

**MUNICIPAL LANDFILL LEACHATE TREATMENT
USING LEACHATE RECIRCULATION, UASBR AND
CONSTRUCTED WETLAND**

RAJESH KUMAR TANEJA



**DEPARTMENT OF APPLIED MECHANICS
INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY DELHI**

NEW DELHI, INDIA

DECEMBER, 2011

**MUNICIPAL LANDFILL LEACHATE TREATMENT
USING LEACHATE RECIRCULATION, UASBR AND
CONSTRUCTED WETLAND**

By

Rajesh Kumar Taneja

Department of Applied Mechanics

Submitted

In fulfillment of the requirements of the degree of Doctor of Philosophy
to the



Indian Institute of Technology Delhi

New Delhi, India

December, 2011



CERTIFICATE

This is to certify that the thesis entitled “**Municipal Landfill Leachate Treatment Using Leachate recirculation, UASBR and Constructed Wetland**” submitted by Rajesh Taneja to the Indian Institute of Technology Delhi, for the award of the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy, is a record of bonafide research work carried out by him under our supervision. The thesis work, in our opinion, has reached the standards fulfilling the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy.

This is further certified that the research report and results presented in this thesis have not been submitted in part or in full to any other university or institute for the award of any degree or diploma.

Supervisors:

Dr. Atul Kumar Mittal
Professor
Department of Civil Engineering
Indian Institute of Technology Delhi
New Delhi – 110016
India

Dr. Ashok Kumar Raghav
Associate Professor
Department of Applied Mechanics
Indian Institute of Technology Delhi
New Delhi – 110016
India

Place: New Delhi

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First and foremost, I express my heart-felt gratitude to my supervisor, **Prof. A. K. Mittal**, Department of Civil Engineering, Indian Institute of Technology (IIT), Delhi, who has not only guided me through the entire period of research, but has always been a source of encouragement and inspiration. He addressed my queries with patience, discussed my thoughts and work with enthusiasm, provided constructive criticism and created an intellectually stimulating atmosphere for the generation and exchange of innovative ideas. Without his excellent guidance and supervision, this research would not have been possible.

I would like to express my gratitude to my second supervisor, **Dr. A. K. Raghav**, Department of Applied Mechanics, IIT-Delhi, for his valuable suggestions and expert guidance throughout my study.

I also thank Mr. Ravi Das, Engineer-in-Chief, Mr. Surinder Pal and Mr. Tufail Ahmad, both Executive Engineers, and Mr. Vivek Kumar, Junior Engineer from the Department of Municipal Corporation of Delhi, for their support, cooperation and help during my on-site work.

I further wish to acknowledge the help provided during the laboratory experiments by Dr. Sanjay Kumar Gupta. I am also thankful to Mr. Praveen Muthiyar, Ms. Vijaya Singh, Mr. Mandeep Gupta, Mr. Santosh Kolte, research colleagues at the Department of Civil Engineering, for their valuable support and motivation. I would like to acknowledge my debt to Mr. Manish Kumar, Scientist, TIFAC, New Delhi, for his valuable suggestions and aid.

I thank the entire technical and administrative staff of the Departments of Civil Engineering and Applied Mechanics, IIT-Delhi for their help and support. Mr. Faiz Ahmed and Ms. Neetu also deserve sincere thanks for their support during sampling and analysis.

Last but not the least, for their love, support and encouragement, I thank my wife, Smt. Sunita Taneja, and my children, Prashni and Daksh, who kept me agile and inspired me to complete the work with full devotion. They made it easy for me to attain my goals.

Rajesh Taneja

ABSTRACT

Objective of the present research work was to develop an integrated process to treat municipal landfill leachate with Upflow anaerobic sludge blanket reactor (UASBR) alongwith recirculation and to further treat the effluent with constructed wetland. The specific scope of the work was to investigate the leachate recirculation using single-pass and multi-pass recirculation of the leachate. Field setup consists of a recirculation column and a UASBR at the Okhla landfill site. Another experimental setup was operated in the laboratory at IIT Delhi which consisted of a UASBR and a number of constructed wetlands with macrophytes like Typha and Cannalongwith a control unit having no macrophytes. Variation in COD, biodegradability (COD/BOD₅), heavy metals, alkalinity and pH were observed. The recirculated and diluted leachate (leachate + recirculation + sewage) was treated by UASB process at Okhla landfill site and at IIT Delhi site. The effect of hydraulic retention time (HRT) was observed. Wetland efficiency was evaluated for the treatment of the UASBR effluent by observing COD, pH, and alkalinity. The results have been presented by figures, tables and Box whisker plots for the explanatory statistical analysis.

The recirculation of leachate was addressed by passing the leachate repeatedly (multi-pass) through the same column so as to ascertain the changes in BOD₅, COD and BOD₅/COD. Single pass leachate was used to prepare the feed for the UASB by mixing it with screened domestic sewage. The reactor was started in the month of January 2009. Initially for two months reactor was operated using raw sewage from Okhla sewage treatment plant, and subsequently switched

to leachate and sewage (80%+20%). Hydraulic retention time (HRT) was 24h and reactor was operated under ambient temperature conditions. Average COD removal was 70% (60-73%). The organic loading rate (OLR) was 1.6-1.8 kg COD/m³d. However, in the present case leachate used for UASB treatment had 0.15-0.35 BOD₅/COD ratio. Higher BOD₅/COD ratio could have given better COD removal efficiency.

UASB reactor at IIT Delhi was installed, so as to study the treatment process more precisely. This UASB reactor was fed using a mixture of single pass leachate obtained from Okhla landfill site and screened sewage from Okhla sewage treatment plant. COD of the feed was approximately 1500mg/L. After initial start-up, reactor was operated at different HRTs, i.e. 12, 24, 36 and 48h. Reactor was operated for about 12 months. Data is accordingly presented for 0-352 days. It is evident that there is substantial removal of COD by the UASB reactor. Results observed have supported the functional relationship between COD removal and HRT obtained from the experiments conducted for long durations (352 d). Heavy metals were monitored, both in the influent and effluent to the UASB.

Constructed wetland (CW) was used to treat the effluent from the UASBR at IIT Delhi site. Effluent from the UASB reactor was passed to control unit (without vegetation), constructed wetlands with Canna (CWC) and constructed wetland with Typha (CWT). pH, alkalinity and COD of influent and effluent from the constructed wetland for all 3 units, i.e. CW, CWC and CWT were monitored. The HRT for wetlands is reported in terms of empty bed residence time. Flow rate through the constructed wetlands was 8 L/d. Total volume of each unit was 40L (media + roots), so HRT was 5 d. Probably higher HRT may result in higher COD removal.

Constructed wetland consists of packed media reactor, packed with gravel and plant roots, which may act as filter. Suspended solids were measured as turbidity. It is evident that turbidity removal is excellent which is added advantage of using constructed wetlands.

On site recirculation has established the utility of recirculation to reduce the organic and metal load for the main biological treatment system. UASB based pilot plant at the Okhla landfill site was first of its kind in India. Operational parameters and efficiencies obtained, which will provide basis for the design of full scale plant. HRT has been optimized, 24-36h, HRT can be used to design UASB to treat leachate. Dilution of leachate using sewage could provide an economic alternative. UASB can be satisfactorily operated at $1.5 \text{ kg/m}^3\text{d}$. Constructed wetland has emerged as a secondary or tertiary treatment for the leachate treatment. Both, Canna and Typha can be used in the constructed wetlands. Constructed wetland at 5 d HRT (empty bed residence time) could bring COD to 80-120mg/L. Finding suggests that combination of recycle of leachate, UASB and constructed wetland could be considered a novel technology since energy consumption is minimal as a result of use of anaerobic process and natural process. There is sufficient data available as part of this work, so as to install an integrated plant for the treatment of municipal landfill leachate.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CERTIFICATE	I
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	II
ABSTRACT	III
TABLE OF CONTENTS	VI
LIST OF FIGURES	IX
LIST OF TABLES	XII
NOMENCLATURE	XIII
CHAPTER-I	
Introduction	1
1.1 General	1
1.2 Objective and Scope of the work	2
CHAPTER-II	
Literature Review	4
2.1 Factors Affecting Leachate Quality	4
2.1.1 Waste Composition	4
2.1.2 Depth of Waste	4
2.1.3 Moisture Availability	5
2.1.4 Available Oxygen	6
2.1.5 Temperature	6
2.1.6 Co-disposal with WWTP Sludge	6
2.1.7 Co-disposal with MSW Incinerator Ash	7
2.1.8 Processed Waste	7
2.1.9 Age of Landfill	8
2.2 Leachate Characteristics	9
2.3 Leachate treatment Technology	10
2.3.1 Physico-chemical methods	11
2.3.2 Biological methods	13
2.3.2.1 Aerobic process	13
2.3.2.2 Anaerobic process for leachate treatment	13
2.3.3 Combined treatment with domestic sewage	14
2.3.4 Upflow Anaerobic Sludge Blanket Reactor (UASBR)	14

2.3.4.1 The Concept of UASB Reactor	15
2.3.4.2 Features Of The UASB Process	16
2.4 Recirculation of Leachate	16
2.4.1 Methods of recirculation	17
2.4.2 Full Scale Recirculation of Leachate	18
2.4.3 Advantages and Disadvantages of Leachate Recirculation	23
2.4.4 Land Irrigation	24
2.5 Constructed Wetlands	24
2.5.1 Constructed Wetland for Leachate Treatment	26
CHAPTER-III	
Materials and Methods	27
3.1 Okhla Landfill Site	27
3.1.1 Waste Characterization	28
3.1.2 Moisture Content of Landfill Refuge	28
3.1.3 Composition of MSW	28
3.1.4 Heavy Metals Analysis of MSW	29
3.2 Pilot Plant Set-up at the Okhla Landfill Site	29
3.3 Characteristics of MSW from Landfill	31
3.4 Packing of the Recirculation Column at the Okhla Landfill Site	31
3.5 Water sample	31
3.6 Seed Sludge for UASB Reactor	31
3.7 Leachate Sample	33
3.8 Operation of the Recirculation Column	33
3.8.1 Single-pass Recirculation of the Leachate	33
3.8.2 Multipass Recirculation of the Leachate	37
3.9 Operation of the UASBR at the Okhla landfill Site	37
3.10 Laboratory Set-up (UASB + Constructed Wetland)	37
CHAPTER-IV	
Results and Discussion	42
4.1 Waste Characterization	42
4.1.1 Moisture Content of Landfill	42
4.1.2 Composition of MSW	42

4.1.3 Heavy Metals Analysis of MSW	43
4.2 Leachate Characteristics of Okhla Landfill	43
4.3 Characteristics of the Sewage Used in this Study	44
4.4 Design Criteria	45
4.5 Single-pass Recirculation of Leachate	46
4.5.1 COD Variation in Single-pass Recirculation	46
4.5.2 Alkalinity Variation in Single-pass Re-circulation	47
4.5.3 Variation in pH after Single-pass	47
4.5.4 Variation in Heavy Metals after Single-pass	48
4.6 Multi-pass Recirculation of Leachate	54
4.6.1 BOD ₅ /COD Variation in Multi-pass Re-circulation of Leachate	54
4.6.2 Change in Alkalinity of Leachate during Multi-Pass Recirculation	57
4.6.3 Change in Heavy Metals Content of Leachate during Multi-Pass Recirculation	58
4.7 Performance by the on-site UASB	62
4.7.1. Variation in pH and Alkalinity	62
4.7.2. COD removal by UASB Pilot Plant at the Okhla site.	64
4.7.3. Heavy metal removal by UASB Pilot Plant Treatment at the Okhla site.	64
4.8 Leachate Treatment using UASB Reactor at IIT Delhi	68
4.8.1. pH Variation During the Study Period.	68
4.8.2. Alkalinity Profile of UASB Reactor at IIT Delhi	73
4.8.3. COD Profile of UASB Reactor at IIT Delhi.	77
4.8.4. Effect of HRT on the COD Removal	85
4.8.5. Heavy Metal Profile during UASB Treatment	86
4.9. Treatment using Constructed wetlands.	88
4.9.1. Variation in pH and Alkalinity during Different Phases of Constructed Wetland Treatment.	89
4.9.2 Alkalinity variation by Constructed Wetland	89
4.9.3. COD removal by constructed wetland	92
4.9.4 Turbidity removal by Constructed Wetland.	96
CHAPTER-V	
Conclusions	97
REFERENCES	98