

DELINEATION OF FACTORS UNDERLYING DYADIC INTERPERSONAL RELATIONSHIPS

by

Mahesh Chandra Gupta

Thesis submitted to the
Indian Institute of Technology, Delhi
for the award of the Degree of

DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

Department of Humanities & Social Sciences
Indian Institute of Technology, Delhi

(October, 1974)

T4
159.9
GUP-D

I. I. T. DELHI.
LIBRARY
Acc. No. Th-361

I. I. T. DELHI.
LIBRARY

CONTENTS

Chapter I	<u>THE PROBLEM AND THE APPROACH</u>	
1.1	INTRODUCTION	1
1.2	ORIENTATION TO THE PROBLEM	2
1.3	THEORETICAL FRAME & THE HYPOTHESIS	3
1.31	Corollaries of the Postulate	5
1.32	Hypothesis	5
1.4	CONCEPTS RELATED TO THE FORMULATION OF HYPOTHESIS	6
1.41	Interpersonal Relationship	6
1.42	Conceptualisation of Stability of Dyadic Interpersonal Relationship (D-ipr)	
1.421	Intensity & Closeness in Relationship	9
1.422	Stability & Closeness	10
1.423	Stability as Function of Fluctuation	10
1.424	Closeness & Fluctuation	10
1.425	Criteria Attributes of Friendly Dyadic Interpersonal Relationship (F-dipr)	12
1.4251	Communication including self-disclosure	12
1.4252	Trust	15
1.4253	Liking	17
1.4254	Respect	1
1.4255	Reciprocation	1
1.4256	Affection	19
1.4257	Influence	20
1.4258	Understanding	21
1.43	Problems	21
1.44	Common Concern	23
1.45	Enduring Concern	23

1.46	VI	2
1.47	Perceived Views	32
1.5	EXPLICATION OF THE POSTULATE	35
1.51	There are enduring problems which govern individual's life activities.	35
1.52	The enduring problems have their origin early in life	3
1.53	There is a basic need for communication to some other	40
1.54	Each individual would tend to interact with specific others	42
1.55	Similarity of views breeds interpersonal attraction	43
1.56	Views Formation is related to the past experience	44
1.57	Views are related to goals	45
Chapter II	<u>STUDY OF RELEVANT LITERATURE</u>	48
2.1	STUDIES ON INTERPERSONAL ATTRACTION	49
2.11	Instrumentality of Study of Communicative Acts in Understanding Interpersonal Behaviour	50
2.12	Interpersonal Attractions and the Newcomb's A-B-X system	50
2.13	Interpersonal Attraction and Similarity of Attitudes	51
2.14	Perceived versus actual Similarity of Attitudes as factor in Interpersonal Attraction	56
2.15	An Empirical Evidence regarding Interpersonal Attraction and Similarity of Perceived Attitudes	58
2.16	Interpersonal Attraction and Personality Similarity	59
2.2	STUDIES ON INTERACTION AS RELATED TO INTERPERSONAL RELATIONSHIP	61

2.21	Goffman's Contribution	62
2.22	Rel of Interaction in Inter- personal Attraction	64
2.23	Communication as an Inter- mediary Phenomenon	65
2.24	Pragmatics of Human Communication	66
2.25	Exchange Theory of Interaction	67
2.26	McCall & Simmons on Interaction and Interpersonal Relationship	69
2.27	Heider's Contribution	73
2.3	STUDIES RELATED TO SOCIOMETRIC CHOICE	74
2.31	Frecker's Finding	75
2.32	Maisonneuve's Finding	76
2.33	Fiedler, Warrington & Blaisdell's Study	76
2.34	Other Studies	77
2.4	STUDIES RELATED TO COMMUNICATION AND INTERPERSONAL RELATIONSHIP	77
2.41	Wackman's Observation in Inter- personal Communication	78
2.42	Watzlawick and Beavin's Contri- bution	78
2.43	Utility of Goffman's Work	79
2.44	Place of Festinger's work in the Present Study	80
2.45	Some Recent Researches	81
2.46	Comment on McLeod & Chaffee's Observation of Newcomb's A-B-X Model	82
2.5	CONCLUSIONS	84
	Part III GATHERING DATA	90
3.1	RESEARCH SETTING	91
3.11	Selection of Subjects	92
3.12	Sex of Subjects	95

3.13	Ag of Subjects	5
3.14	Educational status of the Subjects	96
3.15	General Background of the Subjects	96
3.16	Size of the sample	97
3.2	NATURE OF DATA AND CONSTRUCTION OF INSTRUMENTS	98
3.21	Stability of F-dipr	99
3.211	Measurement of F-dipr	99
3.212	Description of scale items	102
3.213	Measure of F-dipr	111
3.22	Problems of Common and Enduring Concern	112
3.221	Identification of Problems	114
3.222	Measure of degree of Common concern towards the Problems	118
3.23	Views towards problems of common and enduring concern	11
3.231	Measurement of Views	119
3.232	Measure of discrepancy of Views	121
3.3	NOTE ON VALIDITY AND RELIABILITY OF THE MEASURES	123
Chapter IV	<u>ANALYSIS OF THE DATA AND FINDINGS</u>	125
4.1	PRELIMINARIES	125
4.2	NATURE OF THE DATA	126
4.21	Reliability of F-dipr Scale It	127
4.22	Distribution of Ss' Responses and assignments of weightage to the four Response categories of Table IV of the Questionnaire	132

4.3	FINDINGS FROM THE DATA	136
4.31	Rationale for the use of correlation (r) in the present study	138
4.32	Role of degree of concern associated with the Problem Areas	147
4.33	Relationship of 'degree of dyadic-concern towards problems of common concern' to 'F-dipr'	151
4.4	SUMMARY OF RESULTS AND CONCLUSIONS	155
	APPENDICES	160-169
	REFERENCES	170-1