

**URBAN LOCAL AIR QUALITY MANAGEMENT
(ULAQM) FRAMEWORK FOR NO₂ AND PM_{2.5} USING
HYBRID MODELS**

SUNIL



**DEPARTMENT OF CIVIL ENGINEERING
INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY DELHI**

OCTOBER, 2015

**URBAN LOCAL AIR QUALITY MANAGEMENT
(ULAQM) FRAMEWORK FOR NO₂ AND PM_{2.5}
USING HYBRID MODELS**

by

SUNIL

Department of Civil Engineering

Submitted
in fulfillment of the requirements of the degree of
DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY
to the



INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY DELHI

October, 2015

Dedicated
to
My Parents
&
My Beloved Wife
Mrs. Monika

CERTIFICATE

This is to certify that the thesis entitled “**Urban Local Air Quality Management (ULAQM) Framework for NO₂ and PM_{2.5} using Hybrid Models**” being submitted by **Mr. Sunil** has been prepared under our supervision in conformity with the rules and regulations of the **Indian Institute of Technology Delhi**. We further certify that the thesis has attained a standard required for the award of the degree of **Doctor of Philosophy** of the institute. The work, or any part thereof, has not been submitted elsewhere for the award of any other degree or diploma.

Mukesh Khare

Professor

Department of Civil Engineering

Indian Institute of Technology

Delhi

New Delhi-110016

INDIA

Shiva Nagendra

Associate Professor

Department of Civil Engineering

Indian Institute of Technology

Madras

Chennai-600036

INDIA

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I feel immense pride to acknowledge the skill, care and tireless energy provided by my research supervisor, Prof. Mukesh Khare, Department of Civil Engineering, Indian Institute of Technology, Delhi. I am extremely grateful for his sympathetic hearing, bestowing innovative ideas, constant inspiration, timely discussions and encouragement to carry out this research work. He is such a revered teacher who understood me when I lacked in explanation and explained me when I lacked in understanding. Thank you so much Sir!

I owe a deep sense of gratitude to my co-supervisor Dr. Shiva Nagendra, Department of Civil Engineering, Indian Institute of Technology Madras for his constant guidance and boundless support, right from the development of the concept, setting framework for the approach adopted and to bring the study in its present form. Thank you Sir!

I would also like to express my gratitude to Professor A.K. Jain, Professor A.K. Nema (Department of Civil Engineering) and Professor Ravi Shankar (Department of Management Studies) of Indian Institute of Technology Delhi for their invaluable suggestions and advice in further shaping this research work.

I would convey my deep regards to Dr. Niraj Sharma, Scientist, CSIR-Central Road Research Institute, New Delhi for helping me in getting traffic data and invaluable suggestions in my work. I extend my thanks Dr. D. Shah, Central Pollution Control Board, New Delhi for providing air pollution monitoring data for this study.

A special thanks to Dr. Sharad Gokhale, Indian Institute of Technology Guwahati for their invaluable advises and suggestions in my research work.

I would like to thank Hong Kong Shanghai Bank Corporation (HSBC), India for supporting me as HSBC-UKIERI Senior Research Fellowship for three year and UKIERI office, New Delhi for providing financial support to work in a research project.

This has been an enormous project which has taken too many of evenings and weekends that would not have been possible without the cooperation, understanding and patience that my parents, brother and my wife have had during my research tenure. I owe them, express my gratitude and extend my whole hearted admirations.

I would like to thank Dr. Sanjay Gupta, Mr. Ishwer Singh, Mr. S. Shukla (Environmental Lab.), Mr. Rajeev Sharma (Survey Lab) , Mr. Amit Bundela (Computational Lab.) and Mr. Vikram and Mr. Rajbir Aggrawal (Department Administration Staff) for their help during my research period.

To end with, I gracefully thank you Mr. Sumanth, Mr. Praveen, Ms. Isha, Ms. Richa, Mr. Jaiprakash, Mr. Gaurav Singh, Mr. Baranidharan and Ms. Rajni Dhyani (CRRRI, New Delhi) for their support at many time during this research tenure and also extend my thanks to all those who directly and indirectly helped me in taking this research to its fullest.

Sunil

October 2015

ABSTRACT

Urban air pollution is one of the major issues throughout the world. In urban areas, wide variety of sources contribute to different types of air pollutants. Among these, the single major source of urban air pollutants is motorized road transportation (Sharma and Khare, 2002; Singh et al., 2007; Ramachandra and Shwetmala, 2009; CPCB, 2010). During peak traffic hours, increase in ambient pollutant concentrations results into *episodic* conditions. These *episodes* are common features particularly at urban *hot spots* i.e. central business districts, intersections and signalized roadways. The users of these urban hot spots are exposed to severe pollution which may affect their health (Kanlindkar, 2007). Low wind speed and mixing height along with temperature inversion are main meteorological factors generating the *episodes* (Gokhale and Khare, 2007). Gaussian based air quality models generally fails in predicting *air pollution episodes (upper percentile range)* due to inherent limitations that they possess (Sharma and Khare, 1999; Nagendra and Khare, 2002). Alternatively, Jakeman et al. (1988); Wordsworth (1990); Gokhale and Khare (2003) have described that statistical distribution models which are *non-causal* and based on historical data, are best suited for accurate predictions of *extreme* concentrations i.e. *episodic*. However, environmental damages are caused by both *extremes* as well as by the sustained *average* ambient pollutant concentrations. Past studies have reported that hybrid modelling techniques an integrated approach which may accurately predict *extreme* as well as *average* pollutant concentrations (Jakeman et al., 1988; Gokhale and Khare, 2003; Sharma et al., 2013c). It combines features of deterministic based model (taking into consideration the sustained average pollutant concentrations) and statistical distributions model (that takes into consideration the *peak* concentrations). The present research, aims to formulate urban local air quality management (ULAQM) framework which is

capable of accurately predicting the *average* as well as *extreme* percentile ranges of NO₂ and PM_{2.5} concentrations using hybrid models developed at selected non-attainment areas (NAAs) in India, i.e. I.T.O. intersection (NAA1) in Delhi city and S.P. Road (NAA2) in Chennai city. At the NAA1 (Delhi), for winter season, the hybridization of AERMOD (deterministic based model) and Lognormal distribution for NO₂ and PM_{2.5} has given satisfactorily predictions with index of agreement, d values of 0.98 to 0.99, respectively. However, during summer season, it has been observed that hybridization of AERMOD and Log-logistic distribution for NO₂ and AERMOD and Lognormal distribution for PM_{2.5} have produced satisfactorily predictions with d values of 0.98 to 0.96, respectively. Further, at NAA2 (Chennai), for winter and summer seasons both, hybridization of AERMOD and Lognormal distribution has predicted PM_{2.5} concentrations satisfactorily with d values of 0.98 and 0.99, respectively. The verification of the developed hybrid models have been carried out using emission and meteorological data of the winter season of year 2009 and year 2008. The results show satisfactorily performance of the developed models with d values ranging from 0.94 to 0.96. Further, the application of the models at two different sites having similar traffic and other surrounding characteristics in Delhi (NAA3) and Chennai (NAA4) cities showed satisfactory performance with a 'd' values, ranging from 0.95 to 0.97. The probability of *exceedences* of pollutant concentrations over specified standards has also been evaluated using the hybrid models. It has been observed that at Delhi site (NAA1), *exceedence* of 1-hour average NO₂ concentrations over specified standards (200µg/m³) during winter and summer periods are 5.9% and 5.7%, respectively. However, *exceedences* of 1-hour average PM_{2.5} concentrations over specified standard (i.e., 80µg/m³) are 82.5% and 67.3%, respectively for winter and summer seasons. At

Chennai site (NAA2), the *exceedences* of 1-hour average PM_{2.5} concentrations over standard are 27.5% and 4.9% in winter and summer, respectively.

Based on the above analysis, the ULAQM framework has been developed and evaluated to *attain* the hourly average NO₂ and PM_{2.5} concentrations within specified standards.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page No.
Certificate.....	i
Acknowledgements	ii
Abstract	iv
Table of Contact.....	vii
List of Tables.....	xi
List of Figures.....	xiii
List of Abbreviations.....	xvii
Chapter 1 Introduction	1
1.1 General.....	1
1.2 Urban air quality	2
1.3 Management tools	3
1.4 Need of the study.....	4
1.5 Scope and objectives	6
1.6 Thesis overview	6
Chapter 2 Review of Literature.....	8
2.1 General.....	8
2.2 Urban air pollution in mega cities	9
2.3 Urban air quality management	12
2.3.1 <i>Air quality objectives/standards</i>	22
2.3.2 <i>Air quality monitoring system</i>	25

2.3.3	Source apportionment	29
2.3.4	Emission inventory	32
2.3.5	Air quality modelling	34
2.3.6	Air pollution exposure and health assessment	36
2.3.7	UAQM strategies	38
2.3.8	Public participation.....	45
2.3.9	Review and Evaluation	46
2.3.10	Success stories of UAQMP	46
2.4	Urban air quality models	48
2.4.1	Deterministic based models.....	50
2.4.2	Statistical distribution models	54
2.4.3	Hybrid models	56
2.4.4	Numerical based models.....	63
2.5	Summary.....	65
Chapter 3	Material and Methodology	68
3.1	Site description	68
3.1.1	Delhi city	68
3.1.2	Chennai city.....	70
3.2	Data acquisition	72
3.2.1	Study location NAA1.....	72
3.2.2	Study location NAA2.....	73
3.3	Data description.....	74
3.3.1	NO ₂ and PM _{2.5} concentrations data at NAA1	74
3.3.2	Traffic data at NAA1	80
3.3.3	Meteorological data at NAA1.....	86

3.3.4	<i>Road geometry at NAA1</i>	88
3.3.5	<i>PM_{2.5} concentration data at NAA2</i>	88
3.3.6	<i>Traffic data at NAA2</i>	89
3.3.7	<i>Meteorological data at NAA2</i>	95
3.3.8	<i>Road geometry at NAA2</i>	97
3.4	<i>Exploratory analysis</i>	97
3.4.1	<i>Pollutant concentrations vs. NAAQS at NAA1</i>	97
3.4.2	<i>Traffic vs. air quality at NAA1</i>	103
3.4.3	<i>Meteorology vs. air quality at NAA1</i>	104
3.4.4	<i>PM_{2.5} concentrations v/s NAAQS at NAA2</i>	112
3.4.5	<i>Traffic vs. air quality at NAA2</i>	115
3.4.6	<i>Meteorology vs. air quality at NAA2</i>	116
3.5	<i>Summary</i>	120
Chapter 4	The Hybrid Model	122
4.1	<i>Hybrid modelling approach</i>	122
4.2	<i>Development of hybrid model</i>	123
4.2.1	<i>Selection of deterministic model</i>	124
4.2.2	<i>Identification of statistical distribution model</i>	136
4.2.3	<i>Parameter estimation</i>	149
4.2.4	<i>Inference of distribution properties</i>	151
4.3	<i>Verification of the hybrid model</i>	160
4.4	<i>Validation of hybrid model</i>	165
4.4.1	<i>Limitations</i>	171
4.5	<i>Regulatory compliance</i>	172

Chapter 5 The ULAQM Framework	175
5.1 The ULAQM framework.....	175
5.1.1 Goals of the ULAQM framework	176
5.1.2 Air quality monitoring	177
5.1.3 Emission estimates.....	178
5.1.4 Meteorological data	178
5.1.5 Site characteristics	179
5.1.6 Air quality modeling	179
5.1.7 ULAQM strategies.....	180
5.1.8 Public participation.....	184
5.1.9 Policy proposal and its implementation	185
5.1.10 Evaluation.....	186
5.2 Application of ULAQM framework.....	186
Chapter 6 Conclusions	191
6.1 Summary.....	191
6.2 Contribution of the present research.....	194
6.3 Future scope of research	195
References.....	197

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1: The UAQM definition/concept.....	12
Table 2.2: Urban air quality management system/process	21
Table 2.3: Comparative analysis of NAAQS for criteria pollutants	24
Table 2.4: Air quality monitoring networks	28
Table 2.5: Summary of vehicles emission control strategies.....	43
Table 2.6: Emission standard for new vehicles (light duty) in India	43
Table 2.7: UAQM strategies of developed and developing countries	44
Table 2.8: Health benefits of implementation of UAQM strategies	49
Table 2.9: Comparative descriptions of air quality model for line source.....	61
Table 3.1: Summary of basic statistics of NO ₂ and PM _{2.5} concentrations for winter and summer periods at NAA1.....	75
Table 3.2: Traffic fleet characteristics at NAA1.....	81
Table 3.3: Vintage profile (% age) of vehicles at NAA1	84
Table 3.4: Road geometry at NAA1	88
Table 3.5: Summary of basic statistics of PM _{2.5} concentration for winter and summer periods at NAA2	89
Table 3.6: Vintage profile (% age) of vehicles at NAA2	93
Table 3.7: Road geometry at NAA2	97
Table 4.1: Input data used in AERMOD and ADMS-urban at NAA1	125
Table 4.2: Performance statistics of AERMOD and ADMS-Urban at NAA1	126
Table 4.3: Input data used in AERMOD and ADMS-urban at NAA2	132
Table 4.4: Performance statistics of AERMOD and ADMS-Urban at NAA2	133
Table 4.5: Goodness of fit test statistics for NO ₂ concentration in winter period at NAA1	137
Table 4.6: Statistical distribution models for NO ₂ concentration in summer season at NAA1	139
Table 4.7: Statistical distribution models for PM _{2.5} concentration in winter period at NAA1	141
Table 4.8: Statistical distribution models for PM _{2.5} concentration in summer season at NAA1	143
Table 4.9: Statistical distribution models for PM _{2.5} concentration in winter season at NAA2	145
Table 4.10: Statistical distribution models for PM _{2.5} concentration in summer season at NAA2	147
Table 4.11: Summary of identified statistical distribution model for hybrid modelling.....	149

Table 4.12: Parameters of the hybrid model at NAA1	150
Table 4.13: Parameters of the hybrid model at NAA2	151
Table 4.14: Performance evaluation of hybrid model for NO ₂ and PM _{2.5} at NAA1	159
Table 4.15: Performance evaluation of hybrid model for PM _{2.5} at NAA2.....	160
Table 4.16: Parameters considered in the hybrid model and verification test at NAA1	160
Table 4.17: Percentile values of the observed and predicted NO ₂ and PM _{2.5} concentration for the verification test at NAA1	162
Table 4.18: Performance evaluation of hybrid model for verification test at NAA1.....	162
Table 4.19: Parameters considered in the hybrid model and verification test at NAA2	163
Table 4.20:Percentile values of observed and predicted PM _{2.5} concentration for the verification test	164
Table 4.21: Performance evaluation of hybrid model for verification test at NAA2.....	165
Table 4.22: Site description of NAA3	166
Table 4.23: Performance evaluation of hybrid model for validation test	169
Table 4.24: Site description of NAA4	170
Table 4.25: Performance evaluation of hybrid model for validation test	171
Table 4.26: Exceedence probability of NO ₂ and PM _{2.5} over standard value at NAA1	173
Table 4.27: Exceedence probability of PM _{2.5} over standard value at NAA2.....	173
Table 5.1: Air quality standards	176
Table 5.2: Criteria for declaration of an episode level	182
Table 5.3: Types of communication	185
Table 5.4: Traffic management strategies	187
Table 5.5: Scenario evaluation at NAA1	187
Table 5.6: Traffic management strategies	189
Table 5.7: Scenario evaluation at NAA2	189

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1: Process flow chart for SIP formulation (NRC, 2004)	14
Figure 2.2: A Framework of LAQMP	15
Figure 2.3: AQMS flow chart (URBAIR guidebook)	18
Figure 2.4: Framework for AQMP in South Africa (DEAT, 2008)	19
Figure 2.5: AQMs classification.....	51
Figure 2.6 : Flow charts of hybrid model generation methodology	59
Figure 3.1: Location map of Delhi city	69
Figure 3.2: Study location NAA1, Delhi city	70
Figure 3.3: Location map of Chennai city	71
Figure 3.4: Study location NAA2, Chennai city.....	72
Figure 3.5: 4-plot diagram including lag, histogram, time series and box of NO ₂ concentration (µg/m ³) for winter period at NAA1.....	76
Figure 3.6 : 4-plot diagram including lag, histogram, time series and box of NO ₂ concentration (µg/m ³) for summer period at NAA1	77
Figure 3.7: 4-plot diagram including lag, histogram, time series and boxplot of PM _{2.5} concentration (µg/m ³) for winter period at NAA1.....	78
Figure 3.8: 4-plot diagram including lag, histogram, time series and box of PM _{2.5} concentrations (µg/m ³) for summer period at NAA1	79
Figure 3.9: Diurnal traffic flow pattern on each road at NAA1.....	80
Figure 3.10: Traffic fleet characteristics on each road at NAA1	81
Figure 3.11: Fleet wise diurnal flow pattern at NAA1	83
Figure 3.12: Fuel composition in 4W at NAA1	84
Figure 3.13: Fuel composition in LCV at NAA1	85
Figure 3.14: Windrose for winter period at NAA1.....	87
Figure 3.15: Windrose diagram for summer period at NAA1	87
Figure 3.16: 4-plot diagram including lag, histogram, time series and box of PM _{2.5} concentrations (µg/m ³) for winter period at NAA2	90

Figure 3.17: 4-plot diagram including lag, histogram, time series and box of PM _{2.5} concentrations (µg/m ³) for summer period at NAA2	91
Figure 3.18: Diurnal traffic flow pattern at NAA2.....	92
Figure 3.19: Traffic fleet characteristics at NAA2	92
Figure 3.20: Fleet wise diurnal traffic flow pattern at NAA2.....	93
Figure 3.21: Traffic composition of 4W based on fuel used at NAA2	94
Figure 3.22: Traffic composition of 3W based on fuel used at NAA2	94
Figure 3.23: Traffic composition of 2W based on engine type at NAA2	95
Figure 3.24: Windrose for winter period	96
Figure 3.25: Windrose for summer period	96
Figure 3.26: 24-hours average NO ₂ concentrations vs NAAQS during winter season at NAA1	98
Figure 3.27: 24-hour average NO ₂ concentrations vs NAAQS during summer period at NAA1	98
Figure 3.28: 24-hour average PM _{2.5} concentrations vs NAAQS during winter period at NAA1	99
Figure 3.29: 24-hour average PM _{2.5} concentrations vs NAAQS during summer period at NAA1	99
Figure 3.30: 1-hour average NO ₂ concentrations vs. air quality standards during winter period at NAA1	100
Figure 3.31: 1-hourly average NO ₂ concentration vs. air quality standard during summer at NAA1	101
Figure 3.32: 1-hourly average PM _{2.5} concentration vs. air quality standard during winter at NAA1	102
Figure 3.33: 1-hourly average PM _{2.5} concentration vs. air quality standard during summer at NAA1	102
Figure 3.34: Diurnal flow pattern of traffic fleet and NO ₂ and PM _{2.5} concentration at NAA1.....	104
Figure 3.35: Diurnal pattern of NO ₂ concentrations and wind speed in winter (a) and summer (b) at NAA1.....	105
Figure 3.36: Diurnal pattern of PM _{2.5} concentrations and wind speed in winter (a) and summer (b) at NAA1.....	106
Figure 3.37: NO ₂ pollution rose in winter and summer at NAA1	107
Figure 3.38: PM _{2.5} pollution rose in winter and summer at NAA1	108
Figure 3.39: Diurnal pattern of NO ₂ concentrations and temperature in winter (a) and summer (b) at NAA1.....	109
Figure 3.40: Diurnal pattern of PM _{2.5} concentrations and temperature in winter (a) and summer (b) at NAA1.....	110

Figure 3.41: Diurnal pattern of NO ₂ concentrations and relative humidity in winter (a) and summer (b) at NAA1.....	111
Figure 3.42: Diurnal pattern of PM _{2.5} concentrations and relative humidity in winter (a) and summer (b) at NAA1.....	112
Figure 3.43: 24-hour average PM _{2.5} concentrations vs. NAAQS in winter period at NAA2.....	113
Figure 3.44: 24-hour average PM _{2.5} concentrations vs. NAAQS in summer period at NAA2.....	113
Figure 3.45: 1-hour average PM _{2.5} concentrations vs. standard value in winter period at NAA2	114
Figure 3.46: 1-hour average PM _{2.5} concentrations vs. standard value in summer period at NAA2	115
Figure 3.47: Diurnal flow pattern of traffic fleet and PM _{2.5} concentration at NAA2.....	116
Figure 3.48: Diurnal pattern of PM _{2.5} concentrations and wind speed in winter (a) and summer (b) at NAA2.....	117
Figure 3.49: PM _{2.5} pollution rose in winter and summer at NAA2	118
Figure 3.50: Diurnal pattern of PM _{2.5} concentrations and temperature in winter (a) and summer (b) at NAA2.....	119
Figure 3.51: Diurnal pattern of PM _{2.5} concentrations and relative humidity in winter (a) and summer (b) at NAA2.....	120
Figure 4.1: The hybrid model approach	123
Figure 4.2: Time series plot of observed and predicted NO ₂ concentrations (a) AERMOD and (b) ADMS-Urban in winter period at NAA1	127
Figure 4.3: Time series plot of observed and predicted NO ₂ concentrations (a) AERMOD and (b) ADMS-Urban during summer season at NAA1	128
Figure 4.4: Time series plot of observed and predicted PM _{2.5} concentrations (a) AERMOD and (b) ADMS-Urban during winter season at NAA1	130
Figure 4.5: Time series plot of observed and predicted PM _{2.5} concentrations (a) AERMOD and (b) ADMS-Urban during summer season at NAA1	131
Figure 4.6: Time series plot of observed and predicted PM _{2.5} concentrations (a) AERMOD and (b) ADMS-Urban during winter season at NAA2	134
Figure 4.7: Time series plot of observed and predicted PM _{2.5} concentrations (a) AERMOD and (b) ADMS-Urban during winter season at NAA2	135
Figure 4.8: Probability plot of lognormal distribution for NO ₂ concentration in winter at NAA1.....	138

Figure 4.9: Probability plot of Log-logistic distribution for NO ₂ concentration in summer at NAA1	140
Figure 4.10: Probability plot of lognormal distribution for PM _{2.5} concentration in winter at NAA1	142
Figure 4.11: Probability plot of lognormal distribution for PM _{2.5} concentration in summer at NAA1	144
Figure 4.12: Probability plot of lognormal distribution for PM _{2.5} concentration in winter at NAA2	146
Figure 4.13: Probability plot of Gamma and Lognormal distribution for PM _{2.5} concentration in summer at NAA2.....	148
Figure 4.14: The pdf (a), cdf (b) and probability (c) plot for monitored and predicted (hybrid model) NO ₂ concentrations for winter at NAA1	153
Figure 4.15: The pdf (a), cdf (b) and probability (c) plot for monitored and predicted (hybrid model) NO ₂ concentrations for summer at NAA1	154
Figure 4.16: The pdf (a), cdf (b) and probability (c) plot for monitored and predicted (hybrid model) PM _{2.5} concentrations for winter at NAA1	155
Figure 4.17: The pdf (a), cdf (b) and probability (c) plot for monitored and predicted (hybrid model) PM _{2.5} concentrations for summer at NAA1	156
Figure 4.18: The pdf (a), cdf (b) and probability (c) plot for monitored and predicted (hybrid model) PM _{2.5} concentrations for winter at NAA2	157
Figure 4.19: The pdf (a), cdf (b) and probability (c) plot for monitored and predicted (hybrid model) PM _{2.5} concentrations for summer at NAA2.....	158
Figure 4.20: The observed and predicted NO ₂ concentrations by hybrid model for verification test.....	161
Figure 4.21: The observed and hybrid predicted PM _{2.5} concentrations for verification test at NAA1	161
Figure 4.22: The observed and hybrid predicted PM _{2.5} concentrations for verification test.....	164
Figure 4.23: Study location NAA3, Delhi city	166
Figure 4.24: The observed and predicted NO ₂ concentrations by hybrid model at NAA3 for validation test	167
Figure 4.25: The observed and hybrid predicted PM _{2.5} concentrations at NAA3 for validation test.....	168
Figure 4.26: Study location NAA4, Chennai city.....	170
Figure 4.27: The observed and hybrid predicted PM _{2.5} concentrations at NAA4 for validation test.....	171
Figure 5.1: ULAQM framework (including e-ULAQM)	177
Figure 5.2: ULAQM framework for NO ₂ management at NAA1	188
Figure 5.3: ULAQM framework for PM _{2.5} management at NAA2	190

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ADMS	Atmospheric Dispersion Modelling System
AD	Anderson Darling test
AERMOD	The AMS/EPA Regulatory Model
APINA	Air Pollution Information network Africa
AQA	Air Quality Act
AQLV	Air Quality Limit Values
AQMA	Air Quality Management Area
AQMP	Air Quality Management Plan
AQM	Air Quality Model
AURN	Automatic Urban and Rural Network
CALINE4	California Line Source Dispersion Model version 4
CERC	Cambridge Environment Research Center
CO ₂	Carbon Dioxide
CO	Carbon Monoxide
CPCB	Central Pollution Control Board
CNEMC	China National Environmental Monitoring Center
CAA	Clean Air Act
CAI	Clean Air Initiative
CBL	Convective Boundary Layer
CMAQ	Community Multi-scale Air Quality
CNG	Compressed Natural Gas
COPERT	Computer Programme to Calculate Emission from Road Transport
d	Index of Agreement
DEAT	Department of Environment Affairs and Tourism
DEFRA	Department of Environment, Food and Rural Affairs
DFLSM	Delhi Finite Line Source Model
e-UAQMP	Episodic Urban Air Quality Management Plan
EEA	European Environment Agency
EU	European Union
FB	Fractional bias
FRAME	Fine Resolution Atmospheric Multi-Pollutant Exchange
GFLSM	General Finite Line Source Model
HGV	Heavy Goods Vehicle
ITO	Income Tax Office
I/M	Inspection and Maintenance
ITS	Intelligent Transport System
ISCST3	Industrial Source Complex for Short Term version 3
KEI	Korea Environmental institutes
KS	Kolmogorov-Smirnov test
LGV	Light Goods Vehicle
LAQMP	Local Air Quality Management Plan
LCN+	London Cycle Network Plus

MG	Geometric Mean
MORTH	Ministry of Road Transport and Highways
MOVES	Motor Vehicle Emission Simulator
MPI	Multi Pollutant Index
NAMS	National Air Quality Monitoring Station
NAQS	National Air Quality Standards
NAAQS	National Ambient Air Quality Standards
NAEI	National Atmospheric Emission Inventory
NMB	Normal Mean Bias
NMIM	National Mobile Inventory Model
NMSE	Normal Mean Square Error
NRC	National Research Council
NAA	Non-Attainment Area
NAME	Numerical Atmospheric Modelling Environment
NO _x	Oxide of Nitrogen
OAQPS	Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards
O ₃	Ozone
PBL	Planetary Boundary Layer
pdf	Probability density function
PM	Particulate Matter
PM _{2.5}	Particulate Matter (Dia. Size <2.5 micron)
PAMS	Photochemical Assessment Monitoring Station
SBL	Stable Boundary Layer
SP	Sardar Patel
SPMS	Special Purpose Monitoring Station
SCOOT	Split Cycle Offset Optimization Technique
SLAMS	State and Local Air Monitoring Station
SIP	State Implementation Plan
SEI	Stockholm Environment institute
SO ₂	Sulphur Dioxide
SPM	Suspended Particulate Matter
TNPCB	Tamil Nadu Pollution Control Board
TSP	Total Suspended Particle
TRL	Transport Research Laboratory
UAP	Urban Air Pollution
UAQMP	Urban Air Quality Management Plan
UAQMS	Urban Air Quality Management System
ULAQM	Urban Local Air Quality Management
VG	Geometric Variance
VOC	Volatile Organic Compound
WHO	World Health Organization
WHO-AQG	World Health Organization-Air Quality Guidelines
$\bar{O}$	Mean of observed concentration
$\bar{p}$	Mean of predicted concentration
σ_o	Standard deviation of observed concentration
σ_p	Standard deviation of predicted concentration