

**TWO DIMENSIONAL PERIODIC MAGNETIC
MODULATION: HOFSTADTER BUTTERIES AND
CONDUCTANCES IN MONO AND BILAYER GRAPHENE**

MANISHA ARORA



**DEPARTMENT OF PHYSICS
INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY DELHI
OCTOBER 2021**

© Indian Institute of Technology Delhi (IITD), New Delhi, 2021

**TWO DIMENSIONAL PERIODIC MAGNETIC
MODULATION: HOFSTADTER BUTTERIES AND
CONDUCTANCES IN MONO AND BILAYER GRAPHENE**

by

MANISHA ARORA

DEPARTMENT OF PHYSICS

Submitted

**in fulfilment of the requirements of the degree of Doctor of Philosophy
to the**



INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY DELHI

OCTOBER 2021

Dedicated to my loving parents..

CERTIFICATE

This is to certify that the thesis entitled **Two dimensional periodic magnetic modulation: Hofstadter butterflies and conductances in mono and bi-layer graphene**, being submitted by **Manisha Arora** to the Department of Physics, Indian Institute of Technology Delhi, for the award of degree of **Doctor of Philosophy**, is a record of bonafide work carried out by her under my supervision and guidance. The results reported in this thesis have not been submitted, either in part or in full, to any other University or Institute for award of any degree or diploma.

Dr. Sankalpa Ghosh
Department of Physics
Indian Institute of Technology Delhi
New Delhi - 110016, India

Date:

Acknowledgements

To commence with, I would like to express my deepest gratitude to my supervisor Prof. Sankalpa Ghosh for his guidance and all the useful discussions and brainstorming sessions. His sincere efforts, constant guidance and support kept me going. His invaluable suggestions and stimulating discussions paved the things into appropriate directions. It was a great learning experience not only in work, but also in many aspects of life to work with him. His critical ideas and uncompromising approach towards work always polished the work for the better. His guidance helped me through out the research work and in writing the thesis.

I extend my thanks to Prof. Sujeet Chaudhary, Prof. Amruta Mishra and Prof. Narayan Kurur who acted as my SRC members and kept reviewing my work from time to time. It immensely helped me through out.

I thank all my labmates Inderpreet, Poornima, Shahrukh, Disha, Deepanshu and Partho for extending their help every time I needed. I would also like to thank Rashmi Sachdeva, my senior, for the numerous discussions that we had during our collaboration which helped me take the work forward at a desired pace. I am thankful for the helpful discussions and correspondence with Sanjay Gupta, Saurabh Shringarpure, Manish Sharma and Itzhack Dana.

I feel a deep sense of gratitude to my late father Shri Tejinder Arora who always had faith in me. He always gave me unwavering support and taught me the things that are relevant for all seasons of life. His happy memories still keep supporting me and throwing light on the darkest paths of life. I am deeply thankful to my mother who gave me the best possible upbringing and made me what I am today.

I owe my special thanks to my family members. Its only due to their constant understanding, support and motivation that I could come this far. My brother and my sister who are always like friends to me. My deepest gratitude to my

mother in law who always has the patience to wait for my visit to her home and to understand the things whenever I could not go. In fact each member of my in laws family deserve much more than the word of thanks.

I feel at a loss of words to express the unconditional support and motivation provided by my husband Amit Saxena through out these years. Without his support, all this would actually have never been possible. Sometimes as a mentor, and sometimes as a friend, he always motivated me to be on the right path and to keep going.

Finally, I acknowledge the financial assistance from MHRD and IRD during the course of my PhD at IIT Delhi.

Manisha Arora

Abstract

The primary focus of the proposed thesis is to investigate the electronic and transport properties of monolayer and bilayer graphene system under two dimensional periodic magnetic field. Quantization of Hall conductivity for non relativistic 2DEG and relativistic system, such as monolayer graphene, under uniform transverse magnetic field is quite well known. The emphasis here is to analyze the behaviour of MLG and BLG systems under the periodic modulation and to see if quantization of Hall conductivity still survives along with evaluation of diagonal conductivity for the modulated MLG system.

We begin with providing a theoretical model for the applied 2D periodic magnetic field having square lattice symmetry. The energy spectrum of massless Dirac fermions in monolayer graphene under such modulation is then calculated. It is shown that the translation symmetry of the problem is similar to that of TKNN problem and in the weak field limit the tight binding energy eigenvalue equation is indeed given by Harper Hofstadter Hamiltonian. Due to its magnetic translational symmetry the Hall conductivity can be identified as a topological invariant and hence quantized. Hence the idea of Quantum Hall Effect is extended to magnetically modulated two dimensional electron system. It is demonstrated that the calculations and the results can be generalized to any arbitrary magnetic modulation periodic in two dimensions. Apart from this, possible experimental set ups are indicated where the results may be verified.

We proceed to extend our analysis to the system of bilayer graphene subjected to the periodic modulation. We evaluate eigenvalues of the modulated bilayer system by obtaining connection between eigenvalues of modulated BLG and MLG system. We further obtain the Harper equation for the BLG system which enables us to solve for corresponding eigenstates. The studies are performed for both four band and two band models of BLG. An interesting feature of the work comprises of the success in obtaining variation in the band gap of the energy

spectrum due to changing interlayer coupling strength. The survival of topological quantization of Hall conductivity is rigorously verified along with obtaining Diophantine equation for the system to evaluate associated Chern numbers.

We further go on to evaluate the diagonal conductivity for monolayer system under the described 2D magnetic modulation. We plot both the diffusional and collisional conductivity components of the total diagonal conductivity, for linear responses and weak scattering potentials, as a function of magnetic field strength. It is observed that both these components contribute significantly. Apart from this, extensive numerical computation is performed for calculation of wavefunctions and DOS for the modulated MLG system. The wavefunctions are also analyzed to an extent in an attempt to have a better understanding of the transport properties of the system.

सार

प्रस्तावित थीसिस का प्राथमिक फोकस दो आयामी आवधिक चुंबकीय क्षेत्र के तहत मोनोलेयर और द्वि-परत ग्राफीन प्रणाली के इलेक्ट्रॉनिक और परिवहन गुणों की जांच करना है। गैर-सापेक्ष 2 डी ई जी और सापेक्षतावादी प्रणाली के लिए हॉल चालकता की मात्रा, जैसे मोनोलेयर ग्राफेन, समान लंबवत चुंबकीय क्षेत्र के तहत काफी प्रसिद्ध है। यहां पर जोर आवधिक मॉड्यूलन के तहत एमएलजी और बीएलजी सिस्टम के व्यवहार का विश्लेषण करने पर है और यह देखने के लिए कि मॉड्युलेटेड एमएलजी सिस्टम के लिए विकर्ण चालकता के मूल्यांकन के साथ हॉल चालकता का परिमाणीकरण अभी भी जीवित है या नहीं।

हम वर्ग जाली समरूपता वाले अनुप्रयुक्त 2D आवर्त चुंबकीय क्षेत्र के लिए एक सैद्धांतिक मॉडल प्रदान करने के साथ प्रारंभ करते हैं। इस तरह के मॉड्यूलन के तहत मोनोलेयर ग्राफेन में बड़े पैमाने पर डिराक फर्मियन के ऊर्जा स्पेक्ट्रम की गणना की जाती है। यह दिखाया गया है कि समस्या की अनुवाद समरूपता टीकेएनएन समस्या के समान है और कमजोर चुंबकीय क्षेत्र सीमा में तंग बाध्यकारी ऊर्जा इंजिन मूल्य समीकरण वास्तव में हार्पर हॉफस्टैटर हैमिल्टन द्वारा दिया गया है। इसकी चुंबकीय अनुवादकीय समरूपता के कारण हॉल चालकता को एक टोपोलॉजिकल इनवेरिएंट के रूप में पहचाना जा सकता है और इसलिए इसे परिमाणित किया जा सकता है। इसलिए क्वांटम हॉल इफेक्ट का विचार चुंबकीय रूप से संशोधित दो आयामी इलेक्ट्रॉन प्रणाली तक बढ़ाया गया है। यह प्रदर्शित किया जाता है कि गणना और परिणामों को दो आयामों में किसी भी मनमाने चुंबकीय मॉड्यूलेशन आवधिक के लिए सामान्यीकृत किया जा सकता है। इसके अलावा, संभावित प्रयोगात्मक सेट अप इंगित किए जाते हैं जहां परिणाम सत्यापित किए जा सकते हैं।

हम अपने विश्लेषण को आवधिक मॉड्यूलन के अधीन द्वि-परत ग्राफीन की प्रणाली तक विस्तारित करने के लिए आगे बढ़ते हैं। हम मॉड्युलेटेड बीएलजी और एमएलजी सिस्टम के आइजेनवैल्यू के बीच संबंध प्राप्त करके मॉड्युलेटेड बाइलेयर सिस्टम के आइजेनवैल्यू का मूल्यांकन करते हैं। हम आगे बीएलजी प्रणाली के लिए हार्पर समीकरण प्राप्त करते हैं जो हमें संबंधित इंजिन राज्यों के लिए हल करने में सक्षम बनाता है। अध्ययन बीएलजी के चार बैंड और दो बैंड मॉडल दोनों के लिए किया जाता है। काम की एक दिलचस्प विशेषता में इंटरलेयर कपलिंग ताकत बदलने के कारण ऊर्जा स्पेक्ट्रम के बैंड गैप में भिन्नता प्राप्त करने में सफलता शामिल है। संबंधित चर्न संख्याओं का मूल्यांकन करने के लिए सिस्टम के लिए डायोफैंटाइन समीकरण प्राप्त करने के साथ-साथ हॉल चालकता के टोपोलॉजिकल क्वांटिजेशन के अस्तित्व को कड़ाई से सत्यापित किया जाता है।

हम आगे वर्णित 2 डी चुंबकीय मॉड्यूलन के तहत मोनोलेयर प्रणाली के लिए विकर्ण चालकता का मूल्यांकन करने के लिए आगे बढ़ते हैं। हम चुंबकीय क्षेत्र की ताकत और तापमान के एक समारोह के रूप में, रैखिक प्रतिक्रियाओं और कमजोर बिखरने की क्षमता के लिए, कुल विकर्ण चालकता के विवर्तनिक और संयुग्मन चालकता घटकों दोनों के लिए ग्राफ बनाते हैं। यह देखा गया है कि ये दोनों घटक महत्वपूर्ण योगदान देते हैं। इसके अलावा, मॉड्युलेटेड एमएलजी सिस्टम के लिए वेवफंक्शन और डॉस की गणना के लिए व्यापक संख्यात्मक गणना की जाती है। सिस्टम के परिवहन गुणों की बेहतर समझ रखने के प्रयास में तरंगों का भी एक हद तक विश्लेषण किया जाता है।

Contents

<i>Certificate</i>	iii
<i>Acknowledgements</i>	v
<i>Abstract</i>	vii
Contents	ix
List of Figures	xiii
1 Introduction	1
1.1 Motivation of the Thesis	7
1.2 Preview of the Thesis	8
2 Preliminary Tools	12
2.1 Graphene- Energy band structure	13
2.1.1 The crystal structure and the Reciprocal lattice	13
2.1.1.1 Evaluation of energy band structure using tight binding method	15
2.1.1.2 Effective Low Energy Hamiltonian	19
2.1.2 Bilayer graphene- Energy band structure	20
2.1.2.1 Effective four band Hamiltonian near the K points	24
2.1.2.2 Effective two band low energy Hamiltonian . . .	25
2.2 Magnetic translation operator- Algebra and Applications	27
2.2.1 Proof of Eqn. (2.51)	30

2.2.2	Proof for the magnetic translation operator in presence of periodic magnetic field	31
2.3	Energy spectrum in the Tight binding approximation for the Hofstadter system	31
2.4	Calculation of Chern numbers	34
2.5	Diagonal Conductivity	36
2.5.1	Response function	37
2.5.1.1	Application of Linear Response Theory to the Hofstadter problem	39
2.5.2	Kubo-Green form for generalized conductance	40
2.5.3	Van Hove limit and Modified operators	42
2.5.4	Generalized conductance and electrical conductivity	44
2.5.5	Diagonal current: Collisional + Diffusive current	45
2.5.6	One body linear response results	46
2.5.6.1	First component of Diagonal current: Diffusional current	48
2.5.6.2	Second component of Diagonal current: Collisional current	49
2.6	Summary	49
3	Magnetic Hofstadter butterfly and its topologically quantized Hall conductance	51
3.1	The Model	53
3.1.1	Decomposition	54
3.1.1.1	Proofs related to $B_p \hat{\mathbf{z}}$ and $\mathbf{A}_p$	56
3.1.2	Modified Hofstadter Harper Equation	60
3.1.2.1	Derivation of Eq. (3.17) from Eq. (3.14)	62
3.1.3	Energy Spectrum	65
3.2	Topological Quantization of Hall Conductance and Diophantine Equation	69
3.2.1	Quantization of Hall Conductivity in terms of topological invariant	71
3.2.2	Diophantine Equation	74

3.3	Summary	77
4	Bilayer graphene under two dimensional periodic magnetic modulation	79
4.1	Landau level spectrum for bilayer graphene	80
4.2	Bilayer graphene under 2D periodic magnetic modulation- Four band model	82
4.2.1	Energy spectrum- Variation with interlayer coupling strength	88
4.3	LL spectrum for bilayer graphene effective two band Hamiltonian at lower energies	90
4.4	Energy Spectrum- Effective two-band model in 2D periodic magnetic modulation	92
4.5	Topological quantization of Hall conductance for the modulated BLG- four band model	94
4.5.1	Diophantine Equation for the modulated BLG	99
4.6	Summary	100
5	Conductivity oscillations in monolayer graphene in periodic magnetic modulation	103
5.1	Theoretical Framework	105
5.1.1	MLG in periodic magnetic modulation	105
5.1.2	Eigenfunctions in periodic magnetic modulation	107
5.2	Diagonal Conductivities	114
5.2.1	Collisional conductivity	114
5.2.2	Diffusional conductivity	116
5.2.3	Results	118
5.3	Summary	119
6	Conclusion	122
6.1	Summary	122
6.2	Future scope	123
	References	126
	Appendix I	139

List of Publications	142
Curriculum Vitae	144

List of Figures

1.1	Schematic of 2D array of ferromagnetic Dysprosium dot structures on top of GaAs-AlGaAs heterojunction [165]	4
2.1	Honeycomb structure of monolayer graphene. Here $\mathbf{a}_1$ and $\mathbf{a}_2$ represent primitive lattice vectors. The shaded region depicts unit cell containing two inequivalent carbon atoms A and B.	14
2.2	The reciprocal lattice of monolayer graphene where the lattice points are shown by cross marks. The vectors $\mathbf{b}_1$ and $\mathbf{b}_2$ represent reciprocal lattice vectors. The shaded region depicts the first Brillouin zone where center and inequivalent corners have been marked.	14
2.3	The figure shows the three nearest neighbour B sites, corresponding to the A site, involved in the tight binding calculations.	17
2.4	Energy band structure of monolayer graphene with nearest neighbour tight binding approximation. Here the hopping parameter $\gamma_0 = 3.033\text{eV}$ and $\epsilon_A = \epsilon_B = 0$. The zoom in area depicts linear dispersion near the Dirac points.	19
2.5	The crystal structure (top view) of bilayer graphene. The shaded region depicts the unit cell.	21
2.6	The crystal structure (side view) of bilayer graphene.	22
2.7	The Hofstadter butterfly spectrum for electrons moving in a square lattice under uniform perpendicular magnetic field in Tight binding approximation [173]	33
2.8	The vertical red arrow depicts a gap and the diagonal blue arrow depicts a swath.	34

3.1	Monolayer Graphene under a two dimensional magnetic field array with inside circular regions with radius R_s having $B_1\hat{z}$ and that of outside having $B_2\hat{z}$	54
3.2	Monolayer graphene under single unit magnetic field profile with inside circular region having field $[B_1 - B_2(1 - \frac{1}{N})]\hat{z}$ and outside region having $\frac{B_2}{N}\hat{z}$. Radius of the circular region is R^s	57
3.3	Dimensionless energy $\frac{Ea}{\hbar v_F}$ versus $\frac{p}{q}$ (Eq. 3.17) plot with Top: $B_1 = 0$ and Bottom: $B_1 = 1$. The values of p satisfy $1 \leq p \leq q - 1$, $a = 0.25$ and $R^s = 0.0825$ respectively.	66
3.4	Dimensionless energy $\frac{Ea}{\hbar v_F}$ versus p/q (Eq. 3.17) plot with Top: $B_2 = 0$ and, Bottom: $B_2 = 1$. Other parameters are same as Fig. 3.3	68
3.5	Magnetic Brillouin zone	75
4.1	Landau levels in bilayer graphene using Eqn. (4.10). The spectrum shown corresponds to $s_1 = \pm 1$ and $s_2 = -1$ [52].	82
4.2	E Vs alpha plot for $B_1=0$	86
4.3	Energy spectrum for $B_1=1$	87
4.4	Corresponding Monolayer graphene spectrum	87
4.5	E Vs alpha plot for $B_2=0$	87
4.6	E Vs alpha plot for $B_2=1$	88
4.7	E Vs alpha plots for $B_1=1$ with varying interlayer coupling strength (t)	89
4.8	Bilayer graphene energy spectrum for $B_1=1$	94
4.9	Corresponding monolayer graphene spectrum	94
5.1	<i>Color online:</i> (a) Overlap of the magnetic Hofstadter butterfly spectrum [15] and the Landau Level spectrum for the low α (b) DOS for the magnetic Hofstadter-Harper spectrum [15]	108
5.2	<i>(Color online):</i> Probability density corresponding to few eigenstates for the degenerate energy eigenvalue = 0.4077 at $\alpha = 0.027$. It is to note that for a 74×74 lattice size, the first 148 eigenvalues are degenerate for $\alpha = 0.027$	110

5.3	(<i>Color online</i>): Probability density corresponding to the first four degenerate states $m = 0, 1, 2, 3$ for the monolayer graphene Landau level $n = 1$ for uniform magnetic field value B_u corresponding to $\alpha = 0.027$ for the upper component pseudo-spinor.	111
5.4	(<i>Color online</i>): Probability density corresponding to the 16th, 100th, 169th and 466th energy eigen-state corresponding to energy eigenvalues 0.7891, 0.8719, 0.9432, and, 1.0079 respectively, for $\alpha = 0.1081$, clearly showing the diffraction pattern. The mentioned energy eigenvalues are in the unit of $\frac{Ea}{\hbar v_F}$. Here, the x and y -axes have the same scale as in Fig 5.2.	113
5.5	(<i>Color online</i>): (a) Collisional (σ_{xx}^{coll}), and (b) Diffusive (σ_{xx}^{diff}) conductivity (in units of e^2/h) for a 74×74 lattice as a function of magnetic flux α . The red-marker dashed-line is the conductivity calculated using the numerically evaluated wavefunctions for monolayer graphene under a periodic magnetic modulation and the blue solid line represents the conductivity calculated for monolayer graphene in the uniform magnetic field (B_u) of that same periodic modulation.	117