

**ON THE EFFECT OF REDUCED LINK
MARGIN, THE ROLE OF EQUIPMENTS AND
THEIR BUDGETING IN THE DESIGN OF HIGH
CAPACITY ELASTIC OPTICAL NETWORKS**

ABHIJIT MITRA



**DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING
INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY DELHI
JULY 2017**

©Indian Institute of Technology Delhi (IIT D), New Delhi, 2017

**ON THE EFFECT OF REDUCED LINK
MARGIN, THE ROLE OF EQUIPMENTS AND
THEIR BUDGETING IN THE DESIGN OF HIGH
CAPACITY ELASTIC OPTICAL NETWORKS**

by

ABHIJIT MITRA

Department of Electrical Engineering

Submitted

in fulfilment of the requirements of the degree of Doctor of Philosophy
to the



Indian Institute of Technology Delhi

JULY 2017

Certificate

This is to certify that the thesis entitled “**On the Effect of Reduced Link Margin, the Role of Equipments and their Budgeting in the Design of High Capacity Elastic Optical Networks**” being submitted by **Abhijit Mitra** to the Department of Electrical Engineering, Indian Institute of Technology Delhi, for the award of the degree of **Doctor of Philosophy** is the record of the bona-fide research work carried out by him under our supervision. In our opinion, the thesis has reached the standards fulfilling the requirements of the regulations relating to the degree.

The results contained in this thesis have not been submitted either in part or in full to any other University or Institute for the award of any degree or diploma.

Subrat Kar
Department of Electrical Engineering
Bharti School of Telecom and Management
Indian Institute of Technology Delhi
Hauz Khas, New Delhi, 110016
India.

Andrew Lord
Head of Optics Research
Polaris House
British Telecommunication
Ipswich, IP53RE
United Kingdom.

Acknowledgements

I would first like to express my special appreciation and thanks to my PhD supervisors Prof. Subrat Kar from Electrical Engineering Department at IIT Delhi and Andrew Lord who heads the optics research at British Telecom, UK. They both have been tremendous mentors for me. Their profound technical knowledge and passion for authentic novel work that has strong impact to catalyze growth in the telecom sector has always inspired me to grow as a good researcher. Away from home, they have been strong mentors for me and provided me enough moral support both in professional and personal life. I am very grateful to Prof. V.K. Jain, Prof. V. Chandra and Prof. M. R. Shenoy for reviewing my research progress every semester as a part of my Student Research Committee at IIT Delhi. I would also like to extend my gratitude towards Mr. Paul Wright for his development of Optimod simulation tool for flexgrid at BT and providing me with a platform over which I could extend the optical layer to do simulations pertaining to this thesis. I would also like to thank the Optics Network Group at UCL under Dr. Seb Savory for initiating technical discussion pertaining to the nonlinear model for equipment testing and would extend my gratitude to Dr. David Ives at

UCL for providing the nonlinear coefficients for this study. Further, I would also like to thank Dr. Steve Desbruslais who is Director at Xtera Technologies for his guidance that helped me to model the Raman amplification part. I am very grateful to British Telecom and EBTIC headed by Dr. Nader Azarmi for funding my entire research at IIT Delhi. The IIT Delhi - BT collaboration has given me unconditional fiscal and moral support. I am also grateful to all the professors at IIT Delhi from whom I have learned a lot during the courses and the Bharti School of Telecom Technology and Management for providing me space to carry out this research work. I would also like to thank all my friends and members of the communications group. I feel lucky to have so many incredible friends around. I thank each and every one of them for their trust and friendship. Most importantly, my heartfelt gratitude is for my parents Mr. Subir Kumar Mitra and Mrs. Nupur Mitra, who have always supported me in my academic pursuits and encouraged me to do my best. It is due to their unconditional sacrifices, patience and hard work that I have been able to progress in life.

Abhijit Mitra

Abstract

The access network traffic is growing with a rise in high data rate consuming applications like video on demand and cloud based applications. This increases the traffic load on the core network. In order to increase the core network capacity an operator needs higher number of lightpaths operating at or beyond 100 Gbps. Therefore the operators need to utilize flexible spectrum provisioning under Elastic Optical Network (EON) technology while utilizing high order Polarization Multiplexed m -ary modulations.

In this thesis, we focus on the optical part of the communication system. The EON optical transponders enable software control to adapt modulation formats based upon the OSNR condition of a lightpath. Therefore, service providers can operate a lightpath close to the OSNR limit without keeping a high margin for link degradation. This enables lightpaths to operate at higher m -ary modulation thereby giving better day one network capacity. This idea of operating with reduced Link Margin has been proposed in the thesis and has been explored over various networks. The results show that smaller networks like the BT UK have high inherent OSNR to convert the

reduced margin benefits into high network capacity on day one. It has been shown that the flexibility of EON in spectrum allocation and modulation formats are necessary to increase the network capacity while operating with reduced margin for both variable baud rate and fixed baud rate transponders. For fixed baud rate transponders we have introduced the concept of demand multiplexed transponders which can cater multiple 100 Gbps demands over a single lightpath between same source and destination. Using these transponders we have shown that a frequency granularity of 12.5 GHz is a good choice to generate subcarriers with 37.5 GHz spacing and the modulation sweet spot of the BT UK network is at PM-16QAM. These results were used in world's first 1.4Tbps Superchannel field trial by BT where each of the 7 subcarriers operated at prescribed PM-16QAM with no margin and subcarrier spacing of 37.5 GHz. We have also evaluated the capacity benefits by reducing margins while operating with lightpaths at 400 Gbps and 1000 Gbps using Nyquist WDM Superchannel technology. The results show that the Superchannels will quickly exhaust the extra available spectrum (while using flexgrid) due to their large spectrum requirement. Therefore operators prefer to increase lightpath capacity in steps of 100 Gbps. Further, we have evaluated the benefits of operating at reduced margin over a life time of 25 years while considering single fiber failure events. It has been shown that it is easier for a smaller network to re-provision the failed 100 Gbps demands while operating with reduced margin.

As OSNR became an important figure of merit, an operator has

to make a cogent decision on whether to procure better amplifiers or ROADMs. To evaluate the capacity benefits for each equipment we have proposed a lightpath OSNR estimation model while considering the fiber nonlinearity being modeled as a Gaussian process. Using this model we have shown that a network having less ASE noise from in-line amplifiers and high node density will benefit more while using superior ROADM nodes. It was shown that small BT UK network can benefit the most in terms of network capacity while using better ROADMs as compared to larger networks. Finally we have also proposed an offline strategy to justify the upfront procurement of the amplifiers which has been considered by BT.

सार

मांग और क्लाउड आधारित अनुप्रयोगों पर वीडियो जैसे उच्च डाटा दर उपभोक्ता अनुप्रयोगों में वृद्धि के कारण पहुंच नेटवर्क यातायात बढ़ रहा है। इससे कोर नेटवर्क पर ट्रैफिक लोड बढ़ जाता है। मुख्य नेटवर्क की क्षमता बढ़ाने के लिए ऑपरेटर को 100 जीबीपीएस पर या उसके बाद से चलने वाले प्रकाशपथों की अधिक संख्या की आवश्यकता होती है। इसलिए ऑपरेटरों को लचीला ऑप्टिकल नेटवर्क (ईओएन) प्रौद्योगिकी के तहत लचीला स्पेक्ट्रम प्रावधान का उपयोग करना चाहिए, जबकि उच्च क्रम ध्रुवीकरण मल्टिप्लेक्स एम-एरी मॉड्यूलेशन का उपयोग करना चाहिए।

इस थीसिस में, हम संचार प्रणाली के ऑप्टिकल भाग पर ध्यान देते हैं। ईओएन ऑप्टिकल ट्रांसपॉंडर प्रकाशपथ की ओएसएनआर स्थिति के आधार पर मॉड्यूलेशन प्रारूपों को अनुकूलित करने के लिए सॉफ्टवेयर नियंत्रण का उपयोग करता है। इसलिए, सेवा प्रदाताओं ओएसएनआर सीमा के करीब लिंक के लिए उच्च मार्जिन को बनाए रखने के बिना एक प्रकाशपथ संचालित कर सकते हैं। यह प्रकाशपथ को उच्चतर एम-एरी मॉड्यूलेशन पर संचालित करने में सक्षम बनाता है जिससे बेहतर दिन एक नेटवर्क क्षमता होती है। कम लिंक मार्जिन के साथ काम करने का यह विचार थीसिस में प्रस्तावित किया गया है और विभिन्न नेटवर्कों पर इसका पता लगाया गया है। परिणाम बताते हैं कि बीटी यूके जैसे छोटे नेटवर्कों में बहुत अधिक निहित ओएसएनआर है, जो कि एक दिन में कम मार्जिन लाभ को उच्च नेटवर्क क्षमता में बदलने में सक्षम है। यह दिखाया गया है कि स्पेक्ट्रम आवंटन और मॉड्यूलेशन प्रारूपों में ईओएन का लचीलेपन नेटवर्क क्षमता को बढ़ाने के लिए आवश्यक है जब चर बॉंड दर और निश्चित बॉंड दर ट्रांसपॉंडर दोनों कम मार्जिन के साथ काम करते हैं। तय बॉंड दर ट्रांसपॉंडर के लिए हमने मांग मल्टीप्लेक्स ट्रांसपॉंडर की अवधारणा को शुरू किया है जो एक ही स्रोत और गंतव्य के बीच एक एकल प्रकाशपथ पर कई 100 जीबीपीएस मांगों को पूरा कर सकता है। इन ट्रांसपॉंडरों का उपयोग करके हमने दिखाया है कि 12.5 गीगाहर्ट्ज की आवृत्ति ग्रान्युलैरिटी और 37.5 गीगाहर्ट्ज स्पेसिंग के साथ सबकैरियर बनाने के लिए एक अच्छा विकल्प है। बीटी यूके नेटवर्क की मॉड्यूलेशन स्पॉट पीएम -16 क्यूएएम पर है। इन परिणामों का उपयोग बीटी पर दुनिया के पहले 1.4 टीबीपीएस सुपरचैनल फील्ड टेस्ट में किया गया था, जहां 7 में से प्रत्येक सबकैरियर निर्धारित पीएम -16 क्यूएएम पर संचालित होता था जिसमें 37.5 गीगाहर्ट्ज का कोई मार्जिन और सबकैरियर रिक्ति नहीं थी। हमने 400 जीबीपीएस और 1000 जीबीपीएस पर प्रकाशपथों के साथ काम करते समय मार्जिन को घटाकर

क्षमता लाभ का मूल्यांकन किया है, जो कि Nyquist WDM सुपरचैनल प्रौद्योगिकी का उपयोग कर रहा है। परिणाम दिखाते हैं कि सुपरचैनल अपने बड़े स्पेक्ट्रम आवश्यकता के कारण अतिरिक्त उपलब्ध स्पेक्ट्रम (फ्लेक्स-ग्रिड का उपयोग करते हुए) का तुरंत उपयोग कर लेते हैं। इसलिए ऑपरेटर 100 जीबीपीएस के चरणों में प्रकाशपथ क्षमता को बढ़ाने के लिए पसंद करते हैं। इसके अलावा, हमने 25 साल के जीवनकाल में कम मार्जिन पर काम करने के लाभों का मूल्यांकन किया है जबकि एकल फाइबर असफल होता है। यह दिखाया गया है कि कम मार्जिन पर काम करते हुए नेटवर्क के लिए असफल 100 जीबीपीएस मांगों को फिर से प्रावधान करना आसान है।

जैसा कि ओएसएनआर योग्यता का एक महत्वपूर्ण अंग बन गया है, एक ऑपरेटर को बेहतर एम्पलीफायरों या रोडमैम्स की खरीद के लिए एक ठोस निर्णय करना होगा। प्रत्येक उपकरण के लिए क्षमता के लाभ का मूल्यांकन करने के लिए हमने एक प्रकाशपथ ओएसएनआर आकलन मॉडल का प्रस्ताव किया है जबकि फाइबर नॉनलाइनैरिटी को गाऊसी प्रक्रिया के रूप में तैयार किया जा रहा है। इस मॉडल का उपयोग करके हमने दिखाया है कि उच्चतर रोडम नोइस का उपयोग करते समय कम एएसई शोर वाले इन-लाइन एम्पलीफायर और उच्च नोड घनत्व वाले नेटवर्क को अधिक लाभ होगा। यह दिखाया गया था कि बड़े नेटवर्क की तुलना में बीएटी यूके नेटवर्क क्षमता के मामले में सबसे अधिक लाभान्वित कर सकता है। बेहतर रोडमैम का इस्तेमाल बीएटी यूके में किया जा सकता है। अंत में हमने एम्पलीफायरों की अग्रिम खरीद को सही करने के लिए ऑफलाइन रणनीति भी प्रस्तावित की है जिसे बीटी द्वारा विचार किया गया है।

Table of Contents

Certificate	ii
Acknowledgements	iv
Abstract	vi
List of Figures	xvi
List of Tables	xxii
List of Symbols	xxiv
Acronyms	xxx
1 Introduction to Elastic Optical Networks	1
1.1 Introduction	1
1.2 Limitations of DWDM Technology	3
1.3 Increasing Network Lightpath Capacity using Coherent Technology and Forward Error Correction	7
1.3.1 Coherent Technology	9
1.3.2 Forward Error Correction	14
1.4 Increasing Transmission Rates Beyond 100 Gbps with Superchannel Technology using Sliceable Bandwidth Variable Transponders	19
1.5 Architecture of the Flexgrid Core Node	33
1.6 Role of Network Management	36
1.7 Spectrum Allocation Strategy	39
1.8 Statement of Problem	40

1.9	Key contributions of this work	43
1.10	Organization of the thesis	45
2	Impact of Reduced Link Margin on Day One Capacity of Elastic Optical Networks	49
2.1	Concept of Link Margins	50
2.2	Linear Noise Model	51
2.3	Performance with Variable Baud Rate Transponders . . .	53
2.3.1	Results and Discussion	55
2.4	Performance with Fixed Baud Rate Transponders	65
2.4.1	Capacity Benefits with Demand Multiplexed Transponders and Reduced Link Margin	67
2.4.2	Day One Capacity Benefits with Reduced Link Margins and Modulation Sweet Spot of Multiple Flexgrid Optical Networks	70
2.5	Benefits of Reduced Link Margin for Demands beyond 100 Gbps	83
2.5.1	Concept of N-WDM Superchannel	84
2.5.2	Results for Day-1 Capacity Benefits with Reduced LM	85
3	Performance of an Elastic Optical Network with Reduced Margin Under Single Fiber Link Failure Scenario	89
3.1	Modelling the Single Fiber Failure Scenario	91
3.1.1	Modelling the Failure Events	91
3.1.2	Method of Failing a Single Fiber Link	92
3.2	Concept of Inherent Protection Bandwidth	93
3.3	Results and Discussion	95

4 Network Equipment and Their Role in Designing of High Capacity Elastic Optical Networks	107
4.1 The Network NLI Model	110
4.1.1 Expressions for Calculating $X_m(L)$ in In-Line EDFA Amplifiers	112
4.1.2 Expressions for Calculating $X_m(L)$ in In-Line H-Raman Amplifiers	114
4.2 Benefits of Improved ROADMs OSNR Conditions	120
4.3 Offline Strategy for Amplifier Addition in Elastic Optical Networks	128
4.3.1 Offline Amplifier Addition Strategy	130
4.3.2 Simulation Results and Discussion	133
5 Conclusions and Future Work	159
5.1 Future Challenges in Optical Transport Networks	165
5.1.1 Using the Modal Diversity in Optical Fibers for Higher Capacity	166
5.1.2 Improving the Digital Signal Processing Techniques	167
5.1.3 Designing of Low Loss Optical Amplifiers and ROADMs	168
5.1.4 Network Management in the Flexgrid	169
References	171
A Derivations of Expressions and Description of Models	189
A.1 Calculating OSNR of Lightpath	191
A.2 Modelling NLI noise with In-Line EDFA Amplifiers	193
A.2.1 Normalized Non-linear Coefficient for EDFA	194
A.2.2 Total ASE in an Optical Link	197
A.2.3 Optimum Launch Power in a Fiber Link	198

A.3 NLI Noise Model with In-Line H-Raman Amplifiers	199
A.3.1 Normalized Non-Linear Coefficient for H-Raman . .	199
A.4 Expression for Noise Figure in H-Raman Amplifier	205
A.5 Single Fiber Link Failure Model	207
List of Publications	211
Technical Biography of Author	215

List of Figures

1.1 Comparison between Fixed Grid and Flexgrid	5
1.2 Block Diagram of a Polarization Diversity Coherent Transmitter	12
1.3 Block Diagram of a Polarization Diversity Coherent Receiver	13
1.4 Evolution of FEC codes towards Shannon limit	15
1.5 Generation of OTUflex Frame	21
1.6 Splitting high end client demand into multiple media channels	23
1.7 Basic Architecture for S-BVT	23
1.8 Evolution of Line Cards	24
1.9 Future node architecture with S-BVT	25
1.10 OFDM and N-WDM Based Superchannel Transmitters . .	27
1.11 Superchannel Receiver	27
1.12 The Filtering Effect in ROADMs	29
1.13 OSNR penalty with reduced subcarrier spacing (subcarrier spacing of less than 37.5 GHz leads to high OSNR penalty)	30
1.14 Results of the first 1.4 Tbps field trial over 410 km in the BT UK network with each subcarrier operating at 200 Gbps, PM-16QAM modulation with no margin	31

1.15	Node Architecture with Route and Select Configuration .	35
1.16	Network Management with Path Computation Element .	38
1.17	Route and Spectrum Assignment Method	40
2.1	Noise Model to estimate the ASE noise	52
2.2	Topology of BT UK network	54
2.3	Variation of CBP with number of demands for FG=50 GHz.	58
2.4	Distribution of allocated demands for different modulation formats for LM=0 to 6 dB with FG=50 GHz. .	59
2.5	Variation of CBP with number of demands for FG=25 GHz and FG=12.5 GHz.	60
2.6	Distribution of allocated demands for different modulation formats for LM=0 to 6 dB with FG=25 GHz and FG 12.5GHz.	61
2.7	Variation of CBP with number of demands for FG=6.25 GHz.	62
2.8	Distribution of allocated demands for different modulation formats for LM=0 to 6 dB with FG=6.25 GHz.	63
2.9	Performance comparison for the two different transponders in Design 1 (fixed modulation format) and Design 2 (Demand Multiplex Transponder for EON).	68
2.10	Topology of Pan Europe network	72
2.11	Topology of USA Abeline network	73
2.12	Allocated demands in each modulation format for LM 0,4 and 6 dB in the BT UK network for FG=50 GHz and FG=25 GHz	75

2.13	Allocated demands in each modulation format for LM 0,4 and 6 dB in the BT UK network for FG=12.5 GHz	76
2.14	Allocated demands in each modulation format for LM 0,4 and 6 dB in the Pan Europe network for FG=50 GHz and FG=25 GHz	77
2.15	Allocated demands in each modulation format for LM 0,4 and 6 dB in the Pan Europe network for FG=12.5 GHz . .	78
2.16	Allocated demands in each modulation format for LM 0,4 and 6 dB in the USA Abeline network for FG=50 GHz and FG=25 GHz	79
2.17	Allocated demands in each modulation format for LM 0,4 and 6 dB in the USA Abeline network for FG=12.5 GHz .	80
2.18	N-WDM Transmitter	85
2.19	Lower LM benefits for the BT UK network for beyond 100 Gbps lightpaths	87
3.1	1:1 Lightpath protection in DWDM and EONs	91
3.2	Inherent Protection Capacity for operation at LM=0 dB and LM=6 dB	94
3.3	Network CBP performance with LM=0 dB and LM=6 dB and its comparison with traditional fixed PM-QPSK only transponder	98
3.4	Network CBP Performance with LM=0 dB with Protection (P) and without protection Scenario	102
3.5	Network CBP Performance with LM=6 dB with Protection (P) and without protection Scenario	103

3.6 Network CBP Performance with PM-QPSK with Protection (P) and without protection Scenario	104
4.1 Network NLI model used for Offline Strategy	110
4.2 Behaviour of Effective Noise figures with H-Raman amplifier and Raman amplifier	116
4.3 H-Raman in-line amplifiers in new NLI network model . .	117
4.4 Benefit of Better OSNR at ROADMs (BT UK network) . . .	125
4.5 Benefit of Better OSNR at ROADMs (Pan Europe network)	126
4.6 Benefit of Better OSNR at ROADMs (USA Abeline network)	127
4.7 Concept of Pcap factor and CC Factor	130
4.8 Offline Strategy Performance for BT UK network with EDFA and FG=50 GHz	135
4.9 Offline Strategy Performance for BT UK network with H-Raman amplifier and FG=50 GHz	136
4.10 Reducing CC Factor Curves for BT UK network and FG=50 GHz	137
4.11 Offline Strategy Performance for BT UK network with EDFA and FG=12.5 GHz	138
4.12 Offline Strategy Performance for BT UK network with H-Raman amplifier and FG=12.5 GHz	139
4.13 Reducing CC Factor Curves for BT UK network and FG=12.5 GHz	140
4.14 Offline Strategy Performance for Pan Europe network with EDFA and FG=50 GHz	143
4.15 Offline Strategy Performance for Pan Europe network with H-Raman amplifier and FG=50 GHz	144

4.16	Reducing CC Factor Curves for Pan Europe network and FG=50 GHz	145
4.17	Offline Strategy Performance for Pan Europe network with EDFA and FG=12.5 GHz	146
4.18	Offline Strategy Performance for Pan Europe network with H-Raman amplifier and FG=12.5 GHz	147
4.19	Reducing CC Factor Curves for Pan Europe network and FG=12.5 GHz	148
4.20	Offline Strategy Performance for USA Abeline network with EDFA and FG=50 GHz	149
4.21	Offline Strategy Performance for USA Abeline network with H-Raman amplifier and FG=50 GHz	150
4.22	Reducing CC Factor Curves for USA Abeline network and FG=50 GHz	151
4.23	Offline Strategy Performance for USA Abeline network with EDFA and FG=12.5 GHz	152
4.24	Offline Strategy Performance for USA Abeline network with H-Raman amplifier and FG=12.5 GHz	153
4.25	Reducing CC Factor Curves for USA Abeline network and FG=12.5 GHz	154
A.1	Network NLI Model	191
A.2	Estimating total link ASE for EDFA in the new NLI network scenario	197
A.3	Normalized Non-Linear Coefficient $X_m(L)$ for H-Raman amplifier with FG=12.5 GHz	204
A.4	Effective noise figure for the H-Raman amplifier.	206

A.5 Comparing the value of uniformly distributed variable U
with cdf ranges of respective Events (A, B and C) 210

List of Tables

- 1.1 A 1 Tbps Superchannel 28
- 2.1 OSNR Threshold for 100 Gbps 55
- 2.2 Allocated Bandwidth and FSUs for FG=50 GHz and
FG=25 GHz 57
- 2.3 Allocated Bandwidth and FSUs for FG=12.5 GHz and
FG=6.25 GHz 57
- 2.4 Network performance at CBP=0.1 64
- 2.5 OSNR Threshold for Fixed Baud Rate 66
- 2.6 Required Bandwidth and FSUs with subcarrier spacing
of 37.5 GHz 71
- 2.7 Allocated Demands for BT UK network 74
- 2.8 Allocated Demands for Pan Europe network 74
- 2.9 Allocated Demands for USA Abeline network 74
- 2.10 Required Number of Subcarriers 85
- 3.1 Values of OSNR Threshold valid for 28 GBaud 95
- 3.2 100 Gbps Failure Statistics in unprotected scenario for
FG=50 GHz 96
- 3.3 100 Gbps Failure Statistics in unprotected scenario for
FG=12.5 GHz 96

3.4	100 Gbps Failure Statistics for 1:1 Lightpath protection for FG=50 GHz	99
3.5	100 Gbps Failure Statistics for 1:1 Lightpath protection for FG=12.5 GHz	99
4.1	Coefficients for a closed form approximation of $X_m(L)$ for EDFA	113
4.2	Coefficients for a closed form approximation of $X_m(L)$ for H-Raman in line amplifier	115
4.3	Allocated Demands for FG=50 GHz for EDFA	121
4.4	Allocated Demands for FG=50 GHz for H-Raman amplifier	121
4.5	Allocated Demands for FG=12.5 GHz for EDFA	121
4.6	Allocated Demands for FG=12.5 GHz for H-Raman amplifier	121
4.7	Pcap Factors for various modulation schemes	129
A.1	Values of $X_m(L)$ (mW^{-2}) for EDFA case	196
A.2	Coefficients for a closed form approximation of $X_m(L)$ for H-Raman amplifier	203
A.3	Values of $X_m(L)$ (mW^{-2}) for FG=50 GHz and $G_{00}=10$ dB .	203
A.4	Values of $X_m(L)$ (mW^{-2}) for FG=12.5 GHz and $G_{00}=10$ dB	203

List of Symbols

- α : Fiber attenuation per km (0.25dB/km)
- α_p : Pump attenuation
- β_2 : Dispersion Coefficient for SMF fiber
- η_{NLI}^i : Net NLI contribution to the AWGN noise in the i^{th} optical link
- η_{NLI}^{Total} : Total NLI in a lightpath for NLI model
- γ : Non linear coefficient for SMF fiber
- γ_o : Raman Gain factor
- $\Delta P_{cap} f_{net}^i$: Change in network Pcap if an amplifier is added to an i^{th} optical link
- ASE : ASE noise contribution by the each intermediate amplifier compensating for previous span loss of g in the i^{th} optical link
- ASE^i : Total ASE noise due to in-line amplifiers in the i^{th} optical link
- ASE_R : ASE noise due to post amplification at a ROADM by EDFA compensating for cumulative characteristic ROADM loss

ASE_{Total} : Total ASE noise in a lightpath for NLI model

B_{ref} : Reference Bandwidth of 12.5 GHz

C_R : Raman Gain efficiency

F_{fib}^i : Expected Number of Failures for i^{th} fiber link

F_{net} : Expected Number of Failures in the network in 25 years

$Fitness^i$: Fitness value for adding an amplifier to i^{th} optical link

g : Linear gain; analogous to G (dB) span loss

$G(f)$: Power Spectrum Density for all frequencies entire C band

$g(f)$: Normalized Power Spectrum Density for all frequencies entire C band

G_{EDFA}^{int} : Gain of the EDFA part for the intermediate H-Raman amplifier in an optical link

G_{EDFA}^{last} : Gain of the EDFA part for the last H-Raman amplifier in an optical link

G_{net} : Net optical Gain

$G_{NLI,ss}$: Nonlinear Power Spectrum Density

G_{oo} : Raman on-off gain in H-Raman amplifier setup

h : Planck's Constant

L_{eff} : Effective Length of the link

- N : Geometric distributed discrete random variable accounting for number of demands elapsed until a failure occurs
- N_s^i : Number of spans in an i^{th} optical link
- N_{FAIL}^{Total} : Total Number of failed demands after all 3000 demands have been put to the network
- N_L : Link number of the last traversed optical link by a lightpath
- n_p^0 : Input photon number
- N_R : Noise figure for Raman amplifier
- N_R : Number of intermediate ROADM nodes traversed by a lightpath
- N_T : Noise figure of Distributive H-Raman amplifier system
- n_{16qam}^i : Number of lightpaths operating at 16-QAM over i^{th} fiber link
- n_{16qam}^{net} : Number of lightpaths operating at 16-QAM overall in a network at a given network state
- n_{32qam}^i : Number of lightpaths operating at 32-QAM over i^{th} fiber link
- n_{32qam}^{net} : Number of lightpaths operating at 32-QAM overall in a network at a given network state
- n_{8qam}^i : Number of lightpaths operating at 8-QAM over i^{th} fiber link
- n_{8qam}^{net} : Number of lightpaths operating at 8-QAM overall in a network at a given network state

N_{ADJ} : Average number of adjusted 100G demands in network per failure event

n_{bpsk}^i : Number of lightpaths operating at BPSK over i^{th} fiber link

n_{bpsk}^{net} : Number of lightpaths operating at BPSK overall in a network at a given network state

N_{EDFA} : Noise figure of the EDFA in the H-Raman amplifier setup

N_{EDFA}^{int} : Noise figure for the EDFA in the intermediate H-Raman amplifier in an optical link

N_{EDFA}^{last} : Noise figure for the EDFA in the last H-Raman amplifier in an optical link

N_{eff}^{H-Ram} : Effective Noise figure of an imaginary discrete amplifier equivalent to distributive H-Raman amplifier system

N_{eff}^{H-Ram} : Effective noise figure of H-Raman amplifier setup

N_{eff}^{Ram} : Effective noise figure of only Raman part

n_{eq} : Spontaneous Photon number

N_{int}^{H-Ram} : Effective noise figure for the intermediate H-Raman amplifiers in NLI model

N_{last}^{H-Ram} : Effective noise figure for the last H-Raman amplifier

n_{qpsk}^i : Number of lightpaths operating at QPSK over i^{th} fiber link

n_{qpsk}^{net} : Number of lightpaths operating at QPSK overall in a network at a given network state

N_{SPIL} : Average number of failed 100G demands that a network has to reprovision by generation of new lightpaths per failure event

n_{sp} : Spontaneous Emission Factor

NSI : Network Survival Index

O_{gain}^i : Link OSNR gain if an amplifier is added to an i^{th} optical link

$OSNR^i$: Contribution to OSNR of lightpath due to OSNR Conditions over i^{th} optical link

$OSNR_R$: Contribution to OSNR of lightpath due to OSNR conditions at ROADMs

$OSNR_{path}$: OSNR of lightpath in the NLI model

P_A^+ : Spontaneous Noise Power

P_r : Fixed Signal Power at the ROADM nodes in NLI model

p_{fib}^i : Probability of failure of i^{th} fiber

P_{opt}^i : Optimum signal launch power for i^{th} fiber link

P_{P0} : Pump Power remaining in the fiber

$Pcap_n^{ori}$: Pcap of network at a given state. At starting stage it is original Pcap factor

$Pcapf^i$: Pcapf for i^{th} fiber link

$Pcapf^{net}$: Pcapf for the entire network over all lightpaths

R_b : Bit Rate

R_s : Symbol/Baud Rate

$ROADM_{ASE}$: Total ASE noise contribution in lightpath by
intermediate ROADMs

SNR_b : Signal to noise ratio per bit

T_n : Total accumulated noise in mW

ν : Central C Band frequency for 1550 nm

$X_m(L)$: Normalized Non-Linear Coefficient

Acronyms

ASE Amplified Spontaneous Emission, 14

AWG Arrayed Wavelength Grating, 19

AWGN Additive White Gaussian Noise, 14

BTC Block Turbo Codes, 15

BVT Bandwidth Variable Transponders, 20

CBP Cumulative Blocking Probability, 53

CC Capacity Constraint, 109

CD Chromatic Dispersion, 9

CDF Cumulative Density Function, 92, 209

Co-OFDM Coherent Orthogonal Frequency Division Multiplexing, 25

DCF Dispersion Compensating Fiber, 9

DOF Degree of Freedom, 8

DP-QPSK Dual Polarization-Quadrature Phase Shift Keying, 2

DPSK Differential Phase Shift Keying, 8

DWDM Dense Wavelength Division Multiplexing, 2

EON Elastic Optical Networks, 3

FEC Forward Error Correction, 5

FG Frequency Granularity, 3

FIT Failure in Time, 89

FMF Few Mode Fiber, 165

FSU Frequency Slot Unit, 39

FWM Four Wave Mixing, 189

GMPLS Generalized Multiprotocol Label Switching, 36

GN Gaussian Noise, 109

HD-FEC Hard Decision FEC, 16

LCOS Liquid Crystal on Silicon, 19

LDPC Low Density Parity Check, 15

LiSP Light Switched Path, 36

LiSPDB LiSP Database, 36

LM Link Margin, 40

LO Local Oscillator, 11

LOOSNR Link Operational OSNR, 52

MDM Mode Division Multiplexing, 165

MEMS Micro-electrical and Mechanical Systems, 19

MIMO Multiple Input Multiple Output, 165

MSSI Mid-span Spectral Inversion, 168

MZM Mach-Zehnder modulators, 10

NFV Network Function Virtualization, 35

NMS Network Management System, 35

N-WDM Nyquist Wavelength Division Multiplexing, 25

NCG Net Coding Gain, 14

NLI Nonlinear Impairments, 14

OADM Optical Add Drop Multiplexer, 2

OCN Optical Core Networks, 2

OOK On-Off Keying, 8

OSNR Optical Signal to Noise, 4

OXC Optical Cross-Connects, 37

PBS Polarization Beam Splitter, 10

Pcapf Potential Capacity Factor, 128

PCE Path Computation Element, 36

PM Polarization Multiplexing, 6

PMD Polarization Mode Dispersion, 9

PSD Power Spectrum Density, 195

QAM Quadrature Amplitude Modulation, 4

RC Routing Controller, 36

RFS Recirculating Frequency Shifter, 32

ROADM Reconfigurable Optical Add Drop Multiplexers, 19

RSA Routing and Spectrum Assignment, 39

RWA Routing Wavelength Assignment, 2

SCI Single Channel Interference, 193

SMF Single Mode Fiber, 202

SP Set Partitioning, 168

S-PCE Stateful-Path Computational Element, 37

S-BVT Sliceable- Bandwidth Variable Transponders, 6, 21

SD-FEC Soft Decision FEC, 16

SDM Space division Multiplexing, 32, 165

SDN Software Defined Network, 35

SSMF Standard Single Mode Fiber, 119

ST Subcarrier Transmission, 26

TDM Time Division Multiplexing, 2

TED Traffic Engineering Database, 36

UT Uncompensated Transmission, 189

WDM Wavelength Division Multiplexing, 2

WSS Wavelength Selective Switch, 19

XCI Cross Channel Interference, 193

XPM Cross Phase Modulation, 189