

POWER QUALITY IMPROVEMENT IN BATTERY CHARGERS OF LIGHT ELECTRIC VEHICLES

RADHA KUSHWAHA



**DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING
INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY DELHI**

AUGUST 2020

© Indian Institute of Technology Delhi (IITD), New Delhi, 2020

POWER QUALITY IMPROVEMENT IN BATTERY CHARGERS OF LIGHT ELECTRIC VEHICLES

by

RADHA KUSHWAHA

Department of Electrical Engineering

Submitted

in fulfilment of the requirements of the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

to the



INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY DELHI

AUGUST 2020

CERTIFICATE

It is certified that the thesis entitled “**Power Quality Improvement in Battery Chargers of Light Electric Vehicles,**” being submitted by **Ms. Radha Kushwaha** for award of the degree of **Doctor of Philosophy** in the Department of Electrical Engineering, Indian Institute of Technology Delhi, is a record of the student work carried out by her under my supervision and guidance. The matter embodied in this thesis, has not been submitted for the award of any other degree or diploma.

Dated: 20th August, 2020

(Dr. Bhim Singh)

**Professor
Electrical Engineering Department
Indian Institute of Technology Delhi
Hauz Khas, New Delhi-110016, India**

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I wish to express my deepest gratitude and indebtedness to **Prof. Bhim Singh** for providing me guidance and consistent supervision to carry out the Ph.D. work. Working under him has been a wonderful experience, which has provided a deep insight to the world of research. Determination, dedication, innovativeness, resourcefulness and discipline of **Prof. Bhim Singh** have been the inspiration for me to complete this work. His consistent encouragement, continuous monitoring and commitments to excellence have always motivated me to improve my work and use the best of my capabilities. Due to his blessing, I have earned various experiences other than research, which will help me throughout my life.

My sincere thanks and deep gratitude are to **Prof. Sukumar Mishra, Prof. N. Senroy,** and **Prof. Ashu Verma** all SRC members for their valuable guidance and consistent support during my research work.

I wish to convey my sincere thanks to **Prof. Bhim Singh, Prof. Sukumar Mishra, Prof. N. Senroy, Dr. Ramkrishnan Maheshwari** and **Dr. Anandrup Das** for their valuable inputs during my coursework, which made the foundation for my research work. I am grateful to **IIT Delhi** for providing me the research facilities. I wish to express my sincere gratitude to **Prof. G. Bhuvaneswari**, Prof. in-charge, PG Machine Lab., for providing me immense facilities to carry out experimental work. Thanks are due to Sh. Srichand, Sh. Puran Singh, and Sh. Jitendra of PG Machines Lab, IIT Delhi for providing me the facilities and assistance during this work.

I would like to offer my sincere thanks to Dr. Nishant Kumar, who was the source of inspiration for me to pursue PhD at IIT Delhi. Moreover, I would like to thank all my seniors, Dr. Chinmay Jain, Dr. Rajan Sonkar, Dr. Ikhlal Hussain, Dr. Arun Kumar Verma, Dr. Aman Jha, Dr. Swati Narula, Dr. Shikha Singh, Dr. Vashist Bist, Dr. Shailendra Kumar Dwivedi, Dr.

Aniket Anand, Mr. Anshul Varshney, Dr. Saurabh Shukla, Mr. Sai Pranith Girimaji, Ms. Nidhi Mishra, Dr. Geeta Pathak and Mr. Anjanee Mishra to motivate me in the starting of my research work. I would like to use this opportunity to thank Ms. Seema Kewat, Ms. Vandana Jain, Mr. Anjeet Verma, and Mr. Utkarsh Sharma who have constantly helped me on all technical issues. My sincere thanks are due to Ms. Yashi and Ms. Shatakshi Jha for co-operation and informal support in pursuing this research work. I would like to thank Mr. Priyank Shah, Mr. VL Srinivas, Mr. Deepu Vijay M, Ms. Aakanksha Rajput, Mr. Shadab Murshid, Mr. Piyush Kant, Mr. Tripurari Nath, Mr. Sudip Bhattacharyya, Mr. Nikhil Krishna, Ms. Jyoti Prakash Shukla, Ms. Akshita Mishra, Ms. Vasudha Agrawal and all other colleges for their valuable aid and co-operation. Moreover, I would like to thank Ms. Nupur, Mr. Arayadip, Mr. Bilal, Mr. Debashish, Mr. Gaurav, Mr. Gurmeet, Mr. Jitendra, Mr. Munesh, Mr. Priyabrat, Ms. Rashmi, Mr. Sambasivaiah, Mr. Sandeep, Ms. Shalvi, Mr. Sharan, Mr. Shivam, Mr. Shreejith, Mr. Somnath, Mr. Souvik, Ms. Tabish, Mr. Vineet, Mr. Utsav, Mr. Kashif, Ms. Shubhra, Mr. Khusro, Mr. Amar, Mr. Sunil, Mr. Vivek, Mr. Rahul, Ms. Hina, Mr. Deepak, Mr. Sayan, Ms. Farheen, Mr. Tomar, Ms. Rohini, Ms. Pavitra, and all PG machines lab group for their valuable support. How could I forget my hostel mates, Ms. Abhilasha Panwar and Ms. Shruti Sharma, who supported and inspired me during my stay in ‘Himadri’ house. I would also like to thank Mr. Satish, Mr. Yatindra, Mr. Narendra, Mr. Sandeep and all other electrical engineering office staff for being supportive throughout. I am likewise thankful to those who have directly or indirectly helped me to finish my dissertation study.

Moreover, I would like to thank **Department of Science and Technology (DST)**, India for funding this research work under project grant number RP03128.

I owe it all to my loving father, Late **Mr. Ramphal**, mother, **Mrs. Sarojani Devi**, brother, **Mr. Ravi**, **Mr. Rishabh** and **Mr. Arun**, sisters, **Ms. Pramila**, **Ms. Anjula**, **Ms. Garima**, and **Ms Archana** for their dreams, blessings and constant encouragement. Their trust in my capabilities had been a key factor to all my achievements. Finally I would like to thank my loving husband **Mr. Vinay Kumar**, who has stood rock solid during every phase of my life with his constant support in all possible ways to ensure my research goes well thoroughly. I would like to thank my loving father in law, **Mr. Kashi Prasad**, mother in law, **Mrs. Asha Devi** and whole family for their valuable faith in me throughout this phase of life. I take this opportunity to thank the great assets of my life, my friends **Ms. Nibedita Parida**, **Ms. Neelakshi**, **Ms. Shilpi**, **Ms. Vidhi**, **Ms. Alankrita** and **Ms. Kshipra** to share my joy and happiness always.

At last, I am beholden to Almighty for their blessings to help me to raise my academic level to this stage. I pray for their benediction in my future endeavors. Their blessings may be showered on me for strength, wisdom and determination to achieve in future.

Date: 20th Aug, 2020

Radha Kushwaha

ABSTRACT

The objective of this work is the design and development of improved power quality based converters with improved efficiency and high power density for power factor correction at the front end of the conventional charger of light EVs. These converters are designed for low cost EVs such as E-rickshaw battery, either in two stage or single stage configurations. A diode bridge rectifier (DBR) is the basic circuit to convert available AC mains voltage into DC voltage for charging, which leaves behind many harmonics in the input side current owing to its nonlinear behavior resulting in poor power quality indices e.g. voltage distortion, high THD in source current, low PF, low DPF (Displacement Factor) and high CF (Crest Factor). For the conventional EV chargers with DBR and capacitor filter, the line current has high current THD in the order of 50-60% at rated battery current and a poor power factor (PF) in the range of 0.8-0.85. Hence, single phase PFC converters are used to improve the power quality of EV battery charger at AC mains.

Several topologies of single phase EV chargers with front-end PFC are reported in the literature, which are divided into five classes namely non-isolated PFC converters based charger, bridgeless non-isolated PFC converters based charger, isolated PFC converters based charger, bridgeless isolated PFC converters based charger and interleaved PFC converters based chargers. All these configuration has different advantages such as high efficiency, high power density, reduced semiconductor stress, low charge current ripple and low cost. The size and cost of the charger, are significantly affected by the control technique used for PFC converter. This work aims at the design of PFC converter in discontinuous conduction mode (DCM), which employs the voltage feedback based control with single voltage sensor to be used for inherent PFC and output voltage regulation. However, a constant current-constant voltage based charging method is used to

control the battery current at rated line and loading conditions. For two stage battery charger configuration, a flyback converter is used to regulate the charge current through the battery.

Many charger configurations with front-end single phase buck-boost PFC converters are designed and developed in this work to exhibit the improved PQ based operation in two stage EV chargers. Some overlooked features of bridged and bridgeless PFC converters, such as Cuk, Zeta, SEPIC, CSC and Landsman converter, with or without isolation, are discussed for single phase EV chargers. Moreover, many new and improved versions of bridged or bridgeless configuration such as Cuk, SEPIC, Luo and Zeta converters are presented along with interleaved configuration of PFC Cuk, Luo and Landsman converters.

The improved circuit performance and unity PF based charging performance of these EV battery chargers are assessed in MATLAB/Simulink based environment using a developed model. The obtained results are seen to be corroborated with the experimental validation of the hardware prototype, for all the configurations. The performance of PFC converters based chargers is evaluated at steady state as well as during dynamic condition for rated line voltage and loading conditions. Various input and output side quantities, device stresses as well as the voltage and current through different components are recorded as per the design and selection parameter. The improved power quality indices are observed as per the international regulations such as the IEC 61000-3-2 standard throughout the charging duration.

सार

इस कार्य का उद्देश्य लाइट ईवी के पारंपरिक चार्जर के सामने के छोर पर बिजली की गुणवत्ता में सुधार के लिए बेहतर दक्षता और उच्च शक्ति घनत्व के साथ बेहतर गुणवत्ता वाले बिजली आधारित कन्वर्टर का डिजाइन और विकास है। इन कन्वर्टर को ई-रिक्शा बैटरी जैसे कम लागत वाले ईवी के लिए डिजाइन किया गया है, या तो दो चरण या एकल चरण कॉन्फिगरेशन में। एक डायोड ब्रिज रेक्टिफायर डीबीआर चार्जिंग के लिए उपलब्ध एसी मैन्स वोल्टेज को डीसी वोल्टेज में परिवर्तित करने के लिए बुनियादी सर्किट है, जो नॉनलीनियर व्यवहार के कारण इनपुट साइड करंट में कई हार्मोनिक्स को छोड़ देता है जिसके परिणामस्वरूप खराब बिजली की गुणवत्ता वाले सूचकांक उदाहरणतः वोल्टेज विरूपण, स्रोत करंट में उच्च टीएचडी, कम पीएफ, कम डीपीएफ (विस्थापन कारक) और उच्च सीएफ (क्रेस्ट फैक्टर) प्राप्त होते हैं। डीबीआर और कैपेसिटर फिल्टर के साथ पारंपरिक ईवी चार्जर्स के लिए, लाइन करंट में 50-60% के क्रम में उच्च टीएचडी और 0.8-0.85 की सीमा में खराब पावर फैक्टर देखा गया है। इसलिए, एसी मैन्स में ईवी बैटरी चार्जर की बिजली की गुणवत्ता में सुधार के लिए एकल फेज पीएफसी कन्वर्टर का उपयोग किया जाता है।

फ्रंट-एंड पीएफसी के साथ सिंगल फेज ईवी चार्जर के कई टोपोलॉजी साहित्य में बताए गए हैं, जिन्हें पांच वर्गों में विभाजित किया गया है, गैर-पृथक पीएफसी कन्वर्टर आधारित चार्जर, ब्रिजलेस गैर-पृथक पीएफसी कन्वर्टर आधारित चार्जर, पृथक पीएफसी कन्वर्टर आधारित चार्जर, ब्रिजेज पृथक पीएफसी कन्वर्टर आधारित चार्जर और पीएफसी कन्वर्टर आधारित चार्जर। इन सभी विन्यासों में उच्च दक्षता, उच्च शक्ति घनत्व, कम अर्धचालक तनाव, कम चार्ज करंट तरंग और कम लागत जैसे विभिन्न फायदे हैं। चार्जर का आकार और लागत, पीएफसी कन्वर्टर के लिए उपयोग की जाने वाली नियंत्रण तकनीक से काफी प्रभावित होते हैं। इस कार्य का उद्देश्य पीएफसी कन्वर्टर के बंद प्रवाह मोड (डीसीएम) में डिजाइन करना है, जो अंतर्निहित पीएफसी और आउटपुट वोल्टेज विनियमन के लिए उपयोग किए जाने वाले एकल वोल्टेज सेंसर के साथ वोल्टेज फीडबैक आधारित नियंत्रण को

नियोजित करता है। हालांकि, रेटेड लाइन और लोडिंग स्थितियों पर बैटरी करंट को नियंत्रित करने के लिए एक निरंतर करंट-निरंतर वोल्टेज आधारित चार्जिंग विधि का उपयोग किया जाता है। दो चरण बैटरी चार्जर कॉन्फिगरेशन के लिए, एक फ़्लाईबैक कन्वर्टर का उपयोग बैटरी की चार्ज करंट को विनियमित करने के लिए किया जाता है।

फ्रंट-एंड सिंगल फेज बक-बूस्ट पीएफसी कन्वर्टर्स के साथ कई चार्जर कॉन्फिगरेशन डिज़ाइन और विकसित किए गए हैं जो दो चरण ईवी चार्जर में सुधार किए गए पीक्यू आधारित ऑपरेशन को प्रदर्शित करता है। एकल फेज ईवी चार्जर्स के लिए गैर-पृथक या पृथक, कक, ज़ीटा, सेपिक, सीएससी और लैंड्समैन कन्वर्टर जैसे ब्रिज और ब्रिजलेस पीएफसी कन्वर्टर्स की कुछ अनदेखी विशेषताओं पर चर्चा की गई है। इसके अलावा कक, सेपिक, लुओ और ज़ीटा कन्वर्टर्स जैसे ब्रिज या ब्रिजलेस कॉन्फिगरेशन के कई नए और बेहतर संस्करणों को पीएफसी कक, लुओ और लैंड्समैन कन्वर्टर्स के इंटरलीव्ड कॉन्फिगरेशन के साथ प्रस्तुत किया गया है।

इन ईवी बैटरी चार्जर्स के बेहतर सर्किट प्रदर्शन और एकक पीएफ आधारित चार्जिंग प्रदर्शन का मूल्यांकन एक विकसित मॉडल का उपयोग करके मैटलैब / सिमुलिक आधारित वातावरण में किया जाता है। प्राप्त किए गए परिणामों को सभी कॉन्फिगरेशन के लिए हार्डवेयर प्रोटोटाइप की प्रयोगात्मक मान्यता के साथ पुष्टि की जाती है। पीएफसी कन्वर्टर्स आधारित चार्जर्स के प्रदर्शन का मूल्यांकन स्थिर स्थिति के साथ-साथ रेटेड लाइन वोल्टेज और लोडिंग स्थितियों के लिए गतिशील स्थिति के दौरान किया जाता है। विभिन्न इनपुट और आउटपुट साइड मात्राएं, डिवाइस तनाव के साथ-साथ विभिन्न घटकों के वोल्टेज और करंट डिज़ाइन और चयन पैरामीटर के अनुसार दर्ज किए जाते हैं। चार्जिंग अवधि के दौरान सुधारित विद्युत गुणवत्ता सूचकांकों को आईईसी 61000-3-2 मानक जैसे अंतर्राष्ट्रीय नियमों के अनुसार देखा जाता है।

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page No
Certificate	i
Acknowledgement	ii
Abstract	iii
Table of Contents	vii
List of figures	xviii
List of Tables	liv
List of Abbreviations	lviii
List of Symbols	lix
CHAPTER I INTRODUCTION	
1.1 General	1
1.2 Electric Vehicle Technology	2
1.2.1 Vehicle Configurations	3
1.2.2 Types of Batteries Used in EVs	4
1.2.3 Electric Vehicle And Hybrid Vehicle (xEV) Industry in India	5
1.2.4 Conventional Battery Charger Topologies For EVs	6
1.3 Power Quality issues in EV Battery Chargers	8
1.3.1 Power Quality issues in Conventional DBR fed EV Chargers	8
1.3.2 Power Quality Standards and Power Quality Parameters	9
1.4 State of Art on PFC Converters	10
1.4.1 Conventional Boost Power Factor Correction	11
1.4.2 Two stage Buck-Boost PFC Converter	11
1.4.3 Single Stage Buck-Boost PFC Converter	12
1.5 Application of PFC Converters in EV Battery Chargers	12
1.6 Scope of Work	13
1.7 Outlines of Chapters	16
CHAPTER II LITERATURE REVIEW	
2.1 General	19
2.2 Literature Survey	20
2.2.1 Review on Power Quality Standards	20
2.2.2 Review on EV Battery Charger Topologies and Control Techniques	22
2.2.3 Review on PFC Converters	26
2.2.4 Review on DC-DC converters for PFC Converters based EV Battery Chargers	35
2.3 Identified Research Areas	37
2.4 Conclusions	40
CHAPTER III CLASSIFICATION AND CONFIGURATIONS OF PFC CONVERTERS BASED EV BATTERY CHARGERS	
3.1 General	41
3.2 Requirements of PFC Converters for EV Battery Chargers	41

3.3	Classification of PFC Converters for EV Battery Chargers	43
3.4	Configurations of PFC Converters For EV Battery Chargers	44
3.4.1	Non-Isolated PFC Converters For EV Battery Chargers	44
3.4.2	Bridgeless Non-Isolated PFC Converters Fed EV Battery Chargers	45
3.4.3	Isolated PFC Converters For EV Battery Chargers	47
3.4.4	Bridgeless Isolated PFC Converters For EV Battery Chargers	48
3.4.5	Interleaved PFC Converters For EV Battery Chargers	49
3.5	Performance Comparison of Various PFC Converters Based on Application in EV Battery Chargers	50
3.6	Conclusions	51

CHAPTER IV DESIGN, CONTROL AND IMPLEMENTATION OF EV BATTERY CHARGERS

4.1	General	53
4.2	Circuit Configurations of EV Battery Charger	54
4.2.1	DBR based EV Battery Charger (Without PFC)	54
4.2.2	Two stage Boost PFC Converter based EV Battery Charger	55
4.2.2.1	PFC Converter Operating in CCM	56
4.2.2.2	PFC Converter Operating in DCM	56
4.2.3	Two stage buck-boost PFC Converter based EV Battery Charger	57
4.2.3.1	PFC Converter Operating in CCM	57
4.2.3.2	PFC Converter Operating in DCM	58
4.2.4	Single Stage PFC Converter based EV Battery Charger	59
4.3	Design of Various Configurations of EV Battery Charger	60
4.3.1	DBR based EV Battery Charger	60
4.3.2	Two Stage Boost PFC Converter based EV Battery Charger	62
4.3.2.1	Design of boost PFC Converter in CCM	62
4.3.2.2	Design of boost PFC Converter in DCM	63
4.3.3	Two Stage Buck-Boost PFC Converter based EV Battery Charger	64
4.3.3.1	Design of buck-boost PFC Converter in CCM	65
4.3.3.2	Design of buck-boost PFC Converter in DCM	66
4.3.4	Single Stage PFC Converter based EV Battery Charger	67
4.4	Charging Modes of PFC Converter based EV battery Chargers	69
4.4.1	Constant Current (CC) Charging	69
4.4.2	Constant Voltage (CV) Charging	69
4.4.3	Constant Current/ Constant Voltage Charging	69
4.5	Control of EV battery Chargers	71
4.5.1	A DBR based EV Battery Charger	71
4.5.2	A Boost/Buck-Boost PFC Converter based EV Battery Charger	74
4.5.2.1	PFC Converter Operating in CCM	74
4.5.2.2	PFC Converter Operating in DCM	76
4.5.2.3	Control of Flyback Converter in Boost/Buck-Boost PFC Converter Based Charger	76
4.5.3	Single Stage PFC Converter Based EV Charger	79
4.6	MATLAB Based Modelling and Simulation of EV Battery Charger	79
4.7	Hardware Implementation of EV Battery Chargers	80

4.7.1	Hardware Implementation of DBR based EV Battery Charger	81
4.7.1.1	Development of Isolation and Amplification Circuit for PWM Signals	82
4.7.1.2	Development of Signal Conditioning Circuit for Voltage Sensors	83
4.7.1.3	Development of Signal Conditioning Circuit for Current Sensors	84
4.7.1.4	Development of Control Algorithm on Digital Signal Processor	85
4.7.2	Hardware Implementation of Boost, Buck-Boost and Single Stage PFC Converter based EV Battery Chargers	86
4.8	Results and Discussion	86
4.8.1	Performance of DBR based EV Battery Charger	86
4.8.1.1	Performance at Steady State	87
4.8.1.2	Dynamic Performance Under Supply Voltage Fluctuation	88
4.8.1.3	Power Quality Performance at Rated and Different Supply Voltages	89
4.8.2	Performance of Boost PFC Converter based EV Battery Charger	90
4.8.2.1	Performance at Steady State	90
4.8.2.2	Dynamic Performance Under Supply Voltage Fluctuation	92
4.8.2.3	Power Quality Performance at Rated and Different Supply Voltages	93
4.8.3	Performance of Buck-Boost PFC Converter based EV Battery Charger	94
4.8.3.1	Performance at Steady State	95
4.8.3.2	Dynamic Performance Under Supply Voltage Fluctuation	96
4.8.3.3	Power Quality Performance at Rated and Different Supply Voltages	97
4.8.4	Performance of Single Stage PFC Converter based EV Battery Charger	98
4.9	Effect of Varying DC-Link Voltage in Two Stage and Single Stage EV Battery Chargers	101
4.10	Performance Comparison of Various EV Battery Chargers Configurations	103
4.10.1	Comparison based on Power Quality Improvement at AC mains	103
4.10.2	Comparison based on Output Current Ripple Losses and Efficiency	103
4.10.3	Comparison based on Losses and Efficiency	104
4.10.4	Comparison based on Control Complexity	105
4.10.5	Comparison based on Number of Sensors and Cost	105
4.11	Conclusions	106

CHAPTER V DESIGN, CONTROL AND IMPLEMENTATION OF NON ISOLATED PFC CONVERTERS BASED EV BATTERY

CHARGER

5.1	General	107
5.2	Selection of Non-Isolated PFC Converters For EV Battery Charger	107
5.3	Configurations and Operation of Non-Isolated PFC Converters Based EV Battery Chargers	108
5.3.1	Non-Isolated PFC Buck-Boost Converter For EV Battery Charger	108
5.3.2	Non-Isolated PFC CSC Converter For EV Battery Charger	111
5.3.3	Non-Isolated PFC Cuk Converter For EV Battery Charger	113
5.3.4	Non-Isolated PFC Improved SEPIC Converter For EV Battery Charger	115
5.4	Design of Non-Isolated PFC Converters based EV Battery Chargers	118
5.4.1	Non-Isolated PFC Buck-Boost Converter based EV Battery Charger	122
5.4.2	Non-Isolated PFC CSC Converter based EV Battery Charger	123
5.4.3	Non-Isolated PFC Cuk Converter based EV Battery Charger	124
5.4.4	Non-Isolated PFC Improved SEPIC Converter based EV Battery Charger	126
5.5	Control of Non-Isolated PFC Converters Based EV Battery Chargers	129
5.6	MATLAB Based Modelling and Simulation of Non-Isolated PFC Converters based EV Battery Chargers	131
5.7	Hardware Validation of Non-Isolated PFC Converters based EV Battery Chargers	132
5.8	Results and Discussion	132
5.8.1	Performance of Non-Isolated PFC Buck-Boost Converter based EV Battery Chargers	133
5.8.1.1	Performance at Steady State	133
5.8.1.2	Dynamic Performance Under Supply Voltage Fluctuation	134
5.8.1.3	Power Quality Performance at Rated and Different Supply Voltages	136
5.8.2	Performance of Non-Isolated PFC CSC Converter based EV Battery Chargers	137
5.8.2.1	Performance at Steady State	137
5.8.2.2	Dynamic Performance Under Supply Voltage Fluctuation	139
5.8.2.3	Power Quality Performance at Rated and Different Supply Voltages	140
5.8.3	Performance of Non-Isolated PFC Cuk Converter based EV Battery Charger	142
5.8.3.1	Performance at Steady State	142
5.8.3.2	Dynamic Performance Under Supply Voltage Fluctuation	144
5.8.3.3	Power Quality Performance at Rated and Different Supply Voltages	145
5.8.4	Performance of Non-Isolated Improved PFC SEPIC Converter based EV Battery Chargers	146

5.8.4.1	Performance at Steady State	146
5.8.4.2	Dynamic Performance Under Supply Voltage Fluctuation	148
5.8.4.3	Power Quality Performance at Rated and Different Supply Voltages	149
5.9	Performance Comparison of Different Non-Isolated PFC Converters Based EV Battery Chargers	151
5.9.1	Comparison based on Total Part Count of PFC Converter	151
5.9.2	Comparison based on Improved Power Quality Parameters	152
5.9.3	Comparison based on Voltage and Current Stress on PFC Devices	153
5.9.4	Comparison based on EMI Performance and Application Requirement	153
5.10	Conclusions	154

CHAPTER VI DESIGN, CONTROL AND IMPLEMENTATION OF BRIDGELESS NON-ISOLATED PFC CONVERTERS FED EV BATTERY CHARGERS

6.1	General	156
6.2	Selection of Bridgeless Non-Isolated PFC Converters based EV Battery Charger	156
6.3	Configurations and Operation of Bridgeless Non-Isolated PFC Converters based EV Battery Chargers	157
6.3.1	Bridgeless Non-Isolated PFC Buck-Boost Converter based EV Battery Charger	157
6.3.2	Bridgeless Non-Isolated PFC Cuk (Negative Output) Converter based EV Battery Charger	160
6.3.3	Bridgeless Non-Isolated PFC Cuk (Positive Output) Converter based EV Battery Charger	167
6.3.4	Bridgeless Non-Isolated PFC Single Switch Cuk Converter based EV Battery Charger	171
6.3.5	Bridgeless Non-Isolated PFC Zeta-SEPIC Converter based EV Battery Charger	174
6.3.6	Bridgeless Non-Isolated PFC Luo Converter based EV Battery Charger	177
6.3.7	Bridgeless Non-Isolated PFC Landsman Converter based EV Battery Charger	179
6.3.8	Bridgeless Non-Isolated PFC SEPIC Converter based EV Battery Charger	182
6.4	Design of Bridgeless Non-Isolated PFC Converters based EV Battery Charger	185
6.4.1	Bridgeless Non-Isolated PFC Buck-Boost Converter based EV Battery Charger	188
6.4.2	Bridgeless Non-Isolated PFC Cuk (Negative Output) Converter based EV Battery Charger	189
6.4.3	Bridgeless Non-Isolated PFC Cuk (Positive Output) Converter based EV Battery Charger	191

6.4.4	Bridgeless Non-Isolated PFC Single Switch Cuk (Positive Output) Converter based EV Battery Charger	193
6.4.5	Bridgeless Non-Isolated PFC Zeta-SEPIC Converter based EV Battery Charger	195
6.4.6	Bridgeless Non-Isolated PFC Luo Converter based EV Battery Charger	196
6.4.7	Bridgeless Non-Isolated PFC Landsman Converter based EV Battery Charger	198
6.4.8	Bridgeless Non-Isolated PFC SEPIC Converter based EV Battery Charger	200
6.5	Control of Bridgeless Non-Isolated PFC Converters based EV Battery Charger	201
6.6	MATLAB Based Modelling and Simulation of Bridgeless Non-Isolated PFC Converters based EV Battery Charger	203
6.7	Hardware Validation of Bridgeless Non-Isolated PFC Converters based EV Battery Charger	203
6.8	Results and Discussion	204
6.8.1	Performance of Bridgeless Non-Isolated PFC Buck-Boost Converter based EV Battery Chargers	204
6.8.1.1	Performance at Steady State	204
6.8.1.2	Dynamic Performance Under Supply Voltage Fluctuation	206
6.8.1.3	Power Quality Performance at Rated and Different Supply Voltages	207
6.8.2	Performance of Bridgeless Non-Isolated PFC Cuk (Negative Output) Converter based EV Battery Charger	208
6.8.2.1	Performance at Steady State	208
6.8.2.2	Dynamic Performance Under Supply Voltage Fluctuation	211
6.8.2.3	Power Quality Performance at Rated and Different Supply Voltages	212
6.8.3	Performance of Bridgeless Non-Isolated PFC Cuk (Positive Output) Converter based EV Battery Charger	214
6.8.3.1	Performance at Steady State	214
6.8.3.2	Dynamic Performance Under Supply Voltage Fluctuation	216
6.8.3.3	Power Quality Performance at Rated and Different Supply Voltages	217
6.8.4	Performance of Bridgeless Non-Isolated PFC Single Switch Cuk Converter based EV Battery Charger	218
6.8.4.1	Performance at Steady State	218
6.8.4.2	Dynamic Performance Under Supply Voltage Fluctuation	220
6.8.4.3	Power Quality Performance at Rated and Different Supply Voltages	220
6.8.5	Performance of Bridgeless Non-Isolated PFC Zeta-SEPIC	222

	Converter based EV Battery Charger	
6.8.5.1	Performance at Steady State	222
6.8.5.2	Dynamic Performance Under Supply Voltage Fluctuation	223
6.8.5.3	Power Quality Performance at Rated and Different Supply Voltages	225
6.8.6	Performance of Bridgeless Non-Isolated PFC Luo Converter based EV Battery Charger	226
6.8.6.1	Performance at Steady State	226
6.8.6.2	Dynamic Performance Under Supply Voltage Fluctuation	228
6.8.6.3	Power Quality Performance at Rated and Different Supply Voltages	229
6.8.7	Performance of Bridgeless Non-Isolated PFC Landsman Converter based EV Battery Charger	230
6.8.7.1	Performance at Steady State	230
6.8.7.2	Dynamic Performance Under Supply Voltage Fluctuation	232
6.8.7.3	Power Quality Performance at Rated and Different Supply Voltages	233
6.8.8	Performance of Bridgeless Non-Isolated PFC SEPIC Converter based EV Battery Charger	235
6.8.8.1	Performance at Steady State	235
6.8.8.2	Dynamic Performance Under Supply Voltage Fluctuation	237
6.8.8.3	Power Quality Performance at Rated and Different Supply Voltages	237
6.9	Performance Comparison of Various Bridgeless Non-Isolated PFC Converters based EV Battery Charger	240
6.9.1	Comparison based on Total Part Count of PFC Converter	240
6.9.2	Comparison based on Improved Power Quality Parameters	241
6.9.3	Comparison based on Voltage and Current Stress on PFC Devices	242
6.9.4	Comparison based on EMI Performance and Application Requirement	243
6.10	Conclusions	243

CHAPTER VII DESIGN, CONTROL AND IMPLEMENTATION OF ISOLATED PFC CONVERTERS BASED EV BATTERY CHARGERS

7.1	General	245
7.2	Selection of Isolated PFC Converters based EV Battery Charger	245
7.3	Configurations and Operation of Isolated PFC Converters based EV Battery Chargers	246
7.3.1	Isolated PFC Zeta Converter based EV Battery Charger	246
7.3.2	Isolated PFC SEPIC Converter based EV Battery Charger	248
7.3.3	Isolated PFC Cuk Converter based EV Battery Charger	250

7.3.4	Isolated PFC Improved Luo Converter based EV Battery Charger	252
7.4	Design of Isolated PFC Converters based EV Battery Chargers	255
7.4.1	Isolated PFC Zeta Converter based EV Battery Charger	258
7.4.2	Isolated PFC SEPIC Converter based EV Battery Charger	259
7.4.3	Isolated PFC Cuk Converter based EV Battery Charger	261
7.4.4	Isolated PFC Improved Luo Converter based EV Battery Charger	263
7.5	Control of Isolated PFC Converters based EV Battery Charger	264
7.6	MATLAB Based Modelling and Simulation of Isolated PFC Converters based EV Battery Charger	266
7.7	Hardware Validation of Isolated PFC Converters based EV Battery Charger	267
7.8	Results and Discussion	267
7.8.1	Performance of Isolated PFC Zeta Converter based EV Battery Charger	267
7.8.1.1	Performance at Steady State	267
7.8.1.2	Dynamic Performance Under Supply Voltage Fluctuation	269
7.8.1.3	Power Quality Performance at Rated and Different Supply Voltages	271
7.8.2	Performance of Isolated PFC SEPIC Converter based EV Battery Charger	273
7.8.2.1	Performance at Steady State	273
7.8.2.2	Dynamic Performance Under Supply Voltage Fluctuation	274
7.8.2.3	Power Quality Performance at Rated and Different Supply Voltages	275
7.8.3	Performance of Isolated PFC Cuk Converter based EV Battery Charger	277
7.8.3.1	Performance at Steady State	277
7.8.3.2	Dynamic Performance Under Supply Voltage Fluctuation	279
7.8.3.3	Power Quality Performance at Rated and Different Supply Voltages	280
7.8.4	Performance of Isolated Improved Luo PFC Converter based EV Battery Charger	282
7.8.4.1	Performance at Steady State	282
7.8.4.2	Dynamic Performance Under Supply Voltage Fluctuation	283
7.8.4.3	Power Quality Performance at Rated and Different Supply Voltages	284
7.9	Performance Comparison of Different Isolated PFC Converters based EV Battery Charger	287
7.9.1	Comparison based on Total Part Count of PFC Converter	287
7.9.2	Comparison based on Improved Power Quality Parameters	288
7.9.3	Comparison based on Voltage and Current Stress on PFC Devices	288
7.9.4	Comparison based on EMI Performance and Application Requirement	289

CHAPTER VIII DESIGN, CONTROL AND IMPLEMENTATION OF BRIDGELESS ISOLATED PFC CONVERTERS BASED EV BATTERY CHARGERS

8.1	General	291
8.2	Selection of Bridgeless Isolated PFC Converters based EV Battery Charger	291
8.3	Configurations and Operation of Bridgeless Isolated PFC Converters based EV Battery Chargers	292
8.3.1	Bridgeless Isolated PFC SEPIC Converter based EV Battery Charger	293
8.3.2	Bridgeless Isolated PFC Cuk-SEPIC Converter based EV Battery Charger	297
8.3.3	Bridgeless Isolated PFC Zeta-Luo Converter based EV Battery Charger	301
8.3.4	Bridgeless Isolated PFC Half-bridge Converter based EV Battery Charger	303
8.4	Design of Bridgeless Isolated PFC Converters based EV Battery Chargers	306
8.4.1	Bridgeless Isolated PFC SEPIC Converter based EV Battery Charger	307
8.4.2	Bridgeless Isolated PFC Cuk-SEPIC Converter based EV Battery Charger	308
8.4.3	Bridgeless Isolated PFC Zeta-Luo Converter based EV Battery Charger	311
8.4.4	Bridgeless Isolated PFC Half-bridge Converter based EV Battery Charger	312
8.5	Control of Bridgeless Isolated PFC Converters based EV Battery Charger	313
8.6	MATLAB Based Modelling and Simulation of Bridgeless Isolated PFC Converters based EV Battery Charger	314
8.7	Hardware Validation of Bridgeless Isolated PFC Converters based EV Battery Charger	315
8.8	Results and Discussion	316
8.8.1	Performance of Bridgeless Isolated PFC SEPIC Converter based EV Battery Charger	316
8.8.1.1	Performance at Steady State	316
8.8.1.2	Dynamic Performance Under Supply Voltage Fluctuation	317
8.8.1.3	Power Quality Performance at Rated and Different Supply Voltages	319
8.8.2	Performance of Bridgeless Isolated PFC Cuk-SEPIC Converter based EV Battery Charger	321
8.8.2.1	Performance at Steady State	321
8.8.2.2	Dynamic Performance Under Supply Voltage Fluctuation	323
8.8.2.3	Power Quality Performance at Rated and Different Supply Voltages	324

8.8.3	Performance of Bridgeless Isolated PFC Zeta-Luo Converter based EV Battery Charger	326
8.8.3.1	Performance at Steady State	326
8.8.3.2	Dynamic Performance Under Supply Voltage Fluctuation	328
8.8.3.3	Power Quality Performance at Rated and Different Supply Voltages	329
8.8.4	Performance of Bridgeless Isolated PFC Half-bridge Converter based EV Battery Charger	331
8.8.4.1	Performance at Steady State	331
8.8.4.2	Dynamic Performance Under Supply Voltage Fluctuation	333
8.8.4.3	Power Quality Performance at Rated and Different Supply Voltages	334
8.9	Performance Comparison of Different Bridgeless Isolated PFC Converters based EV Battery Charger	336
8.9.1	Comparison based on Total Part Count of PFC Converter	336
8.9.2	Comparison based on Improved Power Quality Parameters	337
8.9.3	Comparison based on Voltage and Current Stress on PFC Devices	337
8.9.4	Comparison based on EMI Performance and Application Requirement	338
8.10	Conclusions	338

CHAPTER IX DESIGN, CONTROL AND IMPLEMENTATION OF INTERLEAVED PFC CONVERTERS BASED EV BATTERY CHARGERS

9.1	General	339
9.2	Selection of Interleaved PFC Converters based EV Battery Charger	339
9.3	Configurations and Operation of Interleaved PFC Converters based EV Battery Chargers	340
9.3.1	Interleaved PFC Cuk Converter based EV Battery Charger	340
9.3.2	Interleaved PFC Luo Converter based EV Battery Charger	344
9.3.3	Interleaved PFC Landsman Converter based EV Battery Charger	347
9.4	Design of Interleaved PFC Converters based EV Battery Chargers	351
9.4.1	Interleaved PFC Cuk Converter based EV Battery Charger	353
9.4.2	Interleaved PFC Luo Converter based EV Battery Charger	354
9.4.3	Interleaved PFC Landsman Converter based EV Battery Charger	356
9.5	Control of Interleaved PFC Converters based EV Battery Charger	357
9.6	MATLAB Based Modelling and Simulation of Interleaved PFC Converters based EV Battery Charger	359
9.7	Hardware Validation of Interleaved PFC Converters based EV Battery Charger	360
9.8	Results and Discussion	360
9.8.1	Performance of Interleaved PFC Cuk Converter based EV Battery Charger	360
9.8.1.1	Performance at Steady State	360

9.8.1.2	Dynamic Performance Under Supply Voltage Fluctuation	362
9.8.1.3	Power Quality Performance at Rated and Different Supply Voltages	363
9.8.2	Performance of Interleaved PFC Luo Converter based EV Battery Charger	364
9.8.2.1	Performance at Steady State	364
9.8.2.2	Dynamic Performance Under Supply Voltage Fluctuation	366
9.8.2.3	Power Quality Performance at Rated and Different Supply Voltages	367
9.8.3	Performance of Interleaved PFC Landsman Converter based EV Battery Charger	369
9.8.3.1	Performance at Steady State	369
9.8.3.2	Dynamic Performance Under Supply Voltage Fluctuation	371
9.8.3.3	Power Quality Performance at Rated and Different Supply Voltages	372
9.9	Performance Comparison of Different Interleaved PFC Converters based EV Battery Charger	374
9.9.1	Comparison based on Total Part Count of PFC Converter	374
9.9.2	Comparison based on Improved Power Quality Parameters	375
9.9.3	Comparison based on Voltage and Current Stress on PFC Devices	376
9.9.4	Comparison based on EMI Performance and Application Requirement	376
9.10	Conclusions	377
CHAPTER X MAIN CONCLUSIONS AND SCOPE FOR FUTURE WORK		
10.1	General	378
10.2	Main Conclusions	378
10.3	Scope For Further Work	384
REFERENCES		387
APPENDICES		409
LIST OF PUBLICATIONS		414
BIO-DATA		418

LIST OF FIGURES

Fig.1.1	Basic Architecture of EV Systems	2
Fig.1.2	Typical Battery Powered Electric Vehicle Schematic	4
Fig.1.3	Conceptual Structure of (a) PFC Converter for two stage EV charger (b) PFC Converter for single stage EV charger	12
Fig.3.1	Configurations and classification of different PFC converters based single phase EV chargers	44
Fig.3.2	An EV battery charger configuration with non-isolated PFC converter based on (a) Buck-boost Converter (b) CSC Converter	45
Fig.3.3	An EV battery charger configuration with non-isolated PFC converter based on (a) Cuk Converter (b) Improved SEPIC Converter	45
Fig.3.4	An EV battery charger configuration with non-isolated BL PFC converter based on (a) Buck-Boost Converter (b) Cuk Converter	46
Fig.3.5	An EV battery charger configuration with non-isolated BL PFC converter based on (a) Cuk Converter (positive output) (b) Cuk Converter (single switch)	46
Fig.3.6	An EV battery charger configuration with non-isolated BL PFC converter based on (a) Zeta-SEPIC Converter (b) Luo Converter	47
Fig.3.7	An EV battery charger configuration with non-isolated BL PFC converter based on (a) Modified Landsman Converter (b) Bridgeless Improved SEPIC Converter	47
Fig.3.8	An EV battery charger configuration with isolated PFC converter based on (a) Zeta Converter (b) SEPIC Converter	48
Fig.3.9	An EV battery charger configuration with isolated PFC converter based on (a) Cuk Converter (b) Improved Luo Converter	48
Fig.3.10	An EV battery charger configuration with BL isolated PFC converter based on (a) SEPIC Converter (b) Cuk-SEPIC Converter	49

Fig.3.11	An EV battery charger configuration with BL isolated PFC converter based on (a) Zeta-Luo Converter (b) Half bridge Converter	49
Fig.3.12	An EV battery charger configuration with Interleaved PFC converter based on (a) Cuk Converter (b) Luo Converter (c) Landsman Converter	50
Fig.4.1	Configuration of a DBR based EV battery charger with no PFC	55
Fig. 4.2	A boost PFC converter based two stage EV battery charger with CCM control	56
Fig. 4.3	A boost PFC converter based two stage EV battery charger with DCM control	57
Fig. 4.4	A buck-boost PFC converter based two stage EV battery charger with CCM control	58
Fig. 4.5	A buck-boost PFC converter based two stage EV battery charger with DCM control	59
Fig. 4.6	A Flyback PFC Converter based single stage EV battery charger with (a) CCM control (b) DCM Control	59
Fig. 4.7	Charging Profile of EV Battery with PFC Converter based Charger	70
Fig. 4.8	Basic Control Diagram of a DBR+flyback PFC Converter based EV charger	72
Fig. 4.9	PWM pulses for CC and CV modes	73
Fig.4.10	Waveforms of DCM flyback converter	73
Fig.4.11	Control unit of a PFC converter with CCM operation	75
Fig.4.12	Control unit of a PFC converter in DCM operation	76
Fig. 4.13	CC/CV Control Implementation for CC-CV charging of battery through Dual PI Control	77
Fig. 4.14	Matlab/Simulink Model of EV Battery Chargers (a) Non-isolated PFC converter based two stage EV chargers (b) Single stage isolated PFC converter based EV Chargers	79

Fig. 4.15	Photo of the Experimental Setup and work bench	81
Fig.4.16	(a) PCB for gating signal optical isolation and its signal conditioning (b) Interfacing circuits of gating signal optical isolation and its signal conditioning	82
Fig.4.17	(a) Developed PCB for voltage sensing and signal conditioning circuit (b) Interfacing circuit for voltage sensor and signal conditioning circuit	83
Fig.4.18	(a) developed PCB for current sensing and signal conditioning circuit (b) Interfacing circuit for current sensor and signal conditioning circuit	84
Fig. 4.19	Texas Instruments TMS320F28377S DSP Controller Kit	85
Fig. 4.20	Simulated performance of DBR based EV battery charger (No PFC) at steady state (a) During CC mode (b) CV mode	87
Fig. 4.21	Experimental performance of DBR based EV battery charger (No PFC) at steady state (a) During CC mode (b) CV mode	87
Fig. 4.22	Simulated performance of DBR based EV charger (No PFC) at dynamics in supply voltage (a) 220V-160V (b) 220V-260V	88
Fig. 4.23	Experimental performance of DBR based EV charger (No PFC) at dynamics in supply voltage (a) 220V-160V (b) 220V-260V	88
Fig. 4.24	Simulated line current THD profile of DBR based EV charger (No PFC) at line voltage (a) at rated 220V (b) at 160V (c) at 260V	89
Fig. 4.25	Improved power quality performance of DBR based EV charger with rated supply voltage (a) Source voltage and unity power factor current (b) Power profile of the charger with poor power quality indices (c) Source current THD (d) battery charging	89
Fig. 4.26	Improved power quality performance of DBR based EV charger with reduced supply voltage (a) Source voltage and unity power factor current (b) Power profile of the charger with poor power quality indices (c) Source current THD (d) battery charging	90

Fig. 4.27	Improved power quality performance of DBR based EV charger with increased supply voltage (a) Source voltage and unity power factor current (b) Power profile of the charger with poor power quality indices (c) Source current THD (d) battery charging	90
Fig. 4.28	Simulated performance of non-isolated boost PFC converter based two stage EV battery charger at steady state during (a) CC mode (b) CV mode	91
Fig. 4.29	Experimental performance of non-isolated boost PFC converter based two stage EV battery charger at steady state during (a) CC mode (b) CV mode	91
Fig. 4.30	Simulated performance of two stage non-isolated PFC boost converter based EV charger at dynamics in supply voltage (a) 220V-160V (b) 220V-260V	92
Fig. 4.31	Experimental performance of two stage non-isolated PFC boost converter based EV charger at dynamics in supply voltage (a) 220V-160V (b) 220V-260V	92
Fig. 4.32	Simulated line current THD profile of non-isolated boost PFC converter based two stage EV charger at line voltage (a) at rated 220V (b) at 160V (c) at 260V	93
Fig. 4.33	Improved power quality performance of the non-isolated boost PFC converter based charger with rated supply voltage (a) Source voltage and unity power factor current (b) Power profile of the charger with improved power quality indices (c) Source current THD	93
Fig. 4.34	Improved power quality performance of the non-isolated boost PFC converter based charger with reduced supply voltage (a) Source voltage and unity power factor current (b) Power profile of the charger with improved power quality indices (c) Source current THD	94
Fig. 4.35	Improved power quality performance of the non-isolated boost PFC converter based charger with increased supply voltage (a) Source voltage and unity power factor current (b) Power profile of the charger with improved power quality indices (c) Source current THD	94
Fig. 4.36	Simulated performance of non-isolated buck-boost PFC converter based two stage EV battery charger at steady state	95

during (a) CC mode (b) CV mode

Fig. 4.37	Experimental performance of non-isolated buck-boost PFC converter based two stage EV charger at steady state during (a) CC mode (b) CV mode	95
Fig. 4.38	Simulated performance of two stage non-isolated PFC buck-boost converter based EV charger at dynamics in supply voltage (a) 220V-160V (b) 220V-260V	96
Fig. 4.39	Simulated performance of two stage non-isolated PFC buck-boost converter based EV charger at dynamics in supply voltage (a) 220V-160V (b) 220V-260V	96
Fig. 4.40	Simulated line current THD profile of non-isolated buck-boost PFC converter based EV charger with line voltage (a) at rated 220V (b) at 160V (c) at 260V	97
Fig. 4.41	Improved power quality performance of the non-isolated buck-boost PFC converter based charger with rated supply voltage (a) Source voltage and unity power factor current (b) Power profile of the charger with improved power quality indices (c) Source current THD	97
Fig. 4.42	Improved power quality performance of the non-isolated buck-boost PFC converter based charger with supply voltage 172.8V (a) Source voltage and unity power factor current (b) Power profile of the charger with improved power quality indices (c) Source current THD	98
Fig. 4.43	Improved power quality performance of non-isolated buck-boost PFC converter based charger with supply voltage 258.6V (a) Source voltage and unity power factor current (b) Power profile of the charger with improved power quality indices (c) Source current THD	98
Fig. 4.44	Simulated performance of single stage isolated flyback PFC converter based EV battery charger at steady state during (a) CC mode (b) CV mode	99
Fig. 4.45	Experimental performance of single stage isolated flyback PFC converter based EV battery charger at steady state during (a) CC mode (b) CV mode	99
Fig. 4.46	Simulated performance of single stage isolated PFC flyback converter based EV charger at dynamics in supply voltage (a)	99

220V-160V (b) 220V-260V

Fig. 4.47	Experimental performance of single stage isolated PFC flyback converter based EV charger at dynamics in supply voltage (a) 220V-160V (b) 220V-260V	100
Fig. 4.48	Simulated line current THD profile of isolated flyback PFC converter based single stage EV charger at line voltage (a) at rated 220V (b) at 160V (c) at 260V	100
Fig. 4.49	Improved power quality performance of single stage flyback PFC converter based charger with rated 220V (a) Source voltage and unity PF current (b) Power profile of the charger with improved power quality indices (c) Source current THD (d) battery charging	100
Fig. 4.50	Improved power quality performance of single stage flyback PFC converter based charger with 162.5V (a) Source voltage and unity PF current (b) Power profile of the charger with improved power quality indices (c) Source current THD (d) battery charging	101
Fig. 4.51	Improved power quality performance of single stage flyback PFC converter based charger with 254.3V (a) Source voltage and unity PF current (b) Power profile of the charger with improved power quality indices (c) Source current THD (d) battery charging	101
Fig. 4.52	Dynamic performance of EV charger with buck-boost converter based two stage configuration over DC-link voltages (a) 200V to rated V _{dc} of 300V (b) rated V _{dc} of 300V to 200V	102
Fig. 4.53	Dynamic performance of the charger during (a) start up characteristics when DC-link or battery voltage changes from 46V - 58V (b) Shut down characteristics when DC-link or battery voltage changes from 58V -46V	102
Fig. 4.54	Effect of varying DC-link voltage on current THD for conventional and different proposed EV battery chargers	102
Fig. 4.55	Performance comparison of different EV battery chargers with Conventional Charger Topologies based on line current THD and PF	103
Fig. 4.56	Performance comparison of different EV battery chargers with Existing Battery Charger Topologies based on Efficiency	104
Fig. 5.1	Configuration of improved power quality EV Battery Charger with Non-isolated Buck-Boost PFC Converter	108

Fig. 5.2	Different operating modes of non-isolated buck-boost converter (a) Mode-I (b) Mode-II (c) Mode-III (d) Switching waveforms	109
Fig. 5.3	Configuration of improved power quality EV Battery Charger with Non-isolated CSC PFC Converter	112
Fig. 5.4	Different operating modes of non-isolated PFC CSC converter (a) Mode-I (b) Mode-II (c) Mode-III (d) Switching waveforms	113
Fig. 5.5	Configuration of improved power quality EV Battery Charger with Non-isolated Cuk PFC Converter	114
Fig. 5.6	Different operating modes of non-isolated PFC Cuk converter (a) Mode-I (b) Mode-II (c) Mode-III (d) Switching waveforms	115
Fig. 5.7	Configuration of improved power quality EV Battery Charger with Non-isolated Improved SEPIC PFC Converter	116
Fig. 5.8	Different operating modes of non-isolated PFC SEPIC converter (a) Mode-I (b) Mode-II (c) Mode-III (d) Switching waveforms	118
Fig. 5.9	Control diagram of Non-isolated PFC converter based EV Chargers (a) control of PFC converter (b) Control of flyback converter	131
Fig. 5.10	Matlab/Simulink Model of Non-isolated PFC converter based EV Battery Chargers	132
Fig. 5.11	Simulated performance of non-isolated PFC buck-boost converter based EV battery charger at steady state	133
Fig. 5.12	Experimental performance of non-isolated PFC Buck-Boost Converter at Steady State (a) input and output side waveforms of the Charger (b) DC-link voltage and current of PFC converter	134
Fig. 5.13	Experimental steady state performance of non-isolated PFC buck-boost converter based EV charger at rated input voltage with (a) Test waveforms of inductor current in DCM with diode voltage and current (b) Switch Voltage and Current Waveforms (v_{sw} and i_{sw})	134
Fig. 5.14	Simulated performance of non-isolated buck-boost PFC converter based EV charger at dynamics in supply voltage	135

Fig. 5.15	Experimental performance of non-isolated PFC buck-boost converter based charger at dynamics in supply voltage (a) change in supply voltage from 220V to 160V (b) change in supply voltage from 220V to 260V	135
Fig. 5.16	Simulated line current THD profile of non-isolated buck-boost PFC converter based EV charger with line voltage (a) at rated 220V (b) at 160V (c) at 260V	136
Fig. 5.17	Improved power quality performance of the non-isolated buck-boost PFC converter based charger with rated supply voltage (a) Source voltage and unity power factor current (b) Power profile of the charger with improved power quality indices (c) Source current THD	136
Fig. 5.18	Improved power quality performance of the non-isolated buck-boost PFC converter based charger with supply voltage 168.2V (a) Source voltage and unity power factor current (b) Power profile of the charger with improved power quality indices (c) Source current THD	137
Fig. 5.19	Improved power quality performance of the non-isolated buck-boost PFC converter based charger with supply voltage 256.4V (a) Source voltage and unity power factor current (b) Power profile of the charger with improved power quality indices (c) Source current THD	137
Fig. 5.20	Simulated performance of non-isolated PFC CSC converter based EV battery charger at steady state	138
Fig. 5.21	Experimental performance of non-isolated PFC CSC Converter at Steady State (a) input and output side waveforms of the Charger (b) DC-link voltage and current of PFC converter	138
Fig. 5.22	Experimental steady state performance of non-isolated PFC CSC converter based EV charger at rated input voltage showing (a) CSC inductor current i_{Li} and series capacitor voltage V_{C1} with source voltage (b) Switch Voltage and Current Waveforms (v_{sw} and i_{sw})	139
Fig. 5.23	Simulated performance of non-isolated CSC PFC converter based EV charger at dynamics in supply voltage	140
Fig. 5.24	Experimental performance of non-isolated PFC CSC converter based charger at dynamics in supply voltage (a) change in supply voltage from 220V to 160V (b) change in supply	140

voltage from 220V to 260V

Fig. 5.25	Simulated line current THD profile of non-isolated CSC PFC converter based EV charger with line voltage (a) at rated 220V (b) at 160V (c) at 260V	141
Fig. 5.26	Improved power quality performance of the non-isolated CSC PFC converter based charger with rated supply voltage (a) Source voltage and unity power factor current (b) Power profile of the charger with improved power quality indices (c) Source current THD	141
Fig. 5.27	Improved power quality performance of the non-isolated CSC PFC converter based charger with supply voltage 172.8V (a) Source voltage and unity power factor current (b) Power profile of the charger with improved power quality indices (c) Source current THD	141
Fig. 5.28	Improved power quality performance of the non-isolated CSC PFC converter based charger with supply voltage 258.6V (a) Source voltage and unity power factor current (b) Power profile of the charger with improved power quality indices (c) Source current THD	142
Fig. 5.29	Simulated performance of non-isolated PFC Cuk converter based EV battery charger at steady state	142
Fig. 5.30	Experimental performance of non-isolated PFC Cuk Converter at Steady State (a) input and output side waveforms of the Charger (b) DC-link voltage and current of PFC converter	143
Fig. 5.31	Experimental steady state performance of non-isolated PFC buck-boost converter based EV charger at rated input voltage with (a) Test waveforms of inductor current in DCM with diode voltage and current (b) Switch Voltage and Current Waveforms (v_{sw} and i_{sw})	143
Fig. 5.32	Simulated performance of non-isolated Cuk PFC converter based EV charger at dynamics in supply voltage	144
Fig. 5.33	Experimental performance of non-isolated PFC Cuk converter based charger at dynamics in supply voltage (a) change in supply voltage from 220V to 160V (b) change in supply voltage from 220V to 260V	144
Fig. 5.34	Simulated line current THD profile of non-isolated Cuk PFC	145

converter based EV charger with line voltage (a) at rated 220V (b) at 160V (c) at 260V

Fig. 5.35	Improved power quality performance of the non-isolated PFC Cuk converter based charger with supply voltage of 220V (a) Source voltage and unity power factor current (b) Power profile of the charger with improved power quality indices (c) Source current THD	145
Fig. 5.36	Improved power quality performance of the non-isolated PFC Cuk converter based charger with supply voltage 160V (a) Source voltage and unity power factor current (b) Power profile of the charger with improved power quality indices (c) Source current THD	146
Fig. 5.37	Improved power quality performance of the non-isolated PFC Cuk converter based charger with supply voltage 260V (a) Source voltage and unity power factor current (b) Power profile of the charger with improved power quality indices (c) Source current THD	146
Fig. 5.38	Simulated performance of non-isolated PFC Improved SEPIC converter based EV battery charger at steady state	147
Fig. 5.39	Experimental performance of non-isolated PFC Improved SEPIC Converter at Steady State (a) input and output side waveforms of the Charger (b) DC-link voltage and current of PFC converter	147
Fig. 5.40	Experimental steady state performance of non-isolated PFC Improved SEPIC converter based EV charger at rated input voltage with (a) Switch Voltage and Current Waveforms ($v_{s1,2}$ and $i_{s1,2}$) (b) output inductor in DCM with diode voltage (c) current in inductors $L_{i1,2}$ and voltage across the energy transfer capacitances in CCM	148
Fig. 5.41	Simulated performance of non-isolated Improved SEPIC PFC converter based EV charger at dynamics in supply voltage	149
Fig. 5.42	Experimental performance of non-isolated PFC Improved SEPIC converter based charger at dynamics in supply voltage (a) change in supply voltage from 70.7V to 55V (b) change in supply voltage from 70.7V to 95V	149
Fig. 5.43	Simulated line current THD profile of non-isolated Improved SEPIC PFC converter based EV charger with line voltage (a) at	150

rated 70.7V (b) at 55V (c) at 95V

Fig. 5.44	Improved power quality performance of the non-isolated Improved SEPIC converter based charger with supply voltage of 70.7V (a) Source voltage and unity power factor current (b) Power profile of the charger with improved power quality indices (c) Source current THD	150
Fig. 5.45	Improved power quality performance of the non-isolated Improved SEPIC converter based charger with supply voltage 55.6V (a) Source voltage and unity power factor current (b) Power profile of the charger with improved power quality indices (c) Source current THD	150
Fig. 5.46	Improved power quality performance of the non-isolated Improved SEPIC converter based charger with supply voltage 95.8V (a) Source voltage and unity power factor current (b) Power profile of the charger with improved power quality indices (c) Source current THD	151
Fig. 6.1	Configuration of improved power quality bridgeless non-isolated buck-boost PFC Converter based EV Battery Charger	158
Fig. 6.2	Different operating modes of bridgeless non-isolated Buck-Boost PFC converter: Mode-I, Mode-II and Mode-III (a)-(c) during positive half line (d)-(f) during negative half line	159
Fig. 6.3	Associated waveforms of bridgeless non-isolated Buck-Boost PFC converter (a) over complete cycle of supply voltage (b) over one switching cycle	159
Fig. 6.4	Configuration of improved power quality bridgeless non-isolated Cuk PFC Converter (Negative Output) based EV Battery Charger	161
Fig. 6.5	Different BL Cuk (Negative Output) Converters (a) Conventional Cuk Converter (b) Topology-1 [20] (c) Circulating current Due to interconnection of C_1 and C_2 in topology-1[198] (d) Topology-2 [20] (e) topology-3 with return current [198] thorough body diode (f) topology in [195] (g) topology in [196]	162
Fig. 6.6	Different operating modes of bridgeless non-isolated Cuk PFC converter (Negative Output): Mode-I, Mode-II and Mode-III (a)-(c) during positive half line (d)-(f) during negative half line	164

Fig. 6.7	Associated waveforms of bridgeless non-isolated Cuk PFC converter (Negative Output) (a) over complete cycle of supply voltage (b) over one switching cycle	165
Fig. 6.8	Operating modes of topology-3 with conventional control during positive half line (a) Switch ON period (b) switch OFF period	166
Fig. 6.9	Operating modes of new bridgeless converter with same driver signal during positive half line during (a) Switch ON (b) switch OFF	167
Fig. 6.10	Configuration of improved power quality bridgeless non-isolated Cuk PFC Converter (Positive Output) based EV Battery Charger	168
Fig. 6.11	Different operating modes of bridgeless non-isolated Cuk PFC converter (Positive Output): Mode-I, Mode-II and Mode-III (a)-(c) during positive half line (d)-(f) during negative half line	169
Fig. 6.12	Associated waveforms of bridgeless non-isolated Cuk PFC converter (Positive Output) (a) over complete cycle of supply voltage (b) over one switching cycle	170
Fig. 6.13	Configuration of improved power quality bridgeless non-isolated Cuk PFC Converter (Single Switch) based EV Battery Charger	171
Fig. 6.14	Different operating modes of bridgeless non-isolated Cuk PFC converter (Single Switch): Mode-I, Mode-II and Mode-III (a)-(c) during positive half line (d)-(f) during negative half line	173
Fig. 6.15	Associated waveforms of bridgeless non-isolated Cuk PFC converter (Single Switch) (a) over complete cycle of supply voltage (b) over one switching cycle	173
Fig. 6.16	Configuration of improved power quality bridgeless non-isolated Zeta-SEPIC PFC Converter based EV Battery Charger	174
Fig. 6.17	Different operating modes of bridgeless non-isolated Zeta-SEPIC PFC converter: Mode-I, Mode-II and Mode-III (a)-(c) during positive half line (d)-(f) during negative half line	175
Fig. 6.18	Associated waveforms of bridgeless non-isolated Zeta-SEPIC PFC converter (a) over complete cycle of supply voltage (b) over one switching cycle	176

Fig. 6.19	Configuration of improved power quality bridgeless non-isolated Luo PFC Converter based EV Battery Charger	177
Fig. 6.20	Different operating modes of bridgeless non-isolated Luo PFC converter: Mode-I, Mode-II and Mode-III (a)-(c) during positive half line (d)-(f) during negative half line	178
Fig. 6.21	Associated waveforms of bridgeless non-isolated Luo PFC converter (a) over complete cycle of supply voltage (b) over one switching cycle	179
Fig. 6.22	Configuration of improved power quality bridgeless non-isolated Landsman PFC Converter based EV Battery Charger	180
Fig. 6.23	Different operating modes of bridgeless non-isolated Landsman PFC converter: Mode-I, Mode-II and Mode-III (a)-(c) during positive half line (d)-(f) during negative half line	181
Fig. 6.24	Associated waveforms of bridgeless non-isolated Landsman PFC converter (a) over complete cycle of supply voltage (b) over one switching cycle	182
Fig. 6.25	Configuration of improved power quality bridgeless non-isolated SEPIC PFC Converter based EV Battery Charger	182
Fig. 6.26	Different operating modes of bridgeless non-isolated SEPIC PFC converter: Mode-I, Mode-II and Mode-III (a)-(c) during positive half line (d)-(f) during negative half line	184
Fig. 6.27	Associated waveforms of bridgeless non-isolated SEPIC PFC converter (a) over complete cycle of supply voltage (b) over one switching cycle	185
Fig. 6.28	Control diagram of Non-isolated PFC converter based EV Chargers (a) control of PFC converter (b) Control of flyback converter	202
Fig. 6.29	Matlab/Simulink Model of Bridgeless Non-isolated PFC converter based EV Battery Chargers	203
Fig. 6.30	Simulated performance of bridgeless non-isolated PFC Buck-Boost converter based EV battery charger at steady state	204
Fig. 6.31	Experimental performance of bridgeless non-isolated PFC Buck-Boost converter at Steady State (a) input and output side waveforms of the Charger (b) DC-link voltage of BL PFC	205

converter

Fig. 6.32	Experimental steady state performance bridgeless non-isolated PFC Buck-Boost converter based charger at rated input voltage with (a) Test waveforms of input inductor current in DCM (b) zoomed Switch Voltage and Current Waveforms ($v_{s1,2}$ and $i_{s1,2}$)	205
Fig. 6.33	Simulated performance of bridgeless non-isolated PFC Buck-Boost converter based EV charger at dynamics in supply voltage	206
Fig. 6.34	Experimental performance of bridgeless non-isolated PFC Buck-Boost converter based charger at dynamics in supply voltage (a) change in supply voltage from 220V to 160V (b) change in supply voltage from 220V to 260V	206
Fig. 6.35	Simulated line current THD profile of bridgeless non-isolated PFC Buck-Boost converter based EV charger with line voltage (a) at rated 220V (b) at 160V (c) at 260V	207
Fig. 6.36	Improved power quality performance of bridgeless non-isolated Buck-Boost converter based charger with rated 220V (a) Source voltage and unity PF current (b) Power profile of the charger with improved power quality indices (c) Source current THD	207
Fig. 6.37	Improved power quality performance of bridgeless non-isolated Buck-Boost converter based charger with reduced supply voltage (a) Source voltage and unity PF current (b) Power profile with improved power quality indices (c) Source current THD	208
Fig. 6.38	Improved power quality performance of bridgeless non-isolated Buck-Boost converter based charger with increased supply voltage (a) Source voltage and unity PF current (b) Power profile with improved power quality indices (c) Source current THD	208
Fig. 6.39	Simulated performance of bridgeless non-isolated PFC Cuk (Negative Output) converter based EV battery charger at steady state	209
Fig. 6.40	Experimental performance of bridgeless non-isolated PFC Cuk (Negative Output) converter at Steady State (a) input and output side waveforms of the Charger (b) DC-link voltage of BL PFC converter	209

Fig. 6.41	Experimental steady state performance bridgeless isolated PFC Cuk (Negative Output) converter based charger at rated input voltage with (a) Test waveforms of output inductor current in DCM (b) zoomed Switch Voltage and Current Waveforms ($v_{s1,2}$ and $i_{s1,2}$) (c) Capacitor voltages in CCM (no return current through L_{i1} and L_{i2} in +ve and -ve half respectively	210
Fig. 6.42	Simulated performance of bridgeless non-isolated PFC Cuk (Negative Output) converter based EV charger at dynamics in supply voltage	211
Fig. 6.43	Experimental performance of bridgeless non-isolated PFC Cuk (Negative Output) converter based charger at dynamics in supply voltage (a) change in supply voltage from 220V to 160V (b) change in supply voltage from 220V to 260V	212
Fig. 6.44	Simulated line current THD profile of bridgeless non-isolated PFC Cuk (Negative Output) converter based EV charger with line voltage (a) at rated 220V (b) at 160V (c) at 260V	212
Fig. 6.45	Improved power quality performance of bridgeless non-isolated PFC Cuk (Negative Output) converter based charger with rated supply voltage (a) Source voltage and unity power factor current (b) Power profile of the charger with improved power quality indices (c) Source current THD	213
Fig. 6.46	Improved power quality performance of bridgeless non-isolated PFC Cuk (Negative Output) converter based charger with reduced supply voltage (a) Source voltage and unity PF current (b) Power profile of the charger with improved power quality indices (c) Source current THD	213
Fig. 6.47	Improved power quality performance of bridgeless non-isolated PFC Cuk (Negative Output) converter based charger with increased supply voltage (a) Source voltage and unity PF current (b) Power profile of the charger with improved power quality indices (c) Source current THD	213
Fig. 6.48	Simulated performance of bridgeless non-isolated PFC Cuk (Positive Output) converter based EV battery charger at steady state	214
Fig. 6.49	Experimental performance of bridgeless non-isolated PFC Cuk (Positive Output) converter at Steady State (a) input and output side waveforms of the Charger (b) DC-link voltage of BL PFC	215

Fig. 6.50	Experimental steady state performance bridgeless non-isolated PFC Cuk (Positive Output) converter based charger at rated input voltage with (a) Test waveforms of output inductor current in DCM (b) zoomed Switch Voltage Waveforms ($v_{s1,2}$)	215
Fig. 6.51	Experimental steady state performance bridgeless non-isolated PFC Cuk (Positive Output) converter based charger at rated input voltage with (a) continuous voltage across the intermediate capacitors $C_{1,2}$ (b) Test waveforms of input inductor current in CCM	215
Fig. 6.52	Simulated performance of bridgeless non-isolated PFC Cuk (Positive Output) converter based EV charger at dynamics in supply voltage	216
Fig. 6.53	Experimental performance of bridgeless non-isolated PFC Cuk (Positive Output) converter based charger at dynamics in supply voltage (a) change in supply voltage from 220V to 160V (b) change in supply voltage from 220V to 260V	216
Fig. 6.54	Simulated line current THD profile of bridgeless non-isolated PFC Cuk (Positive Output) converter based EV charger with line voltage (a) at rated 220V (b) at 160V (c) at 260V	217
Fig. 6.55	Improved power quality performance of bridgeless non-isolated PFC Cuk (Positive Output) converter based charger with rated supply voltage (a) Source voltage and unity power factor current (b) Power profile of the charger with improved power quality indices (c) Source current THD	217
Fig. 6.56	Improved power quality performance of bridgeless non-isolated PFC Cuk (Positive Output) converter based charger with reduced supply voltage (a) Source voltage and unity PF current (b) Power profile of the charger with improved power quality indices (c) Source current THD	218
Fig. 6.57	Improved power quality performance of bridgeless non-isolated Cuk (Positive Output) converter based charger with increased supply voltage (a) Source voltage and unity PF current (b) Power profile of the charger with improved power quality indices (c) Source current THD	218
Fig. 6.58	Simulated performance of bridgeless non-isolated PFC Cuk (Single Switch) converter based EV battery charger at steady	219

state

Fig. 6.59	Experimental performance of bridgeless non-isolated PFC Cuk (Single Switch) converter at Steady State (a) input and output side waveforms of the Charger (b) DC-link voltage of BL PFC converter	219
Fig. 6.60	Experimental steady state performance bridgeless non-isolated PFC Cuk (Single Switch) converter based charger at rated input voltage with (a) Test waveforms of output inductor current in DCM (b) zoomed device Voltage and Current Waveforms (v_{s1} , v_{D0} and i_{s1} , i_{D0})	219
Fig. 6.61	Simulated performance of bridgeless non-isolated PFC Cuk (Single Switch) converter based EV charger at dynamics in supply voltage	220
Fig. 6.62	Experimental performance of bridgeless non-isolated PFC Cuk (Single Switch) converter based charger at dynamics in supply voltage (a) change in supply voltage from 220V to 160V (b) change in supply voltage from 220V to 260V	221
Fig. 6.63	Simulated line current THD profile of bridgeless non-isolated PFC Cuk (Single Switch) converter based EV charger with line voltage (a) at rated 220V (b) at 160V (c) at 260V	221
Fig. 6.64	Improved power quality performance of bridgeless non-isolated PFC Cuk (Single Switch) converter based charger with rated supply voltage (a) Source voltage and unity power factor current (b) Power profile of the charger with improved power quality indices (c) Source current THD	221
Fig. 6.65	Improved power quality performance of bridgeless non-isolated PFC Cuk (Single Switch) converter based charger with reduced supply voltage (a) Source voltage and unity PF current (b) Power profile of the charger with improved power quality indices (c) Source current THD	222
Fig. 6.66	Improved power quality performance of bridgeless non-isolated PFC Cuk (Single Switch) converter based charger with increased supply voltage (a) Source voltage and unity PF current (b) Power profile of the charger with improved power quality indices (c) Source current THD	222
Fig. 6.67	Simulated performance of bridgeless non-isolated PFC Zeta-SEPIC converter based EV battery charger at steady state	223

Fig. 6.68	Experimental performance of bridgeless non-isolated PFC Zeta-SEPIC converter at Steady State (a) input and output side waveforms of the Charger (b) DC-link voltage of BL PFC converter	223
Fig. 6.69	Experimental steady state performance bridgeless non-isolated PFC Zeta-SEPIC converter based charger at rated input voltage with (a) Test waveforms of output inductor current in DCM (b) zoomed Switch Voltage and Current Waveforms (v_{s2} and i_{s2})	224
Fig. 6.70	Simulated performance of bridgeless non-isolated PFC Zeta-SEPIC converter based EV charger at dynamics in supply voltage	224
Fig. 6.71	Experimental performance of bridgeless non-isolated PFC Zeta-SEPIC converter based charger at dynamics in supply voltage (a) change in supply voltage from 220V to 160V (b) change in supply voltage from 220V to 260V	224
Fig. 6.72	Simulated line current THD profile of bridgeless non-isolated PFC Zeta-SEPIC converter based EV charger with line voltage (a) at rated 220V (b) at 160V (c) at 260V	225
Fig. 6.73	Improved power quality performance of bridgeless non-isolated PFC Zeta-SEPIC converter based charger with rated supply voltage (a) Source voltage and unity power factor current (b) Power profile of the charger with improved power quality indices (c) Source current THD	225
Fig. 6.74	Improved power quality performance of bridgeless non-isolated PFC Zeta-SEPIC converter based charger with reduced supply voltage (a) Source voltage and unity PF current (b) Power profile of the charger with improved power quality indices (c) Source current THD	226
Fig. 6.75	Improved power quality performance of bridgeless non-isolated PFC Zeta-SEPIC converter based charger with increased supply voltage (a) Source voltage and unity PF current (b) Power profile of the charger with improved power quality indices (c) Source current THD	226
Fig. 6.76	Simulated performance of bridgeless non-isolated PFC Luo converter based EV battery charger at steady state	227
Fig. 6.77	Experimental performance of bridgeless non-isolated PFC Luo	227

	converter at Steady State (a) input and output side waveforms of the Charger (b) DC-link voltage of BL PFC converter	
Fig. 6.78	Experimental steady state performance bridgeless non-isolated PFC Luo converter based charger at rated input voltage with (a) Test waveforms of output inductor current in DCM (b) zoomed Switch Voltage and Current Waveforms	227
Fig. 6.79	Simulated performance of bridgeless non-isolated PFC Luo converter based EV charger at dynamics in supply voltage	228
Fig. 6.80	Experimental performance of bridgeless non-isolated PFC Luo converter based charger at dynamics in supply voltage (a) Step change in supply voltage from 220V to 160V (b) Step change in supply voltage from 220V to 260V	228
Fig. 6.81	Simulated line current THD profile of bridgeless non-isolated PFC Luo converter based EV charger with line voltage (a) at rated 220V (b) at 160V (c) at 260V	229
Fig. 6.82	Improved power quality performance of bridgeless non-isolated PFC Luo converter based charger with rated supply voltage (a) Source voltage and unity power factor current (b) Power profile of the charger with improved power quality indices (c) Source current THD	229
Fig. 6.83	Improved power quality performance of bridgeless non-isolated PFC Luo converter based charger with reduced supply voltage (a) Source voltage and unity PF current (b) Power profile of the charger with improved power quality indices(c) Source current THD	230
Fig. 6.84	Improved power quality performance of bridgeless non-isolated PFC Luo converter based charger with increased supply voltage (a) Source voltage and unity PF current (b) Power profile of the charger with improved power quality indices (c) Source current THD	230
Fig. 6.85	Simulated performance of bridgeless non-isolated PFC Landsman converter based EV battery charger at steady state	231
Fig. 6.86	Experimental performance of bridgeless non-isolated PFC Landsman converter at Steady State (a) input and output side waveforms of the Charger (b) DC-link voltage of BL PFC converter	231
Fig. 6.87	Experimental steady state performance bridgeless non-isolated	232

PFC Landsman converter based charger at rated input voltage with (a) CCM operation of input inductors (b) CCM Operation of energy transfer Capacitances

Fig. 6.88	Experimental steady state performance bridgeless non-isolated PFC Landsman converter based charger at rated input voltage with (a) Test waveforms of input inductor current in DCM (b) zoomed Switch Voltage and Current Waveforms	232
Fig. 6.89	Simulated performance of bridgeless non-isolated PFC Landsman converter based EV charger at dynamics in supply voltage	233
Fig. 6.90	Experimental performance of bridgeless non-isolated PFC Landsman converter based charger at dynamics in supply voltage (a) change in supply voltage from 220V to 160V (b) change in supply voltage from 220V to 260V	232
Fig. 6.91	Simulated line current THD profile of bridgeless non-isolated PFC Landsman converter based EV charger with line voltage (a) at rated 220V (b) at 160V (c) at 260V	234
Fig. 6.92	Improved power quality performance of bridgeless non-isolated PFC Landsman converter based charger with rated supply voltage (a) Source voltage and unity power factor current (b) Power profile of the charger with improved power quality indices (c) Source current THD	234
Fig. 6.93	Improved power quality performance of bridgeless non-isolated PFC Landsman converter based charger with reduced supply voltage (a) Source voltage and unity PF current (b) Power profile of the charger with improved power quality indices (c) Source current THD	234
Fig. 6.94	Improved power quality performance of bridgeless non-isolated PFC Landsman converter based charger with increased supply voltage (a) Source voltage and unity PF current (b) Power profile of the charger with improved power quality indices (c) Source current THD	235
Fig. 6.95	Simulated performance of bridgeless non-isolated PFC SEPIC converter based EV battery charger at steady state	235
Fig. 6.96	Experimental performance of bridgeless non-isolated PFC SEPIC converter at Steady State (a) input and output side waveforms of the Charger (b) DC-link voltage of BL PFC	236

converter

Fig. 6.97	Experimental steady state performance bridgeless non-isolated PFC SEPIC converter based charger at rated input voltage with (a) BL operation of Output inductors in DCM (b) voltage and current stress through switches $S_{1,2}$ and zoomed Switch Voltage and Current Waveforms (c) common input inductor L_i and intermediate capacitors in CCM	236
Fig. 6.98	Simulated performance of bridgeless non-isolated PFC SEPIC converter based EV charger at dynamics in supply voltage	238
Fig. 6.99	Experimental performance of bridgeless non-isolated PFC SEPIC converter based charger at dynamics in supply voltage (a) Step change in supply voltage from 220V to 160V (b) Step change in supply voltage from 220V to 260V	238
Fig. 6.100	Simulated line current THD profile of bridgeless non-isolated PFC SEPIC converter based EV charger with line voltage (a) at rated 220V (b) at 160V (c) at 260V	238
Fig. 6.101	Improved power quality performance of bridgeless non-isolated SEPIC converter based charger with rated supply voltage (a) Source voltage and unity power factor current (b) Power profile of the charger with improved power quality indices (c) Source current THD	239
Fig. 6.102	Improved power quality performance of bridgeless non-isolated SEPIC converter based charger with reduced supply voltage (a) Source voltage and unity PF current (b) Power profile of the charger with improved power quality indices (c) Source current THD	239
Fig. 6.103	Improved power quality performance of bridgeless non-isolated SEPIC converter based charger with increased supply voltage (a) Source voltage and unity PF current (b) Power profile of the charger with improved power quality indices (c) Source current THD	239
Fig. 7.1	Configuration of improved power quality isolated Zeta PFC Converter based EV Battery Charger	247
Fig. 7.2	Different operating modes of isolated Zeta PFC converter (a) Mode-I (b) Mode-II (c) Mode-III (d) Switching waveforms	248
Fig. 7.3	Configuration of improved power quality isolated SEPIC PFC	249

Converter based EV Battery Charger

Fig. 7.4	Different operating modes of isolated SEPIC PFC converter (a) Mode-I (b) Mode-II (c) Mode-III (d) Switching waveforms	250
Fig. 7.5	Configuration of improved power quality isolated Cuk PFC Converter based EV Battery Charger	251
Fig. 7.6	Different operating modes of isolated Cuk PFC converter (a) Mode-I (b) Mode-II (c) Mode-III (d) Switching waveforms	252
Fig. 7.7	Basic Luo converter (a) Elementary Non-isolated negative output Luo Converter Circuit (b) Elementary isolated positive output Luo Converter Circuit	251
Fig. 7.8	Configuration of improved power quality isolated improved Luo PFC Converter based EV Battery Charger	253
Fig. 7.9	Different operating modes of isolated improved Luo PFC converter (a) Mode-I (b) Mode-II (c) Mode-III (d) Switching waveforms	255
Fig. 7.10	Control diagram of isolated PFC converter based EV Chargers during CC-CV mode	265
Fig. 7.11	Matlab/Simulink Model of Isolated PFC converter based EV Battery Charger	266
Fig. 7.12	Simulated performance of isolated PFC Zeta converter based EV battery charger at steady state	268
Fig. 7.13	Experimental performance of isolated PFC Zeta converter at Steady State (a) input and output side waveforms of the Charger (b) Test waveforms of different components (v_{sw} , i_{sw} , V_{C1} , I_{Lo}) at nominal value of source voltage	268
Fig. 7.14	Experimental steady state performance of isolated PFC Zeta converter based EV charger at rated input voltage with (a) zoomed Switch Voltage and Current Waveforms (v_{sw} and i_{sw}) (b) Test waveforms of HFT primary and secondary winding current (i_{pri} , i_{sec}) in DCM	269
Fig. 7.15	Simulated performance of isolated PFC Zeta converter based EV charger at dynamics in supply voltage	270
Fig. 7.16	Experimental performance of isolated PFC Zeta converter	270

based charger at dynamics in supply voltage (a) change in supply voltage from 220V to 160V (b) change in supply voltage from 220V to 260V

Fig. 7.17	Simulated line current THD profile of isolated PFC Zeta converter based EV charger with line voltage (a) at rated 220V (b) at 160V (c) at 260V	271
Fig. 7.18	Improved power quality performance of the isolated PFC Zeta converter based charger with rated supply voltage (a) Source voltage and unity power factor current (b) Power profile of the charger with improved power quality indices (c) Source current THD (d) battery charging	271
Fig. 7.19	Improved power quality performance of the isolated PFC Zeta converter based charger with reduced supply voltage (a) Source voltage and unity power factor current (b) Power profile of the charger with improved power quality indices (c) Source current THD(d) battery charging	272
Fig. 7.20	Improved power quality performance of the isolated PFC Zeta converter based charger with increased supply voltage (a) Source voltage and unity power factor current (b) Power profile of the charger with improved power quality indices (c) Source current THD (d) battery charging	272
Fig. 7.21	Improved power quality performance of the isolated PFC Zeta converter based charger with rated supply voltage in CV mode (a) unity power factor mains current (b) Power profile of the charger with improved power quality indices (c) Source current THD (d) battery charging	272
Fig. 7.22	Simulated performance of isolated PFC Cuk converter based EV battery charger at steady state	273
Fig. 7.23	Experimental performance of isolated PFC SEPIC Converter based charger at Steady State (a) input and output side waveforms of the Charger (b) waveforms of voltage across C_1 and current through the inductor L_o	274
Fig. 7.24	Experimental steady state performance of isolated PFC SEPIC Converter based charger at rated input voltage with (a) Test waveforms of primary and secondary currents (i_{pri} and i_{sec}) through HFT in DCM (b) Switch Voltage and Current Waveforms (v_{sw} and i_{sw})	274

Fig. 7.25	Simulated performance of isolated PFC SEPIC converter based EV charger at dynamics in supply voltage	275
Fig. 7.26	Experimental performance of isolated PFC SEPIC converter based charger at dynamics in supply voltage (a) change in supply voltage from 220V to 160V (b) change in supply voltage from 220V to 260V	275
Fig. 7.27	Simulated line current THD profile of isolated PFC SEPIC converter based EV charger with line voltage (a) at rated 220V (b) at 160V (c) at 260V	276
Fig. 7.28	Improved power quality performance of the isolated PFC SEPIC converter based charger with rated supply voltage (a) Source voltage and unity power factor current (b) Power profile of the charger with improved power quality indices (c) Source current THD (d) battery charging	276
Fig. 7.29	Improved power quality performance of the isolated PFC SEPIC converter based charger with supply voltage 168.5V (a) Source voltage and unity power factor current (b) Power profile of the charger with improved power quality indices (c) Source current THD (d) battery charging	277
Fig. 7.30	Improved power quality performance of the isolated PFC SEPIC converter based charger with supply voltage 254.3V (a) Source voltage and unity power factor current (b) Power profile of the charger with improved power quality indices (c) Source current THD (d) battery charging	277
Fig. 7.31	Improved power quality performance of the isolated SEPIC converter based charger at rated supply voltage in CV mode (a) Source voltage and UPF current (b) Power profile of the charger with improved power quality indices (c) Source current THD (d) battery charging	277
Fig. 7.32	Simulated performance of isolated PFC Cuk converter based EV battery charger at steady state	278
Fig. 7.33	Experimental performance of isolated PFC Cuk Converter based charger at Steady State (a) input and output side waveforms of the Charger (b) waveforms of voltage across C_1 and current through the inductor L_o	278
Fig. 7.34	Experimental steady state performance of isolated PFC Cuk Converter based charger at rated input voltage with (a) Test	279

waveforms of primary and secondary currents (i_{pri} and i_{sec}) through HFT in DCM (b) Switch Voltage and Current Waveforms (v_{sw} and i_{sw})

Fig. 7.35	Simulated performance of isolated PFC Cuk converter based EV charger at dynamics in supply voltage	279
Fig. 7.36	Experimental performance of isolated PFC Cuk converter based charger at dynamics in supply voltage (a) change in supply voltage from 220V to 160V (b) change in supply voltage from 220V to 260V	280
Fig. 7.37	Simulated line current THD profile of isolated PFC Cuk converter based EV charger with line voltage (a) at rated 220V (b) at 160V (c) at 260V	280
Fig. 7.38	Improved power quality performance of the isolated PFC Cuk converter based charger with rated supply voltage ((a) In phase line current with line voltage (b) charger active power, charger apparent power, charger reactive power, unity input PF (c) mains current THD of 3.3% (d) Battery charging in CC mode depicting lower ripple with isolated Luo converter	280
Fig. 7.39	Improved power quality performance of the isolated PFC Cuk converter based charger with supply voltage 165.3V (a) Source voltage and unity power factor current (b) Power profile of the charger with improved power quality indices (c) Source current THD(d) battery charging	281
Fig. 7.40	Improved power quality performance of the isolated PFC Cuk converter based charger with supply voltage 258.9V (a) Source voltage and unity power factor current (b) Power profile of the charger with improved power quality indices (c) Source current THD (d) battery charging	281
Fig. 7.41	Improved power quality performance of the isolated Cuk converter based charger at rated supply voltage in CV mode (a) Source voltage and UPF current (b) Power profile of the charger with improved power quality indices (c) Source current THD (d) battery charging	281
Fig. 7.42	Simulated performance of isolated improved Luo PFC converter based EV battery charger at steady state	282
Fig. 7.43	Experimental performance of isolated improved Luo PFC Converter at Steady State (a) input and output side waveforms	283

	of the Charger (b) Device Voltage and Current Stress, Continuous capacitor voltage v_{C1} and Current through L_o	
Fig. 7.44	Experimental steady state performance of isolated improved Luo PFC Converter at rated input voltage showing (a) HFT Voltages and Currents (b) Enlarged waveforms of (a)	283
Fig. 7.45	Simulated performance of isolated improved Luo PFC converter based EV charger at dynamics in supply voltage	284
Fig. 7.46	Experimental performance of isolated improved Luo PFC converter based charger at dynamics in supply voltage (a) change in supply voltage from 220V to 160V (b) change in supply voltage from 220V to 260V	284
Fig. 7.47	Simulated line current THD profile of isolated improved Luo PFC converter based EV charger with line voltage (a) at rated 220V (b) at 160V (c) at 260V	285
Fig. 7.48	Improved power quality performance of the isolated improved Luo PFC converter based charger with rated supply voltage ((a) In phase line current with line voltage (b) charger active power, charger apparent power, charger reactive power, unity input PF (c) mains current THD of 3.3% (d)-(e) Battery charging in CC mode depicting lower ripple with isolated Luo converter compared to isolated SEPIC converter	285
Fig. 7.49	Improved power quality performance of the isolated Luo PFC converter based charger with supply voltage 167.5V (a) Source voltage and unity power factor current (b) Power profile of the charger with improved power quality indices (c) Source current THD (d) battery charging	286
Fig. 7.50	Improved power quality performance of the isolated Luo PFC converter based charger with supply voltage 251.9V (a) Source voltage and unity power factor current (b) Power profile of the charger with improved power quality indices (c) Source current THD (d) battery charging	286
Fig. 7.51	Improved power quality performance of the isolated Luo converter based charger at rated supply voltage in CV mode (a) Source voltage and UPF current (b) Power profile of the charger with improved power quality indices (c) Source current THD (d) battery charging	286
Fig. 8.1	Configuration of improved power quality bridgeless isolated	293

SEPIC PFC Converter based EV Battery Charger

Fig. 8.2	Different operating modes of bridgeless isolated SEPIC PFC converter: Mode-I, Mode-II and Mode-III (a)-(c) during positive half line (d)-(f) during negative half line	296
Fig. 8.3	Associated waveforms of bridgeless SEPIC converter (a) over complete cycle of supply voltage (b) over one switching cycle	296
Fig. 8.4	Configuration of improved power quality bridgeless isolated Cuk-SEPIC PFC Converter based EV Battery Charger	297
Fig. 8.5	Different operating modes of bridgeless isolated Cuk-SEPIC PFC converter: Mode-I, Mode-II and Mode-III (a)-(c) during Cuk mode in positive half line (d)-(f) during SEPIC mode in negative half line	300
Fig. 8.6	Associated waveforms of bridgeless isolated Cuk-SEPIC converter (a) over complete cycle of supply voltage (b) over one switching cycle	300
Fig. 8.7	Configuration of improved power quality bridgeless isolated Zeta-Luo PFC Converter based EV Battery Charger	301
Fig. 8.8	Different operating modes of bridgeless isolated Zeta-Luo PFC converter: Mode-I, Mode-II and Mode-III (a)-(c) during Cuk mode in positive half line (d)-(f) during SEPIC mode in negative half line	302
Fig. 8.9	Associated waveforms of bridgeless isolated Zeta-Luo converter (a) over complete cycle of supply voltage (b) over one switching cycle	303
Fig. 8.10	Configuration of improved power quality bridgeless isolated Half Bridge PFC Converter based EV Battery Charger	304
Fig. 8.11	Associated waveforms of bridgeless isolated Half Bridge converter (a) over complete cycle of supply voltage (b) over one switching cycle	305
Fig. 8.12	Different operating modes of bridgeless isolated Half Bridge PFC converter: Mode-I, Mode-II and Mode-III (a)-(c) during positive half line (d)-(f) during negative half line	305
Fig. 8.13	Control diagram of bridgeless isolated PFC converter based EV Chargers during CC-CV mode	314

Fig. 8.14	Matlab/Simulnik Model of Bridgeless Isolated PFC converter based EV Battery Charger	315
Fig. 8.15	Simulated performance of bridgeless isolated PFC SEPIC converter based EV battery charger at steady state	316
Fig. 8.16	Experimental performance of bridgeless isolated PFC SEPIC converter at Steady State (a) input and output side waveforms of the Charger (b) Test waveforms of input inductor current i_{Li} with respect to source voltage and current	317
Fig. 8.17	Experimental steady state performance bridgeless isolated PFC SEPIC converter based charger at rated input voltage with (a) zoomed Switch Voltage and Current Waveforms (v_{sw} and i_{sw}) (b) Test waveforms of HFT primary and secondary winding current (i_{pri1} , i_{sec1}) in DCM	317
Fig. 8.18	Simulated performance of bridgeless isolated PFC SEPIC converter based EV charger at dynamics in supply voltage	318
Fig. 8.19	Experimental performance of bridgeless isolated PFC SEPIC converter based charger at dynamics in supply voltage (a) Step change in supply voltage from 220V to 160V (b) Step change in supply voltage from 220V to 260V	318
Fig. 8.20	Simulated line current THD profile of bridgeless isolated PFC SEPIC converter based EV charger with line voltage (a) at rated 220V (b) at 160V (c) at 260V	319
Fig. 8.21	Improved power quality performance of bridgeless isolated SEPIC converter based charger with rated supply voltage (a) Source voltage and unity power factor current (b) Power profile of the charger with improved power quality indices (c) Source current THD (d) battery charging	319
Fig. 8.22	Improved power quality performance of bridgeless isolated SEPIC converter based charger with reduced supply voltage (a) Source voltage and unity PF current (b) Power profile of the charger with improved power quality indices (c) Source current THD(d) battery charging	320
Fig. 8.23	Improved power quality performance of bridgeless isolated SEPIC converter based charger with increased supply voltage (a) Source voltage and unity PF current (b) Power profile of the charger with improved power quality indices (c) Source current	320

	THD (d) battery charging	
Fig. 8.24	Improved power quality performance of bridgeless isolated SEPIC converter based charger with rated 220V in CV mode (a) unity power factor mains current (b) Power profile of the charger with improved power quality indices (c) Source current THD (d) battery charging	320
Fig. 8.25	Simulated performance of bridgeless isolated PFC Cuk-SEPIC converter based EV battery charger at steady state	321
Fig. 8.26	Experimental performance of bridgeless isolated PFC Cuk-SEPIC based charger at Steady State (a) input and output side waveforms of the Charger (b) waveforms of current through the inductor L_o and voltage across C_1 in CCM	322
Fig. 8.27	Experimental performance of bridgeless isolated PFC Cuk-SEPIC Converter based charger at Steady State (a) Waveforms of voltage across input side energy transfer capacitors C_{i1} and C_{i2} in CCM (b) Waveforms of currents through input inductors L_{i1} and L_{i2} in CCM	322
Fig. 8.28	Experimental steady state performance of bridgeless isolated PFC Cuk-SEPIC Converter based charger at rated input voltage with (a) Test waveforms of primary and secondary currents ($i_{pri1,2}$ and $i_{sec1,2}$) through HFT in DCM (b) Switch Voltage and Current ($v_{s1,2}$ and $i_{s1,2}$)	323
Fig. 8.29	Simulated performance of bridgeless isolated PFC Cuk-SEPIC converter based EV charger at dynamics in supply voltage	323
Fig. 8.30	Experimental performance of bridgeless isolated PFC Cuk-SEPIC converter based charger at dynamics in supply voltage (a) Step change in supply voltage from 220V to 160V (b) Step change in supply voltage from 220V to 260V	323
Fig. 8.31	Simulated line current THD profile of bridgeless isolated PFC Cuk-SEPIC converter based EV charger with line voltage (a) at rated 220V (b) at 160V (c) at 260V	324
Fig. 8.32	Improved power quality performance of bridgeless isolated Cuk-SEPIC converter based charger with rated supply voltage (a) Source voltage and unity PF current (b) Power profile of the charger with improved power quality indices (c) Source current THD (d) battery charging	324

Fig. 8.33	Improved power quality performance of bridgeless isolated Cuk-SEPIC converter based charger with supply voltage 171V (a) Source voltage and unity PF current (b) Power profile of the charger with improved power quality indices (c) Source current THD (d) battery charging	325
Fig. 8.34	Improved power quality performance of bridgeless isolated Cuk-SEPIC converter based charger with supply voltage 251.9V (a) Source voltage and unity PF current (b) Power profile of the charger with improved power quality indices (c) Source current THD (d) battery charging	325
Fig. 8.35	Improved power quality performance of bridgeless isolated Cuk-SEPIC converter based charger at rated 220V in CV mode (a) Source voltage and UPF current (b) Power profile of the charger with improved power quality indices (c) Source current THD (d) battery charging	326
Fig. 8.36	Simulated performance of bridgeless isolated PFC Zeta-Luo converter based EV battery charger at steady state	326
Fig. 8.37	Experimental performance of bridgeless isolated PFC Zeta-Luo converter based charger at Steady State (a) input and output side waveforms of the Charger (b) waveforms of voltage across C_{o1} and C_{o2} in CCM	327
Fig. 8.38	Experimental steady state performance bridgeless isolated PFC Zeta-Luo converter based charger at rated input voltage with (a) Test waveforms of primary and secondary currents (i_{pri1} and i_{sec1}) through HFT in DCM (b) Switch Voltage and Current Waveforms ($v_{s1,2}$ and $i_{s1,2}$)	327
Fig. 8.39	Simulated performance of bridgeless isolated PFC Zeta-Luo converter based EV charger at dynamics in supply voltage	328
Fig. 8.40	Experimental performance of bridgeless isolated PFC Zeta-Luo converter based charger at dynamics in supply voltage (a) change in supply voltage from 220V to 160V (b) change in supply voltage from 220V to 260V	328
Fig. 8.41	Simulated line current THD profile of bridgeless isolated PFC Zeta-Luo converter based EV charger with line voltage (a) at rated 220V (b) at 160V (c) at 260V	329
Fig. 8.42	Improved power quality performance of bridgeless isolated Zeta-Luo converter based charger with rated supply voltage (	329

(a) In phase line current with line voltage (b) charger active power, charger apparent power, charger reactive power, unity input PF (c) mains current THD of 3.8% (d) Battery charging in CC mode depicting lower ripple with isolated Luo converter

Fig. 8.43	Improved power quality performance of bridgeless isolated Zeta-Luo converter based charger with supply voltage 161V (a) Source voltage and unity PF current (b) Power profile of the charger with improved power quality indices (c) Source current THD (d) battery charging	330
Fig. 8.44	Improved power quality performance of bridgeless isolated Zeta-Luo converter based charger with supply voltage 259.9V (a) Source voltage and unity PF current (b) Power profile of the charger with improved power quality indices (c) Source current THD (d) battery charging	330
Fig. 8.45	Improved power quality performance of bridgeless isolated Zeta-Luo converter based charger at rated 214.5V in CV mode (a) Source voltage and UPF current (b) Power profile of the charger with improved power quality indices (c) Source current THD (d) battery charging	330
Fig. 8.46	Simulated performance of bridgeless isolated half-bridge converter based EV battery charger at steady state	331
Fig. 8.47	Experimental performance of bridgeless isolated half-bridge converter based EV charger at Steady State (a) input and output side waveforms of the Charger (b) Output Diode currents and Current through $L_{m1,2}$ in DCM	332
Fig. 8.48	Experimental steady state performance of bridgeless isolated half-bridge converter based EV charger at rated input voltage showing (a) zoomed switch Voltages and Currents during positive half (b) zoomed switch Voltages and Currents during negative half	332
Fig. 8.49	Simulated performance of bridgeless isolated half-bridge converter based EV charger at dynamics in supply voltage	333
Fig. 8.50	Experimental performance of bridgeless isolated half-bridge converter based EV charger at dynamics in supply voltage (a) change in supply voltage from 220V to 160V (b) change in supply voltage from 220V to 260V	333
Fig. 8.51	Simulated line current THD profile of non-isolated CSC PFC	333

converter based EV charger with line voltage (a) at rated 220V (b) at 160V (c) at 260V

Fig. 8.52	Improved power quality performance of bridgeless isolated half-bridge converter based EV charger with rated supply voltage ((a) In phase line current with line voltage (b) charger active power, charger apparent power, charger reactive power, unity input PF (c) mains current THD of 3.3% (d)-(e) Battery charging in CC mode depicting lower ripple	334
Fig. 8.53	Improved power quality performance of bridgeless isolated half-bridge converter based EV charger with supply voltage 162.2V (a) Source voltage and unity PF current (b) Power profile with improved power quality indices (c) Source current THD (d) battery charging	334
Fig. 8.54	Improved power quality performance of bridgeless isolated half-bridge converter based EV charger with supply voltage 259.3V (a) Source voltage and unity PF current (b) Power profile with improved power quality indices (c) Source current THD (d) battery charging	334
Fig. 8.55	Improved power quality performance of bridgeless isolated half-bridge converter based charger at rated 220V in CV mode (a) Source voltage and UPF current (b) Power profile of the charger with improved power quality indices (c) Source current THD (d) battery charging	335
Fig. 9.1	Configuration of improved power quality EV charger with IL Cuk PFC Converter	341
Fig. 9.2	Operation of IL Cuk PFC Converter during six switching intervals (a) Mode-I (b) Mode-II (c) Mode-III (d) Mode-IV (e) Mode-V (c) Mode-V	342
Fig. 9.3	Associated key waveforms of IL Cuk PFC Converter fed EV Charger	342
Fig. 9.4	Configuration of improved power quality EV charger with IL Luo PFC Converter	344
Fig. 9.5	Operation of IL Luo PFC Converter during six switching intervals (a)-(c) Mode-I, II, III (upper switch S_1) (d)-(f) Mode-IV, V, VI (lower switch S_2)	345
Fig. 9.6	Associated key waveforms of IL Luo PFC Converter fed EV	346

Charger

Fig. 9.7	Configuration of improved Power Quality EV charger with IL Landsman PFC Converter	347
Fig. 9.8	Operation of IL Landsman Converter during six switching intervals (a) Mode-I (b) Mode-II (c) Mode-III (d) Mode-IV (e) Mode-V (c) Mode-VI	349
Fig. 9.9	Associated key waveforms of IL Landsman PFC Converter fed EV Charger	350
Fig. 9.10	Control diagram of Interleaved PFC converter based EV Chargers (a) control of PFC converter (b) Control of flyback converter	359
Fig. 9.11	Matlab/Simulnik Model of Non-isolated interleaved PFC converter based EV Battery Chargers	359
Fig. 9.12	Simulated performance of non-isolated PFC Cuk converter based EV battery charger at steady state	361
Fig. 9.13	Experimental performance of Interleaved PFC Cuk Converter at Steady State (a) input and output side waveforms of the Charger (b) DC-link voltage and current of PFC converter	361
Fig. 9.14	Experimental steady state performance of Interleaved PFC Cuk converter based EV charger at rated input voltage with (a) Test waveforms of input inductor current in DCM (b) Switch Voltage and Current Waveforms (v_{sw} and i_{sw}) with interleaved operation	362
Fig. 9.15	Simulated performance of interleaved Cuk PFC converter based EV charger at dynamics in supply voltage	362
Fig. 9.16	Experimental performance of interleaved PFC Cuk converter based charger at dynamics in supply voltage (a) change in supply voltage from 220V to 160V (b) change in supply voltage from 220V to 260V	363
Fig. 9.17	Simulated line current THD profile of interleaved Cuk PFC converter based EV charger with line voltage (a) at rated 220V (b) at 160V (c) at 260V	363
Fig. 9.18	Improved power quality performance of interleaved Cuk PFC converter based charger with supply voltage of 213.5V (a) Source voltage and unity power factor current (b) Power profile	364

	of the charger with improved power quality indices (c) Source current THD	
Fig. 9.19	Improved power quality performance of interleaved Cuk PFC converter based charger with supply voltage 168.7V (a) Source voltage and unity power factor current (b) Power profile of the charger with improved power quality indices (c) Source current THD	364
Fig. 9.20	Improved power quality performance of the interleaved Cuk PFC converter based charger with supply voltage 255.2V (a) Source voltage and unity power factor current (b) Power profile of the charger with improved power quality indices (c) Source current THD	364
Fig. 9.21	Simulated performance of interleaved PFC Luo converter based EV battery charger at steady state	365
Fig. 9.22	Experimental performance of interleaved PFC Luo Converter at Steady State (a) input and output side waveforms of the Charger (b) DC-link voltage and current of PFC converter	365
Fig. 9.23	Experimental steady state performance of interleaved PFC Luo converter based EV charger at rated input voltage with (a) Test waveforms of input inductor current in DCM with energy transfer capacitor voltage $V_{c1,2}$ (b) Waveforms of interleaved switch voltage stress ($v_{s1,2}$)	366
Fig. 9.24	Simulated performance of interleaved PFC Luo converter based EV charger at dynamics in supply voltage	367
Fig. 9.25	Experimental performance of interleaved PFC Luo converter based charger at dynamics in supply voltage (a) change in supply voltage from 220V to 160V (b) change in supply voltage from 220V to 260V	368
Fig. 9.26	Simulated line current THD profile of interleaved PFC Luo converter based EV charger with line voltage (a) at rated 220V (b) at 160V (c) at 260V	368
Fig. 9.27	Improved power quality performance of interleaved PFC Luo converter based charger with supply voltage of 218.5V (a) Source voltage and unity power factor current (b) Power profile of the charger with improved power quality indices (c) Source current THD	368
Fig. 9.28	Improved power quality performance of interleaved PFC Luo	368

	converter based charger with supply voltage 162.1V (a) Source voltage and unity power factor current (b) Power profile of the charger with improved power quality indices (c) Source current THD	
Fig. 9.29	Improved power quality performance of interleaved PFC Luo converter based charger with supply voltage 256.2V (a) Source voltage and unity power factor current (b) Power profile of the charger with improved power quality indices (c) Source current THD	370
Fig. 9.30	Simulated performance of interleaved Landsman PFC converter based EV battery charger at steady state	370
Fig. 9.31	Experimental performance of interleaved Landsman PFC Converter at Steady State (a) input and output side waveforms of the Charger (b) DC-link voltage and current of PFC converter	371
Fig. 9.32	Experimental steady state performance of interleaved Landsman PFC converter based EV charger at rated input voltage with (a) Test waveforms of input inductor current in DCM with reduced current stress (b) Switch Voltage and Current Waveforms ($v_{s1,2}$ and $i_{s1,2}$)	371
Fig. 9.33	Experimental steady state performance of interleaved Landsman PFC converter based EV charger at rated input voltage with (a) Test waveforms of input and output current ripple in DCM (b) Test waveforms of output inductor current and intermediate capacitor voltages in CCM	371
Fig. 9.34	Simulated performance of interleaved Landsman PFC converter based EV charger at dynamics in supply voltage	372
Fig. 9.35	Experimental performance of interleaved Landsman PFC converter based charger at dynamics in supply voltage (a) change in supply voltage from 220V to 160V (b) change in supply voltage from 220V to 260V	372
Fig. 9.36	Simulated line current THD profile of interleaved Landsman PFC converter based EV charger with line voltage (a) at rated 220V (b) at 160V (c) at 260V	373
Fig. 9.37	Improved power quality performance of interleaved Landsman PFC converter based charger with supply voltage of 213.7V (a) Source voltage and unity power factor current (b) Power profile of the charger with improved power quality indices (c) Source	373

current THD

- | | | |
|-----------|---|-----|
| Fig. 9.38 | Improved power quality performance of interleaved Landsman PFC converter based charger with supply voltage 170.1V (a) Source voltage and unity power factor current (b) Power profile of the charger with improved power quality indices (c) Source current THD | 373 |
| Fig. 9.39 | Improved power quality performance of interleaved Landsman PFC converter based charger with supply voltage 255.3V (a) Source voltage and unity power factor current (b) Power profile of the charger with improved power quality indices (c) Source current THD | 374 |

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1.1	Comparative analysais of different characteristics of various rechargeable batteries	4
Table 1.2	Electric vehicle manufacturers in india	5
Table 1.3	Specification of E rickshaw under test	8
Table 4.1	Performance Comparison of PFC Converter based Charger and Conventional Chargers	105
Table 5.1	Design values of different components for non-isolated PFC Buck-Boost converter for EV charger	123
Table 5.2	Design values of different components for non-isolated CSC PFC converter for EV charger	124
Table 5.3	Design values of different components for non-isolated Cuk PFC converter for EV Charger	126
Table 5.4	Design values of different components for non-isolated SEPIC PFC converter	129
Table 5.5	Comparison based on total part count in different non-isolated PFC converters for improved power quality based EV chargers	152
Table 5.6	Comparison based on Improved Power Quality Parameters in different non-isolated PFC converters for EV battery chargers	152
Table 5.7	Comparison based on Voltage and Current stresses in different non-isolated PFC converters for EV battery chargers	153
Table 6.1	Design values of different components for Bridgeless non-isolated PFC Buck-Boost converter for EV charger	189
Table 6.2	Design values of different components for Bridgeless non-isolated Cuk (Negative Output) PFC converter for EV Charger	191
Table 6.3	Design values of different components for bridgeless non-isolated Cuk (Positive Output) PFC converter for EV Charger	192

Table 6.4	Design values of different components for bridgeless non-isolated Single Switch Cuk PFC converter for EV Charger	194
Table 6.5	Design values of different components for Bridgeless non-isolated Zeta-SEPIC PFC converter for EV Charger	195
Table 6.6	Design values of different components for bridgeless non-isolated Luo PFC converter for EV Charger	198
Table 6.7	Design values of different components for bridgeless non-isolated Landsman PFC converter for EV Charger	199
Table 6.8	Design values of different components for bridgeless non-isolated SEPIC PFC converter for EV charger	201
Table 6.9	Comparison based on total part count in different bridgeless non-isolated PFC converters for improved power quality based EV chargers	241
Table 6.10	Comparison based on Improved Power Quality Parameters in different bridgeless non-isolated PFC converters for EV battery chargers	241
Table 6.11	Comparison based on Voltage and Current stresses in different non-isolated PFC converters for EV battery chargers	242
Table 7.1	Design values of different components for isolated PFC Zeta	259
Table 7.2	Design values of different components for isolated PFC SEPIC converter for EV charger	260
Table 7.3	Design values of different components for isolated PFC Cuk converter for EV charger	263
Table 7.4	Design values of different components for isolated Improved Luo PFC converter for EV charger	264
Table 7.5	Comparison based on total part count in different isolated PFC converters based improved power quality EV chargers	287
Table 7.6	Comparison based on Improved Power Quality	288

	Parameters in different isolated PFC converters based EV chargers	
Table 7.7	Comparison based on Voltage and Current stresses in different isolated PFC converters based improved power quality EV chargers	289
Table 8.1	Design values of different components for bridgeless isolated PFC SEPIC converter for EV charger	308
Table 8.2	Design values of different components for bridgeless isolated Cuk-SEPIC converter for EV charger	310
Table 8.3	Design values of different components for bridgeless isolated Zeta-Luo converter for EV charger	312
Table 8.4	Design values of different components for bridgeless isolated Half-bridge converter for EV charger	313
Table 8.5	Comparison based on total part count in different bridgeless isolated PFC converters based improved power quality EV chargers	336
Table 8.6	Comparison based on Improved Power Quality Parameters in different bridgeless isolated PFC converters based EV chargers	336
Table 8.7	Comparison based on Voltage and Current stresses in different bridgeless isolated PFC converters based improved power quality EV chargers	337
Table 9.1	Design values of different components of Interleaved PFC Cuk converter for EV battery charger	354
Table 9.2	Design values of different components of Interleaved PFC Luo converter for EV battery charger	355
Table 9.3	Design values of different components of Interleaved Landsman converter for EV battery charger	356
Table 9.4	Comparison based on total part count in different interleaved PFC converters for improved power quality based EV chargers	375
Table 9.5	Comparison based on Improved Power Quality Parameters in different interleaved PFC converters for	375

EV battery chargers

Table 9.6	Comparison based on Voltage and Current stresses in different interleaved PFC converters for EV battery chargers	376
-----------	--	-----

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AC	Alternating Current
BL	Bridgeless
BLDCM	Brushless DC Motor
CC-CV	Constant Current-Constant Voltage mode
CCM	Continuous Conduction Mode
CF	Crest Factor
CSC	Canonical Switching Cell
DBR	Diode Bridge Rectifier
DC	Direct Current
DCM	Discontinuous Conduction Mode
DF	Distortion Factor
DICM	Discontinuous Inductor Current Mode
DPF	Displacement Power Factor
DSP	Digital Signal Processor / Digital Signal Processing
EMI	Electro Magnetic Interference
EV	Electric Vehicle
HFT	High Frequency Transformer
IEC	International Electrotechnical Commission
IPQC	Improved Power Quality Converters
PF	Power Factor
PFC	Power Factor Correction
PQ	Power Quality
PWM	Pulse Width Modulation
SAE	Society of Automotive Engineers
SEPIC	Single Ended Primary Inductance Converter
THD	Total Harmonic Distortion
UPF	Unity Power factor

LIST OF SYMBOLS

C_1, C_{i1}, C_{i2}	Capacitance of Input Side Energy Transfer Capacitors (F)
C_2, C_{o1}, C_{o2}	Capacitance of Output Side Energy Transfer Capacitors (F)
C_{1c}	Critical value of Input Side Energy Transfer Capacitance (F)
C_{2c}	Critical value of Output Side Energy Transfer Capacitance (F)
C_{dc}	Capacitance of DC Link Capacitor (F)
C_b	Output Capacitor of Charger at battery side (F)
C_f	Capacitance of EMI filter Capacitor (F)
C_{fmax}	Maximum Capacitance of EMI filter Capacitor (F)
D	Nominal Duty Cycle
D_{max}	Maximum Duty Cycle
D_{min}	Minimum Duty Cycle
D_f	Duty ratio of flyback converter
f	supply frequency (Hz)
f_c	Filter Cut-off frequency (Hz)
f_s	PFC Converter Switching frequency (Hz)
f_{sw}	Flyback Converter Switching Frequency (Hz)
I_b	Rated battery current (A)
I_b^*	Reference battery current (A)
I_{bb}	Control output from current PI controller at battery side
I_{dc}	DC Link Current (A)
i_{Do}, i_{Do1}, i_{Do2}	Output Diode currents at PFC stage (A)
i_{Df}	Diode current in Flyback converter (A)
i_{Li}, i_{Li1}, i_{Li2}	Inductor Current at Input of PFC Converter(A)
i_{Lf}	Magnetizing inductor current of Flyback Converter (A)
i_{Lo}, i_{Lo1}, i_{Lo2}	Inductor Current at Output of PFC Converter (A)
i_s	Mains current
I_{s_pk}	Peak Value of mains Current (A)

i_{sw}, i_{s1}, i_{s2}	PFC converter's Switch Current (A)
k_{pI}	Proportional Gain of battery side Current PI Controller
k_{iI}	Integral Gain of battery side Current PI Controller
k	Proportional Gain of battery side Voltage PI Controller
k_{iV}	Integral Gain of battery side Voltage PI Controller
k_{pd}	Proportional gain of DCM PFC stage voltage PI controller
k_{id}	Integral gain of DCM PFC stage voltage PI controller
K_{ecrit}	Critical value of conduction parameter
L_f	Filter Inductance (H)
L_i, L_{i1}, L_{i2}	Inductance of Input Side Inductor (H)
L_f	HFT magnetizing inductance of Flyback Converter
L_{fc}	Critical value of HFT magnetizing inductance
L_{ic}	Critical value of Input Side Inductor (H)
L_m, L_{m1}, L_{m2}	HFT Magnetizing Inductance at PFC stage (H)
L_{mc}	Critical value of HFT Magnetizing Inductance at PFC stage (H)
L_o, L_{o1}, L_{o2}	Inductance of Output Side Inductor (H)
L_{oc}	Critical Inductance of Output Side Inductor (H)
L_s	Source Inductance (H)
m_{db}	Modulating signals for battery side PWM Generator
$n (N_1/N_2)$	HFT Transformation ratio for PFC converter
N_1	Number of Turns in Primary of High Frequency Transformer for PFC converter
N_2	Number of Turns in Secondary of High Frequency Transformer for PFC converter
N	Turns ratio of HFT in Flyback converter
N_p	Number of turns in Primary winding
N_s	Number of turns in Secondary winding
P	Rated Power of Charger
R_L	Emulated Resistance for PFC load (Ω)
V_{bb}	Control output from voltage PI controller at battery side

V_{cc}	Output of voltage PI Controller at PFC stage (V)
V_{dc}	DC Link Voltage at PFC converter output(V)
$V_{dc\text{ref}}$	Reference value of DC Link Voltage (V)
V_b	Battery Voltage (V)
V_b^*	Reference Battery Voltage (V)
v_{in}	Input Voltage at the input of PFC converter (V)
V_{in}	Average Voltage at the input of PFC converter or after DBR(V)
V_m	Peak Value of Input Supply Voltage (V)
v_s	Mains Voltage (V)
V_{smin}	Minimum value of mains Voltage (V)
V_{smax}	Maximum value of mains Voltage (V)
V_{spk}	Peak Value of mains Voltage (V)
v_{C1}, v_{Ci1}, v_{Ci2}	Voltage across Input Side energy transfer Capacitors (V)
v_{C2}, v_{Co1}, v_{Co2}	Voltage across Output Side energy transfer Capacitors (V)
v_{sw}, v_{s1}, v_{s2}	Voltage stresses across PFC switches (V)
ω	Line frequency (rad/sec)
$\Delta i_{Li}, \Delta i_{Li1}, \Delta i_{Li2}$	Ripple in Input Side Inductor Current (A)
$\Delta i_{Lo}, \Delta i_{Lo1}, \Delta i_{Lo2}$	Ripple in Output Side Inductor Current (A)
$\Delta v_{C1}, \Delta v_{C11}, \Delta v_{C12}$	Ripple Voltage across Input Side Intermediate Capacitor (V)
$\Delta v_{C2}, \Delta v_{C21}, \Delta v_{C22}$	Ripple Voltage across Output Side Intermediate Capacitor (V)
ξ	Permissible Ripple in Input Inductor Current (%)
χ	Permissible Ripple in Output Inductor Current (%)
δ	Permissible Ripple in Magnetizing Inductor Current (%)
ζ	Permissible Ripple in Input side energy transfer capacitor voltage (%)
ρ	Permissible Ripple in Output side energy transfer capacitor voltage (%)
γ	Permissible Ripple in DC-link capacitor voltage (%)
κ	Permissible Ripple in battery side Output capacitor voltage (%)