

7.2.4 Integer Linear Programming Model for Rank Aggregation	157
7.3 Quantitative approach	159
7.3.1 Case study results: Deterministic approach	159
7.3.2 Case study results: Stochastic approach	160
7.4 Case study: Flexible supplier selection approach	161
7.5 Concluding remarks	162

**CHAPTER 8: SUMMAY AND CONCLUSION** **163-169**

8.1 Introduction	163
8.2 Summary of research work	164
8.3 Major contributions of the research	165
8.4 Implications of the research	166
8.4.1 Implications to academicians/researchers	166
8.4.2 Implications to industry practitioners	167
8.5 Limitations of the research and scope of future research	168
8.6 Concluding remarks	169

**REFERENCES** **170-180**

**APPENDICES** **A1-A27**

Appendix-A: Data set for AHP	A1-A3
Appendix-B: Data set for Fuzzy-AHP	A4-A13
Appendix-C: Data set for Fuzzy-TOPSIS	A14
Appendix-D: LINGO Code and Results	A15-A19
Appendix-E: Data set for Flexible Supplier Selection: AHP	A20-A21
Appendix-F: Data set for Flexible Supplier Selection (3T-6S-3P)	A22-A23
Appendix-G: Data set for Flexible Supplier Selection (4T-6S-6P)	A24-A26
Appendix-H: Data set for Stochastic scenario Problem	A27

**List of Publications**

**Profile of the Researcher**

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure No.	Title	Pg. No.
1.1	Flows in Supply chain Management	2
1.2	Major Purchasing Process (Aissaoui, 2007)	4
1.3	Research Design Flow Chart	11
2.1	Solution methodology based categorical distribution of published research papers	21
2.2	Distribution of papers on Supplier selection: A literature review (Extension of Ware et. al, 2012)	25
3.1	Categorized supplier selection factors (Ware <i>et al.</i> , 2012)	40
3.2	Steps involved in the development of ISM	49
3.3	Driver- Dependence power Matrix (MICMAC Analysis)	53
3.4	ISM-based supplier selection model	54
3.5	Intersection between $M_1$ and $M_2$	62
3.6	Relative weights of alternative, sub-criteria and Criteria in hierarchy form	65
3.7	Interpretive Ranking Process (Sushil, 2009)	71
3.8	Alternative Comparison w.r.t. qualitative tools	76
3.9	Qualitative tools comparison w.r.t alternatives	76
4.1	Supplier-Part distribution for both period 1 and period 2	87
4.2	Product distribution for Period $T_1$	94
4.3	Product distribution for Period $T_2$	94
4.4	Product distribution for Period $T_3$	95
4.5	Product distribution for Period $T_4$	95
4.6	Quantity distribution for product 1	100
4.7	Quantity distribution for product 2	100
4.8	Quantity distribution for product 3	100

<b>Figure No.</b>	<b>Title</b>	<b>Pg. No.</b>
4.9	Quantity distribution for product 4	101
4.10	Quantity distribution for product 5	101
5.1	Flowchart for flexible supplier selection framework	110
5.2	Final priority weights for criteria and alternatives by AHP approach	112
5.3	Cross-Interaction Matrix (a) and (b)	115
6.1	Distribution of order procurement by suppliers (Stochastic Capacity)	143
6.2	Distribution of order procurement by suppliers (Stochastic Demand)	145
6.3	Distribution of order procurement by suppliers (Stochastic Capacity and Demand)	146
6.4	LINGO solver output (10T-10S-10P problem)	147

## LIST OF TABLES

<b>Table No.</b>	<b>Title</b>	<b>Pg. No.</b>
2.1	List of Journals and the frequency of the papers published considered for literature review	25
2.2	Supplier selection methodologies Literature review	28
2.3	Classification of Supplier selection problem similar to proposed research	32
3.1	Literature review of ISM for supplier selection	47
3.2	Structural Self-Interaction Matrix (SSIM)	51
3.3	Initial Reachability Matrix	52
3.4	Final Reachability Matrix	52
3.5	Final Iteration	53
3.6	Average random index (RI) or random consisting index (RCI) based on matrix size (adapted from Saaty's paper)	57
3.7	Final weightages and ranking from AHP	59
3.8	Fuzzy comparison matrix of the different criterion relevant to the overall objective	64
3.9	Calculation of final weight vector of alternatives with respect to primary goal by fuzzy-AHP	66
3.10	Fuzzy triangular number for sub-criteria ( $S_{11}$ ) with respect to alternative	68
3.11	Normalized fuzzy triangular numbers for sub-criteria ( $S_{11}$ ) with respect to alternative	68
3.12	The value of ideal and non-ideal distance with degree of closeness for sub criteria ( $S_{11}$ )	68
3.13	Calculation of final weight vector of alternatives with respect to primary goal by fuzzy-TOPSIS	68
3.14	Dominating Interaction Matrix	72
3.15	Dominance Matrix	72
3.16	IRP Final weights normalization	73

<b>Table No.</b>	<b>Title</b>	<b>Pg. No.</b>
3.17	Dominance matrix for Weighted IRP	74
3.18	Weighted-IRP Final weights normalization	74
3.19	Final weights comparison	75
3.20	Supplier's final ranking across various approaches	75
4.1	Randomly generated data for 2T-4S-2P problem	86
4.2	Final result of supplier assignment and quantity supplied for the respective product (2T-4S-3P problem)	86
4.3	Randomly generated data for the first period (4T-10S-7P problem)	88
4.4	Randomly generated data for the second period (4T-10S-7P problem)	89
4.5	Randomly generated data for the third period (4T-10S-7P problem)	90
4.6	Randomly generated data for the fourth period (4T-10S-7P problem)	91
4.7	Final result of supplier assignment and quantity supplied for the respective product (4T-10S-7P problem)	92
4.8	Different sets of demand data for all three time period	98
4.8	Product distribution results for different sets of demand in all time periods	99
5.1	Qualitative factors influencing on supplier selection used for AHP	111
5.2	Final priority weights for given suppliers using AHP	113
5.3	Dominating Interaction Matrix	114
5.4	Dominance Matrix- Ranking of Alternatives with respect to criteria	114
5.5	Final Ranking Comparison	116
5.6	Final supplier assignment by MINLP model (3T-6S-3P)	117
5.7	Final supplier assignment by MINLP model (4T-6S-6P)	118
5.8	Objective function values for respective possible replacement models	122
5.9	Deviational Matrix for objective function (in percentage)	122
5.10	Final supplier selection having deviation of up to 10%.	123
5.11	Objective function values for respective possible replacement models (4T-6S-6P)	127

<b>Table No.</b>	<b>Title</b>	<b>Pg. No.</b>
5.12	Deviational Matrix in percentage for objective function (4T-6S-6P)	128
6.1	Randomly generated data set for Time period 1(2T-4S-3P problem)	140
6.2	Randomly generated data set for Time period 2 (2T-4S-3P problem)	140
6.3	Stochastic supplier's capacity with estimated capacity and variance ( $SC, \sigma^2$ )	142
6.4	Final product quantity assignment to suppliers (Stochastic capacity)	143
6.5	Stochastic product demand with estimated demand and variance ( $D, \sigma^2$ )	144
6.6	Final product quantity assignment to suppliers (Stochastic demand)	145
6.7	Final product quantity assignment to suppliers for 2T-4S-3P problem (Stochastic capacity and demand)	146
6.8	Final product quantity assignment to suppliers for 10T-10S-10P problem (Stochastic capacity and demand)	148
7.1	Product details and supplier's cost range	152
7.2	Final weights of criteria with respect to overall goal	153
7.3	Final ranking of suppliers by AHP approach	154
7.4	Dominating interaction matrix	155
7.5	Dominance matrix	155
7.6	IRP final weights normalization	156
7.7	Weighted dominance matrix	156
7.8	Weighted-IRP final weights normalization	157
7.9	Consensus aggregate ranking	158
7.10	Supplier assignments for case study in T1 and T2 periods	159
7.11	Supplier assignments for case study in T3 and T4 periods	160
7.12	Final Flexible Supplier assignments for case study in all time periods	161

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AHP	Analytical Hierarchical Process
ANP	Analytical Network Process
BJP	Bhartiya Janta Party
BSE	Bombay Stock Exchange
CI	Consistency Index
CR	Consistency Ratio
DEA	Data Envelopment Analysis
DEMATEL	Decision Making Trial and Evaluation Laboratory
DSSP	Dynamic Supplier Selection Problem
ELECTRE	ELimination and Choice Expressing REality
FDI	Foreign direct investment
FNIS	Fuzzy Negative-Ideal Solution
FPIS	Fuzzy Positive-Ideal Solution
FSS	Flexible Supplier Selection
GA	Genetic Algorithm
GATT	General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade
ILP	Integer Linear Programming
IRP	Interpretive Ranking Process
ISM	Interpretive Structural Modeling
IT	Information Technology
KVIC	Khadi and Village Industries Commission
LP	Linear Programming
MAUT	Multi-Attribute Utility Theory

MCDM	Multi-Criteria Decision Making
MINLP	Mixed-Integer Non-Linear Program
QFD	Quality Function Deployment
R& D	Research and development
RI	Random Index
SA	Simulated Annealing
SAP-LAP	Situation-actor-process-learning-action-performance
SCM	Supply Chain Management
SS	Supplier Selection
SSIM	Self-Structural Interaction Matrix
TCO	Total Cost of Ownership
TOPSIS	Technique for Order of Preference by Similarity to Ideal Solution
TSSP	Traditional Supplier Selection Problem
UPA	United Progressive Alliance
VMI	Vendor managed inventory
VSS	Vendor Selection Systems