

**EXPLORATION OF THE *PARTHENIUM*
HYSTEROPHORUS BIOMASS FOR PRODUCTION OF
LACCASE AND BIOETHANOL**

ANURUP ADAK



**CENTRE FOR RURAL DEVELOPMENT AND TECHNOLOGY
INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY DELHI**

J U L Y 2017

**EXPLORATION OF THE *PARTHENIUM*
HYSTEROPHORUS BIOMASS FOR PRODUCTION OF
LACCASE AND BIOETHANOL**

by

ANURUP ADAK

Centre for Rural Development and Technology

Submitted

**In fulfilment of the requirements of the degree of Doctor of Philosophy
to the**



INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY DELHI

J U L Y 2017

DEDICATED
TO
THE ALMIGHTY
&
MY PARENTS

CERTIFICATE

This is to certify that the thesis entitled “**Exploration of the *Parthenium hysterophorus* Biomass for Production of Laccase and Bioethanol**” submitted by **Mr. Anurup Adak** for the award of the degree **Doctor of Philosophy** has been prepared under our guidance with the rules and regulations of Indian Institute of Technology Delhi, India. The research report results presented in this thesis have not been submitted for any degree or diploma in any other institute or university.

(Dr. Satyawati Sharma)

Professor

Centre for Rural Development and Technology
Indian Institute of Technology Delhi
Hauz Khas, New Delhi – 110016, INDIA

(Dr. Lata)

Principal Scientist

Division of Microbiology
ICAR-Indian Agricultural Research
Institute
Pusa, New Delhi – 110012, INDIA

Acknowledgement

The endless thanks goes to Lord Almighty for all the blessings he has showered onto me, which has enabled me to write this last note in my research work. During the period of my research, as in the rest of my life, I have been blessed by Almighty with some extraordinary people who have spun a web of support around me. Words can never be enough in expressing how grateful I am to those incredible people in my life who made this thesis possible. I would like an attempt to thank them for making my time during my research in the Institute.

I am deeply indebted to my supervisor Professor Satyawati Sharma for her motherly affection, motivation, enthusiasm, constructive criticism and support. Her unwavering keenness kept me constantly engaged with my research and her personal generosity made my time challenging yet enjoyable. I felt highly privileged to work under her proficient supervision. I owe my heartfelt sincere gratitude to my co-supervisor Dr. Lata for her immense support from the formative stages this journey. Her sound advice, incessant discussion, attention to detail, untiring help and careful guidance are invaluable. Without her lifting me up when this thesis seemed interminable, I doubt it would ever complete. I am grateful to her for not only guiding me in scientific research but also training me in manuscript writing and other aspects of scientific research. Most humbly and respectfully, I wish to express my profound sense of gratitude to Dr. Radha Prasanna for her valuable suggestions during manuscript preparation and keeping my spirits high through the course of my research. I also wish to thank Dr. Surender Singh for his precious idea and providing all the necessary facilities.

I would like to extend my gratitude to the honourable members of my research committee Prof. S.K. Khare (Department of Chemistry), Prof. K.K. Pant (Department of Chemical Engineering) and Prof. S.N. Naik (CRDT) for their valuable time, comments, suggestions and moral support to improve my thesis.

I wish to express my cordial thanks to staff members of CRDT, IIT Delhi for their assistance and help related to instruments, information, services and resources. I also wish to express my heartfelt thanks to my seniors Dr. Rameshwar, Dr. Pandiyan, Ms Sarika, Dr. Arjun, Dr. Pranaw, Dr. Saritha, Dr. Abhishek and my colleges Anamika, Ritika, Shalini, Himanshi, Megha, Mehak, Garima, Jairam, Sumit,

Pushpender for their all-round cooperation and help. I am indebted to my friends Dr. Arindam, Bidya, Santosh, Arun, Mahinder, Saurav, Deep, Shobit, Kunal, for their support and encouragement to finish my work in time. I would also like to acknowledge the immense support and love provided by Sushmita who somehow managed to bear me, understood me completely and boosted my confidence during stressful times. From the bottom of my heart, I want to thank Nandlalji for various unconventional helps that he has provided besides the love and affection he bestowed on me. Really want to thank Guptaji and Deepak for providing help whenever I needed.

I am speechless to express my deep sense of regards to my Baba and Ma, for their contribution and believing in me. It is their love, affection and blessings that helped me to move ahead and made me reach to the finish line. I am and will ever be indebted to my Bhai and all my family members for their affection, support, care and blessings that enabled me to reach my present position.

There are many other who have supported me and helped me in various ways. I sincerely thank all of them and request their forgiveness for not mentioning them here by name.

All of you made it possible for me to reach this last stage of my endeavour. Thank You from my heart-of-hearts.

Anurup Adak

ABSTRACT

Industrialization and world population growth have continually increased the global energy demand leading to energy crisis because of depletion of traditional fossil fuel resources. Elevating problems regarding global warming and crude oil prices over recent year reignited public interest in alternative fuels and chemicals derived from renewable sources like lignocellulosic biomass. Amongst different type of lignocellulosic substrates, weedy biomass as a feedstock for biofuels, chemicals and biocatalyst is less investigated. *Parthenium hysterophorus* listed among world's seven most devastating and noxious herbaceous weed, is abundantly available in several parts of the world. This weed have become a threat to environment and agricultural productivity, so its management is necessary to prevent future problems. In view of this, the present research work is aimed to explore the potential of carrot grass (*P. hysterophorus*) for effective utilization as resource for laccase and bioethanol production.

Several fungal isolates were screened for biological pretreatment and coproduction of lignolytic enzymes by using *Parthenium* biomass as substrate. Of the eighteen isolates, one efficient white-rot fungus *Pseudolagarobasidium acaciicola* LA1 was selected for biological delignification and laccase production using *Parthenium* biomass. The statistically optimized medium resulted in laccase production of 56125.68 ± 418.15 IU/g dry substrate by *P. acaciicola* LA1. The crude enzyme displayed good stability over a wide range of pH and temperature and was active in presence of certain concentration of heavy metal ions and different organic solvents. Molecular analysis confirmed the presence of single laccase of 62.8 kDa. This is probably the first report of laccase production by *P. acaciicola* LA1 using *Parthenium* biomass in solid state fermentation.

Prior to saccharification of this biomass, physical and physicochemical pretreatments were compared with biological pretreatment by *P. acaciicola* LA1. Sodium hydroxide pretreatment of *Parthenium* biomass substantially increased the lignin removal and enhanced the cellulose digestibility as well as enzymatic accessibility. This was confirmed by structural and chemical analysis through SEM, XRD and FTIR of the raw and pretreated biomass. Statistical optimization of the alkali treatment based on RSM, resulted in 54.2 % delignification with maximum reducing sugar yield of 622.58 mg/gds. Saccharification optimization of the thermo-alkaline pretreated *Parthenium* biomass, can boost the reducing sugar yield of 685.44 ± 11.25 mg/gds after 48 h of hydrolysis with the saccharification rate of 14.30 mg/g/h. The co-fermentation of enzymatic hydrolysate with *S. cerevisiae* LN and *P. stipitis* NCIM 3498 resulted in maximum ethanol production of 18.92 ± 0.45 g/L with the fermentation efficiency of 70.70 % and ethanol yield of 0.42 g/g at 48 h of incubation. These results clearly demonstrate that *Parthenium* biomass represents as a cheaper, renewable and sustainable feedstock for laccase and ethanol production. Overall the present research is a comprehensive investigation for better management and utilization of *P. hysterophorus* biomass for economic production of laccase and bioethanol.

Keywords: Weedy biomass; *Parthenium hysterophorus*; White-rot fungus; Laccase; Pretreatment; Response surface methodology; Bioethanol

CONTENTS

Chapter 1	INTRODUCTION	1-6
Chapter 2	REVIEW OF LITERATURE	7-47
2.1	Background of <i>Parthenium hysterophorus</i>	7-10
2.2	Management by conventional methods	10-12
2.3	Management through economic utilization	12-15
2.4	Biological degradation and conversion to value added products	15-16
2.5	Laccase - an important member of oxidoreductase	16-21
2.6	Optimization of laccase production	21-28
2.7	Potential industrial and biotechnological application of laccase	29-35
2.8	Bioconversion of lignocellulosic biomass for bioethanol	36-38
2.9	Pretreatment methods for production of lignocellulosic ethanol	38-43
2.10	Enzymatic saccharification of pretreated biomass	44-45
2.11	Bioethanol production from lignocellulosic substrates	45-47
2.12	Future prospect	47
Chapter 3	MATERIALS AND METHODS	48-80
3.1	Sample collection and isolation of lignin degrading fungi	48
3.2	Qualitative screening of fungal isolates for lignolytic enzymes	48-49
3.3	Quantitative screening of fungal isolates for lignocellulolytic enzymes	49-54
3.3.1	Submerged fermentation for the production of enzyme	49-50
3.3.2	Cellulolytic enzymes	50-53
3.3.3	Lignolytic enzymes	53-54
3.4	Estimation of total soluble proteins	55
3.5	Quantitative determination fungal colonization and delignification in solid state fermentation	55-57
3.5.1	Fungal biomass estimation	56
3.5.2	Delignification estimation	56-57
3.6	Molecular characterization and phylogenetic analysis of selected fungal strains	57-59
3.7	Preparation of <i>Parthenium</i> for enzyme and bioethanol production	60
3.8	Production of laccase using <i>Parthenium</i> biomass through solid-	60-63

state fermentation by the selected isolate	
3.8.1 Optimization of medium components and culture condition by Response Surface Methodology (RSM)	61-63
3.9 Extraction of enzyme from the fermented biomass	64
3.10 Characterization of crude extract for laccase activity	64-67
3.10.1 Effect of pH and temperature on laccase activity	64
3.10.2 pH and thermal stability on laccase activity	64
3.10.3 Effect of metals ion and organic solvent on laccase activity	64-65
3.10.4 Polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis and zymography for laccase	65-67
3.11 In-vitro dye decolourization	67
3.12 Pretreatment of <i>Parthenium hysterophorus</i> by biological and chemical methods	67-69
3.12.1 Biological pretreatment	67
3.12.2 Physical pretreatment	68
3.12.3 Physicochemical pretreatment	68-69
3.13 Optimization of pretreatment process using response surface methodology (RSM)	69-71
3.14 Compositional analysis of <i>Parthenium hysterophorus</i> biomass	72-75
3.14.1 Estimation of cellulose	72
3.14.2 Estimation of hemicellulose	72-73
3.14.3 Estimation of hemicellulose	74
3.14.4 Determination of moisture and ash content	74-75
3.15 Physicochemical characterization of pretreated <i>Parthenium</i> biomass	75-76
3.15.1 Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) analysis	75
3.15.2 X-ray diffraction (XRD) analysis	75-76
3.15.3 Fourier transformed infrared (FTIR) analysis	76
3.16 Enzymatic saccharification experiments	76-78
3.16.1 Large scale production of cellulase by <i>A. terreus</i> CM20 under SSF and concentrated with acetone	76-77
3.16.2 Experimental setup for enzymatic saccharification	77-78
3.16.3 Effect of enzyme loading on saccharification	78
3.16.4 Effect of substrate loading on saccharification	78

3.17	Fermentation of sugar hydrolysate of pretreated <i>Parthenium</i> biomass	78-80
3.17.1	Microorganism and culture condition	79
3.17.2	Fermentation by mono and co-culture	79-80
3.17.3	Analysis of sugars and ethanol	80
Chapter 4	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	81-157
4.1	Isolation of lignin degrading fungi	81-83
4.2	Quantitative determination of lignocellulolytic enzymes in submerged fermentation	84-87
4.3	Quantitative determination fungal colonization and lignolytic enzymes production under solid state fermentation	88-92
4.4	Quantitative screening of lignin degradation by the fungal isolates	92-93
4.5	Molecular characterization of the fungal isolates	93-96
4.6	Optimization of laccase production by <i>P. acaciicola</i> LA1 using <i>Parthenium</i> biomass	97-109
4.7	Characterization of laccase produced by <i>P. acaciicola</i> LA 1 under SSF of <i>Parthenium</i> biomass	109-114
4.8	In-vitro dye decolourisation by crude laccase	114-115
4.9	Evaluation of <i>Parthenium</i> biomass for bioethanol production: optimization of pretreatment process	116-145
4.9.1	Composition analysis of raw and pretreated <i>Parthenium</i> biomass	116-119
4.9.2	Structural characterization of raw and pretreated <i>Parthenium</i> biomass	120-124
4.9.3	Effect of pretreatment methods on enzymatic digestibility of <i>Parthenium</i> biomass	125-130
4.9.4	Optimization of alkali pretreatment process using RSM	131-145
4.10	Enzymatic saccharification of alkali pretreated <i>Parthenium</i> biomass	146-150
4.10.1	Large scale production of hydrolytic enzymes from <i>A. terreus</i> CM20 and their concentration	146-147
4.10.2	Effect of enzyme loading on saccharification	148-149
4.10.3	Effect of solid loading on saccharification	149-150
4.11	Bioethanol production from enzymatic hydrolysate of alkali	151-157

	pretreated <i>Parthenium</i> biomass	
4.11.1	Compatibility test for co-culture of <i>Saccharomyces cerevisiae</i> LN and <i>Pichia stipitis</i> NCIM 3498	151-153
4.11.2	Fermentation of enzymatic hydrolysate of <i>Parthenium</i> biomass using monoculture and co-culture of <i>Saccharomyces cerevisiae</i> LN and <i>Pichia stipitis</i> NCIM 3498	153-157
Chapter 5	SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION	158-161
Chapter 6	REFERENCES	161-189
	Curriculum Vitae	190-191

LIST OF TABLES

Table No.	Title	Page No.
2.1	Possible utilization of <i>Parthenium hysterophorus</i> biomass.	15
2.2	Maximum laccase activities obtained by different filamentous fungi at solid state and submerged bioreactor scale.	27-28
2.3	Application of laccase producing fungi and crude enzymes in the delignification or other purpose in bioethanol production.	34-35
3.1	Experimental range and coded levels employed in the Response surface methodology (RSM) using a central composite design matrix for increasing the production of laccase by <i>P. acaciicola</i> LA 1.	62
3.2	Central composite design matrix to evaluate the independent factors and their interactive influence on laccase production by <i>P. acaciicola</i> LA 1.	63
3.3	Experimental range and coded levels employed in the Response surface methodology (RSM) using the Box-Behnken design to maximize lignin removal.	69
3.4	Box-Behnken design matrix for the experimental design with coded variables.	71
4.1	Qualitative screenings of the fungal isolates on the basis of different indicators on malt extract agar plate.	83
4.2	Cellulase and xylanase activity of promising isolates under submerged fermentation in Reese's mineral medium supplemented with 1 % (w/v) <i>P. hysterophorus</i> biomass after incubation at 30 °C for 7 days.	85
4.3	Lignolytic activity of promising isolates under submerged fermentation in Reese's mineral medium supplemented with 1 % (w/v) <i>P. hysterophorus</i> biomass after incubation at 30 °C for 7 days.	86
4.4	Central composite design matrix for the experimental design and respective response for laccase activity by <i>P. acaciicola</i> LA 1.	107
4.5	Regression analysis for production of laccase by <i>P. acaciicola</i> LA 1 for quadratic response surface model fitting (ANOVA).	108
4.6	Effect of metal ions on the relative activity of the laccase produced by <i>P. acaciicola</i> LA1.	112
4.7	Effect of organic solvents on the relative activity of the laccase produced	113

	by <i>P. acaciicola</i> LA1.	
4.8	Compositional analysis of raw and pretreated <i>P. hystrophorus</i> biomass.	119
4.9	Total reducing sugar (TRS) released during pretreatment process and followed by enzymatic saccharification of <i>P. hystrophorus</i> biomass with cellulase from <i>Aspergillus terreus</i> CM20.	130
4.10	Box-Behnken design matrix for the experimental design and respective response for delignification and reducing sugar yield of <i>P. hystrophorus</i> biomass.	133
4.11	Recovery of total solids and sugars obtained after the alkali pretreatment of <i>P. hystrophorus</i> biomass.	134
4.12	ANOVA of the response surface quadratic model for lignin removal of <i>P. hystrophorus</i> biomass.	136
4.13	ANOVA of the response surface quadratic model for TRS yield of <i>P. hystrophorus</i> biomass.	140
4.14	Comparative evaluation of delignification and sugar release from different alkali pretreated lignocellulosic biomass.	145
4.15	Hydrolytic enzyme activities in crude extract of <i>Aspergillus terreus</i> CM20 and the concentrated enzymes by single step acetone precipitation.	147
4.16	Individual sugars released after 48 h of saccharification of alkali pretreated and raw biomass.	151
4.17	Fermentation parameters obtained during the monoculture and co-culture fermentation of alkali pretreated enzymatic hydrolysate of <i>Parthenium</i> biomass using <i>S. cerevisiae</i> LN and <i>P. stipitis</i> NCIM 3498.	157

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure No.	Title	Page No.
2.1	Morphology of (a) <i>Parthenium hysterophorus</i> and (b) Geographical distribution of <i>P. hysterophorus</i> .	8
2.2	Chemical structure of different sesquiterpene lactones from <i>P. hysterophorus</i> .	10
2.3	Oxidation mechanism of laccase action in (a) phenolic compound and (b) in non-phenolic lignin compound with the mediator.	18
4.1	Screening of lignolytic fungi on plate shows the formation of bright reddish brown zone around the fungal disc producing lignolytic enzymes on lignin-guaiacol plates.	82
4.2	Extent of colonization of fungal isolates on <i>Parthenium</i> biomass during solid state fermentation in terms of N-acetylglucosamine content (mg/g substrate).	88
4.3	Cumulative lignolytic enzyme activity of the fungal isolates after (a) 7 days and (b) 14 days of incubation under solid state fermentation with <i>Parthenium</i> biomass.	90
4.4	Cellulase and xylanase activity of the fungal isolates after (a) 7 days and (b) 14 days of incubation under solid state fermentation with <i>Parthenium</i> biomass.	92
4.5	Lignin degradation (%) of <i>Parthenium</i> biomass by the fungal isolates during solid state fermentation of 14 days.	93
4.6	The PCR amplified product (550 bp) of the fungal isolates.	95
4.7	Phylogenetic trees based on rRNA gene sequences showing the relationship among isolated fungal strains with its nearest phylogenetic relatives.	96
4.8	Effect of solid to liquid ratio on laccase production by <i>P. acaciicola</i> LA1 with <i>Parthenium</i> as substrate.	98
4.9	Influence of laccase production on (a) initial pH of the moistening solution and (b) incubation temperature on laccase production by <i>P. acaciicola</i> LA1 with <i>Parthenium</i> as substrate.	99

4.10	Effect of ionic and non-ionic surfactants on laccase production by <i>P. acaciicola</i> LA1 with <i>Parthenium</i> as substrate.	100
4.11	Influence of supplementation of different (a) nitrogen and (b) carbon sources on laccase production by <i>P. acaciicola</i> LA1 with <i>Parthenium</i> as substrate.	101
4.12	Effect of different inducers on laccase production by <i>P. acaciicola</i> LA1 with <i>Parthenium</i> as substrate.	102
4.13	Effect of incubation time on laccase production by <i>P. acaciicola</i> LA1 with <i>Parthenium</i> as substrate.	103
4.14	Response surface plots of central composite design for optimization of the laccase production by <i>P. acaciicola</i> LA 1. Figure shows the interaction between (a) Incubation time and Liquid-solid ratio, (b) Incubation time and Yeast extract, (c) Incubation time and Copper sulfate, (d) Triton X-100 and Incubation time, (e) Solid-liquid ratio and Yeast extract, (f) Liquid-solid ratio and Copper sulfate.	109
4.15	Effect of pH and temperature on relative activity and stability of laccase from <i>P. acaciicola</i> LA 1; (a) optimum pH of laccase activity (U/mL), (b) pH stability of crude laccase, (c) optimum temperature of laccase activity (U/mL) and (d) thermostability of crude laccase at optimum pH with different incubation temperature for 5 h.	111
4.16	SDS-PAGE of the extracellular proteins present in the <i>P. acaciicola</i> LA 1 crude extract and zymography analysis for laccase, M: the low-molecular mass protein marker; lane 1: crude extract, lane 2: Native PAGE stained with ABTS.	114
4.17	UV-visible absorbance spectra of the reaction mixture during dye decolourization using crude aqueous extract with laccase activity from <i>P. acaciicola</i> LA 1; (a) RBBR, (b) RB 5.	115
4.18	SEM image of untreated <i>Parthenium</i> biomass and samples after different pretreatment. (a) Raw biomass, (b) Mechanical comminution, (c) Autoclaving, (d) 1 % H ₂ SO ₄ , (e) 3 % H ₂ SO ₄ , (f) 1% NaOH, (g) 3 % NaOH, (h) AFEX and (i) <i>P. acaciicola</i> LA1.	121
4.19	XRD pattern of untreated <i>Parthenium</i> biomass and samples after different pretreatment to infer the changes in cellulose crystallinity. (a) 3 % Alkali,	123

	(b) 1 % Alkali (c) 3 % Acid, (d)1% Acid, (e) <i>P. acaciicola</i> LA1, (f) Raw Parthenium (g) AFEX and (h) Autoclaving.	
4.20	FTIR spectra different pretreated and untreated <i>Parthenium</i> biomass depicts the changes in structural chemistry of the biomass.	124
4.21	Response surface plot of Box-Behnken design for delignification of <i>Parthenium</i> biomass. Figure shows different interaction between (a) Solid loading and NaOH, (b) Solid loading and Temperature, (c) Time and solid loading, (d) NaOH and Temperature (e) Time and NaOH and (f) Temperature and Time.	138
4.22	Response surface plot of Box-Behnken design for TRS yield of <i>Parthenium</i> biomass. Figure shows different interaction between (a) Solid loading and NaOH concentration, (b) Solid loading and Temperature, (c) Time and Solid loading, (d) NaOH concentration and Temperature (e) Time and NaOH concentration and (f) Temperature and Time.	141
4.23	Effect of enzyme loading on the enzymatic saccharification of alkali pretreated <i>Parthenium</i> biomass. The saccharification was carried out at 50 °C , pH 4.8,150 rpm with 5 % solid loading and hydrolysis time of 72 h.	148
4.24	Effect of solid loading on the enzymatic saccharification of alkali pretreated <i>Parthenium</i> biomass. The saccharification was carried out at 50 °C, pH 4.8, 150 rpm with 50 FPU/gds enzyme loading and hydrolysis time of 72 h.	150
4.25	The time course of sugar utilization and ethanol production by monoculture of <i>S. cerevisiae</i> LN at 30 °C.	152
4.26	The time course of sugar utilization and ethanol production by co-culture of <i>S. cerevisiae</i> LN and <i>P. stipitis</i> NCIM 3498 at 30 °C.	152
4.27	The time course of sugar utilization, ethanol production, ethanol yield and productivity of monoculture of <i>S. cerevisiae</i> LN at 30 °C under static condition, using alkali pretreated enzymatic hydrolysate of Parthenium biomass.	154
4.28	The time course of sugar utilization, ethanol production, ethanol yield and productivity of monoculture of <i>P. stipitis</i> NCIM 3498 at 30 °C under static condition, using alkali pretreated enzymatic hydrolysate of <i>Parthenium</i> biomass.	155

4.29	The time course of sugar utilization, ethanol production, ethanol yield and productivity of co-culture of <i>Saccharomyces cerevisiae</i> LN and <i>Pichia stipitis</i> NCIM 3498 at 30 °C under static condition, using alkali pretreated enzymatic hydrolysate of <i>Parthenium</i> biomass.	157
-------------	--	-----

Abbreviations

ABTS	2,2'-azino-bis-(3- ethyl-benzothizoline-6-sulphonic acid)
DNS	3,5-Dinitrosalicylic Acid
AFEX	Ammonia Fibre Expansion
ANOVA	Analysis of Variance
CMC	Carboxy Methyl Cellulose
cm	Centimeter
CBP	Consolidated Bioprocessing
°C	Degree Centigrade
DMP	Dimethoxyphenol
Fig.	Figure
FPU	Filter Paper Unit
FTIR	Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy
GC	Gas Chromatography
g	Gram
gds	Gram dry substrate
HPLC	High Performance Liquid Chromatography
h	Hour
IU	International Unit
kDa	Kilo Dalton
LME	Lignin Modifying Enzymes
LiP	Lignin peroxidase
LC	Lignocellulose
L	Litre

MnP	Manganese peroxidase
mg	Milligram
ml	Millilitre
mm	Millimetre
mM	Millimoles
min	Minutes
nm	Nanometer
%	Percentage
psi	Pressure per Square Inch
RB	Reactive Black
RBBR	Remazol Brilliant Blue R
RSM	Response Surface Methodology
rpm	Revolutions per minute
SEM	Scanning Electron Microscopy
SHF	Separate Hydrolysis and Fermentation
SSCF	Simultaneous Saccharification and Co-Fermentation
SSF	Solid State Fermentation
SmF	Submerged Fermentation
v/v	Volume by volume
w/v	Weight by volume
w/w	Weight by weight
XRD	X-ray Diffraction