

GREEN SYNTHESIS OF NANO METAL OXIDES FOR AGRICULTURAL AND ENVIRONMENTAL APPLICATIONS

LAHUR MANI VERMA



**CENTRE FOR RURAL DEVELOPMENT AND TECHNOLOGY
INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY DELHI
APRIL 2024**

© Indian Institute of Technology Delhi (IITD), New Delhi, 2024

Green Synthesis of Nano Metal Oxides for Agricultural and Environmental Applications

by

LAHUR MANI VERMA

Centre for Rural Development and Technology

Submitted

in fulfillment of the requirements of the degree of

Doctor of Philosophy

to the



Indian Institute of Technology Delhi

April 2024

Dedicated *to* My Grand Parents

***Late* (Shri) Ram Naresh & Shiv Baran Verma**

The *reason* may be thy *light*....

CERTIFICATE

This is to certify that the thesis entitled "**Green synthesis of nano metal oxides for agricultural and environmental application**", being submitted by **Mr. Lahur Mani Verma** to the **Indian Institute of Technology Delhi** for the award of "**Doctor of Philosophy**" is a record of bonafide research work carried out by him. He has worked under our guidance and supervision and has fulfilled the requirements for the submission of this thesis. To the best of our knowledge, the results contained in this thesis have not been submitted in part or full to any other university or institute for the award of any degree or diploma.

Prof. Satyawati Sharma

Professor,

Centre for Rural Development and Technology

Indian Institute of Technology Delhi

New Delhi – 110016

Prof. Pravin P. Ingole

Professor,

Department of Chemistry

Indian Institute of Technology Delhi

New Delhi – 110016

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I humbly offer my utmost gratitude to the Supreme God, if any, for blessing me with the fortitude and providence to accomplish this work.

*With great elation and contentment, I extend my sincerest appreciation and admiration to my esteemed supervisor, **Prof. Satyawati Sharma**, for her benevolent affection, motivation, ardour, constructive critique, and unwavering support. Words cannot express my deep sense of gratitude and reverence for my research advisor. She not only granted me the privilege to be a part of such a laudable and significant project, but she also facilitated my personal growth and overall development. I convey my heartfelt thanks for the productive conversations and the freedom to devise and execute experiments, promoting my logical and research aptitude. This work would have never come to fruition without her intellectual framework, contributions, and recommendations. She is a source of inspiration and ideas that have enabled me to achieve my targets.*

*I would like to express my sincere appreciation to my co-supervisor, **Prof. Pravin P. Ingole**, for his invaluable guidance and support throughout my research journey. His expertise, dedication, and willingness to share his knowledge and experience have been instrumental in shaping this work. I am genuinely grateful for his contributions and the time and effort he has devoted to ensuring the success of this research work. Thank you for your unwavering succour and for pushing me to strive for excellence.*

*I express my utmost gratitude to my SRC members - **Prof. B. J. Alappat** (External Expert, Civil Engineering Department), **Prof. Anushree Malik** (Internal expert, CRDT), **Prof. Jai Deo Singh** (Chairperson, Chemistry Department) for their valuable suggestions, time, comments, and moral support to enhance my research work. I am also grateful to the faculty members of CRDT and Chemistry for their assistance and encouragement throughout this work.*

*I am extremely thankful to **Prof. B. Jairam**, **Prof. Sunil K. Khare** and **Prof. Narayanan D. Kurur** IIT Delhi, who have been a great support in starting this journey at IIT Delhi. I am also thankful to, **Prof. Padam Kant** Lucknow University, **Prof. R.P. Singh**, LBS Degree college Gonda, Avadh University and **Shri. K.M. Tripathi** my high school teacher who motivated and helped me for such work. I sincerely thank the laboratory staff and office staff of **CRDT, IIT Delhi** for their assistance and help related to the research and academic services and resources. I am profoundly grateful to **Mr. Ramkumar** for his unflagging support in conducting*

my field-level experiments. His experience and invaluable suggestions aided me in the successful completion of my experimental work.

*My acknowledgment would be incomplete without a special mention of my seniors, **Dr. Anita Raj Sanwariya, Dr. Abhay Tiwari, Dr. Mohd Aamir Khan, Dr. Garima Singh, Dr. Pratibha Yadav, Dr. Kanika Tokas, Dr. Mandira Kapri, Dr. Sonal Yadav, Dr. Monica Verma, Dr. Sumati Sharma, Dr. Anita Srivastava, and Dr. Gourav Choudhir.** Their invaluable assistance, guidance, and encouragement was crucial to the successful completion of my work.*

*I am filled with profound gratitude towards my colleagues, who have been instrumental in providing invaluable support and understanding during my Ph.D. research time. With the utmost humility, I extend my heartfelt gratitude and sincere salutation to **Ms. Akansha Gupta, Mr. Umesh Singh, Mr. Ajay Kumar, Mr. Raju, Ms. Pooja Tiwari, Mrs. Anju Sangwan, Mrs. Shivani Chaudhari, Mr. Amit Kumar, Mr. Sumit Dhali, Mr. Gyan Datta Tripathi and Mr. Satyajeet Maurya** for their unwavering companionship, insightful discussions, and for fostering a stimulating and enjoyable work environment.*

*I sincerely thank my dear friends **Mr. Rahul Chaurasia, Dr. Shreya Tripathi, Mr. Sugrim Verma, Mr. Rahul Verma, Dr. Rajendra Prasad Shukla, Dr. Shivanshu Mishra, Mr. Indresh Verma, Ms. Neetu Singh, Mrs. Neetu Verma, Dr. Gyan Prakash Rathore, Mr. Biki Hazarika, Mr. Surya Prakash Verma** and all my hostel friends for their constant backing and persistent presence throughout my thesis journey. They were always there to celebrate milestones and lend a sympathetic ear during moments of frustration.*

*Above all, I cannot overstate the immense contribution of my beloved parents **Shri. Mehi Lal Verma** and **Smt. Sadhana Devi** and above them my 'Kaka' **Shri. Deena Nath Verma** and elder brother **Shri. Raj Mani Verma.** Their firm encouragement and frequent epistolary correspondences sustained me during the most arduous times. This thesis is a testament to their unbounded love and inspiration, without which this achievement would have remained an unfulfilled aspiration. To my brother **Mr. Surya Mani Verma** and my sister **Mrs. Geeta Devi and Rama Verma,** words fail to express my profound gratitude for the care and encouragement that saw me through the ups and downs of the past five years. A special thanks to my dear niece and brother **Nisha, Geetanjali, Neeraj, Hemant, Dileep, and Nitin,** for bringing joy and warmth to my life. I stand beholden to my extended family members **Ms. Saumya** and aunt **Mrs. Vineeta Shukla** for their constant motivation and companionship in my journey. There are*

many others who have supported and helped me in various ways. I sincerely thank all of them and request their forgiveness for not mentioning them here by name.



LAHUR MANI VERMA

ABSTRACT

Recently, nanomaterial synthesis and application have entered a new realm of green synthesis and agricultural—environmental applications. The widespread problem of soil health, micronutrient deficiency and “low use efficiency” of conventional (bulk-regime) agrochemicals appears daunting in the face of increasing demand for food production in a climate-resilient and sustainable manner. Additionally, the global micronutrient deficiency in soil plant-continuum is translating into human population as “hidden hunger”, which has drawn the public attention for its immediate solution.

This thesis, titled “Green synthesis of nano metal oxides for agricultural and environmental application”, is centered around the utilization of sugar press mud (agro-industrial solid waste) for the green synthesis of nano metal oxides and extending its application toward the efficient and sustainable plant nutrition, as well as soil remediation.

The study starts by exploring the use of sugar press mud water extract in synthesizing wurtzite ZnO (P63mc), α -Fe₂O₃ and monoclinic CuO nanoparticles using base hydrolysis and in aqueous sol-gel process. The study evolves further into the screening of suitable metal precursor salts and comparison of PM water extract-based ligands with commercially available analytical grade surfactants. The study also attempted to identify the probable active chemical agent (capping agent) in PM extract.

Furthermore, the study about extending its application to agriculture and the environment includes experiments designed to study the interface of nano metal oxide with the biotic and abiotic components of the environment. First, the role of nano metal (Zn, Fe and Cu) oxides (M_xO_y) for sustainable and efficient crop nutrition was studied with randomised control block design (RCBD) pot trials using a soil matrix amended with 1.4% w/w dry sugar press mud and reinforced further with metal oxide NPs in the dose range of 10-100 mg/kg. Second, the nano

metal oxides were evaluated for their heterogeneous photocatalytic potential in degrading the soil organic pollutant, i.e., RhB dye in aqueous medium using direct sunlight. Thirdly, these (M_xO_y) nanoparticles were also evaluated for their compatibility with agriculturally important fungi in a PDA-based food poison assay.

In summary, the green nano synthesis experiments confirmed the capping ability of the PM-based ligands and their anisotropic control by offering the nanoparticle of the smallest size of ~14 nm (ZnO) with the consistent morphology. The application of metal oxides (M_xO_y) as nano fertiliser has shown statistically significant ($p < 0.05$) correlations with soil organic carbon (SOC) and various other agronomic parameters, as evidenced by the (~30-60%) increase in grain and biomass yield vis-a-vis significant mineral fortification. Also, the photocatalytic degradation of soil organic pollutant (RhB) by these nanoparticles has shown a rate constant (pseudo-first-order kinetics) of 0.03 to 0.7 min⁻¹. The interface studies of nanometal oxides (M_xO_y) with environmental biotic components targeted at the compatibility of nanomaterials with the fungi indicated no toxicity at the agriculturally operational dose (~0.2 mg/ml). However, findings suggested the possible exploration of ZnO and CuO NPs for their pesticidal value at (2.0 mg/ml) dose. Thus, this study offers a new pathway for the green synthesis of nanometal oxides (M_xO_y) by expanding the green nano synthesis to agri-waste utilization. In addition, this also provides crucial insights into the nano-regime agrochemicals with their environmental interface for sustainable crop nutrition and climate-resilient crop production.

सारांश

हाल ही में, नैनोमटेरियल संश्लेषण और अनुप्रयोग ने ग्रीन संश्लेषण और कृषि-पर्यावरणीय अनुप्रयोग के एक नए क्षेत्र में प्रवेश किया है। मृदा स्वास्थ्य, सूक्ष्म पोषक तत्वों की कमी और पारंपरिक (बृहद- प्रणाली) कृषि रसायनों की "कम उपयोग दक्षता" की व्यापक समस्या जलवायु-लचीले और धारणीय तरीके से खाद्य उत्पादन की बढ़ती मांग के सामने चुनौतीपूर्ण प्रतीत होती है। इसके अतिरिक्त, मिट्टी के पौधे-सातत्य में वैश्विक सूक्ष्म पोषक तत्वों की कमी मानव आबादी में "छिपी हुई भूख" के रूप में तब्दील हो जाती है, जिसने इसके तत्काल समाधान के लिए लोगो का ध्यान आकर्षित किया है।

"कृषि और पर्यावरण अनुप्रयोग के लिए "नैनो" धातु आक्साइड का हरित संश्लेषण" शीर्षक वाली यह थीसिस (शोध प्रबंध), नैनो धातु आक्साइड के हरित संश्लेषण के लिए सूगर प्रेस मड (कृषि-औद्योगिक ठोस अपशिष्ट) के उपयोग और पौधों के दक्षतापूर्वक तथा धारणीय पोषण, के साथ-साथ ही मिट्टी के उपचार की दिशा में इसके अनुप्रयोग विस्तार करने पर केंद्रित है। ।

अध्ययन क्षार हाइड्रोलिसिस का उपयोग करके और जलीय सोल-जेल प्रक्रिया में वर्टज़ाइट ZnO ($P63_{mc}$), α -(Fe_2O_3) और मोनोक्लिनिक (CuO) नैनोकणों को संश्लेषित करने में सूगर प्रेस मड के पानी के अर्क के उपयोग की खोज से शुरू होता है। अध्ययन उपयुक्त धातु अग्रदूत लवणों की स्क्रीनिंग और व्यावसायिक रूप से उपलब्ध विश्लेषणात्मक ग्रेड सर्फैक्टेंट के साथ पीएम जल अर्क-आधारित लिगेंड की तुलना करने में विकसित होता है। अध्ययन में पीएम अर्क में संभावित रासायनिक एजेंट (सक्रिय कैपिंग एजेंट) की पहचान करने का भी प्रयास किया गया।

इसके अलावा, कृषि और पर्यावरण में इसके अनुप्रयोग को विस्तारित करने के अध्ययन में पर्यावरण के जैविक और अजैविक घटकों के साथ नैनो मेटल ऑक्साइड के इंटरफेस का अध्ययन करने के लिए डिज़ाइन किए गए प्रयोग शामिल हैं। सबसे पहले, दक्षतापूर्वक तथा धारणीय फसल पोषण के लिए नैनो धातु (Zn , Fe और Cu) ऑक्साइड ($MxOy$) की भूमिका का अध्ययन 1.2% w/w सूखी सूगर प्रेस मड के साथ संशोधित मिट्टी मैट्रिक्स का उपयोग करके यादृच्छिक नियंत्रण ब्लॉक डिज़ाइन (RCBD) पॉट परीक्षणों के साथ किया गया था। 10-100 मिलीग्राम/किग्रा की खुराक सीमा में मिट्टी और धातु ऑक्साइड नैनोकणों के साथ प्रबलित। दूसरा, नैनो धातु ऑक्साइड का मूल्यांकन मिट्टी के कार्बनिक प्रदूषक, यानी, सीधे सूर्य के प्रकाश का उपयोग करके जलीय माध्यम में आरएचबी डाई को कम करने में उनकी विषम फोटोकैटलिटिक क्षमता के लिए किया गया था। तीसरा, इन (एमएक्सओवाई) नैनोकणों का पीडीए-

आधारित खाद्य जहर परख में कृषि की दृष्टि से महत्वपूर्ण कवक के साथ उनकी अनुकूलता के लिए भी मूल्यांकन किया गया था।

संक्षेप में, हरित नैनो संश्लेषण ने सुसंगत आकारिकी के साथ ~14 एनएम (जेडएनओ) के सबसे छोटे आकार के नैनोकण की पेशकश करके पीएम-आधारित लिगेंड की कैपिंग क्षमता और उनके अनिसोट्रोपिक नियंत्रण की पुष्टि की। नैनो उर्वरक के रूप में धातु ऑक्साइड (एमएक्सओवाई) के अनुप्रयोग ने मिट्टी के कार्बनिक कार्बन (एसओसी) और विभिन्न अन्य कृषि संबंधी मापदंडों के साथ सांख्यिकीय रूप से महत्वपूर्ण ($P < 0.05$) सहसंबंध दिखाया है, जैसा कि अनाज और बायोमास में (~30-60%) वृद्धि से प्रमाणित है। महत्वपूर्ण खनिज सुदृढ़ीकरण की तुलना में उपज। इसके अलावा, मृदा कार्बनिक प्रदूषक (आरएचबी) के फोटोकैटलिटिक क्षरण ने 0.0345 से 0.7624 मिनट⁻¹ की दर स्थिरांक (छद्म-प्रथम-क्रम बलगति विज्ञान) दिखाया है। कवक के साथ नैनोमटेरियल की अनुकूलता पर लक्षित पर्यावरणीय जैविक घटक के साथ नैनोमेटल ऑक्साइड (एमएक्सओवाई) के इंटरफ़ेस अध्ययन ने कृषि परिचालन खुराक (0.2 मिलीग्राम / मिलीलीटर) पर कोई विषाक्तता नहीं होने का संकेत दिया। हालाँकि, निष्कर्षों ने (2.0 ग्राम/मिलीलीटर) खुराक पर उनके कीटनाशक मूल्य के लिए ZnO और CuO NPs की संभावित खोज का सुझाव दिया। इस प्रकार, यह अध्ययन कृषि-अपशिष्ट उपयोग के लिए नैनो संश्लेषण का विस्तार करके नैनोमेटल ऑक्साइड (एमएक्सओवाई) के हरित संश्लेषण के लिए एक नया मार्ग प्रदान करता है। इसके अलावा, यह धारणीय फसल पोषण और जलवायु-लचीला फसल उत्पादन के लिए उनके पर्यावरणीय इंटरफ़ेस के साथ नैनो-शासन कृषि रसायनों में महत्वपूर्ण अंतर्दृष्टि भी प्रदान करता है।

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CERTIFICATE	i
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.....	ii
ABSTRACT.....	iv
TABLE OF CONTENTS	ix
LIST OF FIGURES	xiii
LIST OF TABLES	xvii
ABBREVIATIONS.....	xx
CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Background.....	1
1.2. Micronutrient deficiency and soil pollution: India and World	1
1.3. Sugar press mud: A sugar Industry waste	4
1.4. Nano (MxOy) metal oxides: Nanofertilizers and soil remediation	5
1.5. Synthesis of nano-(MxOy) metal oxides: Green Approach	6
1.6. Applications of nano-(MxOy) metal oxides	8
1.7. Scope of the work	9
1.8. Study objectives and research hypothesis.....	11
CHAPTER 2: REVIEW OF LITERATURE	12
2.1.Micronutrient deficiency in soil and plant.....	12
2.2.Sugar press mud: A sugar Industry waste	18
2.3.Sugar press mud: Generation.....	19
2.4.Chemical composition of sugar press mud.....	20
2.5.Application of sugar press mud	22
2.6.Metal Oxides and their synthesis	23
2.7.Synthesis of nano-(MxOy) metal oxides: Green Approach.....	26
2.8.Green synthesis of nano metal oxides: Mechanism	27
2.9. Applications of nano-(MxOy) metal oxides	33
2.10. Applications of nano-(MxOy) metal oxides as nanofertilizer	33
2.11. Applications of nano-(MxOy) metal oxides for soil remediation	41
2.12. Applications of nano-(MxOy) metal oxides and anti-microbial action	47

2.13. Research Gaps	49
2.14. Objectives	50
CHAPTER 3: MATERIAL AND METHODS	51
3.1. Procurement of chemicals and other materials	52
3.1.1 Procurement of laboratory chemicals.....	52
3.1.2 Biological materials.....	52
3.2. Synthesis and characterizations of nano (MxOy) metal oxides.....	53
3.2.1 Green synthesis nano (MxOy) metal oxides.....	53
3.2.2 Screening of precursor salts: Synthesis of nano ZnO NPs.....	55
3.2.3 Comparative study of capping agent: Synthesis of nano (MxOy).....	56
3.2.4 Characterizations of nano (MxOy) metal oxides.....	57
3.3. Physio-chemical analysis of soil and sugar press mud.....	58
3.3.1 Dry sugar press mud analysis.....	59
3.3.2 Sugar press mud extract analysis.....	59
3.3.3 Soil analysis.....	60
3.4 Agricultural applications of nano (MxOy) metal oxides	60
3.4.1 Experimental Design.....	61
3.4.2 Experimental setup.....	61
3.4.3 Measurement of plant growth parameters	63
3.4.4 Measurement of photosynthetic pigments	64
3.4.5 Biomass and crop yield	65
3.4.6 Post-harvest analysis of soil samples.....	65
3.5 Environmental applications of nano (MxOy) metal oxides.....	68
3.5.1 Photocatalytic degradation of organic pollutants	66
3.5.2 Kinetic measurements.....	66
3.6 Compatibility studies of nano (MxOy) metal oxides	67
3.6.1 Fungal food poisoning assay.....	68
3.7 Statistical analysis.....	68
CHAPTER 4: RESULTS AND DISCUSSION.....	69
4.0 Green Synthesis of nano (MxOy) Metal Oxides	69
4.1 Characteristics of ZnO NPs	69
4.2 Characteristics of Fe ₃ O ₄ NPs.....	75

4.3 Characteristics of CuO NPs.....	80
4.4 Screening of suitable Precursor Metal Salt	85
4.4.1 Characteristics of ZnO NPs.....	85
4.5 Choice of metal salt as precursor	96
4.6 Comparative study of capping agents: Green vs chemical agents	97
4.6.1 Characteristics of α -Fe ₂ O ₃	97
4.6.1.1 X-ray diffraction and Raman studies.....	97
4.6.1.2 Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy	102
4.6.1.3 Scanning and Transmission Electron Microscopy.....	103
4.6.1.4 SEM-EDX analysis.....	133
4.6.1.5 Nanoparticle Tracking Analyzer (NTA) study.....	107
4.6.1.6 UV-DRS study (Tauc Plot) and Zeta (ζ) potential.....	124
4.6.2 Characteristics of CuO.....	128
4.6.2.1 X-ray diffraction and Raman studies	128
4.6.2.2 Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy.....	131
4.6.2.3 Scanning and Transmission Electron Microscopy.....	132
4.6.2.4 SEM-EDX analysis.....	133
4.6.2.5 Nanoparticle Tracking Analyzer (NTA) study.....	135
4.6.2.6 UV-DRS study (Tauc Plot) and Zeta (ζ) potential.....	153
4.6.3 Characteristics of ZnO.....	154
4.6.3.1 X-ray diffraction and Raman studies	134
4.6.3.2 Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy.....	157
4.6.3.3 Scanning and Transmission Electron Microscopy.....	158
4.6.3.4 SEM-EDX analysis.....	162
4.6.3.5 Nanoparticle Tracking Analyzer (NTA) study.....	183
4.6.3.6 UV-DRS study (Tauc Plot) and Zeta (ζ) potential.....	186
4.7 Characterization of sugar press mud.....	190
4.8 Characterization of sugar press mud water extract.....	192
4.9 Soil analysis.....	197
4.10 Application of M _x O _y NPs on Wheat Crop Productivity.....	198
4.10.1 Effect of ZnO NPs treatment on wheat growth.....	198
4.10.1.1 Chlorophyll content.....	199
4.10.1.2 Plant height and Spikelet length.....	201

4.10.1.3 Biomass and Grain yield.....	202
4.10.1.4 Effect on Zn fortification in Wheat Grain.....	204
4.10.1.5 Effect on soil Zn status.....	205
4.10.1.6 Interaction of Zn with other plant nutrients.....	206
4.10.1.7 Principal Component Analysis (PCA).....	210
4.10.2 Application of Fe ₂ O ₃ (hematite) NPs wheat crop productivity.....	212
4.10.2.1 Effect of Fe ₂ O ₃ (hematite) treatment on wheat growth.....	212
4.10.3 Application of CuO NPs wheat crop productivity.....	218
4.10.3.1 Effect of CuO (hematite) treatment on wheat growth.....	223
4.11 Environmental applications of nano (MxOy): Soil remediation.....	224
4.11.1 Photocatalytic activity of ZnO NPs: Effect of Precursors.....	224
4.11.2 Photocatalytic activity of ZnO NPs: Effect of Capping agents.....	228
4.11.3 Photocatalytic activity of Fe ₂ O ₃ : Effect of capping agent.....	233
4.11.4 Photocatalytic activity of CuO: Effect of Capping agents.....	238
4.12 Environmental Suitability of Nanoparticles.....	243
4.12.1 Effect of ZnO nanoparticles: anisotropy and nano-surface.....	243
4.12.2 Effect of Fe ₂ O ₃ nanoparticles: anisotropy and surface.....	247
4.12.3 Effect of CuO nanoparticles: anisotropy and surface.....	251
CHAPTER 5: SUMMARY, CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE PROSPECTIVES.....	256
REFERENCES.....	263
BIODATA.....	281

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure No.	Title	Page No.
Fig. 1.1	Soil micronutrient (Zn, Fe, B, Mo, Mn, Cu) deficiency status in India and the world.	2
Fig. 1.2	Soil health card scheme (SHC), Ministry of Agriculture and Farmers Welfare, India	3
Fig. 1.3	Appearance of sugar press mud and bagasse as produced from the sugar mills.	5
Fig. 1.4	The two approaches (Bottom-up and Top-down) for the synthesis of the nanomaterials.	7
Fig. 1.5	Applications of nanomaterials and nanotechnology in various industries.	8
Fig. 2.1	The symptoms of zinc (Zn), iron (Fe), and copper (Cu) deficiency in various plants	13
Fig. 2.2	The status of combined deficiency of micronutrients zinc (Zn), iron (Fe), and copper (Cu), sulphur (S), boron (B), and manganese (Mn) in Indian soil samples.	15
Fig. 2.3	The worldwide distribution of micronutrient zinc (Zn), deficiency in soil and human population.	19
Fig. 2.4	Appearance of sugar press mud and bagasse as produced from the sugar mills.	20
Fig. 2.5	The schematic representation of the production of sugar press mud from the sugar mills.	22
Fig. 2.6	The schematic representation of the various applications of sugar press mud in agriculture and environment	24
Fig. 2.7	Crystal structure and various forms of metal oxides ($MxOy$, where $M=$ Fe, Cu, and Zn)	75
Fig. 2.8	Two approaches (Bottom-up and Top-down) for the synthesis of the nanomaterials.	26
Fig. 2.9	Schematic illustration of the possible formation process for rod-like, flower-like, and spindle-like ZnO structures.	27
Fig. 2.10	LaMer plot illustrates the separation of nucleation and growth during the synthesis of monodisperse NPs. S and Sc are supersaturation and critical supersaturation, respectively.	28
Fig. 2.11	Schematic of the proposed formation processes of the basic ZnO structures and SEM and TEM images: (A) nanorods, (B) nanoneedles, and (C) nano-disks.	28
Fig. 2.12	Schematic illustrations of two different scenarios of thermodynamic versus kinetic control that involve (A) two parallel reactions (B) a series of sequential reactions. In either case, the thermodynamic	29

	product, the one with the lower Gibbs free energy in(A) or the one at global minimum in (B), could be most conveniently accessed by raising the reaction temperature.	
Fig. 2.13	Applications of nanomaterials and nanotechnology in various industries.	33
Fig. 2.14	Mechanism of Zn uptake from soil and its translocation into developing grains.	34
Fig. 2.15	Schematic representation of the photocatalytic mechanism and recombination process in a typical nano metal oxide based photocatalyst.	41
Fig. 2.16	Schematic representation of various possible mechanisms involved in antifungal activity of different nanoparticles.	47
Fig. 3.1	Schematic representation of the work plan.	51
Fig. 3.2	Schematic diagram representing the green synthesis of ZnO NPs synthesis and its photocatalytic application.	53
Fig. 3.3	Methodology adopted for the formation of iron oxides (α -Fe ₂ O ₃ & Fe ₃ O ₄) nanoparticle in a sol-gel process.	54
Fig. 3.4	Methodology adopted for the formation of copper oxide (CuO) nanoparticle in a sol-gel process.	55
Fig. 3.5	Methodology adopted for the formation of copper oxide (CuO) nanoparticle in a sol-gel process.	55
Fig. 3.6	Experimental setup and the sol-gel stages during the synthesis of nano-(MxOy) metal oxides	56
Fig. 3.7	Randomized control block design (RCBD) for the pot trials of the nano-(MxOy) metal oxides	61
Fig. 3.8	Experimental site adopted for the pot trials of the nano- (MxOy) metal oxides	64
Fig. 4.1	Probable formation mechanism of ZnO NPs through the observed stages during the sol-gel process of synthesis	69
Fig. 4.2	(a) Characteristic x-ray diffractograms for ZnO nanoparticles ZnP and ZnB with JCPDS no. (80-0075); (b) magnified range (2 θ ; 30-40) for clarity in relative intensity variation of the peaks indexed as hkl (100), (002), (101).	70
Fig. 4.3	(a) Comparative FTIR spectra for characteristic Zn-O stretching of ZnP, ZnB and PL; (b) magnified range (wavenumber; 400-500 cm ⁻¹) for clarity in shape and relative intensity of the peaks in this region.	71
Fig. 4.4	UV- absorption spectroscopy of ZnO NPs ZnP and ZnB with the blue shift in absorption maxima (λ_{max} values 360-365 nm.	72
Fig. 4.5	(a-f) Nanoparticle Tracking Analysis (NTA) for the modal distribution of the surface area (nm ² /ml) of the ZnO nanoparticles ZnP and ZnB; (a & b) real time distribution for ZnP and ZnB respectively; similarly, (c & d) simplified average plot and (e & f) intensity plot.	73

Fig. 4.6	(a-d) Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) images) of the ZnO nanoparticles ZnP and ZnB;(a &b) ZnP nanoparticles with their aspect ratio ($a/b \geq 1$); similarly, (c-d) for ZnB nanoparticles. The respective inset figure (i-ii), the polydispersity of the material.	74
Fig. 4.7	(a-d) Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) images) of the ZnO nanoparticles Zn-PM and ZnB;(a &b) ZnP nanoparticles with its aspect ratio ($a/b \geq 1$); similarly, (c-d) for Zn-BH nanoparticles.	75
Fig. 4.8	(a) Characteristic x-ray diffractograms for iron oxide nanoparticles samples FeBH and FePM with reference JCPDS no. (74-0748 & 73-0603) respectively;(b) Raman spectrum for the same illustrating two different phases of iron oxide	76
Fig. 4.9	(a) Comparative FTIR spectra for characteristic Fe-O and ligand stretching of FePM, FeBH and LnPM; (b) magnified range (wavenumber; 400-1100 cm^{-1}) for clarity in shape and relative intensity of the peaks in this region.	77
Fig. 4.10	(a-d) Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) images) illustrating the different morphologies of the iron oxide nanoparticles FeBH and FePM;(a &b) FeBH nanoparticles with its aspect ratio ($a/b \geq 1$); similarly, (c-d) for FePM nanoparticles.	78
Fig. 4.11	(a-f) Transmission electron microscopy (SEM) images) illustrating the different morphologies of the iron oxide nanoparticles FeBH and FePM;(a &b) FeBH nanoparticles with their aspect ratio ($a/b \geq 1$); and (c) the corresponding SAED pattern; similarly, (d-e) for FePM nanoparticles and (f) the corresponding SAED pattern.	79
Fig. 4.12	(a) Characteristic x-ray diffractograms for CuO nanoparticles samples CuBH and CuPM with reference JCPDS no. (80-1916) respectively; (b) Raman spectrum for the same illustrating the pure CuO phase of (CuO) copper oxide.	81
Fig. 4.13	(a) Comparative FTIR spectra for characteristic Cu-O and ligand stretching of CuPM, CuBH and Ln-PM; (b) magnified range (wavenumber; 400-1000 cm^{-1}) for clarity in shape and relative intensity of the peaks in this region.	82
Fig. 4.14	(a-d) Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) images illustrating the different morphologies of the CuO nanoparticles CuBH and CuPM; (a &b) CuBH nanoparticles with its aspect ratio ($a/b \geq 1$); similarly, (c-d) for CuPM nanoparticles.	83
Fig. 4.15	(a-d) Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) images illustrating the different morphologies of the CuO nanoparticles CuBH and CuPM; (a &b) CuBH nanoparticles with its aspect ratio ($a/b \geq 1$) and (c) the corresponding SAED pattern. (d-e) for CuPM nanoparticles and (f) the corresponding SAED pattern.	84
Fig. 4.16	(i-ii) Characteristic x-ray diffractograms of the three structurally different NPs of ZnO samples; 'b&c' zinc sulphate (Zn-SL) and zinc	86

	nitrate (Zn-NR), respectively. and sample 'c' zinc acetate (Zn-AC) having size dimensions of 15 nm, 18 nm, and 20 nm, respectively; (ii) magnified range (2 θ : 30-40) for clarity in relative intensity variation of the peaks indexed as hkl (100), (002), (101). (iii) UV- UV-absorption spectroscopy of three ZnO NPs having similar λ_{max} values in the range of 360-380 nm.	
Fig. 4.17	(i&ii) Fourier transform Infrared spectroscopy (FTIR) for the three (a: Zn-SL, b: Zn-NR, c: Zn-AC) ZnO NPs samples respectively; (ii) magnified range (wavenumber; 400-500 cm ⁻¹) for clarity in shape and relative intensity of the peaks the in this region.	87
Fig. 4.18	(a-c) SEM images of the three structurally different ZnO NPs samples (a: Zn-AC, b: Zn-NR, c: Zn-SL) respectively; (a) sphere-like structure for Zn-AC; (b&c) and sheet-like structure from zinc nitrate and zinc sulphate respectively. Inset (i-iii) DLS analysis for three samples with varying (but narrow size distribution) PDI 0.486 acetate (i), (0.222 nitrate (ii), and 0.277 sulphate (iii).	89
Fig. 4.19	Fig.4.19 (a-c) TEM images of the three structurally different ZnO NPs samples (a: Zn-AC, b: Zn-NR, c: Zn-SL) respectively; (a&b) sheet-like structure for Zn-NR and Zn-SL; (c) sphere-like structure from Zn-AC respectively; figure (Inset i-iii), the corresponding SAED pattern for the three samples; polycrystalline (i and ii) for samples (Zn-NR and Zn-SL); (iii) monocrystalline SAED pattern for sample Zn-AC.	91
Fig.4.20	(a-d) HR-TEM images of the varying resolution for Zn-AC ZnO NPs sample (b) sphere-like NPs; (a) slight irregularity in the shape, on higher magnification (20nm scale). (c) highly resolved (5nm scale); (d) selected area magnified images; regular crystal lattice with d spacing 0.85nm; (inset-i) corresponding SAED pattern for monocrystalline material.	92
Fig.4.21	(d) Uv- visible absorption spectrum; shift in absorption edges due to the corresponding quantum confinement of charge in a given dimension of three (a: Zn-AC, b: Zn-NR, c: Zn-SL) respectively; (a) Tauc plot of the sample Zn-AC with band gap 3.78 eV, (b) Tauc plot of the sample Zn-SL with band gap 3.96 eV and the Tauc plot of the sample Zn-NR with a band gap of 4.1 eV.	94
Fig.4.22	(a-f) EDX analysis of the three samples (a,b: Zn-AC, c,d: Zn-NR, e,f: Zn-SL) respectively; (a &b) colour map 'Zn' and 'O' of Zn-AC; (c&d) colour map 'Zn' and 'O' of Zn-SL; (e&f) colour map of 'Zn' and 'O' of Zn-NR sample; (g) EDX spectrum weight % Zn, 47.95, O % 52.05; Zn-NR; (h) weight % Zn, 39.63, O % 60.33; for Zn-SL; (i) (weight % Zn, 39.58, O % 60.42) acetate (Zn-AC).	95
Fig.4.23	Comparative X-ray diffractograms (indexed with reference JCPDS cards); of various Fe ₂ O ₃ nanoparticle samples (Fe-AH, Fe-BH, Fe-	98

	CT, Fe-MC, and Fe-PM), synthesized using the same precursor (Fe ₂ SO ₄ .7H ₂ O) but different ligand conditions in a sol-gel scheme.	
Fig.4.24	(a) magnified range (2 θ ; 60-80) for clarity in relative intensity variation of the relevant peaks; (b) magnified range (2 θ ; 20-30); (c) magnified range (2 θ ; 30-50) of X-ray diffractograms of various Fe ₂ O ₃ nanoparticle samples; (d) Raman stretching frequencies of the same samples.	99
Fig.4.25	Raman Spectrum for various Fe ₂ O ₃ nanoparticle samples (Fe-AH, Fe-BH, Fe-CT, Fe-MC, and Fe-PM), synthesized using same precursor (Fe ₂ SO ₄ .7H ₂ O) but different ligand conditions in a sol-gel scheme.	101
Fig.4.26	Fourier transform Infrared spectroscopy (FTIR) spectrum for various Fe ₂ O ₃ nanoparticle samples (Fe-AH, Fe-BH, Fe-CT, Fe-MC, Fe-PM, Ln-PM) synthesized using the same precursor (Fe ₂ SO ₄ .7H ₂ O) but different ligand conditions in a sol-gel scheme.	102
Fig.4.27	Field effect scanning electron microscopy (FESEM, magnification x50,000, 10.0 Kv LED) images (with its aspect ratio (a/b $\geq$ 1), for various Fe ₂ O ₃ nanoparticle samples (b: Fe-AH, e: Fe-BH, a: Fe-CT, c: Fe-MC, and d: Fe-PM) synthesized using same precursor (Fe ₂ SO ₄ .7H ₂ O) but different ligand conditions in a sol-gel scheme.	103
Fig.4.28	Transmission electron microscopy (TEM, direct magnification 10,000x,-80,000x 120.0 Kv) images and corresponding SAED-pattern (with its aspect ratio (a/b $\geq$ 1), for various Fe ₂ O ₃ nanoparticle samples (a-d: Fe-AH, e-h: Fe-BH, i-l: Fe-CT, m-p: Fe-MC, and q-t: Fe-PM) synthesized using same precursor (Fe ₂ SO ₄ .7H ₂ O) but different ligand conditions in a sol-gel scheme.	104
Fig.4.29	(a-j) Energy Dispersive X-ray (EDAX) analysis, colour intensity map of the various Fe ₂ O ₃ nanoparticle samples (b: Fe-AH, e: Fe-BH, a: Fe-CT, c: Fe-MC, and d: Fe-PM) synthesized using same precursor (Fe ₂ SO ₄ .7H ₂ O) but different ligand conditions in a sol-gel scheme. The arrow in the circle points to the area exposing the sample holding carbon film (i-v) EDX spectrum of the same samples (i: Fe-AH, ii: FeBH, iii: Fe-CT, iii: Fe-MC, iv: Fe-MC). Arrows indicate the impurities present in samples.	106
Fig.4.30	(a-c) Distribution curve: Nanoparticle Tracking Analysis (NTA) for Fe ₂ O ₃ nanoparticle sample (Fe-AH). (a): particle concentration (particle/ml) vs diameter (nm) (b): surface area concentration (nm ² /ml) vs diameter; (c) volume concentration (nm ³ /ml) vs diameter; of nanoparticles; (d): intensity distribution solid curve of diameter, and concentration.	108
Fig.4.31	(a-l) NTA analysis; the modal distribution and intensity curves for Fe ₂ O ₃ nanoparticle sample (Fe-AH). (a): real time distribution of particle concentration (particle/ml) (b): mean distribution curve; (c): intensity pattern about size in diameter (nm); (d): statistical detail of	113

	the results. Similarly, (e-h) real and mean distribution with statistical detail for surface area concentration (nm ² /ml) and (i-l) volume concentration (nm ³ /ml illustrate the role of synthesis conditions on seeding, Ostwald ripening, and overall crystal growth.	
Fig.4.32	(a-c) Distribution curve: Nanoparticle Tracking Analysis (NTA) for Fe ₂ O ₃ nanoparticle sample (Fe-BH). (a): particle concentration (particle/ml) vs diameter (nm) (b): surface area concentration (nm ² /ml) vs diameter; (c) volume concentration (nm ³ /ml) vs diameter; of nanoparticles; (d): intensity distribution solid curve of diameter, and concentration.	114
	Fig.4.33 NTA analysis; the modal distribution and intensity curves for Fe ₂ O ₃ nanoparticle sample (Fe-BH). (a): real time distribution of particle concentration (particle/ml) (b): mean distribution curve; (c): intensity pattern about size in diameter (nm); (d): statistical detail of the results. Similarly, (e-h) real and mean distribution with statistical detail for surface area concentration (nm ² /ml) and (i-l) volume concentration (nm ³ /ml illustrate the role of synthesis conditions on seeding, Ostwald ripening, and overall crystal growth.	115
Fig.4.34	(a-c) Distribution curve: Nanoparticle Tracking Analysis (NTA) for Fe ₂ O ₃ nanoparticle sample (Fe-CT). (a): particle concentration (particle/ml) vs diameter (nm) (b): surface area concentration (nm ² /ml) vs diameter; (c) volume concentration (nm ³ /ml) vs diameter; of nanoparticles; (d): intensity distribution solid curve of diameter, and concentration.	116
Fig.4.35	NTA analysis; the modal distribution and intensity curves for Fe ₂ O ₃ nanoparticle sample (Fe-CT). (a): real time distribution of particle concentration (particle/ml) (b): mean distribution curve; (c): intensity pattern about size in diameter (nm); (d): statistical detail of the results. Similarly, (e-h) real and mean distribution with statistical detail for surface area concentration (nm ² /ml) and (i-l) volume concentration (nm ³ /ml illustrate the role of synthesis conditions on seeding, Ostwald ripening, and overall crystal growth.	117
Fig.4.36	(a-c) Distribution curve: Nanoparticle Tracking Analysis (NTA) for Fe ₂ O ₃ nanoparticle sample (Fe-MC). (a): particle concentration (particle/ml) vs diameter (nm) (b): surface area concentration (nm ² /ml) vs diameter; (c) volume concentration (nm ³ /ml) vs diameter; of nanoparticles; (d): intensity distribution solid curve of diameter, and concentration.	118
Fig. 4.37	NTA analysis; the modal distribution and intensity curves for Fe ₂ O ₃ nanoparticle sample (Fe-MC). (a): real time distribution of particle concentration (particle/ml) (b): mean distribution curve; (c): intensity pattern about size in diameter (nm); (d): statistical detail of the results. Similarly, (e-h) real and mean distribution with statistical detail for	125

	surface area concentration (nm ² /ml) and (i-l) volume concentration (nm ³ /ml illustrate the role of synthesis conditions on seeding, Ostwald ripening, and overall crystal growth.	
Fig.4.38	(a-c) Distribution curve: Nanoparticle Tracking Analysis (NTA) for Fe ₂ O ₃ nanoparticle sample (Fe-PM). (a): particle concentration (particle/ml) vs diameter (nm) (b): surface area concentration (nm ² /ml) vs diameter; (c) volume concentration (nm ³ /ml) vs diameter; of nanoparticles; (d): intensity distribution solid curve of diameter, and concentration.	122
Fig. 4.39	NTA analysis; the modal distribution and intensity curves for Fe ₂ O ₃ nanoparticle sample (Fe-PM). (a): real time distribution of particle concentration (particle/ml) (b): mean distribution curve; (c): intensity pattern about size in diameter (nm); (d): statistical detail of the results. Similarly, (e-h) real and mean distribution with statistical detail for surface area concentration (nm ² /ml) and (i-l) volume concentration (nm ³ /ml illustrating the role of synthesis conditions on seeding, Ostwald ripening, and overall crystal growth.	123
Fig.4.40	Comparative mean particle diameter (nm) distribution using NTA analysis for various Fe ₂ O ₃ nanoparticle samples (Fe-AH, Fe-BH, Fe-CT, Fe-MC, and Fe-PM), synthesized using the same precursor (Fe ₂ SO ₄ .7H ₂ O) but different ligand conditions in a sol-gel scheme, illustrates the variation in diameter distribution of the nanoparticle's dues to different synthetic conditions. D90: distribution pattern of 90% of the nanoparticles in each sample; Mean: mean distribution of NPs; Mode: modal distribution of NPs, D10: distribution pattern of 10% of nanoparticle in each sample.	124
Fig.4.41	Zeta potential (ζ) analysis for various Fe ₂ O ₃ nanoparticle samples (Fe-AH, Fe-BH, Fe-CT, Fe-MC, and Fe-PM), synthesized using same precursor (Fe ₂ SO ₄ .7H ₂ O) but different ligand conditions in a sol-gel scheme, illustrates the variation in the charge distribution of the nanoparticle's dues to different synthetic conditions	126
Fig.4.42	(a-b) Diffuse reflectance spectroscopy (reflectance curve, a) and Tauc analysis (plot, b) for various Fe ₂ O ₃ nanoparticle samples (Fe-AH, Fe-BH, Fe-CT, Fe-MC, and Fe-PM), synthesized using same precursor (Fe ₂ SO ₄ .7H ₂ O) but different ligand conditions in a sol-gel scheme, illustrates the variation in the band gap edge of the nanoparticle's dues to different synthetic conditions	127
Fig.4.43	Comparative X-ray diffractograms (a) (indexed with reference JCPDS cards) and Raman spectrum (b) of various CuO nanoparticle samples (Cu-BH, Cu-CT, Cu-MC, and Cu-PM), synthesized using the same precursor (CuSO ₄ SO ₄ .5H ₂ O) but different ligand conditions in a sol-gel scheme.	129

Fig.4.44	(a-b) Fourier transform Infrared spectroscopy (FTIR) spectrum for various CuO nanoparticle samples (Cu-BH, Cu-CT, Cu-MC, Cu-PM, Ln-PM) synthesized using the same precursor (CuSO ₄ SO ₄ .5H ₂ O) but different ligand conditions in a sol-gel scheme. (b) magnified range (wavenumber; 1500-500 cm ⁻¹) for clarity in shape and relative intensity of the peaks in this region.	131
Fig.4.45	Field effect scanning electron microscopy (FESEM, magnification x50,000, 10.0 Kv LED) images (with its aspect ratio (a/b ≥ 1), for various CuO nanoparticle samples (a: Cu-BH, c: Cu-CT, b: Cu-MC, and d: Cu-PM) synthesized using same precursor (CuSO ₄ .7H ₂ O) but different ligand conditions in a sol-gel scheme. Cu-BH: simple base hydrolysis; (*Cu-AH: simple acid hydrolysis has been omitted because this method does not work)	132
Fig.4.46	Transmission electron microscopy (TEM, direct magnification 10,000x,-80,000x 120.0 Kv) images and corresponding SAED-pattern (with its aspect ratio (a/b ≥ 1), for various CuO nanoparticle samples (a-d: Cu-BH, e-h: Cu-MC, i-l: Cu-CT, and m-p: Cu-PM) synthesized using same precursor (CuSO ₄ .5H ₂ O) but different ligand conditions in a sol-gel scheme.	133
Fig.4.47	(a-h) Energy Dispersive X-ray (EDAX) analysis, colour intensity map of the various CuO nanoparticle samples (a-b: Cu-BH, c-d: Cu-CT, e-f: Cu-MC, and g-h: Cu-PM) synthesized using same precursor (CuSO ₄ .5H ₂ O) but different ligand conditions in a sol-gel scheme. The arrow in the circle points to the area exposing the sample holding carbon film (i-v) EDX spectrum of the same samples (i: Cu-CT, ii: Cu-BH, iii: Cu-PM, iv: Cu-MC). Arrows indicate the impurities present in samples.	135
Fig.4.48	(a-c) Distribution curve: Nanoparticle Tracking Analysis (NTA) for CuO nanoparticle sample (Cu-BH). (a): particle concentration (particle/ml) vs diameter (nm) (b): surface area concentration (nm ² /ml) vs diameter; (c) volume concentration (nm ³ /ml) vs diameter; of nanoparticles; (d): intensity distribution solid curve of diameter, and concentration.	137
Fig.4.49	NTA analysis; the modal distribution and intensity curves for CuO nanoparticle sample (Cu-BH). (a): real-time distribution of particle concentration (particle/ml) (b): mean distribution curve; (c): intensity pattern in relation to size in diameter (nm); (d): statistical detail of the results. Similarly, (e-h) real and mean distribution with statistical detail for surface area concentration (nm ² /ml) and (i-l) volume concentration (nm ³ /ml) illustrating the role of synthesis conditions on seeding, Ostwald ripening, and overall crystal growth.	138
Fig.4.50	(a-c) Distribution curve: Nanoparticle Tracking Analysis (NTA) for CuO nanoparticle sample (Cu-CT). (a): particle concentration	139

	(particle/ml) vs diameter (nm) (b): surface area concentration (nm ² /ml) vs diameter; (c) volume concentration (nm ³ /ml) vs diameter; of nanoparticles; (d): intensity distribution solid curve of diameter, and concentration.	
Fig.4.51	NTA analysis; the modal distribution and intensity curves for CuO nanoparticle sample (Cu-CT). (a): real-time distribution of particle concentration (particle/ml) (b): mean distribution curve; (c): intensity pattern in relation to size in diameter (nm); (d): statistical detail of the results. Similarly, (e-h) real and mean distribution with statistical detail for surface area concentration (nm ² /ml) and (i-l) volume concentration (nm ³ /ml) illustrating the role of synthesis conditions on seeding, Ostwald ripening, and overall crystal growth.	144
Fig.4.52	(a-c) Distribution curve: Nanoparticle Tracking Analysis (NTA) for CuO nanoparticle sample (Cu-MC). (a): particle concentration (particle/ml) vs diameter (nm) (b): surface area concentration (nm ² /ml) vs diameter; (c) volume concentration (nm ³ /ml) vs diameter; of nanoparticles; (d): intensity distribution solid curve of diameter, and concentration.	145
Fig.4.53	NTA analysis; the modal distribution and intensity curves for CuO nanoparticle sample (Cu-MC). (a): real-time distribution of particle concentration (particle/ml) (b): mean distribution curve; (c): intensity pattern in relation to size in diameter (nm); (d): statistical detail of the results. Similarly, (e-h) real and mean distribution with statistical detail for surface area concentration (nm ² /ml) and (i-l) volume concentration (nm ³ /ml) illustrating the role of synthesis conditions on seeding, Ostwald ripening, and overall crystal growth.	147
Fig.4.54	(a-c) Distribution curve: Nanoparticle Tracking Analysis (NTA) for CuO nanoparticle sample (Cu-PM). (a): particle concentration (particle/ml) vs diameter (nm) (b): surface area concentration (nm ² /ml) vs diameter; (c) volume concentration (nm ³ /ml) vs diameter; of nanoparticles; (d): intensity distribution solid curve of diameter, and concentration.	150
Fig.4.55	NTA analysis; the modal distribution and intensity curves for CuO nanoparticle sample (Cu-PM). (a): real-time distribution of particle concentration (particle/ml) (b): mean distribution curve; (c): intensity pattern in relation to size in diameter (nm); (d): statistical detail of the results. Similarly, (e-h) real and mean distribution with statistical detail for surface area concentration (nm ² /ml) and (i-l) volume concentration (nm ³ /ml) illustrating the role of synthesis conditions on seeding, Ostwald ripening, and overall crystal growth.	
Fig.4.56	Comparative mean particle diameter (nm) distribution using NTA analysis for various CuO nanoparticle samples (Cu-BH, Cu-CT, Cu-MC, and Cu-PM), synthesized using the same precursor	151

	(Fe ₂ SO ₄ .7H ₂ O) but different ligand conditions in a sol-gel scheme, illustrates the variation in diameter distribution of the nanoparticle's dues to different synthetic conditions. D90: distribution pattern of 90% of the nanoparticles in each sample; Mean: mean distribution of NPs; Mode: modal distribution of NPs; D10: distribution pattern of 10% of nanoparticle in each sample.	
Fig.4.57	Zeta potential (ζ) analysis for various CuO nanoparticle samples (Cu-BH, Cu-CT, Cu-MC, and Cu-PM), synthesized using the same precursor (CuSO ₄ .5H ₂ O) but different ligand conditions in a sol-gel scheme, illustrates the variation in the charge distribution of the nanoparticle's dues to different synthetic conditions	153
Fig.4.58	Comparative X-ray diffractograms (a) (indexed with reference JCPDS card); and Raman spectrum (b) of various ZnO nanoparticle samples (Zn-AH, Zn-BH, Zn-CT, Zn-MC, and Zn-PM), synthesized using same precursor (ZnSO ₄ .7H ₂ O) but different ligand conditions in a sol-gel scheme.	155
Fig.4.59	(a-b) Fourier transform Infrared spectroscopy (FTIR) spectrum for various ZnO nanoparticle samples (Zn-BH, Zn-CT, Zn-MC, Zn-PM, Ln-PM) synthesized using the same precursor (ZnSO ₄ SO ₄ .5H ₂ O) but different ligand conditions in a sol-gel scheme. (b) magnified range (wavenumber; 1600-600 cm ⁻¹) for clarity in shape and relative intensity of the peaks in this region.	157
Fig.4.60	(a-f) Field effect scanning electron microscopy (FESEM, magnification x50,000, 10.0 Kv LED) images (with its aspect ratio (a/b $\geq$ 1), for various ZnO nanoparticle samples (a: Fe-AH, b: Fe-BH, d: Fe-CT, f: Fe-MC, and c: Fe-PM) synthesized using same precursor (ZnSO ₄ .7H ₂ O) but different ligand conditions in a sol-gel scheme.	158
Fig.4.61	Transmission electron microscopy (TEM, direct magnification 10,000x,-80,000x 120.0 Kv) images and corresponding SAED-pattern (with its aspect ratio (a/b $\geq$ 1), for various ZnO nanoparticle samples (a-d: Zn-AH, e-h: Zn-BH, i-l: Zn-CT, m-p: Zn-MC, and q-t: Zn-PM) synthesized using same precursor (CuSO ₄ .5H ₂ O) but different ligand conditions in a sol-gel scheme.	160
Fig.4.62	(a-j) Energy Dispersive X-ray (EDAX) analysis, colour intensity map of the various ZnO nanoparticle samples (a-b: Zn-AH, c-d: Zn-BH, e-f: Zn-CT, g-h: Zn-MC, and i-j: Zn-PM) synthesized using same precursor (ZnSO ₄ .7H ₂ O) but different ligand conditions in a sol-gel scheme. The arrow in circle points to the area exposing the sample holding carbon film (i-v) EDX spectrum of the same samples (i: Zn-PM, ii: Zn-AH, iii: Zn-CT, iii: Zn-MC, iv: Zn-BH). Arrows indicate the impurities present in samples.	162
Fig.4.63	(a-c) Distribution curve: Nanoparticle Tracking Analysis (NTA) for Zn-AH nanoparticle sample (Zn-AH). (a): particle concentration	165

	(particle/ml) vs diameter (nm) (b): surface area concentration (nm ² /ml) vs diameter; (c) volume concentration (nm ³ /ml) vs diameter; of nanoparticles; (d): intensity distribution solid curve of diameter, and concentration.	
Fig.4.64	NTA analysis; the modal distribution and intensity curves for ZnO nanoparticle sample (Zn-AH). (a): real time distribution of particle concentration (particle/ml) (b): mean distribution curve; (c): intensity pattern in relation to size in diameter (nm); (d): statistical detail of the results. Similarly, (e-h) real and mean distribution with statistical detail for surface area concentration (nm ² /ml) and (i-l) volume concentration (nm ³ /ml illustrating the role of synthesis conditions on seeding, Ostwald ripening, and overall crystal growth.	166
Fig.4.65	(a-c) Distribution curve: Nanoparticle Tracking Analysis (NTA) for Zn-BH nanoparticle sample (Zn-AH). (a): particle concentration (particle/ml) vs diameter (nm) (b): surface area concentration (nm ² /ml) vs diameter; (c) volume concentration (nm ³ /ml) vs diameter; of nanoparticles; (d): intensity distribution solid curve of diameter, and concentration.	167
Fig.4.66	NTA analysis; the modal distribution and intensity curves for ZnO nanoparticle sample (Zn-BH). (a): real time distribution of particle concentration (particle/ml) (b): mean distribution curve; (c): intensity pattern in relation to size in diameter (nm); (d): statistical detail of the results. Similarly, (e-h) real and mean distribution with statistical detail for surface area concentration (nm ² /ml) and (i-l) volume concentration (nm ³ /ml illustrating the role of synthesis conditions on seeding, Ostwald ripening, and overall crystal growth.	168
Fig.4.67	(a-c) Distribution curve: Nanoparticle Tracking Analysis (NTA) for Zn-BH nanoparticle sample (Zn-AH). (a): particle concentration (particle/ml) vs diameter (nm) (b): surface area concentration (nm ² /ml) vs diameter; (c) volume concentration (nm ³ /ml) vs diameter; of nanoparticles; (d): intensity distribution solid curve of diameter, and concentration.	170
Fig.4.68	NTA analysis; the modal distribution and intensity curves for ZnO nanoparticle sample (Zn-AH). (a): real time distribution of particle concentration (particle/ml) (b): mean distribution curve; (c): intensity pattern in relation to size in diameter (nm); (d): statistical detail of the results. Similarly, (e-h) real and mean distribution with statistical detail for surface area concentration (nm ² /ml) and (i-l) volume concentration (nm ³ /ml illustrating the role of synthesis conditions on seeding, Ostwald ripening, and overall crystal growth.	172
Fig.4.69	(a-c) Distribution curve: Nanoparticle Tracking Analysis (NTA) for Zn-BH nanoparticle sample (Zn-AH). (a): particle concentration (particle/ml) vs diameter (nm) (b): surface area concentration	173

	(nm ² /ml) vs diameter; (c) volume concentration (nm ³ /ml) vs diameter; of nanoparticles; (d): intensity distribution solid curve of diameter, and concentration.	
Fig.4.70	(a-c) Distribution curve: Nanoparticle Tracking Analysis (NTA) for Zn-BH nanoparticle sample (Zn-AH). (a): particle concentration (particle/ml) vs diameter (nm) (b): surface area concentration (nm ² /ml) vs diameter; (c) volume concentration (nm ³ /ml) vs diameter; of nanoparticles; (d): intensity distribution solid curve of diameter, and concentration.	176
Fig.4.71	NTA analysis; the modal distribution and intensity curves for ZnO nanoparticle sample (Zn-MC). (a): real time distribution of particle concentration (particle/ml) (b): mean distribution curve; (c): intensity pattern in relation to size in diameter (nm); (d): statistical detail of the results. Similarly, (e-h) real and mean distribution with statistical detail for surface area concentration (nm ² /ml) and (i-l) volume concentration (nm ³ /ml) illustrating the role of synthesis conditions on seeding, Ostwald ripening, and overall crystal growth.	177
Fig.4.72	NTA analysis; the modal distribution and intensity curves for ZnO nanoparticle sample (Zn-AH). (a): real time distribution of particle concentration (particle/ml) (b): mean distribution curve; (c): intensity pattern in relation to size in diameter (nm); (d): statistical detail of the results. Similarly, (e-h) real and mean distribution with statistical detail for surface area concentration (nm ² /ml) and (i-l) volume concentration (nm ³ /ml) illustrating the role of synthesis conditions on seeding, Ostwald ripening, and overall crystal growth.	179
Fig.4.73	Comparative mean particle diameter (nm) distribution using NTA analysis for various ZnO nanoparticle samples (Zn-AH, Zn-BH, Zn-CT, Zn-MC, and Zn-PM), synthesized using same precursor (Fe ₂ SO ₄ .7H ₂ O) but different ligand conditions in a sol-gel scheme, illustrates the variation in diameter distribution of the nanoparticle's dues to different synthetic conditions. D90: distribution pattern of 90% of the nanoparticles in each sample; Mean: mean distribution of NPs; Mode: modal distribution of NPs; D10: distribution pattern of 10% of nanoparticle in each sample.	183
Fig.4.74	Zeta potential (ζ) analysis for various Fe ₂ O ₃ nanoparticle samples (Fe-AH, Fe-BH, Fe-CT, Fe-MC, and Fe-PM), synthesized using same precursor (Fe ₂ SO ₄ .7H ₂ O) but different ligand conditions in a sol-gel scheme, illustrates the variation in the charge distribution of the nanoparticle's dues to different synthetic conditions.	185
Fig.4.75	(a-b) Diffuse reflectance spectroscopy (reflectance curve, a) and Tauc analysis (plot, b) for various ZnO nanoparticle samples (Zn-AH, Zn-BH, Zn-CT, Zn-MC, and Zn-PM), synthesized using same precursor (CuSO ₄ .7H ₂ O) but different ligand conditions in a sol-gel	186

	scheme, illustrates the variation in the band gap edge of the nanoparticle's due to different synthetic conditions.	
Fig. 4.76	(a-f) SEM/EDX mapping analysis of dry sugar press mud sample for mineral composition. a: SEM micrograph for the area of analysis; b: composite micrograph, (c-f): colour map of various mineral elements present in samples.	191
Fig. 4.77	(a) FTIR spectrum of dry sugar press mud (PM), illustrating the associated chemical functional groups with dry press mud material; (b) Dynamic light scattering (DLS) analysis illustrating the size and charge on the colloidal particles of sugar press mud in water.	192
Fig. 4.78	(a) FTIR spectrum of sugar press mud (PM) water extract, illustrating the associated chemical functional groups with the water extract part of the sugar press mud.	194
Fig. 4.79	(a) Chromatogram of sugar press mud water extract based on retention time (t) with the list of significant fragments (m/z) after electron spray ionization (ESI) mode-based mass fragmentation (positive scan) of sugar press mud (PM) water extract, indicating the presence of the multiple analytes in different proportion throughout the sample. The encircled compound in the picture presents the most probable compound based on (m/z).	195
Fig. 4.80	LC-MS separated mass spectrum of sugar press mud water extract; the significant peaks at (retention time 10.15 and 12.40 min in chromatogram (c) correspond the most probable compound (polyphenolic) in SPM water extract with [M+H] ⁺ peak having m/z 338.10/ 339.10 and m/z 679.11/665.17 respectively. The compound in the figure has been screened out based on m/z values from the mass library (Metlin.)	195
Fig. 4.81	(a) ¹ H NMR spectrum (9a-b) with proton signal with chemical shifts in the range of (δ 0.0 -6.0 ppm) indicate Sp ³ (C-H) and Sp ² (C-H). Due to the low concentration of the compound in the water phase, a water suppression technique has been used. (b) magnification of the low field region (δ 0.0 -2.0 ppm). The NMR spectra presented are the result of 10,000 scan (Room temperature (RT) probe) and linear integration of the signals.	196
Fig. 4.82	A schematic diagram showing the whole process from the synthesis of the ZnO NPs to its application; The interaction between negatively charged soil colloidal carbon surface with positively charged ZnO NPs (sheet-like structure) nano surfaces along with other mineral nutrients interactions in soil solution for nutrient uptake efficiency has also been shown.	198
Fig. 4.83	(a-d) Agronomic parameters of wheat samples grown in treated soil in a randomized block designed (RBD) pot experiments. Values are expressed as mean ± SD of triplicate experiments. Comparison of	200

	values as per least significant difference at $p < 0.05$ (one-way ANOVA and DMRT post hoc comparison). (a) Changes in chlorophyll 'a & b' content, (b) the height of the plant and length of the spikelet, (c) total biomass yield and weight of grains, (d) the zinc content in plant body and grain.	
Fig. 4.84	The image shows the overall health along with the length of the spikelet for the various treatments of the wheat. (The spikelet has been chosen as an average of the group representing the mean of the corresponding data.	201
Fig.4.85	(a-f): Nutritional analysis using ICPMS technique; Values are expressed as mean $\pm$ SD of triplicate experiments. Comparison of values as per least significant difference at $p < 0.05$ (one-way ANOVA and DMRT post hoc comparison). Changes (plant & grain, mg/kg) in total Magnesium (Mg) content (a), Total Iron (Fe) content (b), total Potassium (K) content (c), total copper (Cu) content (d) total Phosphorous (P) content (e) and mineral concentration (Mg, Fe, Cu, K, and P) of soil at their natural level (without external input) in treated soil-grown wheat (f).	203
Fig. 4.86	ICPMS analysis of soil used in experiments; concentrations of Zn after 90 days of experiments. Values are expressed as mean $\pm$ SD of triplicate experiments. Comparison of values as per least significant difference at $p < 0.05$ (one -way ANOVA and DMRT post hoc comparison).	209
Fig.4. 87	Principal component analysis (PCA) biplot. Zn content in: plant (ZnP), grains (ZnG), soil (ZnS); Mg content in: plant (MgP), grains (MgG); copper content in: plant (CuP), grains (CuG); potassium content in: plant (KP), grains (KG), iron content in: plant (FeP), grains (FeG); phosphorus content in: plant (PhP), grains (PhG); chlorophyll content A & B (Chl A & Chl B); total plant weight (TBM), the total weight of plant grains (BMG), plant height (PHT), spikelet height (SHT).	211
Fig.4.88	(a-d) Agronomic parameters and micronutrient concentrations (ug/g) in wheat samples grown in treated soil in a randomized block designed (RBD) pot experiments. Values are expressed as mean $\pm$ SD of triplicate experiments. Comparison of values as per least significant difference at $p < 0.05$ (one -way ANOVA and DMRT post hoc comparison). (a) plant height (PH), shoot height (SH) and spikelet length (SL); (b) biomass weight: shoot weight (SW), spikelet weight (SLW), grain weight (GW). (c) the Iron (Fe) content in plant body and grain. (d) Copper (Cu) content in plant body and grain.	213
Fig.4.89	(a-d) Micronutrient concentration (ug/g) in wheat samples grown in treated soil in a randomized block designed (RBD) pot experiments. Values are expressed as mean $\pm$ SD of triplicate experiments. Comparison of values as per least significant difference at $p < 0.05$	215

	(one -way ANOVA and DMRT post hoc comparison (a) the Zinc (Zn) content in plant body and grain. (b) Magnesium (Mg) content in plant body and grain. (c) Potassium (K) content in plant body and grain. (d) Phosphorous (P) content in plant body and grain.	
Fig.4.90	(a-d) Micronutrient and heavy metal concentration (ug/g) in wheat samples grown in treated soil in a randomized block designed (RBD) pot experiments. Values are expressed as mean $\pm$ SD of triplicate experiments. Comparison of values as per least significant difference at $p < 0.05$ (one -way ANOVA and DMRT post hoc comparison (a) Mineral (Fe, Cu, Zn, P) content in plant body. (b) the Lead (Pb) content in plant body and grain, (c) the Cadmium (Cd) content in plant body and grain.	216
Fig. 4.91	The image shows the overall health along with the length of the spikelet for the various treatment of the wheat. (The spikelet has been chosen as an average of the group representing the mean of the corresponding data.	216
Fig.4.92	(a-d) Soil mineral profiling. Values are expressed as mean $\pm$ SD of triplicate experiments. Comparison of values as per least significant difference at $p < 0.05$ (one -way ANOVA and DMRT post hoc comparison). (a) the mineral (Mg, K) content in soil. (b) the micronutrient (Cu, Zn, P) content in soil. (c) the mineral (Fe) content in soil (d) the heavy metal (Cd, Pb) concentration in soil.	217
Fig.4.93	(a-d) Agronomic parameters and micronutrient concentrations (ug/g) in wheat samples grown in treated soil in a randomized block designed (RBD) pot experiments. Values are expressed as mean $\pm$ SD of triplicate experiments. Comparison of values as per least significant difference at $p < 0.05$ (one -way ANOVA and DMRT post hoc comparison). (a) plant height (PH), shoot height (SH) and spikelet length (SL); (b) biomass weight: shoot weight (SW), spikelet weight (SLW), grain weight (GW). (c) the Iron (Fe) content in plant body and grain. (d) the Copper (Cu) content in plant body and grain.	219
Fig.4.94	(a-d) Micronutrient concentrations (ug/g) wheat samples grown in treated soil in a randomized block designed (RBD) pot experiments. Values are expressed as mean $\pm$ SD of triplicate experiments. Comparison of values as per least significant difference at $p < 0.05$ (one -way ANOVA and DMRT post hoc comparison), (a) Zinc (Zn) content in plant body and grain. (a) Magnesium (Mg) content in plant body and grain. (c) Potassium (K) content in plant body and grain. (d) Phosphorous (P) content in plant body and grain.	221
Fig.4.95	(a-d) Micronutrient and heavy metal concentration in wheat samples grown in treated soil in a randomized block designed (RBD) pot experiments. Values are expressed as mean $\pm$ SD of triplicate experiments. Comparison of values as per least significant difference	222

	at $p < 0.05$ (one-way ANOVA and DMRT post hoc comparison). (a) the micronutrient (Fe, Cu, Zn, P) content in plant samples. (b) the heavy metal (Cd) concentration in plant body and grain. (c) the heavy metal (Pb) concentration in plant body and grain.	
	Fig. 4.96 The image shows the overall health along with the length of the spikelet for the various treatments of the wheat. (The spikelet has been chosen as an average of the group representing the mean of the corresponding data.	222
Fig.4.97	Schematic diagram for synthesis and photocatalysis application	224
	Fig.4.98 Uv- visible absorption spectrum of rhodamine dye (conc. 0.596×10^{-3}) and photocatalysts (conc. 100mg/ L) suspension system under direct sunlight exposure recorded at the time interval of 0.5 hr. fig. Fig.4.95 (a) corresponds to control experiment (dye-catalyst system in dark); fig.11 (b) corresponds to Zn-SL catalyst system; fig. like-wise 11(c) to Zn-AC; and fig.11 (d) to Zn-NR system.	225
Fig.4.99	Concentration (C/C0) vs. time plot (fig.12(a) showing differential decreases in dye concentration with time for different catalyst systems. Fig.12 (b) plot (ln C/C0) vs time shows pseudo-first order kinetics with slope in the of ($m = -0.04161$ to -0.7473). With the R2 values in the range of 0.95 to 0.99.	227
Fig. 4.100	Percentage (%) dye degradation from different catalysts under direct sunlight after 4.0 hrs. and in dark conditions.	228
Fig.4.101	Uv-visible absorption spectrum of rhodamine dye (conc. 0.596×10^{-3}) and photocatalysts (conc. 100mg/ L) suspension system under direct sunlight exposure recorded at the time interval of 0.5 hr. (a) control experiment (dye- catalyst system in dark); (b) Zn-AH catalyst system; (c) to Zn-BH; (d) to Zn-CT system. (e) Zn-MC catalyst system; (f) Zn-PM catalyst system;	229
Fig.4.102	Concentration (C/C0) vs. time plot (fig.4.102(a) shows differential decreasing in dye concentration with time for different catalyst systems. Fig.4.102 (b) plot (ln C/C0) vs time shows pseudo-first order kinetics with slope in the of ($m = -0.04161$ to -0.7473). With the R2 values in the range of 0.95 to 0.99. (a) control experiment (dye-catalyst system in the dark); (b) Zn-AH catalyst system; (c) to Zn-BH; (d) to Zn-CT system. (e) Zn-PM catalyst system; (f) Zn-PM catalyst system;	230
Fig.4.103	Uv-visible absorption spectrum of rhodamine dye (conc. 0.596×10^{-3}) and photocatalysts (conc. 100mg/ L) suspension system under direct sunlight exposure recorded at the time interval of 0.5 hr. (a) control experiment (dye- catalyst system in dark); (b) Fe-BH catalyst system; (c) to Fe-AH; (d) to Fe-PM system. (e) Fe-MC catalyst system; (f) Zn-CT catalyst system;	231
Fig.4.104	Concentration (C/C0) vs. time plot (fig.4.104(a) shows differential decreasing in dye concentration with time for different catalyst	234

	systems. (b) plot ($\ln C/C_0$) vs time shows pseudo-first order kinetics with slope in the of ($m = -0.04161$ to -0.7473). With the R^2 values in the range of 0.95 to 0.99. (a) control experiment (dye-catalyst system in the dark); (b) Zn-AH catalyst system; (c) to Zn-BH; (d) to Zn-CT system. (e) Zn-PM catalyst system; (f) Zn-PM catalyst system.	
Fig.4.105	Uv-visible absorption spectrum of rhodamine dye (conc. 0.596×10^{-3}) and photocatalysts (conc. 100mg/ L) suspension system under direct sunlight exposure recorded at the time interval of 0.5 hr. (a) control experiment (dye- catalyst system in dark); (b) Cu-CT catalyst system; (c) to Cu-MC; (d) Cu-PM catalyst system. (e) Cu-BH catalyst system.	239
Fig.4.106	Concentration (C/C_0) vs. time plot (fig.12(a) showing differential decreases in dye concentration with time for different catalyst systems. Fig.12 (b) plot ($\ln C/C_0$) vs time shows pseudo-first order kinetics with slope in the of ($m = -0.04161$ to -0.7473). With the R^2 values in the range of 0.95 to 0.99. (a) control experiment (dye-catalyst system in the dark); (b) Zn-AH catalyst system; (c) to Zn-BH; (d) to Zn-CT system. (e) Zn-PM catalyst system; (f) Zn-PM catalyst system.	241
Fig.4.107	Comparison of catalytic performances of different catalytic systems and their ranking based on the highest degradation (%) achieved in 4.0 hr. Bar diagram representing percentage (%) dye degradation from other family catalyst systems (ZnX, CuX and FeX) under direct sunlight after 4.0 hrs. and in dark conditions. X: AH, BH, CT, MC, and PM.	242
Fig.4.108	Agar plate assay amended with sterilized ZnO nanoparticles (Zn-BH, Zn-CT, Zn-MC, Zn-PM), illustrating the interactions of the same nanoparticles prepared using different capping agents with four fungi at two doses (low dose 0.2mg/ml, and high dose 2.0 mg/ml). Values are expressed as mean $\pm$ SD of triplicate experiments. Comparison of values as per least significant difference at $p < 0.05$ (one-way ANOVA and DMRT post hoc comparison. (CT-NL: potato dextrose agar only (control),	244
Fig. 4.109	Agar plate assay (mycelial inhibition) amended with sterilized ZnO nanoparticles (Zn-BH, Zn-CT, Zn-MC, Zn-PM), illustrating the interactions of the same nanoparticles prepared using different capping agents with two fungi (Paecilomyces variotii (c-d); Fusarium oxysporum (a-b) at two doses (low dose 0.2mg/ml, and high dose 2.0 mg/ml). Values are expressed as mean $\pm$ SD of triplicate experiments. Comparison of values as per least significant difference at $p < 0.05$ (one-way ANOVA and DMRT post hoc comparison.	245
Fig.4.110	Agar plate assay (mycelial inhibition) amended with sterilized ZnO nanoparticles (Zn-BH, Zn-CT, Zn-MC, Zn-PM), illustrating the interactions of the same nanoparticles prepared using different capping agents with four fungi (Colletotrichum capsici (a-b), Trichoderma	246

	virens (c-d) at two doses (low dose 0.2mg/ml, and high dose 2.0 mg/ml). Values are expressed as mean $\pm$ SD of triplicate experiments. Comparison of values as per least significant difference at $p < 0.05$ (one-way ANOVA and DMRT post hoc comparison).	
Fig.4.111	Agar plate assay amended with sterilized Fe ₂ O ₃ nanoparticles (Fe-BH, Fe-CT, Fe-MC, Fe-PM), illustrating the interactions of the same nanoparticles prepared using different capping agents with four fungi at two doses (low dose 0.2mg/ml, and high dose 2.0 mg/ml). Values are expressed as mean $\pm$ SD of triplicate experiments. Comparison of values as per least significant difference at $p < 0.05$ (one-way ANOVA and DMRT post hoc comparison).	248
Fig.4.112	Agar plate assay (mycelial inhibition) amended with sterilized Fe ₂ O ₃ nanoparticles (Fe-BH, Fe-CT, Fe-MC, Fe-PM), illustrating the interactions of the same nanoparticles prepared using different capping agents with two fungi (<i>Paecilomyces variotii</i> (c-d); <i>Fusarium oxysporum</i> (a-b) at two doses (low dose 0.2mg/ml, and high dose 2.0 mg/ml). Values are expressed as mean $\pm$ SD of triplicate experiments. Comparison of values as per least significant difference at $p < 0.05$ (one-way ANOVA and DMRT post hoc comparison).	249
Fig.4.113	Agar plate assay (mycelial inhibition) amended with sterilized Fe ₂ O ₃ nanoparticles (Fe-BH, Fe-CT, Fe-MC, Fe-PM), illustrating the interactions of the same nanoparticles prepared using different capping agents with two fungi (<i>Colletotrichum capsici</i> (a-b), <i>Trichoderma virens</i> (c-d) at two doses (low dose 0.2mg/ml, and high dose 2.0 mg/ml). Values are expressed as mean $\pm$ SD of triplicate experiments. Comparison of values as per least significant difference at $p < 0.05$ (one-way ANOVA and DMRT post hoc comparison).	250
Fig.4.114	Agar plate assay amended with sterilized ZnO nanoparticles (Cu-BH, Cu-CT, Cu-MC, Cu-PM), illustrating the interactions of the same nanoparticles prepared using different capping agents with four fungi at two doses (low dose 0.2mg/ml, and high dose 2.0 mg/ml). Values are expressed as mean $\pm$ SD of triplicate experiments. Comparison of values as per least significant difference at $p < 0.05$ (one-way ANOVA and DMRT post hoc comparison).	252
Fig.4.115	Agar plate assay (mycelial inhibition) amended with sterilized CuO nanoparticles (Cu-BH, Cu-CT, Cu-MC, Cu-PM), illustrating the interactions of the same nanoparticles prepared using different capping agents with four fungi (<i>Colletotrichum capsici</i> (a-b), <i>Trichoderma virens</i> (c-d) at two doses (low dose 0.2mg/ml, and high dose 2.0 mg/ml). Values are expressed as mean $\pm$ SD of triplicate experiments. Comparison of values as per least significant difference at $p < 0.05$ (one-way ANOVA and DMRT post hoc comparison).	252

Fig.4.116	Agar plate assay (mycelial inhibition) amended with sterilized CuO nanoparticles (Cu-BH, Cu-CT, Cu-MC, Cu-PM), illustrating the interactions of the same nanoparticles prepared using different capping agents with four fungi at two doses (low dose 0.2mg/ml, and high dose 2.0 mg/ml). Values are expressed as mean $\pm$ SD of triplicate experiments. Comparison of values as per least significant difference at $p < 0.05$ (one-way ANOVA and DMRT post hoc comparison).	254
-----------	---	-----

LIST OF TABLES

Table No.	Title	Page No.
Table 2.1	General composition of pressmud	20
Table 2.2	A typical nutrient content of pressmud	21
Table 2.3	Characteristics of pressmud	21
Table 2.4	Applications of pressmud	23
Table 2.5	Green synthesis of metal and metal oxide nanoparticles using various plant extracts and their antimicrobial activities.	31
Table 2.6	Application of metal (Zn, Cu, and Fe) nanoparticles in plant growth promotion.	36
Table 2.7	Application of metal (Zn, Cu, and Fe nanoparticles in photocatalytic degradation of organic pollutants	43
Table 3.1	Showing the details of the treatments for ZnO pot trail experiments on wheat crop	62
Table 3.2	Showing the details of the treatments for CuO pot trail experiments on wheat crop	63
Table 3.3	Showing the details of the treatments for Fe ₂ O ₃ pot trail experiments on wheat crop	63
Table 4.1	Summary of the ZnO nanoparticle synthesis (pH 12.0 and temperature 35.0° C).	75
Table 4.2	Summary of the ZnO nanoparticle synthesis (pH 12.0 and temperature 35.0° C).	96
Table 4.3	The summary table of the Energy Dispersive X-ray (EDAX) analysis for various Fe ₂ O ₃ nanoparticle samples (Fe-AH, Fe-BH, Fe-CT, Fe-MC, Fe-PM, Ln-PM). (Fe-AH: simple acid hydrolysis; Fe-BH: simple base hydrolysis; Fe-CT: cetyltrimethylammonium bromide (CTAB); Fe-MC: Methylcellulose; Fe-PM: Press mud water extract).	107
Table 4.4	The summary table of the Energy Dispersive X-ray (EDAX) analysis for various CuO nanoparticle samples (Cu-BH, Cu-CT, Cu-MC, Cu-PM). (Cu-BH: simple base hydrolysis; Cu-CT: cetyltrimethylammonium bromide (CTAB); Cu-MC: Methylcellulose; Cu-PM: Press mud water extract). (*Cu-AH: simple acid hydrolysis has been omitted because this method does not work)	136
Table 4.5	The summary table of the Energy Dispersive X-ray (EDAX) analysis for various ZnO nanoparticle samples (Zn-AH, Zn-BH, Zn-CT, Zn-MC, Zn-PM). (Zn-AH: simple acid hydrolysis; Zn-BH: simple base hydrolysis; Zn-CT:	163

	cetyltrimethylammonium bromide (CTAB); Zn-MC: Methylcellulose; Zn-PM: Press mud water extract).	
Table 4.6	Summary of the particle size distribution by Nanoparticle tracking analyser (NTA).	180
Table 4.7	Summary of the particle size distribution by Nanoparticle tracking analyser (NTA).	181
Table 4.8	Summary of the particle size distribution by Nanoparticle tracking analyser (NTA).	182
Table 4.9	Summary of the synthesis of metal oxides (MxOy) nanoparticles.	188
Table 4.10	Elemental composition of PM (ICP-MS) analysis	190
Table 4.11	Summary of elemental composition of PM (SEM/EDX) analysis	191
Table 4.12	Structural and Physio-chemical characterization of soil used in the experimental study.	197

ABBREVIATIONS

°C	Degree centigrade
µg	Microgram
µL	Microlitre
µM	Micro molar
ANOVA	Analysis of variance
Cm	Centimetre
M	Molar
DMRT	Duncan's new multiple range test
USDA	United State Department of Agriculture
ESI	Electron spray ionization
DW	Dry weight
FAO	Food and Agriculture Organization
Fig.	Figure
FT-IR	Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy
PM	Sugar press mud
T	Temperature
LC-MS	Liquid chromatography mass spectrophometer
h or hr	Hour
NPS	Nanoparticles
HR-LCMS	High-resolution liquid chromatograph mass spectroscopy
ICAR	Indian Council of Agricultural Research
UNDP	United Nations Development Program
ITCC	Indian type culture collection
Kg	Kilogram
L	Liters
PMWE	Press mud water extract
SDG	Sustainable Development Goal
ML	Milli litre
Mg	Milligram
WHO	World Health Organisation
Min	Minutes
Mm	Millimetre
Nm	Nanometers
UN	United Nations
CN	Carbon Nitrogen
PDA	Potato dextrose agar
INR	Indian Rupee

PO	Peroxidase
SOM	Soil organic matter
SOC	Soil organic carbon
RMSD	Root-mean-square deviation
RPM	Revolutions per minute
s /sec	Seconds
SD	Standard deviation
SEM	Scanning Electron Microscopy
TEM	Transmission Electron Microscopy
HR-TEM	High Resolution Transmission Electron Microscopy
UV	Ultraviolet
DRS	Diffuse reflectance spectroscopy
XPS	X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy
DLS	Dynamic light scattering
NTA	Nanoparticle tracking analyzer
CT	cetyltrimethylammonium bromide
MC	Methyl cellulose
ZNAH	ZnO nanoparticles using simple acid hydrolysis
ZNBH	ZnO nanoparticles using simple base hydrolysis
ZNMC	ZnO nanoparticles with methylcellulose as capping agent
ZNCT	ZnO nanoparticles with cetyltrimethylammonium bromide as capping agent
ZNPM	ZnO nanoparticles with sugar press mud water extract as capping agent
FEAH	Iron oxide nanoparticles using simple acid hydrolysis
FEBH	Iron oxide nanoparticles using simple base hydrolysis
FEMC	Iron oxide nanoparticles with methylcellulose as capping agent
FECT	Iron oxide nanoparticles with cetyltrimethylammonium bromide as capping agent
FEPM	Iron oxide nanoparticles with sugar press mud water extract as capping agent
CUAH	CuO nanoparticles using simple acid hydrolysis
CUBH	CuO nanoparticles using simple base hydrolysis
CUMC	CuO nanoparticles with methylcellulose as capping agent
CUCT	CuO nanoparticles with cetyltrimethylammonium bromide as capping agent
CUPM	CuO nanoparticles with sugar press mud water extract as capping agent
NPS	Nanoparticles
MXOY	Metal oxides
EC	Electrical conductivity,
DTA	Diethylenetriaminepentaacetic Acid,

HDD	Hydrodynamic diameter
NMR	Nuclear magnetic resonance
EDTA	Ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid
DTPA	Diethylenetriamine pentaacetate
λ	Lambda (wavelength)
ν	Nu (frequency)
h	Planck constant
θ	Theta (angle)
β	Beta (full width at half maxima)
α	Alpha
Δ	Delta (heat)
ζ	Zeta (surface charge on NPs)
eV	Electron volt
γ	Gamma