

# **FRICTION STIR WELDING OF 5052 ALUMINIUM ALLOY**

**RATNESH KUMAR RAJ SINGH**



**DEPARTMENT OF APPLIED MECHANICS  
INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY DELHI**

**APRIL 2017**

©Indian Institute of Technology Delhi (IITD), New Delhi, 2017

# **FRICTION STIR WELDING OF 5052 ALUMINIUM ALLOY**

by

**RATNESH KUMAR RAJ SINGH**

**DEPARTMENT OF APPLIED MECHANICS**

**Submitted**

**in fulfillment of the requirements of the degree of Doctor of Philosophy**

**to the**



**INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY DELHI**

**NEW DELHI, INDIA**

**APRIL 2017**

## **CERTIFICATE**

This is to certify that the thesis entitled “**Friction Stir Welding of 5052 Aluminium Alloy**” being submitted by Mr. **Ratnesh Kumar Raj Singh** to the Indian Institute of Technology Delhi is worthy of consideration for the award of the degree of **Doctor of Philosophy** and is a record of the original bonafide research work carried out by him. Mr. **Ratnesh Kumar Raj Singh** has worked under our supervision for the submission of this thesis, which to our knowledge has reached the requisite standard. To the best of my knowledge, this work in part or full has not been presented to any other university or institute for the award of any degree/diploma.

**Prof. Rajesh Prasad**

Professor

Department of Applied Mechanics  
Indian Institute of Technology Delhi  
Hauz Khas, New Delhi – 110016, India

**Prof. Sunil Pandey**

Professor

Department of Mechanical Engineering  
Indian Institute of Technology Delhi  
Hauz Khas, New Delhi – 110016, India

Date:

Place: New Delhi

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

I am extremely grateful to the God for the blessings, which of course have been with me always, and giving me the strength and dedication to complete my work to the best of my ability. I pay my exceptional gratitude to Professor Rajesh Prasad (Department of Applied Mechanics, IIT Delhi) and Prof. Sunil Pandey (Department of Mechanical Engineering, IIT Delhi), whose mere presence as my supervisors, consciously and subconsciously, always gave me support and strength. I have been able to complete this work only by virtue of the knowledge that I received from them during my PhD.

The experiment based research work requires availability of adequate research infrastructure. I am thankful to my supervisors, who are indeed, my mentors, Prof. Rajesh Prasad and Prof. Sunil Pandey to help me to get access to all the necessary facilities in IIT Delhi. I am privileged to work under the supervision of them and owe all thanks to them for supporting, advising and guiding me from time to time during course of experimentation and throughout my research studies.

My special thanks are also to Prof. Suhail Ahmad, Department of Applied Mechanics, IIT Delhi for his constant help and support. Being the chairman of student research committee (SRC), he always advised me with his valuable suggestions and expert opinions. I acknowledge the help and support that I received from Prof. Puneet Mahajan, Department of Applied Mechanics, IIT Delhi, during my research as the member of SRC. I also deeply thank Dr. P.M. Pandey, Associate Professor, Department of Mechanical Engineering for being the member of my SRC and for his advices and expert opinions related to my research. I am indebted to Dr. S.

Aravindan, Associate Professor, Department of Mechanical Engineering, for their help, support and valuable suggestions during my research.

I am also thankful to my fellow scholars Dr. Dinesh Rathore, Mrs. Deepti Jaiswal, Mr. Dinesh Shetti, Mr. Saurabh Shukla, Mr. Gaurav Singh, Mr. Anuj Jindal, Mrs. Shaksi Chauhan, Mrs. Shanta Mohapatra, Prof. Arshad Noor Siddiquee, Prof. Hariom Choudhary, Dr. Zaheer Khan, Mr. Abhishek Pandey, Mr. Aryajyoti Goswami, Mr. Mohd. Awol Habib and Mr. Siddharth Tamang. The support extended by them during the critical stages of this research work is gratefully acknowledged and I am also thankful for their interest and involvement in the technical discussions.

The help provided by staff of the Mechanical Engineering and Applied Mechanics Division Laboratories especially Mr. Ayodhya Prasad, Mr. Anil Kumar, Mr. Tulsiram, Mr. Ramchandrar and Mr. Bhavar Singh is appreciated. The help extended on personal fronts by Mr. Ayodhya Prasad and Mr. Anil Kumar is gratefully acknowledged.

I am most grateful to my family. I express my deepest gratitude's to my parents, wife, and brother. I love them with all of my heart. I am thankful to my parents for the principles they instilled in me as a young man and for their continued support, guidance, and love for our family. It would have been impossible to complete this work without my family's understanding, patience, and sacrifices.

**(Ratnesh Kumar Raj Singh)**

## **ABSTRACT**

Friction Stir Welding (FSW) is a solid state welding process which involves stirring the material by a non consumable rotating tool to generate frictional heating that soften the base material and produces the joint. FSW is commonly used for welding of aluminium alloys. Owing to solid state nature, and reduced dependence on skills, FSW has numerous advantages over fusion welding processes. Consequently, researchers have shown increasing interest in the application of FSW to aluminium alloys to achieve better mechanical properties.

In the present research, friction stir welding of 5052-H32 aluminium alloy has been performed using conventional robust vertical milling machine (VMM). This VMM has been modified to work as a FSW machine and fitted with an indigenously developed setup which is capable of firmly clamping the 6 mm thick 5052 aluminium plates and is also capable for measuring downward and traverse welding forces. The different attachments have also been fitted with VMM for measurement of temperature distribution and power consumption during welding. A tool holder interface has also been developed which is able to effectively clamp the various size of FSW tool. The hot die steel (H13) tool with a cylindrical threaded pin has been used for the welding.

Numerous trial experiments have been performed to establish the principal FSW process parameters. The tool pin and shoulder diameter, tool rotation and welding speed have been selected as the FSW process parameters and experimentation have been performed in accordance with the response surface methodology (RSM). Mechanical and metallurgical tests have been performed for each welded specimen. The weld quality has been analyzed though tensile, impact,

fatigue and fracture toughness tests along with microstructural examination. The effect of individual process parameter on each weld properties has been analyzed in detail.

It has also been found that the external cooling also affects the quality of welds produced by FSW. To see the effect of external cooling three cooling media such as natural air, water and liquid nitrogen have been used for welding and welds have been examined based on mechanical and metallurgical properties. The water has been found a suitable cooling media for better mechanical properties.

To achieve best mechanical properties, it is necessary to optimize the process parameters based on suitable cooling media. So the FSW process parameters have been optimized again for the best suited cooling media. In this experiment FSW process parameter has been studied through a separate set of experiments using Taguchi's L9 orthogonal array. The effect of process parameter in cooling media on weld quality characteristics such as strength, elongation, impact strength, fatigue and fracture toughness have been analyzed.

Analysis of results has revealed significant effect of all these FSW parameters and cooling media on mechanical and metallurgical properties of the weld. The FSW process parameters have also significantly affected the welding force generated, power consumed and temperature distributed during welding. The metallurgical test results have revealed occurrence of considerable grain refinement in the stir zone owing to dynamic recrystallization mechanism.

## सार

घर्षण घूर्णन वेल्डिंग (एफएसडब्लू) एक ठोस अवस्था वाली वेल्डिंग प्रक्रिया है जिसमें गैर-उपभोज्य घूर्णन उपकरण द्वारा घुलनशील ताप उत्पन्न करने के लिए सामग्री को तेजी से घुमाया जाता है, जो आधार सामग्री को नरम करते हैं और संयुक्त जोड़ बनाता है। एफएसडब्लू आमतौर पर एल्यूमीनियम मिश्र धातुओं के वेल्डिंग के लिए उपयोग किया जाता है। ठोस अवस्था की प्रकृति और कौशल पर निर्भरता में कमी के कारण एफएसडब्लू के फ्यूजन वेल्डिंग प्रक्रियाओं से ज्यादा फायदे हैं। नतीजतन, शोधकर्ताओं ने बेहतर यांत्रिक गुणों को प्राप्त करने के लिए एल्यूमीनियम मिश्र धातु को जोड़ने के लिए एफएसडब्लू के उपयोग में बढ़ती रुचि दिखाई है।

वर्तमान शोध में, 5052-एच 32 एल्यूमीनियम मिश्र धातु के घर्षण घूर्णन वेल्डिंग के लिए पारंपरिक रूप से मजबूत ऊर्ध्वाधर मिलिंग मशीन (वीएमएम) का उपयोग किया गया है। इस वीएमएम को एफएसडब्लू मशीन के रूप में काम करने के लिए संशोधित किया गया है और श्वत: विकसित सेटअप के साथ लगाया गया है जो 6 मिमी मोटी 5052 एल्यूमीनियम प्लेटों को मजबूती से जोड़ने में सक्षम है और वे नीचे की तरफ लगने वाली वेल्डिंग बलों को मापने में भी सक्षम है। वेल्डिंग के दौरान तापमान वितरण और बिजली की खपत के माप के लिए विभिन्न उपकरण भी वीएमएम के साथ लगाए गए हैं। उपकरण धारक अंतरफलक भी विकसित किया गया है जो कि प्रभावी रूप से विभिन्न आकार के धातु के चादरों को दबाने में सक्षम है। वेल्डिंग के लिए गर्म मरने वाले स्टील (एच 13) से बनी एक बेलनाकार पेचदार पिन का उपयोग किया गया है।

प्रमुख एफएसडब्लू प्रक्रिया मापदंडों को स्थापित करने के लिए कई प्रयोग परीक्षण किए गए। उपकरण पिन और कंधे का व्यास, उपकरण रोटेशन और वेल्डिंग की गति का चयन एफएसडब्लू प्रक्रिया मापदंडों

के रूप में किया गया है और प्रयोग की प्रतिक्रिया सतह पद्धति (आरएसएम) के अनुसार किया गया है। प्रत्येक वेल्डेड नमूने के लिए यांत्रिक और धातुविक गुणों के टेस्ट जैसे की तन्यता, प्रभाव, फटीग और फ्रैक्चर परीक्षणों के साथ-साथ सूक्ष्म-संरचनात्मक परीक्षा के साथ वेल्ड गुणवत्ता का विश्लेषण किया गया है। प्रत्येक वेल्ड गुणों पर अलग-अलग प्रक्रिया मापदंडों के प्रभाव का विश्लेषण किया गया है।

यह भी पाया गया है कि बाहरी शीतलन एफएसडब्ल्यू द्वारा उत्पादित वेल्ड्स की गुणवत्ता को भी प्रभावित करती है। बाहरी शीतलन के प्रभाव को देखने के लिए प्राकृतिक वायु, जल और तरल नाइट्रोजन जैसे शीतलन माध्यमों का इस्तेमाल वेल्डिंग के लिए किया गया है और यांत्रिक और धातु संबंधी गुणों के आधार पर वेल्ड की जांच की गई है। अच्छे यांत्रिक गुणों की वजह से जल को एक उपयुक्त माध्यम पाया गया है।

सर्वश्रेष्ठ यांत्रिक गुण प्राप्त करने के लिए, उपयुक्त बाहरी शीतलन पर आधारित प्रक्रिया मापदंडों को अनुकूलित करना आवश्यक है। इसलिए एफएसडब्ल्यू प्रक्रिया मापदंडों का अनुकूलतम शीतलन माध्यम के लिए फिर से अनुकूलित किया गया है। इस प्रयोग में एफएसडब्ल्यू प्रक्रिया मापदंडों का इस्तेमाल तागुची एल 9 ऑर्थोगोनल सरणी के प्रयोग के एक अलग सेट के माध्यम से किया गया है। शीतलन माध्यमों में प्रक्रिया मापदंडों का प्रभाव वेल्ड गुणवत्ता विशेषताओं जैसे कि शक्ति, बढ़ाव, प्रभाव शक्ति, फटीग और फ्रैक्चर का विश्लेषण किया गया है।

परिणामों के विश्लेषण ने इन सभी एफएसडब्ल्यू मापदंडों और शीतलन माध्यमों के वेल्ड के यांत्रिक और धातुविक गुणों पर महत्वपूर्ण प्रभाव दिखाया है। एफएसडब्ल्यू प्रक्रिया मापदंडों ने वेल्डिंग के दौरान उत्पन्न वेल्डिंग बल, बिजली का सेवन और तापमान को भी प्रभावित किया है। धातुविक गुणों के परिष्ण के नतीजे ने गतिशील पुनर्रचना तंत्र के चलते अस्थिर क्षेत्र में काफी ग्रेन शोधन की घटना का पता चला है।

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

Certificate		i
Acknowledgements		iii
Abstract		v
Table of Contents		vii
List of Figures		xxiii
List of Tables		xxxii
List of Symbols		xxxvii
<b>Chapter 1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	1
1.1	Background	3
1.2	Research Objective	4
1.3	Benefits of The Research	4
1.4	Organization of the Thesis	5
1.5	References	7
<b>Chapter 2</b>	<b>Literature Review</b>	9
2.1	Introduction	11
2.2	Aluminium and its properties	12
2.3	Aluminium alloy and temper designation system	12

2.4	Wrought Aluminium Alloy	15
2.5	Aluminium-Magnesium (AA 5xxx) alloys	16
2.5.1	The Al-Mg System	17
2.6	Aluminium Alloy AA 5052	18
2.7	Welding of aluminium	22
2.8	Problem in Aluminium welding	22
2.9	Introduction of Friction stir welding	24
2.9.1	Microstructural Features of FSW	26
2.9.2	Advantage of FSW Process	27
2.9.3	Suitability of FSW process for marine application	28
2.9.4	Major challenges for FSW of Aluminium	28
2.9.4.1	Tool Material	29
2.9.4.2	Machine Tool and Equipment	29
2.9.4.3	Selection and Optimization of Process Parameter	30
2.10	References	30
<b>Chapter 3</b>	<b>Methodology and Experimentation</b>	<b>35</b>
3.1	Introduction	37
3.2	Equipment	39
3.2.1	The Vertical Milling Machine	39

3.2.2	Work Fixture	39
3.2.3	Load Measuring attachment	41
3.2.4	Holder for Tool Attachment	42
3.3	Tool Material	43
3.4	Power and Temperature Measurement	44
3.5	Experimentation	44
3.5.1	Base Material	44
3.5.2	Tool Design	45
3.5.3	Experimental Trial and Determination of Parameter widow	46
3.6	Design of Experiments	47
3.6.1	Terminology used in design of experiment	47
3.6.2	Basic principles of design	49
3.6.3	Steps in Experimentation	49
3.6.4	Need for statistically designed experiments	50
3.6.5	Central composite design	50
3.6.6	Response surface methodology	51
3.6.6.1	Design for Fitting for Response Surface	51
3.6.7	Taguchi Method	51

3.6.8	Selection of orthogonal array	53
3.6.9	Analysis of Variance	53
3.7	Experimentation	54
3.7.1	Optimizing FSW process parameter	54
3.7.2	Optimization of cooling media	55
3.7.3	Optimization of process parameter for underwater welding	58
3.8	Preparation of test coupons	59
3.9	Weld characterization	59
3.9.1	Tensile testing	61
3.9.2	Charpy impact testing	62
3.9.3	Fatigue testing	63
3.9.4	Fracture toughness testing	64
3.9.5	Hardness Testing	66
3.9.6	Microstructure	68
3.9.7	Fractography	69
3.9.8	Force measurement	69
3.9.9	Power measurement	69
3.9.10	Temperature measurement	69

3.10	References	70
<b>Chapter 4</b>	<b>Optimization of FSW process parameter</b>	<b>73</b>
4.1	Introduction	75
4.2	Development of an empirical relationship	75
4.3	Optimization of FSW process parameter	76
4.4	Result and Discussion	78
4.4.1	Effect of process parameter on Tensile properties	78
4.4.1.1	Effect of pin diameter	86
4.4.1.2	Effect of shoulder diameter	86
4.4.1.3	Effect of rotational speed	86
4.4.1.4	Effect of welding speed	87
4.4.2	Effect of process parameter on % elongation	88
4.4.2.1	Effect of pin diameter on % elongation	89
4.4.2.2	Effect of shoulder diameter on % elongation	93
4.4.2.3	Effect of rotational speed on % elongation	93
4.4.2.4	Effect of welding speed on % elongation	93
4.4.3	Effect of process parameter on Charpy impact strength	94
4.4.3.1	Effect of pin diameter on Charpy impact strength	99

4.4.3.2	Effect of shoulder diameter on Charpy impact strength	100
4.4.3.3	Effect of rotational speed on Charpy impact strength	100
4.4.3.4	Effect of welding speed on Charpy impact strength	100
4.4.4	Effect of process parameter on FCGR	101
4.4.4.1	Effect of pin diameter on FCGR	107
4.4.4.2	Effect of shoulder diameter on FCGR	107
4.4.4.3	Effect of rotational speed on FCGR	108
4.4.4.4	Effect of welding speed on FCGR	108
4.4.5	Effect of process parameter on fracture toughness properties in WNZ	108
4.4.5.1	Effect of pin diameter on fracture toughness	110
4.4.5.2	Effect of shoulder diameter on fracture toughness	110
4.4.5.3	Effect of rotational speed on fracture toughness	114
4.4.5.4	Effect of welding speed on fracture toughness	114
4.4.6	Effect of process parameter on fracture toughness properties in HAZ	114
4.4.6.1	Effect of pin diameter	119
4.4.6.2	Effect of shoulder diameter	119

	4.4.6.3	Effect of rotational speed	119
	4.4.6.4	Effect of welding speed	120
4.5		References	120
<b>Chapter 5</b>		<b>Optimization of Cooling Media</b>	125
5.1		Introduction	127
5.2		Experimentation	127
5.3		Results and discussion	128
	5.3.1	Tensile properties	128
	5.3.2	Charpy impact strength	130
	5.3.3	Fatigue Properties	131
	5.3.3.1	FCGR along the weld nugget	132
	5.3.3.2	FCGR across the weld nugget	135
	5.3.4	Fracture toughness properties	138
	5.3.4.1	Fracture toughness properties in weld nugget	138
	5.3.4.2	Fracture toughness properties in HAZ	138
5.4		References	141
<b>Chapter 6</b>		<b>Optimization of Process parameter for Underwater FSW</b>	145
6.1		Introduction	147

6.2	Experimental Procedure	148
6.3	Results and Discussions	149
6.3.1	Effect of process parameter on tensile properties	149
6.3.1.1	Effect of Pin Diameter on UTS	153
6.3.1.2	Effect of Shoulder diameter on UTS	154
6.3.1.3	Effect of rotation speed on UTS	154
6.3.1.4	Effect of welding speed on UTS	155
6.3.2	Effect of process parameter on % elongation	155
6.3.2.1	Effect of Pin Diameter on % elongation	157
6.3.2.2	Effect of Shoulder diameter on % elongation	158
6.3.2.3	Effect of rotation speed on % elongation	158
6.3.2.4	Effect of welding speed on % elongation	158
6.3.3	Effect of process parameter on Charpy impact strength	159
6.3.3.1	Effect of Pin Diameter on impact strength	160
6.3.3.2	Effect of Shoulder diameter on impact strength	162
6.3.3.3	Effect of rotation speed on impact strength	163
6.3.3.4	Effect of welding speed on impact strength	163
6.3.4	Effect of process parameter on fatigue properties	163

	6.3.4.1	FCGR Behaviour Along the WNZ	164
	6.3.4.2	FCGR Behavior Across the WNZ	171
	6.3.5	Effect of process parameter on fracture toughness	178
	6.3.5.1	Fracture toughness properties in WNZ	178
	6.3.5.2	Fracture toughness properties in HAZ	182
6.4		References	188
<b>Chapter 7</b>		<b>Microstructure, Microhardness and Fracture Surfaces</b>	193
7.1		Introduction	195
7.2		Weld Appearance on the top Surface	195
	7.2.1	Surface appearance of weld produced in different cooling media	195
	7.2.2	Surface appearance of weld produced in underwater	198
7.3		Relationship between the top surface roughness and Microstructure development	199
7.4		Microstructure development around the tool pin: Based on broken tool Study	200
7.5		Macrostructure of WNZ	203
7.6		Microstructure of welds produced in different cooling media	209
7.7		Macrostructure of weld produced in underwater	212

	welding	
7.8	Microstructure of weld produced in underwater welding	213
7.9	Lazy 's' (zigzag line) defect formation	216
7.10	Hardness distribution in welds	217
7.10.1	Hardness distribution in welds produced in natural air cooling	218
7.10.2	Hardness distribution of weld produced in different cooling media	221
7.10.3	Hardness distribution in welds produced in underwater welding	223
7.11	Fractography of tensile fracture samples	219
7.11.1	Fracture location of tensile samples of weld produced in air cooling	224
7.11.2	Fracture location of tensile samples of Weld produced in different cooling media	225
7.11.3	Fracture surfaces of tensile samples produced in different cooling media	226
7.11.4	Fracture location of tensile samples of welds produced in underwater FSW	231
7.11.5	Fracture surfaces of tensile samples of weld produced in underwater FSW	233
7.12	Fracture properties of Fatigue sample	237
7.12.1	Fracture surfaces of fatigue samples with a crack	238

	propagets in WNZ	
7.12.2	Fracture surfaces of fatigue samples with a crack propagates across the WNZ	239
7.12.3	Fractography of fracture surfaces of fatigue samples	240
7.13	Fractography of fracture toughness tested samples	242
7.14	References	244
<b>Chapter 8</b>	<b>Force Power and Temperature measurement</b>	<b>249</b>
8.1	Introduction	251
8.2	Force generation during welding	251
8.2.1	Forces generated during welding in Air	252
8.2.2	Forces generated during different cooling media	255
8.2.3	Forces generated during under water welding	255
8.3	Effect of process parameter on downward forces	258
8.3.1	Effect of pin diameter on downward forces	258
8.3.2	Effect of shoulder diameter on downward forces	259
8.3.3	Effect of tool rotational speed on downward forces	259
8.3.4	Effect of welding speed on downward forces	260
8.3.5	Effect of cooling media	260
8.3.6	Variation of downward forces due to other	260

	regions	
8.4	Temperature distribution during welding	261
8.5	Heat flow analysis	261
8.6	Power consumption during welding	264
8.6.1	Power consumption during welding in air	264
8.6.1.1	Effect of pin diameter on Power consumption in air	267
8.6.1.2	Effect of shoulder diameter on Power consumption in air	271
8.6.1.3	Effect of rotational speed on Power consumption in air	271
8.6.1.4	Effect of welding speed on Power consumption in air	271
8.6.2	Power consumption in different cooling media	271
8.6.3	Power consumption during underwater welding	272
8.6.3.1	Effect of pin diameter on power consumption during underwater welding	272
8.6.3.2	Effect of shoulder diameter on power consumption during underwater welding	274
8.6.3.3	Effect of tool rotation on power consumption during underwater welding	275
8.6.3.1	Effect of welding speed on power consumption during underwater welding	275

8.7	References	275
<b>Chapter 9</b>	<b>Discussion and Conclusion</b>	<b>279</b>
9.1	Outcomes of the research	281
9.2	Chapter 4: Effect of process parameter on weld properties	281
9.2.1	The effect of Tool Pin diameter on weld properties	282
9.2.2	Effect of shoulder diameter on weld properties	283
9.2.3	Effect of tool rotational speed on weld properties	283
9.2.4	Effect of welding speed on weld properties	284
9.3	Chapter 5: Effect of external cooling on weld properties	285
9.4	Chapter 6: Effect of process parameter on weld properties in underwater welding	289
9.4.1	Effect of tool pin diameter	291
9.4.2	Effect of tool shoulder diameter	292
9.4.3	Effect of tool rotational speed	293
9.4.4	Effect of welding speed	294
9.5	Chapter 7: microstructure and microhardness development during the fsw and fracture surfaces	295
9.5.1	Surface finish	295

9.5.2	Microstructure development around the tool pin: study based on broken tool	296
9.5.3	Microstructure development in wnz	298
9.5.4	Microstructure of welds	300
9.5.5	Lazy 's' (zigzag line) defect	302
9.5.6	Hardness distribution in welds	303
9.5.7	Fractography of fracture samples	306
9.5.7.1	Fractography of tensile fracture samples	306
9.5.7.2	Fractography of fatigue samples	308
	9.5.7.3 fractography of fracture toughness samples	310
9.6:	Chapter 8: Forces, Power and Temperature Measurement	311
9.6.1	Effect of process parameter on force generated and power consumption	311
9.6.2	Effect of process parameter	311
9.6.2.1	Effect of tool pin diameter	312
9.6.2.2	Effect of tool shoulder diameter	312
9.6.2.3	Effect of tool rotational speed	312
9.6.2.4	Effect of welding speed	313

	9.6.2.5	Effect of cooling media	313
	9.6.3	Temperature distribution during welding	313
9.7		Conclusion	314
9.8		Scope for the future work	315
9.9		References	316

## **Appendices**

Appendix 1		Tensile, Charpy and Fatigue Properties of Welds Produced in Natural Air Cooling	325
Appendix 2		Fracture, Notch and Other Properties of Welds Produced in Natural Air Cooling	326
Appendix 3		Tensile and Charpy Impact Properties of Welds Produced in Underwater	327
Appendix 4		Fatigue Properties of Welds Produced in Underwater	327
Appendix 5		Fracture Toughness Properties of Welds Produced in Underwater	328
Appendix 6		Curriculum Vitae	329

## LIST OF FIGURES

<b>Figure</b>	<b>Title</b>	<b>Page No.</b>
<b>Fig. 2.1</b>	Al-alloys usage in the Alcoa Audi A8 2002	11
<b>Fig. 2.2.</b>	The principal wrought aluminium alloys	17
<b>Fig. 2.3</b>	The Aluminium-Magnesium equilibrium diagram	19
<b>Fig. 2.4</b>	Schematics of FSW process	25
<b>Fig. 2.5</b>	A typical macrostructure of FSW showing various microstructural features	26
<b>Fig. 3.1</b>	Friction Stir Welding machine and attached setups	40
<b>Fig. 3.2</b>	Welding fixture attached on load measuring setup	41
<b>Fig. 3.3</b>	Force measuring attachment (a) Top view of force measuring attachment, (b) Side view of attachment, (c) Bottom Plate with load cell which is attached to milling machine and (d) load cell with data acquisition and storage system	42
<b>Fig. 3.4</b>	Tool Holder (a) Schematic diagram of tool holder (b) 3 D view of Tool Holder (c) Tool holder with attached tool	43
<b>Fig. 3.5</b>	Tool design for Friction Stir welding	47
<b>Fig. 3.6</b>	Schematic diagram of FSW with external cooling	57
<b>Fig. 3.7</b>	Friction Stir welding in (a) Air, (b) Underwater and (c) Under Liquid Nitrogen	57
<b>Fig. 3.8</b>	Wire EDM cutting of Samples	60
<b>Fig. 3.9</b>	Drawing of Samples preparation from weld	60

<b>Fig. 3.10</b>	Dimension (in mm) of subsize tensile samples	61
<b>Fig. 3.11</b>	Dimension (in mm) of miniature tensile samples	61
<b>Fig. 3.12</b>	Dimension (in mm) of notched tensile samples	62
<b>Fig. 3.13</b>	Stress-Strain diagram for base metal in Parallel and perpendicular to rolling	62
<b>Fig. 3.14</b>	Dimension (in mm) of Charpy Impact Sample	63
<b>Fig. 3.15</b>	Fatigue Specimen (a) Dimensions of Fatigue samples, (b)- Sample for FCGR across weld Nugget, (c)- Sample for FCGR along the weld nugget	64
<b>Fig. 3.16</b>	The FCGR measurement (a) FCGR measurement in base metal by both electronic and COD gauge, (b) FCGR measurement in weld by COD gauge, (c) FCGR measurement across the weld nugget by COD gauge	65
<b>Fig. 3.17</b>	FCGR in base metal parallel and perpendicular to rolling	65
<b>Fig. 3.18</b>	Fracture toughness samples (a) Schematic diagram of fracture sample, (b) fracture toughness samples in the centre of weld nugget (c) fracture toughness sample in HAZ of AS (d) Fracture toughness sample in HAZ of RS	67
<b>Fig. 3.19</b>	Fracture toughness testing (a)- Fracture toughness testing machine and (b)- 3-point bend fracture toughness testing	67
<b>Fig. 3.20</b>	Schematic CTOD Fracture Toughness testing graph	68
<b>Fig. 3.21</b>	Microhardness indentations across the weld nugget and from top to bottom in weld nugget	68
<b>Fig. 4.1</b>	Engineering Stress-Strain curve for tensile tested samples	79-80
<b>Fig. 4.2</b>	Variation in UTS in FSWed samples	80

<b>Fig. 4.3</b>	Normal Probability vs Studentized Residual plot for UTS	82
<b>Fig 4.4</b>	Predicted vs actual plot for UTS	83
<b>Fig. 4.5</b>	Variation of UTS with respect to (a) pin diameter (b) shoulder Diameter (c) rotational speed (d) welding speed	84-85
<b>Fig. 4.6</b>	Normal Probability vs Studentized Residual plot for % Elongation	90
<b>Fig 4.7</b>	Predicted vs actual plot for % elongation	90
<b>Fig. 4.8</b>	Variation of % Elongation with respect to (a) pin Diameter (b) Shoulder Diameter (c) Rotational Speed and (d) Welding speed	91-92
<b>Fig. 4.9</b>	Variations of Charpy Impact Strength in different welds	94
<b>Fig. 4.10</b>	Normal Probability vs Studentized Residual plot for Charpy Impact Strength	96
<b>Fig. 4.11</b>	Predicted vs actual plot for Charpy impact strength	96
<b>Fig. 4.12</b>	Variation of % Charpy impact strength with respect to (a) pin Diameter (b) Shoulder Diameter (c) rotational speed (d) welding speed	97-98
<b>Fig. 4.13</b>	Normal Probability vs Studentized Residual plot for FCGR	103
<b>Fig. 4.14</b>	Predicted vs actual plot for FCGR	103
<b>Fig. 4.15</b>	Effect of process parameter on FCGR properties	104-105
<b>Fig. 4.16</b>	Normal Probability vs Studentized Residual plot CTOD in WNZ	111
<b>Fig 4.17</b>	Predicted vs actual plot for CTOD in WNZ	111
<b>Fig. 4.18</b>	Variation of Fracture Toughness in WNZ with respect to (a) pin Diameter (b) Shoulder Diameter (c) rotational speed (d) welding speed	112-113

<b>Fig. 4.19</b>	Normal Probability vs Studentized Residual plot for CTOD in HAZ	116
<b>Fig. 4.20</b>	Predicted vs actual plot for CTOD in HAZ	116
<b>Fig. 4.21</b>	Variation of Fracture Toughness in HAZ with respect to (a) pin Diameter (b) Shoulder Diameter (c) rotational speed (d) welding speed	117-118
<b>Fig.5.1</b>	Engineering Stress-Strain diagram of welds at (a) Low welding Speed and (b) High welding speed	130
<b>Fig. 5.2</b>	Charpy impact strength of different welds and their average values (in red mark)	131
<b>Fig. 5.3</b>	FCGR at different welding condition in the weld nugget	133
<b>Fig. 5.4</b>	Slopes of FCGR curves at different welding condition in the weld nugget	134
<b>Fig. 5.5</b>	FCGR in different welds at a constant $\Delta K$ (10 MPa-m <sup>1/2</sup> )	134
<b>Fig. 5.6</b>	FCGR at different welding condition	136
<b>Fig. 5.7</b>	Slope of FCGR at different welding condition across the weld nugget	137
<b>Fig. 5.8</b>	FCGR at different welding condition across the weld nugget	137
<b>Fig. 5.9</b>	Fracture toughness properties in weld produced in different cooling media	139
<b>Fig. 5.10</b>	Fracture Toughness Properties in Weld Nugget	141
<b>Fig. 6.1</b>	Underwater FSW of aluminium alloy	148
<b>Fig. 6.2</b>	UTS of welds and base along with their average values	151
<b>Fig.6.3</b>	YS of welds and base along with their average values	151
<b>Fig. 6.4</b>	% Elongation of welds and base along with their average values	151

<b>Fig. 6.5</b>	Effect of process parameters on UTS	153
<b>Fig. 6.6</b>	Effect of process parameter on % Elongation	157
<b>Fig. 6.7</b>	Charpy Impact Strength of underwater FSWed samples	160
<b>Fig. 6.8</b>	Effect of process parameter on Charpy impact strength	162
<b>Fig. 6.9</b>	FCGR in WNZ of underwater welded samples with 7 mm Pin Diameter	165
<b>Fig. 6.10</b>	FCGR in WNZ of underwater welded samples with 8 mm Pin Diameter	166
<b>Fig. 6.11</b>	FCGR in WNZ of underwater welded samples with 9 mm Pin Diameter	167
<b>Fig. 6.12</b>	Slope of FCGR curve used for calculation of da/dN	168
<b>Fig. 6.13</b>	FCGR variation in WNZ with welding process parameters	171
<b>Fig. 6.14</b>	FCGR in AS and RS HAZ samples with 7 mm Pin Diameter	172
<b>Fig. 6.15</b>	FCGR in AS and RS HAZ samples with 8 mm Pin Diameter	173
<b>Fig. 6.16</b>	FCGR in AS and RS HAZ samples with 9 mm Pin Diameter	174
<b>Fig. 6.17</b>	Slope of FCGR curve in AS and RS used for calculation of da/dN	176
<b>Fig. 6.18</b>	Effect of process parameter on FCGR in AS HAZ	177
<b>Fig. 6.19</b>	Effect of process parameter on FCGR in RS HAZ	177
<b>Fig. 6.20</b>	CTOD in weld nugget (Red mark showing average values)	179
<b>Fig. 6.21</b>	Fracture Toughness Properties in Weld Nugget	181
<b>Fig. 6.22</b>	CTOD in HAZ (AS and RS)	183
<b>Fig. 6.23</b>	Effect of Process Parameter on Fracture Toughness Properties in AS HAZ	186

<b>Fig. 6.24</b>	Effect of process parameter on Fracture Toughness Properties in RS HAZ	188
<b>Fig. 7.1</b>	Material flow and top surface roughness correlated by (a) Dark and bright bands in WNZ (taken by Optical Microscope) and (b) shoulder mark on top surface (taken by SEM)	199
<b>Fig. 7.2</b>	Weld mid section along the welding direction	201
<b>Fig. 7.3</b>	Showing material flow at (a) location ‘e’ and (b) location ‘f’	201
<b>Fig. 7.4</b>	Dark and Bright bands (a) Different in particle size (taken by optical microscope) (b) Different in grain size (taken by SEM)	202
<b>Fig. 7.5</b>	Optical microstructure of fractured tool pin in transverse direction of weld	202
<b>Fig. 7.6</b>	Optical microstructure taken in (a) Advancing and (b) Retreating side of fractured tool	203
<b>Fig. 7.7</b>	Optical macrostructure of welds produced at low welding speed in different cooling media	205
<b>Fig. 7.8</b>	Optical macrostructure of welds produced at high welding speed in different cooling media	206
<b>Fig. 7.9</b>	Optical macrostructure of weld cross section showing locations for width measurement	208
<b>Fig. 7.10</b>	Weld width at different location (as shown in Fig. 7.9)	208
<b>Fig. 7.11</b>	Combined width of HAZ+TMAZ in AS and RS	208
<b>Fig. 7.12</b>	Optical microstructure of base metal taken (a) along and (b) across the rolling direction	209
<b>Fig. 7.13</b>	Optical microstructure of weld produced in different cooling media and welding speed (a) Air@100, (b) Air@400, (c) UW@100, (d) UW@400, (e) UN@100 and (f) UN@400	210

<b>Fig. 7.14</b>	Optical microstructure of TMAZ in (a)- Advancing and (b)- Retreating Side	212
<b>Fig. 7.15</b>	Optical microstructure of underwater weld produced at different set of process parameters (a)-W71, (b)-W72, (c)- W73, (d)-W81, (e)- W82, (f)-W83, (g)-W91, (h)-W92, (i)-W93	214-215
<b>Fig. 7.16</b>	SEM image of Lazy 'S' defect found during welding at (a) low magnification and (b) high magnification showing lack of bonding	217
<b>Fig. 7.17</b>	Nano-indentation results of Lazy 'S' defect Weld produced at welding parameter (a) Air@100 and (b) UW@100	217
<b>Fig. 7.18</b>	Hardness distribution across the weld produced in air	219-220
<b>Fig. 7.19</b>	Hardness profile across the weld at (a) low and (b) high welding speed	222
<b>Fig. 7.20</b>	Microhardness profile across the joint for welds of pin diameter (a) 7 mm, (b) 8 mm and (c) 9 mm (the rectangles showed in figures represents the area affected by tool pin and shoulder)	224
<b>Fig. 7.21</b>	SEM images of different fracture surfaces of tensile samples showing common type of FSW defects	229
<b>Fig. 7.22</b>	SEM images of fractured surfaces of tensile samples of Natural Cooled weld at (a) low and (b) high welding speed, Water cooled weld at (c) low and (d) high welding speed, Nitrogen cooled weld at (e) low and (f) high welding speed	232
<b>Fig. 7.23</b>	SEM images of fracture surfaces of tensile samples	233
<b>Fig. 7.24</b>	SEM images of fractured surface of tensile samples produced at different set of process parameters	236
<b>Fig. 7.25</b>	SEM fractrography of tensile samples at higher magnification	237
<b>Fig. 7.26</b>	SEM images of fracture surfaces of fatigue samples at the threshold $\Delta K$	240

<b>Fig. 7.27</b>	Fracture surfaces of FCGR test samples (i) along weld and (ii) across weld	241
<b>Fig. 7.28</b>	SEM fracture surfaces of FCGR test samples (as shown in Fig. 17) at (a) Low $\Delta K$ along the weld, (b) High $\Delta K$ along the weld, (c) Low $\Delta K$ in across the weld, (d) High $\Delta K$ across weld	241
<b>Fig. 7.29</b>	SEM images of fractured surfaces of fracture toughness samples	244
<b>Fig. 8.1</b>	Downward forces occurred during welding in air at different process parameter	253-254
<b>Fig. 8.2</b>	Distribution of forces during FSW in different cooling media at (a) low welding speed (b) high welding speed	256
<b>Fig. 8.3</b>	Distribution of downward forces during underwater welding	257
<b>Fig. 8.4</b>	Arrangement of thermocouple attachment on welding plate (all dimensions are in mm)	262
<b>Fig. 8.5</b>	Temperature distribution during Welding	263
<b>Fig. 8.6</b>	Variation of power consumption during welding	265-266
<b>Fig. 8.7</b>	Normal % probability vs Studentized residuals plot for power consumption	268
<b>Fig. 8.8</b>	Predicted vs Actual plot for power consumption	268
<b>Fig. 8.9</b>	Variation of Power consumption with (a) pin diameter, (b) shoulder diameter, (c) rotational speed and (d) welding speed	269-270
<b>Fig. 8.10</b>	Power Consumption in different cooling media and welding Speed	272
<b>Fig. 8.11</b>	Power consumption in under water welding with varying process parameter	273
<b>Fig. 8.12</b>	Effect of process parameter on power consumption in underwater welding	274

## LIST OF TABLES

<b>Table</b>	<b>Title</b>	<b>Page No.</b>
<b>Table 2.1</b>	Designation system for wrought and cast aluminium alloy	13
<b>Table 2.2</b>	Temper designation for strain hardened alloys	14
<b>Table 2.3</b>	Effects of solid solution of principal solute elements on strength of pure aluminium	17
<b>Table 2.4</b>	Major alloying elements (Wt. %) of 5xxx Series Aluminium alloy	18
<b>Table 2.5</b>	Solid solubility of magnesium in Aluminium	19
<b>Table 2.6</b>	Intermetallic Phases present in AA 5xxx	20
<b>Table 2.7</b>	Properties of 5052 Aluminium alloy	21
<b>Table 3.1</b>	Alloying elements in base metal obtained by optical emission spectroscopy	44
<b>Table 3.2</b>	Mechanical properties of Base Metal	45
<b>Table 3.3</b>	Process parameter for selection of tool design	46
<b>Table 3.4</b>	Upper and lower limit of process parameters selected for welding	47
<b>Table 3.5</b>	Welding parameters, coded values and their ranges	55
<b>Table 3.6</b>	Welding runs and their notations	56
<b>Table 3.7</b>	Welding parameter and cooling condition	57
<b>Table 3.8</b>	Process parameters with their range and levels	58

<b>Table 3.9</b>	Welding parameters and their notation	58
<b>Table 3.10</b>	Notations for the samples as shown in Fig. 3.10	60
<b>Table 4.1</b>	Selected ranges of process parameters	75
<b>Table 4.2</b>	Welding run notation, their actual and coded values	77
<b>Table 4.3</b>	ANOVA table for UTS	81
<b>Table 4.4</b>	Result of ANOVA for UTS	81
<b>Table 4.5</b>	ANOVA table for % Elongation	88
<b>Table 4.6</b>	Result of ANOVA for % Elongation	89
<b>Table 4.7</b>	ANOVA table for Charpy Impact Strength	95
<b>Table 4.8</b>	Result of ANOVA for Charpy Impact Strength	95
<b>Table 4.9</b>	Analysis of variance table for FCGR	102
<b>Table 4.10</b>	Result of ANOVA for FCGR	102
<b>Table 4.11</b>	ANOVA table for Fracture toughness in WNZ	109
<b>Table 4.12</b>	Result of ANOVA table for Fracture toughness in WNZ	109
<b>Table 4.13</b>	ANOVA table for fracture toughness in HAZ	115
<b>Table 4.14</b>	Result of ANOVA for fracture toughness in HAZ	115
<b>Table 5.1</b>	Welding parameters and ambient conditions	128
<b>Table 5.2</b>	Tensile Properties of Welds	129
<b>Table 6.1</b>	Process parameters with their range and levels	149

<b>Table 6.2</b>	Welding parameters and weld notation	150
<b>Table 6.3</b>	Tensile properties of FS welds	152
<b>Table 6.4</b>	Response table of means for UTS	152
<b>Table 6.5</b>	Response table for signal to noise ratios (Larger is better) for UTS	152
<b>Table 6.6</b>	Contribution of process parameters on UTS	153
<b>Table 6.6</b>	Response table of Means for % Elongation	156
<b>Table 6.7</b>	Response table for Signal to Noise Ratios (Larger is better) for % Elongation	156
<b>Table 6.8</b>	Contribution of Process Parameter on % Elongation	156
<b>Table 6.9</b>	Response table of Means for Impact Strength	161
<b>Table 6.10</b>	Response table for Signal to Noise Ratios (Larger is better) for Impact Strength	161
<b>Table 6.11</b>	Contribution of process parameter on Charpy impact strength	161
<b>Table 6.12</b>	FCGR test values for crack propagated in weld nugget	169
<b>Table 6.13</b>	Response table for S/N ratios (Smaller is better) for FCGR in WNZ	170
<b>Table 6.14</b>	Contribution of Process Parameter on FCGR in WNZ	170
<b>Table 6.15</b>	FCGR values across the weld nugget	175
<b>Table 6.16</b>	Response table for S/N ratios (Smaller is better) for FCGR in AS HAZ	175
<b>Table 6.17</b>	Contribution of Process Parameter on FCGR in AS HAZ	175

<b>Table 6.18</b>	Response Table for S/N ratios (Smaller is better) for FCGR in RS HAZ	176
<b>Table 6.19</b>	Contribution of Process Parameter in FCGR on RS HAZ	177
<b>Table 6.20</b>	Response table for Means CTOD in WNZ	180
<b>Table 6.21</b>	Response Table for S/N ratios (Larger is better) for CTOD in WNZ	180
<b>Table 6.22</b>	Contribution of process parameter on CTOD in WNZ	180
<b>Table 6.23</b>	Response table for mean for CTOD in AS HAZ	183
<b>Table 6.24</b>	Response table for S/N ratios (Larger is better) for CTOD in AS HAZ	183
<b>Table 6.25</b>	Contribution of process parameter on CTOD in AS HAZ	186
<b>Table 6.26</b>	Response Table for Means for CTOD in RS HAZ	187
<b>Table 6.27</b>	Response table for S/N ratios (Larger is better) for CTOD in RS HAZ	187
<b>Table 6.28</b>	Contribution of process parameter on CTOD in RS HA	187
<b>Table7.1</b>	Surface features of welds produced in different cooling media	197
<b>Table 7.2</b>	Surface features of underwater friction stir welded plates	198
<b>Table 7.3</b>	Weld cross-section area for weld produced in different cooling media	207
<b>Table 7.4</b>	Weld cross-section of underwater Friction Stir Welded samples	213
<b>Table 7.5</b>	Fracture location of weld of tensile samples of weld produced in air	228
<b>Table 7.6</b>	Fracture locations of tensile samples of welds produced in different cooling media	230

<b>Table 7.7</b>	Fracture tensile samples of weld produced in underwater FSW	235-236
<b>Table 7.8</b>	Fracture samples of FCGR test in which crack propagates in WNZ	238
<b>Table 7.9</b>	Fracture samples of FCGR test in which crack propagates across the WNZ	239
<b>Table 7.10</b>	Fracture Toughness surface of weld produced in different cooling media and fail in Weld Nugget	242
<b>Table 8.1</b>	Models for heat generated during FSW	262
<b>Table 8.2</b>	ANOVA table for Power Consumption	266
<b>Table 8.3</b>	The outcome of ANOVA for Power consumption	267
<b>Table 8.4</b>	Response table of Means for power consumption	273
<b>Table 8.5</b>	Contribution of process parameter on power consumption	274

## LIST OF SYMBOLS

$R$	Shoulder radius
$r$	Pin radius
$t$	Pin height
$S$	Welding Speed
$T$	Total spindle torque
$\tau$	Shear flow stress
$T_s$	Torque on shoulder
$T_{ps}$	Torque on the lateral surface of pin
$P_t$	Traverse power
$T_{pb}$	Torque at pin bottom
$F_t$	Traverse force
$F_1$	Flow force
$F_2$	Frictional force
$S$	Welding speed
$N$	Tool rotational speed
$d$	Pin Diameter
$D$	Shoulder Diameter
$\nu$	Poisson's ratio
$a$	Original crack length
$K_I$	Stress Intensity Factor
$v_p$	Plastic component of COD
$W$	Width of sample
$B$	Thickness of sample
$S$	Spam of the sample
$\delta_p$	Plastic part of CTOD
$\delta_e$	Elastic Part of CTOD
$\delta_c$	Total CTOD
$\sigma_{ys}$	Yield Strength of the material
$Q_s$	Heat generation
$A_S$	Shoulder contact area
$q_v$	Volumetric heat source
$F_N, F_P$	Normal and traverse forces
$T$	Torque
$H$	Sheet thickness
$YS$	Yield strength of Weld
$\mu$	Friction coefficient
$UTS$	Ultimate tensile strength