

**THEORETICAL AND EXPERIMENTAL STUDIES ON
SOUND ABSORBING PROPERTIES OF GREEN POROUS
MATERIALS**

MANISH RAJ



CENTRE FOR AUTOMOTIVE RESEARCH & TRIBOLOGY

(Formerly known as ITMMEC)

INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY DELHI

NOVEMBER 2021

© Indian Institute of Technology Delhi (IITD), New Delhi, 2021

**THEORETICAL AND EXPERIMENTAL STUDIES ON
SOUND ABSORBING PROPERTIES OF GREEN POROUS
MATERIALS**

by

MANISH RAJ

**Centre for Automotive Research & Tribology
(Formerly known as ITMMEC)**

Submitted

**in fulfilment of the requirements of the degree of Doctor of Philosophy
to the**



INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY DELHI

NOVEMBER 2021

Dedicated to
the memory of my father Late Mr. H. K. Thakur,
and
to the struggles of my mother Mrs. S. K. Thakur.

Certificate

This is to certify that the thesis entitled "**Theoretical and experimental studies on sound absorbing properties of green porous materials**" being submitted by **Mr. Manish Raj** (2016ITZ8319) to the Indian Institute of Technology Delhi, New Delhi, India, for the award of the degree of **Doctor of Philosophy** is a record of bonafide research work carried out by him under our supervision. The candidate has fulfilled the requirements for the submission of this research work for his thesis. In our opinion, this thesis has attained the standard needed for the award of a Ph.D. degree of this institute. The results contained in this thesis have not been submitted, in a part or in full, to any other university or institute for the award of any degree or diploma.



Prof. Naresh Tandon

Professor

Center for Automotive Research & Tribology
Indian Institute of Technology Delhi
New Delhi - 110016, India.



Dr. Shahab Fatima

Assistant Professor

Center for Automotive Research & Tribology
Indian Institute of Technology Delhi
New Delhi - 110016, India.

Date: 08/11/2021

Place: IIT Delhi, New Delhi, India

Acknowledgments

I still remember the night I arrived in New Delhi to join IIT Delhi as a Ph.D. candidate. While waiting for the dawn at New Delhi Railway station, I read these lines somewhere:

विदेशेषु धनं विद्या व्यसनेषु धनं मतिः। परलोके धनं धर्मः शीलं सर्वत्र वै धनम्॥

(Knowledge is wealth in a foreign land. Intelligence is wealth in tough times. Righteousness is wealth in other world. Verily, Good Character is wealth everywhere and at all the times! – Rig Veda)

These lines touched me, and I have always tried to implement these in my life to become a better version of myself. My first thanks go to the Almighty that I have come up to submit my thesis. The recent times have been a testing one, and I show my total devotion toward the Almighty for showing me the path at testing times.

I want to say thanks to the Ph.D. supervisor **Prof. Naresh Tandon** for supervising the research work. When I started, the only thing I had was his experience, patience, and neutral judgment skill of how to proceed in the right direction. He made me work out of my comfort zone, which seemed challenging then, but now when I look back, it was essential. Some of his skills will remain with me throughout my research career as his blessing. He backed me at some of the toughest times, for which I shall be grateful. I would also like to acknowledge and thank another supervisor **Dr. Shahab Fatima** for supervising the research work. Thanks are due to my student research committee members, **Prof. Akhil Garg**, **Dr. Deepak Kumar**, and **Prof. Subodh V. Modak**, for their consistent feedback and suggestions for improvement. I must thank our head, **Prof. Bijaya K. Panigrahi**, for providing occasional motivation and generating a positive work atmosphere. I would also like to thank all the instructors during the course work. Their courses brought a sense of commitment and clarity of thought in me. The feedback and comments received from several professors while interacting at conferences have been

constructive. The anonymous reviewers of my works have been a helping hand from God, and I would like to thank all of them.

Unparalleled support received from Late **Mr. Rajaram Dhuriya** (Ex-Senior foreman, IITD) and his technician **Mr. Mushir Ahmed** of Aashish Engineering Pvt. Ltd. during the fabrication of experimental rigs is gratefully acknowledged. Working with them during the fabrication of the setup was one of the best days. The credits provided by his workshop were beneficial and allowed me to approach them freely. I would also like to thank **Dr. Paresh Shravage** (Alfa Acoustics, Pune) for his support and discussions during the research work. Thanks are due to **Mr. Kala** (Ex-JTS, IITD) of the textile department for his help and support during various measurements. I must thank **Mr. Parmeshwar Shah** (JLA, IITD) of Shivalik Hostel for helping me to avail the facilities of other departments. I acknowledge and thank **Mr. Avtar Singh** (Ex-JTS, IITD) for many discussions, ideas, and access to many machine tools in the IIT Delhi campus. A special thanks to **Mr. Ashok** (PG Section, IITD) and **Mr. Rajendra** (PG Section, IITD) for happily providing procedural information and help, whenever asked for. I would also like to say thanks to the engineers deployed at the LabVIEW support and the COMSOL support for helping me debug many of my works. Apart from the technical persons, my sincere thanks go to **Mr. Anil Kumar Malik** (Deputy Sports Officer, IITD) to teach me a vital survival skill in swimming. The long swimming sessions with him brought lots of positivity in me, thus helping me concentrate on my research works.

Seniors form an integral part of a research career, and their company provides motivation and guidance. I would gratefully acknowledge **Dr. Asjad Mokhtar** for seeding a sense of excellence for vibration measurements and signal processing techniques. His strict guidance during laboratory works proved very fruitful in the end. I also thank my lab alumni for conducting benchmark research work. Their research works provided an initial idea of the research output level to achieve. Many seniors and colleagues like **Dr. Jayant Singh**, **Dr. Surojit Poddar**, **Dr. Swati Gautam**, **Ms. Niharika Gupta**, **Mr. Tauheed**, and **Mr. Jonty** have provided invaluable support at various stages, which I

sincerely acknowledge. Many of their previous works, like thesis, comprehensive report, etc., has been very useful for reference. I would also thank my friend since my M. Tech days, **Mr. Vikas Jangir** of Mechanical Engg., for his company and support whenever required. I thank my brotherhood of Shivalik hostel consisting of **Mr. Vijay Raj, Mr. Balbir Pandey, Mr. Virendra Patel, Mr. Jeewan Atwal, Mr. Amit Kaushik, Mr. Ravi Yadav, Dr. Gurminder Singh, Mr. Raman Singh, Mr. Dipak Singh,** and **Mr. Mukesh Pandey** for providing an excellent company and help whenever required. A big thanks to my friend **Dr. Pooja Basera** for exceptional help and support and for guiding me in making an effective presentation, attractive technical posters, and many times the response sheet to reviewers.

Words would not be enough to thank my parents, who allowed me to go for higher education, leaving well-paid jobs twice. Their support and appreciation have been the pillar of my journey so far, which they continue to provide at the cost of their endurance. Finally, I pray to God to enlighten me, show me the right path, and make me a good human being. To make me efficient in performing my duties and responsibilities.

कर्मण्येवाधिकारस्ते मा फलेषु कदाचन । मा कर्मफलहेतुर्भुमा ते संगोऽस्त्वकर्मणि ॥

(You have a right to perform your prescribed duty, but you are not entitled to the fruits of action. Never consider yourself to be the cause of the results of your activities, and never be attached to not doing your duty. - Bhagavad Gita, Chapter II, Verse 47)



(MANISH RAJ)

Abstract

Typical sound-absorbing materials happen to be of synthetic origin, have a high carbon footprint, and harm human health. This motivates to look toward nature and propose some 'green' materials to implement for noise control applications, which happens to be the core of this study.

The study starts with discussing methodology to develop experimental facilities relevant to sound absorbers. The density of sound absorbers is linked to their porosity, and in the current study, it is measured by developing a density gradient column. Experimental facilities are developed to measure the sound absorption coefficients and airflow resistivity and validated with the results of standard experimental facilities. Thereafter, two new natural materials from agro-forestry sources, nettle fibers, and areca nut leaf sheath fibers, are thoroughly studied for their acoustical properties. Theoretical simulations are performed to predict their sound absorption coefficients. The error between the measured and predicted sound absorption coefficients is minimized by performing inverse acoustical characterization. The effectiveness of inverse acoustical characterization is improved by performing the simulations at different frequency resolutions. A comparison of sound absorption coefficients of these materials is also performed with commercial synthetic materials. Later on, an attempt is made towards using green recycled materials as potential sound absorbers, and for that, two materials, denim shoddy and waste jute fibers, are identified. The sound absorption coefficients of these materials are measured by conducting a full factorial design of experiments, and

the measured results are compared with simulated ones. Further, the performance of these materials is compared with commercial glasswool with same process parameters. A theoretical equation is developed to estimate the noise reduction coefficient of these materials and is experimentally validated. The study then progresses towards reporting an alternate methodology to determine the random incidence sound absorption coefficients. The steps consist of setting up and solving the modified Helmholtz equation in the pressure acoustics and the poroacoustic domain. The solutions in the form of oblique incident sound absorption coefficients are obtained by the finite element method. The developed measurement facilities were found to produce results closer to the commercial measurement facilities. The comparison of results obtained show that the performance of denim shoddy fibers was found to be the best as compared to other green materials studied, followed by waste jute fibers and nettle fibers. The areca nut leaf sheath fibers were ranked fourth among the studied materials. When compared to commercial glasswool, the studied materials show sufficient potential to be competitive for acoustic purposes. The simulated random incident sound absorption coefficient for all these materials provided a better visualization of their sound absorption coefficients in realistic conditions.

सार

विशिष्ट ध्वनि-अवशोषित सामग्री सिंथेटिक मूल की होती है, जिसमें उच्च कार्बन पदचिह्न होते हैं, और मानव स्वास्थ्य को नुकसान पहुंचाते हैं। यह परिसीमन हमें प्रकृति की ओर देखने के लिए प्रेरित करता है और शोर नियंत्रण अनुप्रयोगों के लिए लागू करने के लिए कुछ 'हरित' सामग्री का प्रस्ताव करता है, जो इस अध्ययन का मूल है।

यह अध्ययन ध्वनि अवशोषक के लिए प्रासंगिक प्रयोगात्मक सुविधाओं को विकसित करने के लिए कार्यप्रणाली पर चर्चा के साथ शुरू होता है। ध्वनि अवशोषक का घनत्व उनके सरंध्रता से जुड़ा होता है, और वर्तमान अध्ययन में, इसे घनत्व ढाल स्तंभ विकसित करके मापा जाता है। प्रायोगिक सुविधाओं को ध्वनि अवशोषण गुणांक और वायु प्रवाह प्रतिरोधकता को मापने के लिए विकसित किया गया है और मानक प्रयोगात्मक सुविधाओं के परिणामों के साथ मान्य किया गया है। इसके बाद, कृषि-वानिकी स्रोतों से दो नई प्राकृतिक सामग्री, नेटले फाइबर, और ऐरेका नट लीफ शीत फाइबर, उनके ध्वनिक गुणों के लिए पूरी तरह से अध्ययन किया गया है। सैद्धांतिक सिमुलेशन उनके ध्वनि अवशोषण गुणांक की भविष्यवाणी करने के लिए किए गए हैं। मापा और अनुमानित ध्वनि अवशोषण गुणांक के बीच त्रुटि को उलटा ध्वनिक लक्षण वर्णन करके कम किया गया है। व्युत्क्रम ध्वनिक लक्षण वर्णन की प्रभावशीलता में विभिन्न आवृत्ति संकल्पों पर सिमुलेशन प्रदर्शन करके सुधार किया गया है। इन सामग्रियों के ध्वनि अवशोषण गुणांक की तुलना वाणिज्यिक सिंथेटिक सामग्री के साथ भी की गयी है। बाद में, संभावित ध्वनि अवशोषक के रूप में हरित पुनर्नवीनीकरण सामग्री का उपयोग करने की दिशा में प्रयास किया गया है, और उसके लिए, दो सामग्री, डेनिम शोडय और अपशिष्ट जूट फाइबर की पहचान की जाती है। इन सामग्रियों के ध्वनि अवशोषण गुणांक को प्रयोगों के एक पूर्ण तथ्यात्मक डिजाइन का संचालन करके मापा गया है, और मापा परिणामों की तुलना नकली लोगों से की गयी है। इसके अलावा, इन सामग्रियों के प्रदर्शन की तुलना समान प्रक्रिया मापदंडों वाले वाणिज्यिक कांच के ऊन

से की गयी है। इन सामग्रियों के शोर में कमी गुणांक का अनुमान लगाने के लिए एक सैद्धांतिक समीकरण विकसित किया गया है और प्रयोगात्मक रूप से मान्य किया गया है। अध्ययन तब यादृच्छिक घटना ध्वनि अवशोषण गुणांक निर्धारित करने के लिए एक वैकल्पिक पद्धति की रिपोर्ट करने की दिशा में आगे बढ़ता है। चरणों में दबाव ध्वनिकी और पोरुअकॉस्टिक डोमेन में संशोधित हेल्महोल्ट्ज़ समीकरण को स्थापित करना और हल करना शामिल है। परोक्ष घटना ध्वनि अवशोषण गुणांक के रूप में समाधान परिमित तत्व विधि द्वारा प्राप्त किए गए हैं।

विकसित माप सुविधाओं को वाणिज्यिक माप सुविधाओं के करीब परिणाम देने के लिए पाया गया। प्राप्त परिणामों की तुलना से पता चलता है कि डेनिम शोडय फाइबर का प्रदर्शन अन्य हरित सामग्री की तुलना में सबसे अच्छा पाया गया, इसके बाद अपशिष्ट जूट फाइबर और बिछुआ फाइबर का स्थान है। अध्ययन सामग्री में ऐरेका नट लीफ शीत फाइबर के रेशों को चौथा स्थान दिया गया। वाणिज्यिक कांच के ऊन की तुलना में, अध्ययन की गई सामग्री ध्वनिक उद्देश्यों के लिए प्रतिस्पर्धी होने की पर्याप्त क्षमता दिखाती है। इन सभी सामग्रियों के लिए सिमुलेटेड यादृच्छिक घटना ध्वनि अवशोषण गुणांक ने यथार्थवादी परिस्थितियों में उनके ध्वनि अवशोषण गुणांक का बेहतर दृश्य प्रदान किया।

Table of Contents

Certificate		i
Acknowledgements		ii
Abstract		v
सार		vii
Table of Contents		ix
List of Figures		xv
List of Tables		xxii
Nomenclature		xxiv
Chapter-1	Introduction	1-12
	1.1 Background of noise control	2
	1.2 Noise control strategies	3
	1.3 Mechanism of sound absorption in a porous material	5
	1.3.1 Mechanism of dissipation of sound	6
	1.3.2 Non-acoustical properties of porous materials for effective sound absorption	7
	1.4 Porous materials as sound absorbers	10
	1.5 Scope of the current study	11
	1.6 Organization of the thesis	12

Chapter-2	Literature Review	13-26
	2.1 Natural materials as a sound absorber	14
	2.2 Recycled materials as a sound absorber	16
	2.3 Simulation techniques of porous sound absorbers	20
	2.4 Outcomes from the literature survey	22
	2.4 Gaps identified from the literature survey	23
	2.5 Objectives identified for the present study	25
	2.6 Concluding remarks	26
Chapter-3	Experimental and Simulation Techniques	27-73
	3.1 Development of a density gradient column to measure the density of porous materials	27
	3.2 Development of an experimental setup to measure the airflow resistivity of porous materials	32
	3.3 Development of an experimental setup to measure the sound absorption coefficients of porous materials	37
	3.3.1 Component selection and assembly	39

3.3.2	Mathematical operations performed as per ASTM E-1050	45
3.3.3	Data Acquisition using LabVIEW	47
3.3.4	Processing of the acquired signal in Matlab	51
3.3.5	Application of digital filters to remove the outliers	56
3.3.6	Validation of the adopted methodology	61
3.4	Sample fabrication from long fibers to measure the sound absorption coefficients	64
3.5	Estimating the non-acoustic characteristics of porous materials	67
3.6	Mathematical models to estimate the sound absorption coefficients of porous materials	69
3.7	Concluding remarks	72
Chapter-4	Natural Material as a Sound Absorber I: Nettle Fibers	74-107
4.1	Nettle fibers and their physical characterization	74
4.2	Sample preparation for acoustical measurements	80
4.3	Acoustical characterization and estimation of the sound absorption coefficients by mathematical models	81

4.3.1	Acoustical characterization and predicted sound absorption coefficients	82
4.3.2	Measurement of sound absorption coefficients	85
4.3.3	A comparison of measured and predicted sound absorption coefficients	90
4.4	Study on the effect of air-gap	95
4.4.1	Inverse acoustical characterization by genetic algorithm	96
4.4.2	Effect of air-gap	100
4.5	Performance comparison with other commercial and natural materials	104
4.6	Concluding remarks	106
Chapter-5	Natural Material as a Sound Absorber II: Areca nut Leaf Sheath Fibers	108-139
5.1	Areca nut leaf sheath fibers and their physical characterization	109
5.2	Acoustical characterization of the areca nut leaf sheath fibers and results	112

5.3	Comparison of theoretical and experimental sound absorption coefficients and results	120
5.4	Inverse acoustical characterization and analyzing the effect of an air-gap	125
5.5	Performance comparison of areca nut leaf sheath fibers with commercial glasswool	137
5.6	Concluding remarks	138
Chapter-6	Recycled Materials as Potential Sound Absorbers: Denim Shoddy and Waste Jute Fibers	140-167
6.1	Materials and their physical characterization	140
6.2	Design of experiments and sample fabrication from recycled materials	146
6.3	Acoustical characterization of the recycled fibers	149
6.4	Performance comparison of recycled materials with commercial glasswool	157
6.5	Development of equations in terms of thickness and density to approximate the NRC	160
6.6	Concluding remarks	166

Chapter-7	A Comparative Study on Random Incident and Normal Incident Sound Absorption Coefficients	168-200
	7.1 Physical model and numerical simulation	170
	7.2 Materials and their non-acoustic characteristics	176
	7.3 Results and discussions	179
	7.4 Concluding remarks	200
Chapter-8	Conclusions and Scope for Future Study	201-207
	8.1 Conclusions	201
	8.2 Future scope of research	205
References		208-225
Publications from the Thesis		224
Appendix I: Random incident sound absorption coefficients of different materials		
Bio-data		

List of Figures

Figure No.	Description	Page No.
1.1	Incident sound resolved in different components	5
1.2	Layout of airflow resistivity measurement	7
1.3	Characteristics lengths in a porous material	9
2.1	The L-C-R circuit of a lossy cylindrical pore	21
3.1	Layout of the gradient density column prepared for density measurement	30
3.2	A view of the prepared column to measure the density	31
3.3	Experimental setup to measure the airflow resistivity of porous materials	34
3.4	The layout of an impedance tube as per ASTM E 1050	40
3.5	A view of developed impedance tube set up with samples	44
3.6	Block diagram of virtual instrument (VI) for data acquisition	48
3.7	A view of the front panel of VI for data acquisition	50
3.8	Time signal of Melamine foam	50
3.9	The spectrum of standing waves	51
3.10	Effect of filter order on response in an Infinite Impulse Response (IIR) filter	53
3.11	Filtered and unfiltered signal	54
3.12	Sound Absorption Coefficients (SAC) obtained from the two different tubes	55
3.13	Combined sound absorption coefficients obtained from both the tubes	56

3.14	Sound absorption coefficient obtained by changing the window length, K(s) in a Hampel filter compared to the results obtained from a commercial B&K impedance tube	58
3.15	Sound absorption coefficient obtained by changing the filter factor (Sigma) in a Hampel filter compared to the results obtained from a commercial B&K impedance tube	59
3.16	Sound absorption coefficient obtained by changing the order and frame length in a Savitzsky-Golay filter compared to the results obtained from a commercial B&K impedance tube	60
3.17	Sound absorption coefficient obtained by the best performing filters compared to the results obtained from a commercial B&K impedance tube and the result provided by the supplier of the Melamine foam	61
3.18	Sound absorption coefficients obtained in the developed setup without any sample compared with the result reported in the literature	62
3.19	Sound absorption coefficients obtained in the developed setup with Glass wool samples compared with the result reported in the literature	63
3.20	Process followed to convert raw fibers into non-woven form	65
3.21	Sample image of raw fibers converted into non-woven	66
3.22	Sample images of the acoustic	67
4.1	Conversion of nettle fiber from plants to finished product	75
4.2	SEM images of nettle fibers	76

	a) in longitudinal direction b) transverse cross-section	
4.3	3-D Surface topography of nettle fibers	77
4.4	Thermal stability test of nettle fibers	79
4.5	Non-woven nettle fibers and its morphology	81
4.6	Measured sound absorption coefficients of nettle samples	86
4.7	Variation of NRC for sound absorbers made of nettle fibers of different thickness	89
4.8	Comparison of measured and estimated sound absorption coefficients	91-92
4.9	Comparison of root mean squared errors of estimated sound absorption coefficients using different empirical models	93
4.10	Convergence of mean fitness and best fitness	98
4.11	A comparison of sound absorption coefficients measured and predicted by the Allard model (56 mm thick non-woven nettle sound absorber)	99
4.12	Typical arrangement of air gap introduced behind a sound absorber	100
4.13	Characteristics of nettle fiber sound absorbers	102
4.14	Effect of introducing air gap behind the sound absorber on sound absorption coefficient	103
4.15	Arrangement to measure the sound absorption coefficient	105
4.16	Comparison between nettle, glasswool, and jute (56 mm) a) sound absorption coefficients b) NRC	105
5.1	Conversion of ALS from raw material to finished product	109

5.2	Microscopic image of the areca nut leaf sheath fibers	110
5.3	3-D surface topography of areca nut leaf sheath fiber	111
5.4	Thermal decomposition of areca nut leaf sheath fibers	112
5.5	SEM image of the ALS non-woven sound absorber	113
5.6	Measured sound absorption coefficients of non-woven areca nut leaf sheath fibers	117
5.7	Comparison of theoretical and experimental sound absorption coefficients of non-woven areca nut leaf sheath fibers	121-122
5.8	Comparison of root mean squared errors of sound absorption coefficients estimated with different models	123
5.9	Root-mean-squared errors in sound absorption coefficients predicted using empirical models	130-131
5.10	Characteristic impedance and complex wavenumber of ALS sound absorbers	134
5.11	Effect of air gap behind the sound absorber on sound absorption coefficients	135
5.12	Performance comparison of ALS fiber with commercial glasswool	137
6.1	Recycled materials in the bulk form	141
6.2	Microscopic and SEM image of fibers	142
6.3	Tensile failure of fibers	140
6.4	TGA curves of Denim Shoddy and Waste jute fibers	146

6.5	Design of experiment as per 2-level- 2-factor full factorial design	148
6.6	Mould and specimen test samples of denim shoddy and waste jute fibers	149
6.7	Measured sound absorption coefficients for denim shoddy fibers	152
6.8	Measured sound absorption coefficients for waste jute fibers	152
6.9	Sound absorption coefficient measured in an impedance tube and predicted by empirical models for denim shoddy	154
6.10	Sound absorption coefficient measured in an impedance tube and predicted by empirical models for waste jute fibers	155
6.11	Comparison of denim shoddy, waste jute fibers, and commercial glasswool for the same density and thickness	158
6.12	A comparison of noise reduction coefficient values of denim shoddy, waste jute fibers and glasswool	159
6.13	Response surface for denim shoddy	164
6.14	Response surface for waste jute	164
6.15	Normalized residual probability plot for denim shoddy	165
6.16	Normalized residual probability plot for waste jute fibers	165
6.17	Sound absorption coefficient measured in an impedance tube and predicted by empirical models for Denim shoddy fibers at the midpoint of the process parameters	166

6.18	Sound absorption coefficient measured in an impedance tube and predicted by empirical models for Waste jute fibers at the midpoint of the process parameters	166
7.1	Physical model of the interaction of sound and a porous material at an oblique incident	170
7.2	Meshing of the air-porous material domain	173
7.3	A comparison of oblique incidence sound absorption coefficients of Melamine foam (Thickness = 3 inch) simulated from the proposed methodology	180
7.4	A comparison of results (random incidence sound absorption coefficients) of Melamine foam (Thickness = 3 inches) obtained from the proposed methodology and various other methods	182
7.5	A comparison of simulated and measured oblique incidence sound absorption coefficient for glasswool, thickness = 50 mm	184
7.6	The different total sound field of standing waves at 45° for denim shoddy fibers (thickness= 50 mm, density= 45 kg/m ³) at different frequencies	186- 187
7.7	The different sound fields at 45° inclination and 1000 Hz for different fibers	188- 190
7.8	Comparison of normal incident sound absorption coefficient measured in an impedance tube with the random incident sound absorption coefficients	185- 186

	estimated by numerical method and analytical methods for short fibers: a-	
	d) denim shoddy fibers e-h) waste jute fibers	
7.9	Comparison of normal incident sound absorption coefficient measured in	187-
	an impedance tube with the random incident sound absorption coefficients	190
	estimated by numerical method and analytical methods for non-woven	
	fibers: a-j) non-woven nettle fibers k-t) non-woven areca nut leaf sheath	
	fibers	

List of Tables

Table	Description	Page
No.		No.
3.1	Volume of chemicals mixed to achieve the desired density	29
3.2	Density of some calibrated floats	30
3.3	Root mean squared error of estimated sound absorption coefficients using Miki model for denim shoddy and waste jute fibers	37
3.4	Properties of the melamine foam and glasswool sample used for the experiment	47
3.5	Coefficients in the mathematical model	70
4.1	Results of the tensile testing of nettle fibers	78
4.2	Acoustical characteristics of sound absorbers created from nettle fibers	82
4.3	A comparison of root mean squared errors of the sound absorption coefficients estimated from different empirical models	93
4.4	Upper bound, lower bound of the non-acoustic parameters with optimum results obtained	98
5.1	Summarized results of acoustical characterization of ALS non-woven fibers	115
5.2	Calculated noise reduction coefficients for different thickness of ALS samples	118
5.3	Nomenclature of the objective functions to be optimized	127

5.4	Optimum solution of the inverse acoustical characteristics	129
5.5	NRC on incorporating the air gap behind ALS sound absorber	136
6.1	Results obtained from tensile test of denim shoddy and waste jute fibers	145
6.2	Parameters of the test samples at experiment points	148
6.3	Acoustical characterization of the recycled fibers	150
6.4	Coded experiment points	160
6.5	Effects of factors	162
6.6	Coefficients of the response surfaces	163
6.7	Parameters and Results of the measurements at the midpoint	165
7.1	The materials of interest in the current study with their specifications and details of non-acoustic characteristics	178
7.2	The noise reduction coefficients obtained from normal and random angles of incidence for materials of interest in the current study	199

Nomenclature

P_b	upstream pressure	P_a	downstream pressure
m_a	mass of air	m_s	mass of fiber skeleton
Δp	pressure difference	Q_v	volume flow rate of air
ϕ	porosity of the sample	α_∞	tortuosity
ρ	density	h_1, h_2, h_3, h_4	position of calibrated floats
$F_1, F_2, F_3,$ F_4, F_5, F_6	calibrated float numbers	n	number of samples
V	volume	a	flow cross section area
σ	airflow resistivity	f_l	lower working frequency limit
R_a	average roughness	R_q	Root-mean-squared roughness
L	distance between speaker and first microphone	$RMSE(\varepsilon)$	root-mean-squared error
D	diameter of the tube	H^I	calibration transfer function of the tubes in standard configuration
c	speed of sound	H^{II}	calibration factor in swapped configuration
f_u	upper working frequency limit	H_c	calibration factor