

**ANALYSES AND EXPERIMENTAL INVESTIGATIONS OF RAILWAY
TRACKS WITH AND WITHOUT GEOSYNTHETIC
REINFORCEMENT**

by

SOWMIYA CHAWLA (nee L.S)

Department of Civil Engineering

Submitted

in fulfilment of the requirements of the degree of

DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

to the



INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY DELHI

HAUZ KHAS, NEW DELHI-110016, INDIA

JULY 2013

To
My Family

CERTIFICATE

This is to certify that the thesis entitled “**ANALYSES AND EXPERIMENTAL INVESTIGATIONS OF RAILWAY TRACKS WITH AND WITHOUT GEOSYNTHETIC REINFORCEMENT**” being submitted by **Mrs. Sowmiya Chawla (nee L.S)** to the **Indian Institute of Technology Delhi** is a record of bonafide research work carried out by her under our supervision and guidance. The thesis work, in our opinion, has reached the standard fulfilling the requirements for **DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY** degree. The research report and results presented in this thesis have not been submitted, in part or full, to any University or Institute for the award of any degree or diploma.

Dr. K.K.Gupta

Retired Associate Professor

Dr. J.T. Shahu

Professor

Department of Civil Engineering
Indian Institute of Technology Delhi
New Delhi – 110016
INDIA

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I express my sincere gratitude and indebtedness towards my respected supervisors, Dr. J.T. Shahu, Professor, Department of Civil Engineering, Indian Institute of Technology, Delhi and Dr. K.K.Gupta, Formerly Associate Professor, Department of Civil Engineering, Indian Institute of Technology, Delhi for their precious guidance, painstaking efforts, myriad suggestions, keen interest, unfailing support, cooperation, constant encouragement and for providing all assistance required to complete this work. Their volunteered hours of valuable time helped me to put my best foot forward in the right direction. Their vast knowledge and experience on the subject made this study possible and even more pleasurable.

My sincere thanks to all the faculty members in the Civil Engineering Department, for their constant support and encouragement during the entire period of my research work.

My thanks goes to Soil Mechanics, Rock Mechanics and Heavy Structure Strong Floor laboratory staff members, namely, Mr. Manoj Kumar Neelam, Mr. D. S. Gusain and Mr. Nitin Chourasia, respectively, for their cooperation in carrying out the experimental work. I wish to thank Mr. Amit Bundela for his help in availing computational facilities, and I do extend my thanks to Mr. Satish, Mr. Amit, Mr. Pankaj and Mr. Arun for assisting me with labour work during model tests.

It is my pleasure to acknowledge the support provided by my contemporaries Mr. Kausar Ali, Mr. Shantanu Patra, Mr. Suresh Kumar and Dr. Ramana Reddy and for useful discussions during this research. I would also like to express my gratitude to all those who have contributed directly or indirectly in the course of my research work.

I am grateful to the Director, Central Soil & Materials Research Station (CSMRS), Ministry of Water Resources, Olof Palme Marg, Hauz Khas, New Delhi-110016 for allowing me to use large scale triaxial shear testing facilities for testing of ballast material. Sincere thanks to the team of scientists and the staff members of rock fill technology unit, CSMRS for their help during the experimental work related to ballast testing.

Last, but my no means least, I do acknowledge and record my deepest gratitude to my parents, in-laws and other family members for their constant support, understanding and affection during the tenure of my study that provided me necessary impetus to work on this thesis. I acknowledge and record my deep sense of love and gratitude to my husband Mr. Amit Chawla, for his patience, continuous encouragement, moral and emotional support during the entire research programme. It is to my family members that this piece of work is gratefully dedicated.

Sowmiya Chawla (nee L.S)

ABSTRACT

Railroad track is one of the few geosynthetic applications where a geosynthetic is used for multiple functions, namely, reinforcement, separation, filtration and drainage. In the present study, static and cyclic tests are performed on full panel railway track models laid on compacted soil subgrades. Tests are performed on model tracks with two different thicknesses of subballast layer and laid on two different subgrade soils, namely, Dhanaury clay and Delhi silt. Model tracks were adequately instrumented to record induced stresses and displacements in the track. Model tracks were reinforced with geogrid or geotextile or both at suitable interfaces. Track condition after a heavy rainfall was simulated. The models reinforced with geogrid at ballast-subballast interface were found to be more effective in reducing the tie displacements, ballast and subballast strains, and subgrade displacements as compared to the models reinforced with geotextile at subballast-subgrade interface for tracks with Dhanaury clay as subgrade. On the other hand, the models reinforced with geotextile gave better performance in terms of reduced tie and subgrade displacements as compared to the models reinforced with geogrid for tracks laid on Delhi silt subgrade.

In the present study, finite element analyses are carried out by using a commercially available finite element software code, MIDAS/GTS (Midas manual 2013). The analyses are carried out using three different sets of constitutive relationships for different track layers: (i) Non-linear analysis- Different track layers are simulated using hyperbolic Duncan Chang model, (ii) Straight analysis- Subgrade soil is simulated using elasto-plastic, Mohr-Coulomb, total stress (undrained) relationship but ballast and subballast are simulated using effective stress (drained), Mohr-Coulomb relationship and

(iii) Coupled analysis- Subgrade soil is simulated using a coupled pore water pressure-stress, time-dependent formulation under an elasto-plastic, Mohr-Coulomb constitutive relationship but ballast and subballast are simulated using effective stress (drained), Mohr-Coulomb relationship.

The constitutive parameters of the different track materials and interfaces used in the analyses are calculated from laboratory tests (triaxial tests and interface tests). Assessment of predictive capabilities in terms of vertical stress and displacement of the analyses is carried out by detailed comparisons with other numerical models and measured field test results. Model test results were extended to the field by performing a detailed parametric study of the track responses using coupled analysis for a typical reinforced prototype track with a practical range of track variables. Subgrade modulus (E_{sg}) and subballast thickness (d_{sb}) were found to be the most influential track parameters on the overall track responses. The next most important track parameters were shear strength parameters (c'_{sg} and ϕ'_{sg}) of the subgrade soil, stiffness of geogrid and coefficient of permeability of the subgrade soil. Water table fluctuation and consolidation time had significant influence on the pore water pressure development at the top of subgrade soil. Practical implications of predicted parametric trends are discussed.

CONTENTS

	Page No.
CERTIFICATE	i
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iii
ABSTRACT	v
CONTENTS	vii
LIST OF FIGURES	xv
LIST OF TABLES	xxvii
LIST OF NOTATIONS	xxxix
CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION	1-10
1.1 GENERAL	1
1.2 APPLICATION OF GEOSYNTHETICS IN TRACKS	3
1.3 NEED FOR THE PRESENT STUDY	4
1.4 OBJECTIVES	6
1.5 WORK ELEMENTS	7
1.5.1 Model Tests	7
1.5.2 Evaluation of Constitutive Parameters	8
1.5.3 Finite Element Analysis	8
1.6 ORGANISATION OF THESIS	8
CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW	11-72
2.1 GENERAL	11
2.2 BASIC INFORMATION	11
2.2.1 Subgrade Failures	11

2.2.2	Role of Geosynthetics in Railways	14
2.2.2.1	<i>Reinforcement</i>	16
2.2.2.2	<i>Separation</i>	17
2.2.2.3	<i>Filtration</i>	18
2.2.2.4	<i>Drainage</i>	18
2.3	MODEL TESTS	19
2.3.1	Model Tests on Unreinforced Tracks	19
2.3.2	Model Tests on Reinforced Tracks	22
2.4	GRANULAR MATERIAL TESTING	34
2.5	INTERFACE TESTING AND MODELLING	37
2.6	CONSTITUTIVE MODELS FOR MATERIALS	40
2.6.1	Elastic Models	41
2.6.2	Hyperbolic Model (Duncan-Chang Model)	42
2.6.3	Mohr-Coulomb Model	45
2.6.4	von Mises Model	47
2.6.5	Interface Model (Coulomb Friction Model)	48
2.6.6	Coupled Pore Pressure-Effective Stress Mohr Coulomb Model	50
2.7	NUMERICAL ANALYSIS OF RAILWAY TRACKS	50
2.7.1	Unreinforced Railway Tracks	50
2.7.2	Reinforced Railway Tracks	54
2.8	FIELD STUDIES	59
2.9	DESIGN METHODOLOGY	70
2.10	CONCLUSIONS	71

CHAPTER 3	MODEL TESTING OF RAILWAY TRACKS	73-119
3.1	GENERAL	73
3.2	MATERIALS	74
3.2.1	Ballast and Subballast Materials	74
3.2.2	Subgrade Soils	76
3.2.3	Geogrid and Geotextile	76
3.3	MODELING CONSIDERATIONS	77
3.3.1	Similitude Ratio Adopted for Model Testing	77
3.3.2	Modeling Rail and Sleepers	79
3.3.3	Modeling Geogrid and Geotextile	79
3.4	TEST SET-UP AND INSTRUMENTATION	80
3.4.1	Model Tank	80
3.4.2	Instrumentation Used	82
3.5	TEST PROCEDURE	84
3.5.1	Bed Preparation and Instrumentation	84
3.5.2	Loading Procedure	87
3.5.3	Summary of Model Tests Conducted	88
3.5.4	Observations at the End of Model Tests	92
3.6	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	96
3.6.1	Monotonic Tests	96
3.6.2	Cyclic Tests	98
3.6.3	Post Cyclic-Monotonic Load Behavior	103
3.6.4	Effect of Subballast Thickness	107

3.6.5	Vertical Stresses	112
3.7	CONCLUSIONS	117
CHAPTER 4 MATERIAL CHARACTERIZATION		121-167
4.1	GENERAL	121
4.2	BALLAST	122
4.2.1	Grain Size Distribution	122
4.2.2	Morphology and Mineralogy	123
4.2.3	Triaxial Tests	126
4.2.3.1	<i>Equipment and Test Procedure</i>	127
4.2.3.2	<i>Results and Discussion</i>	129
4.3	SUBBALLAST	134
4.3.1	Grain Size Distribution and Mineralogy	134
4.3.2	Triaxial Test Results	136
4.4	SUBGRADE SOILS	138
4.4.1	Index Properties	138
4.4.2	Triaxial Test Results	140
4.5	GEOSYNTHETICS	143
4.6	PARAMETERS FOR CONSTITUTIVE RELATIONSHIPS	146
4.6.1	Constitutive Parameters for Non-linear Analyses	150
4.6.2	Constitutive Parameters for Straight and Coupled Analyses	151
4.7	INTERFACES	154
4.7.1	Tests and Equipment	155
4.7.2	Test Procedure	157

4.7.3	Results and Discussion	157
4.7.3.1	<i>Normal Stiffness</i>	157
4.7.3.2	<i>Shear Stiffness</i>	160
4.7.4	Constitutive Parameters	166
4.8	CONCLUSIONS	167
CHAPTER 5	FINITE ELEMENT ANALYSIS OF MODEL TEST TRACKS	169-211
5.1	GENERAL	169
5.2	FINITE ELEMENT METHOD	171
5.3	MIDAS GTS SOFTWARE PACKAGE	172
5.4	SETS OF CONSTITUTIVE RELATIONSHIPS FOR MODEL TEST TRACKS	172
5.4.1	Non-linear Analysis	173
5.4.2	Straight Analysis	173
5.4.3	Coupled Analysis	174
5.5	COUPLED ANALYSIS	174
5.5.1	Introduction	174
5.5.2	Governing Equations	175
5.5.3	Formulation of Finite Element	176
5.5.4	Properties of Coupled Element	178
5.5.5	Validation of Coupled Analyses	180
5.6	FINITE ELEMENT SIMULATION OF MODEL TEST TRACKS	182
5.6.1	Boundary Conditions	183
5.6.2	Mesh Sensitivity Analysis	185

5.6.3	Adequacy of Horizontal and Vertical Boundary Limits	185
5.7	FINITE ELEMENT ANALYSIS OF MODEL TEST TRACKS	190
5.7.1	Monotonic Tests	191
5.7.2	Cyclic Tests	195
5.7.2.1	<i>Tie Displacement</i>	195
5.7.2.2	<i>Vertical Stresses</i>	201
5.8	COMPARISON OF RESULTS WITH DATA AVAILABLE IN LITERATURE	203
5.8.1	Derby Tests	204
5.8.2	Colorado Tests	207
5.9	CONCLUSIONS	210
CHAPTER 6	PARAMETRIC STUDY OF PROTOTYPE TRACK	213-254
6.1	GENERAL	213
6.2	BENEFITS OF GEOSYNTHETICS IN PROTOTYPE TRACKS	214
6.2.1	Validation of Results for Simple Analysis	214
6.2.2	Track Sections	217
6.2.3	Results and Discussion	223
6.2.3.1	<i>Vertical Stress</i>	223
6.2.3.2	<i>Displacement</i>	227
6.2.3.3	<i>Effect of Subgrade Stiffness</i>	231
6.2.4	Limitation	232
6.3	PARAMETRIC STUDY USING COUPLED ANALYSIS	233
6.3.1	Track Sections	233

6.3.2	Parametric Variations	240
6.3.2.1	<i>Effect of Subgrade Stiffness (E_{sg}) and Subballast Thickness (d_{sb})</i>	240
6.3.2.2	<i>Effect of ϕ'_{sg} and c'_{sg}</i>	243
6.3.2.3	<i>Effect of Geogrid Stiffness</i>	246
6.3.2.4	<i>Effect of Coefficient of Permeability of Subgrade Soil</i>	247
6.3.2.5	<i>Effect of Water Table and Consolidation Time on the Pore Water Pressure at the Top of Subgrade</i>	249
6.4	CONCLUSIONS	252
6.4.1	Preliminary Investigations	252
6.4.2	Parametric Study	253
CHAPTER 7	DESIGN METHODOLOGY	255-266
7.1	GENERAL	255
7.2	FINITE ELEMENT ANALYSIS AND RESULTS	256
7.3	DESIGN CRITERION BASED ON THRESHOLD STRESS	260
7.3.1	Determination of Induced Stress on the Subgrade	260
7.3.2	Evaluation of the Threshold Stress	260
7.3.3	Design for the Thickness of Granular Layer Formation	262
7.4	DESIGN EXAMPLE	266
CHAPTER 8	CONCLUSIONS AND SUGGESTIONS FOR FUTURE RESEARCH	267-272
8.1	GENERAL	267
8.2	SUGGESTIONS FOR FUTURE RESEARCH	272
REFERENCES		273-290
