

**PHYSICAL AND NUMERICAL MODELLING OF
SHEAR BEHAVIOUR OF JOINTED ROCKS UNDER
CNL AND CNS BOUNDARY CONDITIONS**

by

**AMIT KUMAR SHRIVASTAVA
DEPARTMENT OF CIVIL ENGINEERING**

submitted

*in fulfillment of the requirements of the degree of
DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY*

to the



**INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY DELHI
HAUZ KHAS, NEW DELHI – 110016
INDIA**

MARCH 2012

Dedicated
To
My Late Father
and
Family

CERTIFICATE

This is to certify that the thesis entitled, '**Physical and Numerical Modelling of Shear Behaviour of Jointed Rocks Under CNL and CNS Boundary Conditions**' being submitted by **Mr. Amit Kumar Shrivastava** to the Indian Institute of Technology Delhi for the award of the degree of **DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY** is a record of the bonafide research work carried out by him. Mr. Amit Kumar Shrivastava has worked under my supervision for the submission of this thesis, which to my knowledge has reached the requisite standard.

The thesis or any part thereof has not been presented or submitted to any other University or Institute for any degree or diploma.

Dr. K. Seshagiri Rao
Professor
Department of Civil Engineering
Indian Institute of Technology Delhi
Hauz Khas, New Delhi - 110016
INDIA

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

At the onset I am thankful to God, who made this work possible from the beginning to the end.

I would like to express my gratitude to all the people who helped me over the last five years (2007 – 2012) in the work leading to this dissertation.

I express my heartfelt gratitude towards my supervisor, Prof. K. Seshagiri Rao, Department of Civil Engineering, IIT Delhi for his inspiring guidance, continuous support and constant encouragement during the research. His vast experience in the area and willingness to impart his knowledge has helped me during the period of research. It is because of his great care and exceptional interest that this thesis could be brought to the present form.

I am also thankful to the faculty members of the Geotechnical section and Prof. A. K. Jain, Department of Civil Engineering, I.I.T. Delhi for the cooperation extended throughout the PhD studies.

I am thankful to Mr. Jattinder Singh, Managing Director, Hydraulic Engineering and Instrumnet Company, New Delhi, for extending help during the fabrication of the direct shear testing setup.

I express my deep appreciation and sincere thanks to my fellow researchers Mr. Tejas Thaker and Ms. Praneeta Surpaneni for their valuable discussions and cooperation throughout this programme. A special thanks to my fellow researchers Mr. Ganesh W. Rathod for his valuable cooperation throughout the research and taking out time from his busy schedule in finalizing the manuscript. Thanks are due to the staff of the Geotechnical, and Engineering Geology Laboratories especially, Mr. D. S. Gossain, Mr. Manoj, Mr. D. Biswas, Mr. Bikram Chand and others.

I am extremely grateful to Prof. P. B. Sharma, Vice Chancellor, Prof. A. K. Gupta, Head, Department of Civil Engineering, Prof. S. K. Singh (EX. Head) and all faculty members of Civil Engineering Department of Delhi Technological University. Special thanks to my colleagues Dr. Munendra Kumar for his valuable suggestions and discussions during the research work and Mr. S. Anbu Kumar who always stood with me during the difficult time of research.

No words are adequate to express my gratitude to my parents and family for all their pains and sufferings to bring me up to this stage. My special thanks are due to my elder brother Mr. Lala A. K. Shrivastava for his kind hearted support and proof checking of the manuscript of the thesis. The author owes his dearest thanks to his lovely daughter Aayushi for their love, patience and support during the study. The acknowledgement will be incomplete without expressing feelings towards the devotion and sacrifice provided by my wife Khusbu Shrivastava. She was always the main source of motivation and encouragement during whole tenure of the research.

Date: March 12th, 2012

Amit Kumar Shrivastava
(2006CEZ8291)

ABSTRACT

Rock is heterogeneous and discontinuities are inevitable part of the rock masses. The presence of these discontinuities in the rock mass may be in the form of joints, faults, bedding planes or other recurrent planar fractures. The presence of these reduces the shear strength. In addition to joints, shear strength of the rock mass is also influenced by various parameters like, joint roughness, stiffness of the surrounding rock mass, shear rate, condition of the joint i.e. unfilled/ infilled, infill type and their thickness. The correct evaluation of shear strength of rock mass is only possible if all these factors are considered during experimental and analytical studies.

In the past significant research has been carried out on the rock joints in the laboratory using conventional direct shear apparatus where stiffness of the surrounding rock mass is not considered (constant normal load i.e. CNL boundary condition) during testing of unfilled and infilled joints, which is suitable only for planar joints. But rock joints are seldom planar and hence it is important to consider stiffness of the surrounding rock mass (constant normal stiffness i.e. CNS boundary condition) to correctly evaluate the shear behaviour of unfilled and infilled rock joints. This is important for safe and economical design of underground openings in jointed rocks, stability analysis of anchored/ free rock slopes, risk assessment of underground waste disposal, design of foundation on rock and design of rock socketed piles.

These concerns invite accurate quantification of shear strength of unfilled and infilled joints, proper understanding of the basic mechanics of discontinuity and the principles involved in their shear deformation. This can be done through in-situ or laboratory large scale testing on natural rock or laboratory testing on physical model or numerical modelling. In-situ and laboratory large scale testing on natural rocks are practically difficult, time consuming. Numerical methods are easy but need experimental results for their validity

before they can be used. So, physical modelling is preferred in such situations, where this study will be helpful in validating the numerical results and propose a model to determine shear strength of in-situ rock joints.

The laboratory or physical modelling needs testing equipments, but most of the direct shear testing equipment available in the literature are suitable only for CNL conditions. Very few equipments are available for CNS conditions, but these machines have limitations in changing the stiffness according to the stiffness of the surrounding rock mass or suitable only for unfilled joints.

Hence, in the present study, a servo controlled large scale direct shear testing machine of capacity 1000 kN is designed and fabricated which has capacity of conducting tests on large, jointed prismatic specimens through friction free rigid platens under CNL and CNS boundary conditions for unfilled and infilled joints. The normal stiffness can be fed in the computer before the test of the specimen according to stiffness of the surrounding rock mass.

Direct shear tests have been performed on physically modeled unfilled rock joints with asperity angle $30^\circ - 30^\circ$, $15^\circ - 15^\circ$, $30^\circ - 15^\circ$ and $0^\circ - 0^\circ$ under CNL ($k_n = 0$ kN/mm) and CNS ($k_n = 8$ kN/mm) at different P_i ranging from 0.05 to 3.85 MPa to study the effect of normal stiffness and roughness of the rock joints on shear rate, variation of normal stress, dilation, rotation, shear displacement corresponding to peak shear stress (τ_p), strength envelope and sheared area ratio. The additional tests have been performed on $15^\circ - 15^\circ$ asperity joint under CNS conditions at $k_n = 16$ and 32 kN/mm to understand the effect of increasing stiffness on the shear behaviour of rock joints.

Test results indicate that the shearing rates have little or insignificant effect on the peak shear strength for shearing rate ≤ 0.5 mm/min and for shearing rate > 0.5 mm/min the peak shear stress increases with increase in shearing rate for both CNL and CNS conditions.

Normal load on the shearing plane is constant under CNL conditions and it increases under CNS conditions during shearing process. A 9 fold increase in the normal load is observed under CNS conditions at $P_i = 0.05$ MPa and very low increase in the normal stress is observed at $P_i \geq 0.51$ MPa. The variation of normal stress follows the profile of the asperity under CNS condition. Dilation corresponding to peak shear stress is more for CNS conditions than CNL conditions and there is no effect of boundary conditions on dilation at $P_i \geq 0.18 \sigma_c$. Rotation of the sample is observed only for non planar joints during shearing it is more for CNL than CNS conditions. Shear displacement corresponding to peak shear stress decreases with increase in the in normal stress for both CNL and CNS conditions, but for CNS more shear displacement than CNL is required to reach peak shear stress. There is no effect of boundary conditions on the shear strength of planar joints. The effect of asperity angle is to increase the shear strength with increase in the asperity angle and it is more for CNS than CNL, but the effect is reducing with increase in P_i . At $P_i \geq 0.18 \sigma_c$ the shear strength under CNL and CNS conditions are almost the same. Asperities with irregular profile have higher shear strength than the regular profile. The strength envelope for planar joint is linear and it changes to curvilinear for non planar joints under both CNL and CNS condition. The curvature of strength envelope increases with increase in the asperity angle and it is more for CNS than CNL condition at $P_i \leq 0.09 \sigma_c$, at $P_i = 0.09 \sigma_c$ break in the curvature of strength envelope is observed for all non planar joints indicating shearing of the asperity and there is not much effect of the asperity and boundary conditions after that on the shear strength of joints. It is also observed that asperities were subjected to moderate to high grade damage during shearing process, where CNS condition has more sheared area ratio than the CNL condition and it increases with increase in normal stress and asperity angle.

Based on the series of tests conducted on different asperities joints under CNL and CNS conditions a new model for predicting the shear strength of unfilled joints is presented. The general proposed equations are as given below:

$$\tau_p = P_n \tan(\varphi_b + i') \quad (1)$$

$$P_n = 0.6(k_n/i) \quad (2)$$

$$\frac{i'}{i} = 1.25 e^{-9.86 \frac{P_n}{\sigma_c}} \quad (3)$$

where, τ_p is peak shear stress in MPa, P_n is normal stress in MPa, i' is effective asperity angle in degree, σ_c is unconfined compressive strength in MPa, i is initial asperity angle in degree, k_n is normal stiffness of joint in kN/mm and φ_b is basic friction angle in degree.

Direct shear tests have also been performed on physically modeled infilled rock joints to study the influence of boundary conditions and thickness of the infill material (t) on shear strength, shear displacement corresponding to peak shear stress (δ_{sp}), variation of normal stress, dilation and strength envelope for different asperity joints ($0^\circ - 0^\circ$, $30^\circ - 30^\circ$ and $15^\circ - 15^\circ$).

Test results indicate that the variation of normal stress on the shearing plane of infilled joint for different infill thickness (t) and asperity height (a) ratio i.e. t/a , under CNL and CNS conditions are similar to that of unfilled joints. The presence of the infill material cause positive dilation (compression) of the sample for all range of P_i and it increases with increase in infill thickness for both CNL and CNS conditions. Shear displacement corresponding to peak shear stress (δ_{sp}) initially increases for $t/a \leq 1.4$ and after that it decreases sharply to a minimum value when t/a approaches to $(t/a) = 2$, it is more for CNS than CNL conditions. The shear strength of the joint decreases sharply with addition of infill material but reduction is more for planar joint than non planar joints. At low t/a ratio the combined effect of the basic friction angle and joint asperity angle is pronounced but it diminishes with increase in t/a ratio. When shear strength of infill material (τ_{infill}) alone is compared with shear strength of infilled rock joint ($\tau_{pinfill}$) a rapid reduction in shear strength is observed with increase in t/a ratio and at $t/a=2$ the shear strength of the joint approaches the shear strength of infill

material alone and this ratio is defined as the critical ratio. The strength envelope for planar infilled joint is linear where as influence of infill material on non planar joint is to change the strength envelope from curvilinear to bilinear for unfilled to infilled joints for both CNL and CNS cases. The strength envelopes changes from bilinear to linear for t/a ratio $\geq (t/a)_{cr}$. Peak shear stress is more for CNS conditions than CNL conditions for all level of P_i at different t/a , due to increased normal stress on shearing plane under CNS condition. The peak shear stress also increases with increase in the asperity angle for non planar joints.

A new shear strength model for infilled joint is developed based on observations made during the experimental investigations. The equation is as given below:

$$\tau_{pinfill} = P_n \tan(\varphi_b + i'_{infill}) \quad (4)$$

$$P_n = P_i + 0.04k_n \quad (5)$$

For nonplanar joints

$$i'_{infill}/i = x \ln(P_n/\sigma_c) + y \quad (6)$$

For planar joints

$$\varphi/\varphi_b = x \ln(P_n/\sigma_c) + y \quad (7)$$

where, i'_{infill} is effective asperity angle of infilled joint in degree, i is initial asperity angle in degree, P_n is normal stress corresponding to peak shear stress in MPa, σ_c is unconfined compressive strength in MPa, φ is effective friction angle of infill joint in degree, φ_b is basic friction angle in degree, x and y are coefficients, the value of which depends upon t/a ratio and asperity angle. The new model for unfilled and infilled joints successfully describes the shear strength of the modeled joint.

A UDEC model with different asperity unfilled and infilled joints have been created to evaluate its applicability to replicate the shear strength and displacement behaviour of same

joints tested in the laboratory under CNL and CNS conditions. It is observed that the existing joint models in UDEC like Coulomb slip and continuous yielding joint model is not well capable of predicting the shear behaviour of unfilled and infilled joints with different asperity under CNL and CNS conditions. It is due to improper modelling of asperity degradation due to increase in the normal stress on the shearing plane for the unfilled joints under CNS conditions and reduction in frictional angle due to reduced rock to rock contact with increase in infill thickness in case of infilled joints. Hence, modification in the existing model is made to incorporate in the proposed new models for asperity degradation and reduction in friction angle for unfilled and infilled joints respectively in predicting the shear strength. It is observed that the modified model is capable of predicting well the shear strength but shear displacement is over predicted for unfilled and infilled joints when compared with experimental results under CNL and CNS conditions for all asperity angle and P_1 values.

The application of the proposed model in predicting the shear strength of all types of rocks ranging from soft to hard rock joints under CNL and CNS conditions, whose experimental results are available in the literature is also investigated and it is found that the proposed model successfully describes the shear strength of all the joints under both CNL and CNS conditions.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CERTIFICATE	i
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iii
ABSTRACT	v
TABLE OF CONTENTS	xi
LIST OF FIGURES	xvii
LIST OF TABLES	xxiii
LIST OF NOTATIONS	xxv
Chapter 1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 General	1
1.2 Need of the Thesis	2
1.3 Objective of the Thesis	4
1.4 Organization of the Thesis	7
Chapter 2 LITERATURE REVIEW	11
2.1 General	11
2.2 CNL and CNS Boundary Conditions	12
2.3 Rock Failure Characteristics	14
2.4 Shear Behaviour of Unfilled Joint under CNL Conditions	19
2.4.1 Linear Model	20
2.4.2 Dilatancy Model	20
2.5 Shear Behaviour of Unfilled Joint under CNS Boundary Conditions	44
2.5.1 Development of the Equipment in Past	44
2.5.2 Shear Strength Models under CNS Conditions	49
2.6 Joint Roughness	61
2.6.1 Statistical Model	62
2.6.2 Geostatistical Models	64
2.6.3 Fractal Models	64
2.7 Shear Behaviour of Infilled Rock Joints under CNL and CNS Boundary Conditions	66
2.7.1 Effect of Physico-Mechanical Properties of Infill and its Thickness	68
2.7.2 Effect of Joint Roughness on Shear Behaviour of Infilled Joint	69
2.7.3 Effect of Infill Grain Size on Shear Behaviour	71
2.7.4 Effect of Drainage Condition and Degree of Consolidation of the Filling Material	74
2.8 Shear Strength Models for Infilled Joints	74
2.8.1 Shear Strength Models of Infilled Joint under CNL Condition	74

2.8.2 Shear Strength Models of Infilled Joint under CNS Conditions	81
2.9 Numerical Modelling	90
2.9.1 Numerical Methods	91
2.9.1.1 Continuum method	91
2.9.1.2 Discontinuum method	95
2.9.1.3 Hybrid method	98
2.9.2 Suitability of Different Method	98
2.9.3 UDEC	100
2.10 Summary	103
Chapter 3 DEVELOPMENT OF LARGE SCALE DIRECT SHEAR TESTING MACHINE FOR ROCK	107
3.1 <i>General</i>	107
3.1.1 Design and Development	108
3.1.2 Loading Unit	108
3.1.2.1 Shear box	108
3.1.2.2 Load cells and LVDT's	112
3.1.2.3 Bottom plate and spacer plate	114
3.1.3 Hydraulic Power Pack with Electro Hydraulic Servo Valve	114
3.1.4 Data Acquisition and Controlling Unit	115
3.1.5 Moulds for Sample Preparations	117
3.1.5.1 Casting moulds	117
3.1.5.2 Asperity plate	122
3.1.5.3 Infill mould	123
3.2 <i>Calibration</i>	123
3.3 <i>Concluding Remarks</i>	127
Chapter 4 EXPERIMENTAL INVESTIGATIONS	129
4.1 <i>General</i>	129
4.2 <i>Testing Programme of Unfilled Joints</i>	130
4.2.1 Selection and Characterization of Model Material for Joint	130
4.2.2 Preparation of Specimens with Different Asperity Joint	132
4.2.3 Joint Deformation Properties	132
4.2.3.1 Normal stiffness	136
4.2.3.2 Shear stiffness	138
4.2.4 Selection of Shear Rate	138
4.2.5 Test Series of Unfilled Specimens	142
4.3 <i>Testing Programme of Infilled Joint</i>	144
4.3.1 Selection and Characterization of Infill Material	144
4.3.2 Preparation of Infill Joint	147
4.3.3 Test Series of Infilled Joint	147
4.4 <i>Direct Shear Test Procedures</i>	151
4.5 <i>Concluding Remarks</i>	152
Chapter 5 SHEAR BEHAVIOUR OF UNFILLED JOINTS	155
5.1 <i>General</i>	155

<i>5.2 Unfilled Joint under CNL and CNS Boundary Conditions</i>	155
5.2.1 Joint Asperity $30^{\circ} - 30^{\circ}$	155
5.2.1.1 Shear strength	156
5.2.1.2 Shear displacement corresponding to peak shear stress	156
5.2.1.3 Variation of normal stress	159
5.2.1.4 Dilation behaviour	161
5.2.1.5 Rotational behaviour	161
5.2.1.6 Shear strength envelope	165
5.2.1.7 Shear behaviour	165
5.2.2 Joint Asperity $15^{\circ} - 15^{\circ}$	168
5.2.2.1 Shear behaviour	170
5.2.2.2 Variation of normal stress	171
5.2.2.3 Dilation behaviour	171
5.2.2.4 Shear strength envelope	172
5.2.3 Joint Asperity $30^{\circ} - 15^{\circ}$	181
5.2.3.1 Shear behaviour	181
5.2.3.2 Variation of normal stress	182
5.2.3.3 Shear strength envelope	182
5.2.4 Planar Joint	182
5.2.4.1 Shear behaviour	185
5.2.4.2 Variation of normal stress	185
5.2.4.3 Dilation behaviour	186
5.2.4.4 Shear strength envelope	186
5.2.5 Comparison of Results	189
5.2.6 Estimation of Shearing Area	190
5.2.6.1 General	190
5.2.6.2 Sheared area ratio computation	193
<i>5.3 Development of New Shear Strength Model</i>	197
5.3.1 Background for Development of Model	198
5.3.2 Development of the New Shear Strength Model	199
5.3.2.1 Prediction model for normal stress (P_n)	200
5.3.2.2 Prediction model for effective asperity angle (i')	205
<i>5.4 Concluding Remarks</i>	206
Chapter 6 SHEAR BEHAVIOUR OF INFILLED JOINTS	211
<i>6.1 General</i>	211
6.1.1 Joint Asperity $30^{\circ} - 30^{\circ}$	211
6.1.1.1 Shear behaviour	211
6.1.1.2 Variation of normal stress	216
6.1.1.3 Dilation behaviour	216
6.1.1.4 Shear strength envelope	222
6.1.2 Joint Asperity $15^{\circ} - 15^{\circ}$	227
6.1.3 Planar Joint	228
<i>6.2 Development of Shear Strength Model for Infill Joint</i>	235
6.2.1 Prediction Model	236
6.2.1.1 Prediction model for normal stress (P_n)	237
6.2.1.2 Prediction model for effective infill asperity angle (i'_{infill})	238

6.3 Concluding Remarks	244
Chapter 7 NUMERICAL MODELLING AND VALIDATION OF RESULTS	249
7.1 General	249
7.1.1 UDEC Modelling of Unfilled Joints	251
7.1.1.1 Creation of model geometry	252
7.1.1.2 Boundary conditions	252
7.1.1.3 FISH functions to compute joint stresses and displacements	255
7.1.1.4 Constitutive models for block and joint	256
7.1.1.4.1 Modification in the Joint model	257
7.1.1.5 System of units	258
7.1.1.6 Shearing of sample	260
7.1.1.7 Results and discussions	260
7.1.2 UDEC Modelling of Infilled Joints	268
7.1.2.1 Results and discussions	273
7.2 Application of Model	274
7.3 Concluding Remarks	289
Chapter 8 SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS	291
8.1 General	291
8.2 Experimentation	292
8.2.1 Testing Equipment	292
8.2.2 Model Material	293
8.2.3 Specimen Description	294
8.2.3.1 Unfilled joints	294
8.2.3.2 Infilled joints	295
8.3 Experimental Results on Unfilled Joints	295
8.3.1 Effect on Shear Rate	296
8.3.2 Effect on Normal Stress	296
8.3.3 Effect on Dilation	297
8.3.4 Effect on Shear Behaviour	298
8.3.5 Effect on Shearing Area	300
8.3.6 New Shear Strength Model for Unfilled Joints	301
8.4 Experimental Results on Infilled Joints	302
8.4.1 Effect on Normal Stress	302
8.4.2 Effect on Dilation	303
8.4.3 Effect on Shear Behaviour	304
8.4.4 New Shear Strength Model for Infilled Joints	305
8.5 Numerical Modelling	307
8.6 Application of New Shear Strength Model	308
8.7 Recommendation for Future Study	308
REFERENCES	311

Appendix A Shear Stress vs Shear Displacement Plot of UDEC Model on Some Selected Unfilled Joints	323
Appendix B Shear Stress vs Shear Displacement Plot of UDEC Model on Some Selected Infilled Joints	345
VITAE	361