

**MAPPING THE COGNITIVE STRUCTURE OF A RESEARCH
SPECIALITY: A CASE STUDY OF CONDENSED MATTER
PHYSICS**

BY

**SUJIT BHATTACHARYA
DEPARTMENT OF HUMANITIES AND SOCIAL SCIENCES**

**SUBMITTED
IN FULFILMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS
OF THE DEGREE OF DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY
TO THE**



**INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, DELHI
MAY, 1999**

I. I. T. DELHI.
LIBRARY
No. JN-2708

536.423.001.5

BH-11

CERTIFICATE

This is to certify that the thesis entitled “Mapping the cognitive structure of research speciality: A case study of Condensed Matter Physics” being submitted by Shri. Sujit Bhattacharya to the Indian Institute of Technology, New Delhi, for the award of the degree of Doctor of Philosophy, is a record of bonafide research work carried out by him.

Shri. Sujit Bhattacharya has worked under my guidance and supervision and has fulfilled the requirements for the submission of the thesis, which to my knowledge has reached the requisite standard.

The results carried in the thesis have not been submitted in part or full, to any other university / Institute for the award of any degree or diploma.



Dr. Prajit Basu

Associate Professor

Department of Humanities & Social
Sciences
Indian Institute of Technology,
New Delhi 110016

May, 1999

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This study would not have taken the possible shape without the help, academic as well as moral, throughout the period of study by my supervisor, my family and institutional support of my office, NISTADS.

I owe an extraordinary debt of gratitude to Dr. Prajit Basu, my supervisor, for his continuous encouragement and intellectual generosity. I thank the faculty members of the Department of Humanities and Social Sciences for their help. I especially thank Dr. Ravinder Kaur and Prof. Alok Rai for their help.

I owe my gratitude to my office, NISTADS. I was given all facilities and flexible timing to complete this task. I thank Dr. Ashok Jain (ex Director, NISTADS) and Prof. Rajesh Kochhar (present Director, NISTADS) for this support. I thank my colleagues in NISTADS for their support. I thank Mr. P.S. Nagpaul for suggesting important statistical tools for analysis. I thank Mr. Suresh Kumar for his xerox help. I thank the Central Library (Computer services centre) of IIT Delhi, for their help in accessing the INSPEC database. Dr. Jagdish Arora and Mr. S.P. Singh, Deputy Librarians, IIT-Delhi, for their help in providing the database service. I thank the INSDOC for helping me in accessing the JCR database. Mrs. Pandalai and Dr. Chaddha deserve special thanks. I owe my thanks to all the experts who painfully completed the questionnaire and clarified many of my doubts. My special thanks are to Dr. Varsha Banerjee and Dr. Ratnamala Chatterjee of the Department of Physics, IIT, Delhi. I also like to

thank Dr. Hildrun Kretschmer and Dr. Roland Wagner Dobler for interesting and informative intellectual observations which has helped me to broaden my approach.

I owe my special thanks to two of my project students, Mr. Gagan Sukheeja and Mr. Vinu Kumar. They put all their efforts in checking the massive data. Gagan also helped me in illustrations and arranging the thesis in the present shape. I also thank Mr. Ravi Prakash for checking some of the outputs.

My maternal grandfather (Dr. J.N.Chatterjee) and my father motivated me to take up this PhD program. Their constant support and importance of meeting the deadline is instrumental in finishing the work. I could not have accomplished this task without the love and support of my wife Papiya Bhattacharya. I thank my small son, Billy, who has brought so much joy to me for the last three years. I thank my sister for her encouragement. I thank Dipankar, Bubuda, Tonu and Romita for their help. I thank Mr. Parimal Sarkar for his help. I also thank a large number of my friends and relatives who have supported me through out this period.

Above all, I dedicate this work to my mother who, unfortunately, is no more.


[SUJIT BHATTACHARYA]

ABSTRACT

In this study an attempt is made to uncover the cognitive structure of a research speciality — Condensed Matter Physics by analysing quantitatively the research outputs in this field in two different time periods — 1990 and 1995. The journals define the domain of this study. This domain shows progressively more complex, rich, and comprehensive picture of CMP as the set of journals is augmented in two stages. The first set concentrates on the core areas of CMP. The first augmented set offers a more enhanced picture of the research work concentrated within CMP. The second augmented set allows for the description of research work within CMP which is influenced by fields external to CMP.

The intellectual structure of this field at three different levels — Macro, Meso and Micro are sought to be described in the chosen time periods. The macro level structure is uncovered by analysing the thematic profile of journals. Meso level is analysed by looking at the substantial connections among active topics. The micro level structure is analysed by looking at significant connections among active words extracted from titles of the articles. The direct and indirect linkages, among the topics, and words, provide a picture of connections exhibited within CMP. The concept of social network analysis is utilised for providing insight into the knowledge domain of CMP. Attempt is made to define plausible research areas/topics from the blocks characterised by members exhibiting similar relational pattern. Each level is able to enrich the description of the

domain of CMP. The study shows the need for these three levels for getting a complete picture of important activities in a research domain. The dynamics of this research speciality is also captured to an extent by comparing the picture of the domain between 1990 and 1995.

The validation of the study is done by taking into consideration the views of experts actively working in this area. The basic objective of the validation has been to see the usefulness of the quantitative analysis in providing an indication of the intellectual structure in terms of defining plausible research topics, and in providing directions the field is taking. Implications of this study as an aid for the subject specialists, and policy makers are discussed.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1-21
1.1 Introduction	1
1.1.1 Overview of the study	1
1.1.2 Quantitative studies for science and technology	4
1.1.3 Characteristics of research and development	7
1.1.4 Journal as an indicator	9
1.1.5 Contextual analysis	10
1.2 Objectives of this study	12
1.3 Scope of the study	13
1.3.1 Field of study	13
1.4 Back ground	17
1.5 Approach	— 20
2. Literature Review	22-58
2.1 Social Network analysis	22
2.1.1 social network approach to scientometric studies	27
2.2 Journal analysis	36
2.3 Co-word/Co-classification analysis	46
3. Methodology	59-82
3.1 Data set for the study	60

3.1.1 Selection of journals for data set I	63
3.1.2 Selection of journals for data set II	64
3.1.3 Selection of journals for data set III	66
3.2 Construction of data base	68
3.3 Journal analysis	71
3.4 Co-classification analysis	73
3.5 Co-word analysis	74
3.6 Software programs	74
3.7 Statistical methods	75
3.7.1 Multidimensional scaling	75
3.7.2 Corresponding analysis	77
4 Macro level mapping through journal analysis	83-266
4.1 Introduction	83
4.2 Methodology	84
4.2.1 Journal citation matrix	84
4.2.2 Journal centrality measures	88
4.2.3 Journal citation measures	90
4.2.4 Journal association measures	92
4.2.5 Journal-subfield analysis	97
4.3 Results	100
4.3.1 Analysis based on data set I	100
4.3.1.1 Analysis of 1990DI	100
4.3.1.1a Journal citation analysis	100

4.3.1.1b Journal to subfield analysis	123
4.3.1.2 Analysis of 1995DI	131
4.3.1.2a Journal citation analysis	131
4.3.1.2b Journal to subfield analysis	148
4.3.1.3 Comparative results	155
4.3.2 Analysis of data set II	158
4.3.2.1 Analysis of 1990DII	158
4.3.2.1a Journal citation analysis	158
4.3.2.1b Journal to subfield analysis	172
4.3.2.2 Analysis of 1995DII	180
4.3.2.2a Journal citation analysis	180
4.3.2.2b Journal to subfield analysis	193
4.3.2.3 Comparative results	199
4.3.3 Analysis of data set III	201
4.3.3.1 Analysis of 1990DIII	202
4.3.3.1a Journal citation analysis	202
4.3.3.1b Journal to subfield analysis	218
4.3.3.2 Analysis of 1995DIII	224
4.3.3.2a Journal citation analysis	224
4.3.3.2b Journal to subfield analysis	240
4.3.3.3 Comparative results	247
4.4 Conclusion	250
4.4.1 A macro level picture of CMP	250

4.4.1a Cognitive changes in the data sets of 1990	254
4.4.1b Cognitive changes in the data sets of 1995	255
5. Meso level mapping through co-classification analysis	267-363
5.1 Introduction	267
5.2 Methodology	268
5.3 Results	278
5.3.1 Analysis based on data set I	278
5.3.1.1 Analysis of 1990 data set I	279
5.3.1.2 Analysis of 1995 data set I	298
5.3.1.3 Comparative results	311
5.3.2 Analysis based on data set II	313
5.3.2.1 Analysis of 1990 data set II	313
5.3.2.2 Analysis of 1995 data set II	322
5.3.2.3 Comparative results	331
5.3.3 Analysis on data set III	332
5.3.3.1 Analysis of 1990 data set III	333
5.3.3.2 Analysis of 1995 data set III	343
5.3.3.3 Comparative results	354
5.4 Discussion and conclusions.	355
6. Micro level mapping through co-word analysis	364-452
6.1 Introduction	364
6.2 Methodology	365
6.3 Results	373

6.3.1 Analysis based on data set I	373
6.3.1.1 Analysis of 1990DI	373
6.3.1.2 Analysis of 1995DI	390
6.3.1.3 Comparative results	400
6.3.2 Analysis of data set II	404
6.3.2.1 Analysis of 1990DII	404
6.3.2.2 Analysis of 1995DII	413
6.3.2.3 Comparative results	423
6.3.3 Analysis of data set III	424
6.3.3.1 Analysis of 1990DIII	424
6.3.3.2 Analysis of 1995DIII	433
6.3.3.3 Comparative results	443
6.4 Conclusions and discussion	445
6.4.1 Changes within the data set of 1990	445
6.4.2 Changes within the data set of 1995	446
6.4.3 Macro level changes in the cognitive structure of CMP	448
7 Conclusions Validations, and Recommendations for future Studies	453-475
7.1 Validation	453
7.1.1 The need for validation	453
7.1.2 The general assessment by subject experts	456
7.1.3 Validation of results in co-word analysis (1990)	457
7.1.4 Validation of results in co-word analysis (1995)	460

7.2 Discussion and conclusion	462
7.3 Recommendations	474
References	476-490
Annexure	491-511
Annexure 1 Some important activities in CMP during 1990 –1995	491
A1.1 Some important activities in CMP during 1990-1995	491
A1.1.1 Single-electron devices	492
A1.1.2 Optical properties of semiconductors	493
A1.1.3 Light-emitting properties of semiconductors	493
A1.1.4 The ‘forbidden gap’	493
Annexure 2(a) PACS classification scheme for Condensed Matter Physics	495
Annexure 2(b) Classification Codes in Condensed Matter Physics(PACS) : Detailed schema	496
Annexure 3 Journals having influence with CMP	509