

**DEVELOPMENT AND EVALUATION OF AGRO RESIDUE
BASED BIODEGRADABLE POTS AND POTTING MEDIA
FOR HORTICULTURAL APPLICATIONS**

PRATIBHA



**CENTRE FOR RURAL DEVELOPMENT AND TECHNOLOGY
INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY DELHI
OCTOBER, 2021**

© Indian Institute of Technology Delhi (IITD), New Delhi, 2021

**DEVELOPMENT AND EVALUATION OF AGRO RESIDUE
BASED BIODEGRADABLE POTS AND POTTING MEDIA
FOR HORTICULTURAL APPLICATIONS**

by

PRATIBHA

CENTRE FOR RURAL DEVELOPMENT AND TECHNOLOGY

Submitted

in fulfillment of the requirements of degree of Doctor of Philosophy

to the



INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY DELHI

OCTOBER, 2021

CERTIFICATE

This is to certify that the thesis entitled “**Development and evaluation of agro residue based biodegradable pots and potting media for horticultural applications**”, being submitted by **Mrs. Pratibha** to the **Indian Institute of Technology Delhi** for the award of “**Doctor of Philosophy**” is a record of bonafide research work carried out by her. She has worked under our guidance and supervision and has fulfilled the requirements for the submission of this thesis. To the best of our knowledge, the results contained in this thesis have not been submitted in part or full to any other university or institute for the award of any degree or diploma.



Dr. Hari Prasad P.

Associate Professor

Centre for Rural Development and

Technology

Indian Institute of Technology Delhi

New Delhi – 110016



Dr. Sampa Saha

Associate Professor

Department of Materials Science and

Engineering

Indian Institute of Technology Delhi

New Delhi - 110016

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I bow my head with reverence to **Almighty** that has showered the blessings on me to get through the whole task of my Ph. D. thesis and brought it to a logical end. The course of this thesis is also the journey of my life, and I wish to thank all those who helped me in my work and influenced my life in a holistic way.

I express my sincere gratitude and indebtedness to my supervisors **Prof. Hariprasad P.**, Centre for Rural Development and Technology, IIT Delhi, and **Prof. Sampa Saha**, Department of Materials Science and Engineering, IIT Delhi, for providing me with the opportunity to initiate my Ph.D., for guiding me patiently through all these years, perceptive encouragement and indispensable suggestions which led to the successful completion of this study and thesis.

With a sense of regard and reverence, I take this opportunity to express my deep sense of gratitude and heartfelt thanks to my doctoral committee members: **Prof. Satya Narayan Naik**, **Prof. Anushree Malik**, and **Prof. Shilpi Sharma**, for their insightful advice, suggestions and comments throughout my research work.

My sincere thanks go to the faculty and staff members of the **Centre for Rural Development and Technology** for providing facilities to carry out my research work. I am also thankful to the **Indian Institute of Technology, Delhi**, for providing me with the infrastructure and facilities for advanced research. I also acknowledge the **Council of Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR)** for providing me with a fellowship for pursuing my Ph. D.

I wish to acknowledge my lab members: **Durai, Gourav, Leena, Shazia, Anjali, Monu, Ajay, Kapil, Bhani**, and **Ajay**, for extending their help during the period of experimentation and thesis preparation. I would also like to acknowledge my seniors **Dr. Supreet Kaur**, **Dr. Ayushman Swain**, and **Dr. Lopa Pattanaik**, for their constant support, guidance, and motivation. I would like to thank **Mr. Hridayaram** for his incredible help co-operation through my experimentation study. I would also like to thank **Mrs. Joshi, Mrs. Leela, Mrs. Pratibha Rana, Mr. Rajendar**, and **Mr. Chopra** for their ready-to-help attitude in handling official documents. I would like to thank all my well-wishers and lab mates whom I forgot to mention.

A special thanks to my husband **Mr. Mohit Gupta**, for being with me throughout this journey. Your endorsement through this period is beyond words. I could not imagine completing this journey without your incredible support. Thank you for standing by me like a pillar in times of need and in all situations. I am grateful to my elder son, **Dev Gupta (Duggu)**, who made me stronger, better, and more fulfilled than I could have ever imagined. I also would like to thank my younger son, **Arihant Gupta (Aaru)**, who joined us during my Ph.D., for giving me unlimited happiness and pleasure. Thanks, **Duggu** and **Aaru**, for making the journey more memorable.

Finally, and most importantly, I would like to express my deepest gratitude and appreciation to my **mother: Mrs. Meera Devi**, my **father: Mr. Rudra Prakash**, my **brother: Ankur Agarwal**, and my **sister: Vandana Agarwal**, for all the sacrifices they made for my better future and giving me the freedom to make my own decisions. My Ph. D. endeavour could not be completed without their endless love, unending support, and blessings. Thanks, **Mummy, Papa, Bhaiya, and Chhutki**; this is all because of you.

A handwritten signature in blue ink, reading "Pratibha", with a horizontal line underneath the name.

Pratibha

ABSTRACT

The present study aims to develop a new route of paddy straw (PS) utilization in horticulture. In the first half of the study, we aimed to develop PS-based biocomposites (PS-BC) and their use in developing pots for horticultural applications. Six different BCs were developed using PS (treated/untreated) as filler, corn starch (native/cross-linked) and glycerol as matrix and plasticizer, respectively. BC4 had recorded the highest mechanical strength (6.82 MPa tensile strength), followed by BC3 > BC6 > BC5 > BC2 > BC1. Among them, the highest density (2.83 g/cm³) and least porosity (31.03%) were recorded by BC4. None of the BCs was found to be antimicrobial in nature. Soil burial tests under field conditions recorded a maximum weight loss of 79.32% in 20 days for BC1, indicating higher susceptibility for degradation. Whereas BC4 (57.43%), BC5 (60.30%), and BC6 (60.48%) marked the least weight loss in the same burial period, which were comparatively resistant to degradation. Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR) indicated a diminished peak corresponding to the –OH group revealing their susceptibility to microbial degradation. These BCs were moulded into pots and tested with standard potting mixture and cucumber seedlings under greenhouse and field conditions. Under greenhouse conditions, 4 BCs (BC3, BC4, BC5, and BC6) pots were found physically stable up to 28 - 30 days, and the growth parameters of the test plant were normal. By considering the ease of preparation, BC3 and BC4 were used for field testing. It was shown that water loss through the walls of the BC3 and BC4 pots was up to 47 and 44%, respectively, compared to the plastic pot (0.72%). Upon transplantation into the field, the disintegration of the BCs and penetration of the developing roots out of the pots without affecting the normal growth of the plant were observed. The cost analysis of BC3 pots was found to be in the range of 3-4 Rs./pot. The pot making technology was demonstrated to farmers by organizing a workshop at the village level, and pots were distributed for testing at fields. Most of the farmers were satisfied with the pot performance, and some of them even showed interest in the pot making technology as an income-generating activity. In the second half of the study, the potential of PS (in different volumes) and six de-oiled cakes (DOCs) (2.50, 5, 7.50 and 10% (v/v)) as potting media (PM) amendments were studied. Further, its subsequent effect on the physical and nutritional properties of the PM and plant (cucumber (*Cucumis sativus* L.) and tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum* L.)) growth were analyzed. Among 6 DOCs tested, neem (2.50%) and niger cake (5%) were found to improve the plant growth of both crops. Further, PS powder amendment to selected PM improved the plant growth, up to 10% (v/v). Beyond

that, there was a significant growth reduction observed in terms of root length (RL), shoot length (SL), fresh weight (FW), dry weight (DW), leaf area (LA) and seedling vigour index (SVI). Two optimized potting media, Developed potting media -1 (DPM-1) (Coir pith+Vermicompost+Neem cake+PS:77.50+10+2.50+10% (v/v)) and Developed potting media-2 (DPM-2) (Coirpith+Vermicompost+Niger cake+PS:75+10+5+10% (v/v)) were evaluated in comparison to peat-based two commercial potting media (CPM-1 and CPM-2). DPM-1 and DPM-2 recorded, bulk density (0.29 and 0.28 g/cm³), water holding capacity (59.87 and 59.02%), air porosity (23.50 and 25.61%), total porosity (83.37 and 84.63%), electrical conductivity (2.64 and 2.76 mS/cm), and pH (6.56 and 6.40), respectively. However, all these parameters were within the recommended range of ideal PM. Tomato and cucumber seedlings grown in both DPMs showed significant improvement in growth and accumulation of macro and micronutrients compared to CPMs and control. The cost of DPM-1 and DPM-2 was calculated as around 13 and 22 Rs/kg, respectively. After testing DPMs at local nurseries, the owners were satisfied with their performance and have shown willingness to develop the same if the technology is available or purchase if DPMs are available in the market. By analyzing the results obtained from the present study and data collected from the survey, it could be concluded that the PS is a potential source to use in the horticulture sector as a replacement to plastic pots and PM by employing the methodology developed. Also, the study opened a new avenue to develop PS based small scale industries at the rural level, which empowers the rural economy.

सार

वर्तमान अध्ययन का उद्देश्य बागवानी में धान की पराली (पीएस) के उपयोग का एक नया मार्ग विकसित करना है। अध्ययन के पहले भाग में, हमने पीएस-आधारित बायोक्मोजिट्स (पीएस-बीसी) विकसित करने और बागवानी अनुप्रयोगों के लिए पॉट्स को बनाने में उनके उपयोग का लक्ष्य रखा। पीएस (उपचारित /अनुपचारित) को भराव, कॉर्न स्टार्च (देशी/क्रॉस-लिंकड) और ग्लिसरॉल को क्रमशः मैट्रिक्स और प्लास्टिसाइज़र के रूप में उपयोग करके छह अलग-अलग बीसी विकसित किए गए थे। BC4 ने उच्चतम यांत्रिक शक्ति (6.82 MPa तन्य शक्ति) दर्ज की थी, उसके बाद BC3 > BC6 > BC5 > BC2 > BC1 का स्थान था। उनमें से, बीसी4 ने उच्चतम घनत्व (2.83 ग्राम/सेमी³) और न्यूनतम सरंधता (31.03%) दर्ज की थी। कोई भी बीसी प्रकृति में रोगाणुरोधी नहीं पाया गया। खेत की परिस्थितियों में मृदा दफन परीक्षणों ने बीसी1 के लिए 20 दिनों में अधिकतम वजन (79.32%) क्षति दर्ज किया था, जो गिरावट के लिए इसकी उच्च संवेदनशीलता को दर्शाता है। जबकि BC4 (57.43%), BC5 (60.30%), और BC6 (60.48%) ने एक ही दफन अवधि में सबसे कम वजन घटाने को चिह्नित किया, जो तुलनात्मक रूप से गिरावट के प्रतिरोधी थे। फूरियर ट्रांसफॉर्म इंफ्रारेड स्पेक्ट्रोस्कोपी (FTIR) ने -OH समूह के अनुरूप शिखर को कम होने का संकेत दिया, जिससे माइक्रोबियल गिरावट के लिए उनकी संवेदनशीलता का पता चलता है। इन बीसी को पॉट्स में ढाला गया और ग्रीनहाउस और खेत की परिस्थितियों में मानक पॉटिंग मिश्रण और खीरे के पौधों के साथ परीक्षण किया गया। ग्रीनहाउस परिस्थितियों में, 4 BC (BC3, BC4, BC5, और BC6) पॉट्स, 28 - 30 दिनों तक भौतिक रूप से स्थिर पाए गए, और परीक्षण पौधों के विकास पैरामीटर सामान्य थे। तैयारी में आसानी को ध्यान में रखते हुए, BC3 और BC4 का उपयोग क्षेत्र परीक्षण के लिए किया गया था। यह देखा गया था कि प्लास्टिक के पॉट्स (0.72%) की तुलना में BC3 और BC4 पॉट्स की दीवारों के माध्यम से पानी की कमी क्रमशः 47 और 44% तक थी। खेत में प्रत्यारोपण करने पर, बीसी का विघटन और पौधे की सामान्य वृद्धि को प्रभावित किए बिना पॉट्स से विकासशील जड़ों का प्रवेश देखा गया। BC3 पॉट्स का लागत विश्लेषण 3-4 रुपये/पॉट की सीमा में पाया गया। ग्राम स्तर पर कार्यशाला आयोजित कर पॉट्स बनाने की तकनीक का प्रदर्शन किसानों को किया गया और खेतों में परीक्षण के लिए कुछ पॉट्स बांटे गए थे। अधिकांश किसान पॉट्स के प्रदर्शन से संतुष्ट थे, और उनमें से कुछ इस तकनीक को आय के साधन के रूप में अपनाने के लिए भी इच्छुक थे। अध्ययन के दूसरे भाग में, पराली (विभिन्न मात्राओं में) और छह डी-ऑयल केक (डीओसी) (2.50, 5, 7.50 और 10% (v/v)) की पोटिंग मीडिया (पीएम) संशोधनों के रूप में क्षमता का अध्ययन किया गया था। इसके अलावा, पीएम के भौतिक और पोषण गुणों और पौधों (खीरा (कुकुमिस सैटिवस एल.) और टमाटर (सोलनम लाइकोपर्सिकम एल.)) के विकास पर इसके प्रभाव का विश्लेषण किया गया। परीक्षण किए गए 6 डीओसी में, नीम (2.50%) और नाइजर केक (5%) दोनों

फसलों के पौधों की वृद्धि में सुधार करने के लिए पाए गए। इसके अलावा, चयनित पीएम में पीएस पाउडर संशोधन (10% (v/v)) से पौधे की वृद्धि में सुधार हुआ। इस मात्रा से ज्यादा उपयोग करने पर, जड़ की लंबाई (RL), तने की लंबाई (SL), ताजा वजन (FW), शुष्क वजन (DW), पत्ती क्षेत्र (LA) और अंकुर शक्ति सूचकांक (SVI) के संदर्भ में वृद्धि में महत्वपूर्ण कमी देखी गई। दो अनुकूलित पोटिंग मीडिया, विकसित पोटिंग मीडिया -1 (डीपीएम -1) (कॉयर पिथ+वर्मीकम्पोस्ट+नीम केक+पीएस: 77.50+10+2.50+10% (v / v)) और विकसित पोटिंग मीडिया -2 (डीपीएम -2)) (कॉयरपीथ+वर्मीकम्पोस्ट+नाइजर केक+पीएस:75+10+5+10% (v/v)) का मूल्यांकन पीट-आधारित दो वाणिज्यिक पोटिंग मीडिया (सीपीएम-1 और सीपीएम-2) की तुलना में किया गया था। डीपीएम -1 और डीपीएम -2 ने क्रमशः थोक घनत्व (0.29 और 0.28 ग्राम/सेमी³), जल धारण क्षमता (59.87 और 59.02%), वायु सरंधता (23.50 और 25.61%), कुल सरंधता (83.37 और 84.63%), विद्युत चालकता (२.६४ और २.७६ mS/cm), और पीएच (6.56 और 6.40), दर्ज की थी। हालांकि, ये सभी पैरामीटर आदर्श पीएम की अनुशंसित सीमा के भीतर थे। दोनों डीपीएम में उगाए गए टमाटर और खीरे के पौधों ने सीपीएम और नियंत्रण की तुलना में वृद्धि और मैक्रो और सूक्ष्म पोषक तत्वों के संचय में महत्वपूर्ण सुधार दिखाया। DPM-1 और DPM-2 की लागत की गणना क्रमशः लगभग 13 और 22 रुपये/किलोग्राम के रूप में की गई थी। स्थानीय नर्सरी में डीपीएम का परीक्षण करने के बाद, मालिक अपने प्रदर्शन से संतुष्ट थे और अगर तकनीक उपलब्ध है या बाजार में डीपीएम उपलब्ध हैं तो इसे अपनाने की इच्छा दिखाई थी। वर्तमान अध्ययन से प्राप्त परिणामों और सर्वेक्षण से एकत्र किए गए आंकड़ों का विश्लेषण करके, यह निष्कर्ष निकाला जा सकता है कि विकसित पद्धति को अपनाकर पराली बागवानी क्षेत्र में प्लास्टिक के बर्तनों और पीएम के प्रतिस्थापन के रूप में उपयोग करने के लिए एक संभावित स्रोत है। साथ ही, अध्ययन ने ग्रामीण स्तर पर पीएस आधारित लघु उद्योगों को विकसित करने के लिए एक नया मार्ग खोला, जो ग्रामीण अर्थव्यवस्था को सशक्त बनाता है।

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Certificate	
Acknowledgements	i
Abstract	iii
Table of contents	vii
List of figures	xii
List of plates	xvii
List of tables	xviii
List of abbreviations	xx
Chapter 1: Introduction	1-17
1.1. Rice (paddy)	
1.2. Morphology and taxonomy of paddy	
1.3. About paddy straw	
1.4. Management of paddy straw	
1.4.1. <i>In-situ</i> management	
1.4.1.1 Soil incorporation	
1.4.1.2. Soil mulch	
1.4.1.3. Onsite burning	
1.4.2. <i>Ex-situ</i> management	
1.4.2.1. Cattle feed	
1.4.2.2. Bedding material for cattle	
1.4.2.3. Composting	
1.4.2.4. Mushroom cultivation	
1.4.2.5. Energy generation	
1.4.2.6. Biochar	
1.4.2.7. High-end materials (nano-silica and bio fibre)	
1.5. The problem of paddy straw	
1.6. Paddy straw burning	
1.7. Consequences of paddy straw burning	

<p>Chapter 2: Review of literature</p> <p>2.1. Review on the usage of pots for transplantable and container crops</p> <p>2.1.1. Plastic pot</p> <p>2.1.2. Biodegradable pots: alternative to plastic pots</p> <p>2.1.3. Materials commonly used in developing alternative biodegradable pots</p> <p>2.1.4. Barriers / drivers in the selection of alternative pots</p> <p>2.2. Review of potting media</p> <p>2.2.1. Background</p> <p>2.2.2. Characteristics of potting media</p> <p>2.2.2.1. Physio-chemical properties of potting media</p> <p>2.2.2.1. Biological properties</p> <p>2.2.3. Components of potting media</p> <p>2.2.3.1. Organic constituents</p> <p>2.2.3.2. Inorganic components</p> <p>2.2.4. Untransformed agro-industrial residue: moving forwards</p> <p>2.2.4.1. De-oiled cakes: an untapped substrate with huge potential</p> <p>2.2.4.2. Paddy straw</p> <p>2.2.5. Selection and evaluation criteria of an unconventional substrate in potting media</p> <p>2.2.5.1. Regional availability and abundant supply</p> <p>2.2.5.2. Environmental impact and assessment</p> <p>2.2.5.3. Phytotoxicity</p> <p>2.2.5.4. Consistent performance</p> <p>2.3. Scope of the work and objectives</p>	<p>18-60</p>
<p>Chapter 3: Development of composite material for the biodegradable pot and it's characterization</p> <p>3.1. Introduction</p> <p>3.2. Materials and methods</p>	<p>61-130</p>

3.2.1.	Pretreatment of paddy straw	
3.2.2.	Cross-linking of starch	
3.2.3.	Preparation of biocomposite	
3.2.4.	Physico-chemical analysis of BCs	
3.2.4.1.	Water uptake test	
3.2.4.2.	Disintegration test in the aqueous medium	
3.2.4.3.	Porosity and density	
3.2.4.4.	Macro and micronutrients analysis	
3.2.4.5.	Quantification of boron and leachate study of boric acid	
3.2.4.6.	Mechanical testing	
3.2.5.	Antimicrobial activity of biocomposites	
3.2.6.	Biodegradation	
3.2.7.	Pot moulding using selected biocomposites	
3.2.8.	Greenhouse and field studies	
3.2.9.	Water loss through the wall of biocomposite 3 (BC3) and biocomposite 4 (BC4) pots	
3.2.10.	Technology demonstration and feedback collection from end-users	
3.2.11.	Cost analysis	
3.2.12.	Statistical analysis	
3.3.	Results and discussion	
3.3.1.	Morphological study of the raw, alkali and alkali-autoclaved paddy straw	
3.3.2.	Quantification of cross-linking percentage of starch	
3.3.3.	Physico-chemical characterization of BCs	
3.3.3.1.	Water uptake	
3.3.3.2.	Disintegration / solubility	
3.3.3.3.	Porosity and density	
3.3.3.4.	Macro and micronutrients analysis	
3.3.3.5.	Leachate study of boric acid (BA)	
3.3.3.6.	Mechanical test	

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 3.3.3.7. SEM analysis of fracture surface of BC 3.3.4. Antimicrobial activity 3.3.5. Biodegradation 3.3.6. Greenhouse studies 3.3.7. Field studies 3.3.8. Water loss test 3.3.9. Technology demonstration and feedback collection 3.3.10. Cost analysis 3.4. Conclusions 	
<p>Chapter 4: Agro residue based potting media development and their physico-chemical characterization</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 4.1. Introduction 4.2. Materials and methods <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 4.2.1. Effect of de-oiled cakes amendments to PM on plant growth parameters 4.2.2. Effect of paddy straw amendments to PM on plant growth parameters 4.2.3. Physical and chemical characterization and nutritional status of selected Potting Media 4.2.4. Macro and micronutrient analysis in seedlings 4.2.5. Product demonstration and collection of feedback from end-users 4.2.6. Cost analysis 4.2.7. Statistical analysis 4.3. Results and discussion <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 4.3.1. De-oiled cakes and PS amendments and plant growth parameters 4.3.2. Physico-chemical characterization and nutrient contents of Potting media 4.3.3. Nutritional status of seedlings 4.3.4. Product demonstration and feedback collection from end-users 4.3.5. Costing of developed potting media - 1 (DPM-1) 	131-164

and developed potting media - 2 (DPM-2)	
4.4. Conclusions	
Future scope	165
References	166
Appendices	195
Biodata	200

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure No.	Title of the Figure	Page No.
Fig. 1.1	World map indicating paddy growing region	1
Fig. 1.2	Statistical analysis of last 20 years paddy growing area, production and yield of paddy in India	2
Fig. 1.3	Classification of the paddy plant	3
Fig. 1.4	Paddy straw left in the field after harvesting paddy	5
Fig. 1.5	Multiple uses of paddy straw	7
Fig. 1.6	Paddy straw burning	14
Fig. 2.1	Disposed plastic pots and trays	18
Fig. 2.2	Exploring the potential of various agro residue as potting media	30
Fig. 2.3	Characteristics of an ideal potting media	32
Fig. 2.4	The interrelationship between particle size, air porosity, water holding capacity and their effect on plant growth	35
Fig. 3.1	Schematic diagram showing dimensions of BC samples prepared for mechanical testing	69
Fig. 3.2	Mechanical testing of BCs (a) UTM (Universal test machine); (b) Sample before failure and (c) Sample after failure	70
Fig. 3.3	Set up for the CO ₂ emission (a) Schematic diagram; (b) Experimental setup	72
Fig. 3.4	Experimental process of biodegradation study at MGGP, IIT Delhi, India. (a), (b), (c), (d), (e) and (f) representing different stages of the experiment	73
Fig. 3.5	Weather condition (temperature, humidity and rainfall) for Mar-2019 to Jul-2019	74
Fig. 3.6	(a) Moulding machine used to prepare BC pots (b) Image of BC pot	76
Fig. 3.7	Weather condition (temperature, humidity and rainfall) for Mar-	77

	2020 to Jun-2020	
Fig. 3.8	SEM images of (a) Raw; (b) Alkali (1% NaOH; 2 h) treated (T1) and (c) Alkali (1% NaOH; 2 h) and autoclaved (T2) paddy straw	79
Fig. 3.9	FTIR analysis of raw, alkali (1% NaOH; 2 h) treated and alkali (1% NaOH; 2 h) and autoclaved (121 °C, 15 psi and 15 min) paddy straw	81
Fig. 3.10	(a) Solubility of native and cross-linked starch at different temperatures; (b) Native starch and (c) Cross-linked starch at 90 °C	81
Fig. 3.11	The colorimetric results of the native and cross-linked starch	82
Fig. 3.12	(a) Water uptake percentage of different BCs with increasing incubation period; (b) Disintegration of various BCs in aqueous medium at different time intervals (days); (c) Porosity percentage and density of various BCs	84
Fig. 3.13	Boric acid leachate pattern from BC4 and BC5 at different time intervals	88
Fig. 3.14	Typical stress-strain curves for various BCs	89
Fig. 3.15	Specimens of different BCs before failure and after failure	89
Fig. 3.16	Tensile properties for different BCs (a) Maximum extension (b) Maximum load, (c) Maximum tensile strain, (d) Tensile strain and (e) Maximum tensile stress	92
Fig. 3.17	SEM micrographs of the fracture surface of tensile tested specimens of BC1 (a) An overview of the fracture surface, (b) Showing pulling of fibres easily at higher magnification, (c) and (d) Fibre surface free from the matrix and (e) Presence of large voids	93
Fig. 3.18	SEM micrographs of the fracture surface of tensile tested specimens of BC2 (a) An overview of the fracture surface, (b) Showing pulling of fibres easily at higher magnification, (c) and (d) Presence of large voids indicate poor matrix and fibre bonding and (e) Fibre surface free from the matrix	94

Fig. 3.19	SEM micrographs of the fracture surface of tensile tested specimens of BC3 (a) Overview of the fracture surface, (b) Image showing adherence of matrix to the fibre surface, (c) and (d) A large number of broken microfibrils indicate the more effective area of contact between matrix and fibre and (e) An image at higher magnification showing individual fibre coated with the matrix	95
Fig. 3.20	SEM micrographs of the fracture surface of tensile tested specimens of BC4 (a) Overview of the fracture surface, (b) Image showing adherence of matrix to the fibre surface, (c) and (d) A large number of broken microfibrils indicate the more effective area of contact between matrix and fibre and (e) An image at higher magnification showing individual fibre coated with the matrix	96
Fig. 3.21	SEM micrographs of the fracture surface of tensile tested specimens of BC5 (a) Overview of the fracture surface, (b), (c) and (d) A large number of broken microfibrils indicate the more effective area of contact between matrix and fibre and (e) An image at higher magnification showing individual fibre coated with the matrix	97
Fig. 3.22	SEM micrographs of the fracture surface of tensile tested specimens of BC6 (a) Overview of the fracture surface, (b) Image showing adherence of matrix to the fibre surface, (c) and (d) A large number of broken microfibrils indicate the more effective area of contact between matrix and fibre and (e) An image at higher magnification showing individual fibre coated with the matrix	98
Fig. 3.23	Antimicrobial activity of different BCs against (a) <i>Trichoderma</i> spp.; (b) <i>Aspergillus flavus</i> ; (c) <i>Fusarium verticilloides</i> ; (d) Total soil fungi; (e) <i>Bacillus marisflavi</i> ; (f) <i>Escherichia coli</i> and (g) Total soil bacteria	100
Fig. 3.24	CO ₂ emission for different BCs under simulated environmental	101

	conditions	
Fig. 3.25	Weight loss percentage of different BCs at different time intervals (days) of incubation under field condition	102
Fig. 3.26	SEM Images of different biocomposites at different magnifications before biodegradation and after degradation (after 15 days of soil burial)	104-105
Fig. 3.27	FTIR spectra of various BCs at t=0 and after 15 days of incubation in field, in wavenumber region 3965-400 cm ⁻¹ (a) BC1, (b) BC2, (c) BC3, (d) BC4, (e) BC5 and (f) BC6	107
Fig. 3.28	Representative images showing population distribution and morphological diversity analysis of bacteria and fungi associated with degradation of biocomposites (a) After 10 days of soil burial and (b) After 20 days of soil burial	108
Fig. 3.29	Population distribution and morphological diversity of bacteria and fungi associated with degradation of BCs (a) After 10 days of soil burial and (b) After 20 days of soil burial	109
Fig. 3.30	FDA hydrolyzing enzyme activity for various BCs tested at two different time intervals (10 and 20 days)	110
Fig. 3.31	Greenhouse evaluation of BC pots (a) Moulded pots; (b) cucumber seedlings in BC pots; (c) BC pots along with 10 days old cucumber seedling; (d) BC pots along with 28 days old cucumber seedling; (e) Deformed BC1 and (f) Deformed BC2 pots	112
Fig. 3.32	Cucumber seedlings in BC3, BC4 pots and plastic tray (control) after 28 days of seeding (a) Front view; (b) Top view and (c) Root system of plants	113
Fig. 3.33	Field studies: (a) Transplantation of cucumber seedlings along with BC pots; cucumber plants after (b) 10 days; (c) 20 days and (d) 30-40 days of transplantation	115
Fig. 3.34	Field studies: Cucumber plants a, b - After 10 days; c, d - After 20 days and e, f - After 30-40 days of transplantation	116

Fig. 3.35	Water loss through the walls of pots versus time	117
Fig. 4.1	Effect of different de-oiled seed cake amendments to PM on various growth parameters of cucumber and tomato seedlings	140
Fig. 4.2	Yellowing of leaf observed in cucumber plant growth in (a) Cotton de-oiled cake amended PM and (b) Control (coir pith (90%): vermicompost (10%))	141
Fig. 4.3	Effect of paddy straw amendment to PM with neem de-oiled cake on the growth parameters of cucumber and tomato seedlings	145
Fig. 4.4	Effect of paddy straw amendment to PM with niger de-oiled cake on the growth parameters of cucumber and tomato seedlings	146
Fig. 4.5	Comparative analysis of CPM-2 and DPM for their effect of plant growth parameters	146
Fig. 4.9	DPM-1, DPM-2 and CPM	159

LIST OF PLATES

Plate No.	Title of the Plate	Page No.
Plate 3.1	Glimpses of the village Salempur, district Hathras, UP	119
Plate 3.2	Product demonstration to villagers / farmers	119
Plate 3.3	Interaction with the farmers / villagers	120
Plate 3.4	Distribution of pots to farmers	120
Plate 3.5	Farmers with 28 days seedlings grown in BC3 pots	121
Plate 3.6	Transplantation of BC3 pots along with seedlings into farmers' field	121
Plate 3.7	Various farmers with cucumber plants at different developmental stages	122
Plate 3.8	Distributing questionnaires to farmers	122
Plate 3.9	Farmers filling the questionnaire	123
Plate 4.1	Product demonstration / distribution to nurseries.	157
Plate 4.2	Nursery growers / owners at nursery with seedlings grown in DPMs	157
Plate 4.3	Distribution and filling of questionnaire by nursery owners / growers	158

LIST OF TABLES

Table No.	Title of the Table	Page No.
Table 1.1	Chemical composition of paddy straw (PS)	6
Table 2.1	Details of some commercially available plantable pots	22
Table 2.2	Details of some commercially available compostable pots	24
Table 2.3	Various agro-industrial residue used in potting media	49
Table 3.1	Macro and micronutrients present in different biocomposites	86
Table 3.2	Morphological growth parameters of cucumber seedlings grown in different biocomposite (BC) pots and control	114
Table 3.3	Survey results based on the questionnaire method	124
Table 3.4a	Fixed cost of BC3 pot	126
Table 3.4b	Variable cost of BC3 pot	127
Table 3.4c	Total cost of BC3 pot (500 Nos / day for 10 years)	127
Table 3.5	Comparative cost analysis of plastic pot v/s BC3 pot	128
Table 4.1	Effect of different de-oiled seed cake amendments to PM on various growth parameters of cucumber and tomato seedlings	142
Table 4.2	Effect of PS amendment to selected PM on plant growth parameters	147
Table 4.3	Physico-chemical characters of selected PM	149
Table 4.4	Macro and micronutrients of selected PM	152
Table 4.5	Nutrient uptake by cucumber and tomato seedlings grown in different PM	155
Table 4.6	Survey results compiled on the basis of filled questionnaire (for DPM-1)	160
Table 4.7	Survey results compiled on the basis of filled questionnaire (for DPM-2)	161
Table 4.8a	Fixed cost analysis for DPM-1 (100 kg / day for 10 years)	162
Table 4.8b	Variable cost analysis for DPM-1 (100 kg / day for 10 years)	162

Table 4.8c	Total cost of DPM-1 (100 kg / day for 10 years)	162
Table 4.9a	Fixed cost analysis for DPM-2 (100 kg / day for 10 years)	163
Table 4.9b	Variable cost analysis for DPM-2 (100 kg / day for 10 years)	163
Table 4.9c	Total cost of DPM-2 (100 kg / day for 10 years)	163

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

Symbol	Full Name
%	Percentage
°C	degree Celsius
μ	Micro
μg	Microgram
μL	Microlitre
μm	Micrometre
μS/cm	Microsiemens Per Centimeter
3 D	3 Dimensional
AIR	Agro industrial residue
approx.	Approximately
ATP	Adenosine triphosphate
B	Boron
BA	Boric acid
BC	Biocomposite
C	Carbon
C/N	Carbon and nitrogen
Ca	Calcium
CEC	Cation Exchange Capacity
cfu	Colony forming units
CHN	Carbon hydrogen and nitrogen
cm	Centimetre
cmol/kg	Centimoles per kilogram
CO ₂	Carbon dioxide
Co-DOC	Cotton de-oiled cake
conc.	Concentration
CP	Coir pith
CPM	Commercial potting media
CRF	Central Research Facility
Cu	Copper

DOC	Deoiled cake
DPM	Developed potting media
dS/m	deciSiemens per metre
DW	Dry weight
Eq.	Equation
Fe	Iron
FTIR	Fourier-transform infrared spectroscopy
FW	Fresh weight
g	Gram
GHG	Greenhouse gas
Gn-DOC	Groundnut de-oiled cake
h	Hour
H ₂ O ₂	Hydrogen peroxide
H ₂ SO ₄	Sulfuric acid
ha	Hectare
HCl	Hydrochloric acid
IC ₅₀	Half maximal inhibitory concentration
ICPMS	Inductively coupled plasma spectrometry
INR	Indian Rupee
K	Potassium
kg	Kilogram
kN	Kilonewton
KOH	Potassium hydroxide
kV	Kilovolt
L	Litre
LA	Leaf area
M	Molar
meq/L	Milliequivalents per litre
mg	Milligram
Mg	Magnesium
MGGP	Mahatama Gandhi Gramodaya Parisar
Mha	Million hectare

min	Minute
mL	Millilitre
mM	Millimolar
mm	Millimetre
MMT	Million metric tons
Mn	Manganese
Mo	Molybdenum
mol	Moles
MPa	Megapascal
mS/cm	MilliSiemens per centimeter
MT	Metric tons
Mu-DOC	Mustard de-oiled cake
MW	Megawatt
N	Normal
N	Nitrogen
NADPH	Nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate
NaOH	Sodium hydroxide
Ne-DOC	Neem de-oiled cake
Ni-DOC	Niger de-oiled cake
nm	Nanometre
NRF	Nano Research Facility
P	Phosphorus
PM	Potting media
PS	Paddy straw
psi	Pound per square inch
RL	Root length
rpm	Revolutions per minute
Rs	Rupee
SAIF	Sophisticated Analytical Instrument Facility
sec	Seconds
Se-DOC	Sesame de-oiled cake
SEM	Scanning electron microscope

Si	Silica
SL	Shoot length
SVI	Seedling vigour index
UV	Ultraviolet
v/v	Volume by volume
VC	Vermicompost
W	Watt
w/v	Weight by volume
w/w	Weight by weight
WHC	Water holding capacity
Zn	Zinc