

EXPERIMENTAL CHARACTERIZATION OF ROCK SALT FOR UNDERGROUND STRUCTURES

CHANDAN KUMAR



**DEPARTMENT OF CIVIL ENGINEERING
INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY DELHI**

AUGUST 2019

© Indian Institute of Technology Delhi (IITD), New Delhi, 2019

EXPERIMENTAL CHARACTERIZATION OF ROCK SALT FOR UNDERGROUND STRUCTURES

by

CHANDAN KUMAR

Department of Civil Engineering

Submitted

in fulfillment of the requirements of the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

to the



INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY DELHI

AUGUST 2019

Dedicated to my Parents

and

*To my loving wife Pratibha Gupta &
my adorable kids Charvi and Aarav*

CERTIFICATE

This is to certify that the thesis entitled “**EXPERIMENTAL CHARACTERIZATION OF ROCK SALT FOR UNDERGROUND STRUCTURES**” submitted by **Mr. Chandan Kumar** to the Indian Institute of Technology Delhi is a record of the bonafide research work carried out by him under my supervision and guidance. This thesis work, in my opinion, has reached the standard, fulfilling the requirements for **Doctor of Philosophy** degree.

The research report and the results presented in this thesis have not been submitted, in part or full, to any other university or institute, for the award of any degree or diploma.

(Dr. K. Seshagiri Rao)

Professor

Department of Civil Engineering

Indian Institute of Technology Delhi

New Delhi-110016, India

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

To my inspiration, my guide, and my philosopher, my father late **Shri Baidyanath Prasad**, because I owe it all to you and your blessings. My heartfelt gratitude.

And to my eternal cheerleaders, my lovely wife **Pratibha** and my adorable kids **Charvi** and **Aarav**. Though they have never grasped what it was all about but would always scream with joy with me during my moments of reckoning. They waited with utmost patience for the pursuit to complete.

And, at this joyous moment of accomplishment, firstly, I would like to offer my reverence to **Prof. K. S. Rao, my supervisor** who saw me through this research pursuit. I wish to express my deepest gratitude to him for his support and guidance. In IIT, we used to have a regular meeting of our group of research scholars named as GRG meeting. He always used to begin the meeting with his inspirational thought and advise. The noble idea of the GRG meeting was not only to share individual progress, but also to take motivation from other research scholar progress, however, for me, the real inspiration was his words of wisdom. Once, he said that the Ph.D. is all your baby, you all to conceive it, cherish it and groom it. He will only be advising like a doctor and help to nurture the baby. Thank you, Sir, for being such a proficient doctor and graciously offering your expertise and support in pursuit of my research and career objectives. I owe a great deal of appreciation and gratitude to you Sir

And, another such inspiring persona who has been instrumental in accomplishing my Ph.D. is **Dr. Atul Nanda**, my supervisor in EIL. The thesis would not have been completed without your valuable insights, ideas and suggestions. My very special gratitude to you, Sir! It was an inspiring experience for me to have an opportunity to work with you.

And, I owe my appreciation and gratitude to **Dr. Ranjit Rath**, my senior in EIL and currently the CMD, MECL; one of the youngest and dynamic CMDs of current times. He has been quite a support to my ideas of doing research in salt cavern technology and I sincerely believe that adoption of this technology will be a reality in India under his guidance and charismatic leadership.

The initial ideas for my research in salt cavern technology started by sharing the ideas with **Gopi Kannan**, my colleague in EIL. Since then we enrolled in the Ph.D. program together and shared numerous enduring moments. Over time, we have developed a strong bond as a friend and that needs no thanks but only cheers. All the best Gopi to your research pursuit.

Many thanks to **Dr. Aditya Singh**, for his valuable advice and his extensive discussions around my work. I wish him a very bright future as an academician in the rock mechanics fraternity.

I would also like to express my heartfelt thanks to the management of **Engineers Indian Limited** for granting permission to pursue my part-time Ph.D. at IIT Delhi.

A special mention to **Dr. Acharaya**, in EIL, for his valuable help to learn the basics of Abaqus software. I owe my thanks to **Sh. S.V. Subramanyam**, HOD, ETDD, EIL, to give me space & time in EIL so that I could complete my thesis writing. My thanks are due to **Mr. Mahesh, Mr. Dhanesh, Mr. Saikat Pal** and **Dr. Altaf Usmani** in EIL, for their useful and valuable contribution.

Many thanks, **Mr. Alok Kumar**, lab assistant at the department, for his valuable inputs and suggestion regarding the fabrication of the creep testing machine and **Mr. Puri**, for assembling them in workshop, which has been an innovative approach by me to create a lab scale set up so that such tests could be taken up.

My warmest appreciation to all the GRG scholars in IIT. It was great sharing ideas with all of them during the last five years. Many have graduated, and many others are set to be. My best wishes to all of them for their future endeavour.

I would like to thank my research committee members: **Prof. A. K. Jain (Chariman)**, **Prof. K. K. Pant (Member)**, and **Dr. Tanusree Chakraborty (Member)**, for their insightful comments and encouragement, but also for the hard question which incited me to widen my research from various perspectives.

Last but not least, I would like to thank my family members: **my mother, my brothers and my sisters** for supporting me spiritually.

At the end, I feel sorry that I don't have enough wisdom to comprehend many aspects of this research subject. Many questions were answered, and many remained unanswered. I wish I could have given more time and understanding to them. My guide puts a very high level of expectation from each researcher, and rightly so, as it has yielded many outstanding pieces of research which have been highly appreciated and recognized by the technical fraternity across the world. I feel sorry if I have not reached to that level. I feel sorry to my wife and kids as they keep waiting for me for long to return for IIT and spend quality time with them. I feel sorry for my mistakes if found in my technical understating to this vast salt cavern technology.

Finally, I would like to keep this acknowledgement incomplete and offer my thanks to all those persons who has contributed directly and indirectly to my research pursuits.

Chandan Kumar

ABSTRACT

Over 600 Million Years ago, a thick-bedded formation resulted from the halogenic deposits on the South Western Asiatic Plates, produced a beautiful translucent red earthy material called “ROCK SALT” which is geologically stable, free from flowing water and practically impermeable. These deep-seated natural rock salt formations spread over the surface area of more than 50,000 Sq. Km which covers much of northwestern Rajasthan, India, offers an ideal medium to safeguard our country’s continuous energy demand by storage of hydrocarbon in underground salt caverns as well as protect our future and environment by providing a safe place to permanently dispose the nuclear wastes that would remain radioactive for thousands of years.

In this context, the present study is an attempt to characterize the thermo-mechanical behaviour of rock salt of this region through a set of laboratory testing and observe their geomechanical response in the deep underground caverns.

The research thesis is broadly divided into two parts. The first part discusses the detailed experimental investigation program, the results of which are covered in Chapters 3, 4, 5, and 6. The second part of the thesis discusses the detailed design and geo-mechanical analyses of salt caverns based on the results of experimental investigation taken as a basic input in Chapters 7 and 8.

The experimental investigation includes the collection of samples, specimen preparation, and determination of physical, mechanical, rheological, and thermal properties. The stress-strain behaviour and mechanical properties of rock salt were investigated through uniaxial and triaxial compression at varying strain rates and confining pressures. The results show that the rock salt is found to have higher critical stress expressed in terms of the felicity ratio (FR) of around 0.9 during the entire unloading and reloading excursion cycle up to

failure. With this critical stress, the stress-strain response of rock salt up to failure, including the zone of cyclic unloading and reloading can be expressed by a proposed constitutive model. The governing equation for strain in uniaxial compression test is given as:

$$\varepsilon(t_n) = \left(\frac{\sigma_0(t_n)}{E} \right) + \sum \frac{\sigma_0(t_{n-1})}{\eta} (t_n - t_{n-1}) + \left(\frac{\sigma_0(t_n) + \sigma_0(t_{n-1})}{2\eta} \right) (t_n - t_{n-1}) \text{ if } \left[\frac{d\sigma_0}{dt} \geq 0 ; \sigma_0 \geq \sigma_{cri} \right] \text{ and}$$

$$\varepsilon(t_n) = \varepsilon(t_{n-1}) + \frac{\sigma_0(t_n) - \sigma_0(t_{n-1})}{E} \text{ if } \left[\frac{d\sigma_0}{dt} < 0 ; \sigma_0 < \sigma_{cri} \text{ and } \frac{d\sigma_0}{dt} > 0 ; \sigma_0 < \sigma_{cri} \right]$$

The creep parameters of rock salt are estimated from the UCS testing in terms of viscosity η and strain rate $\dot{\varepsilon}$ through a nonlinear regression analysis and are expressed as:

$$\eta = 37 / \dot{\varepsilon}^{0.96}$$

Viscosity obtained from the proposed relationship based on UCS testing is found to be in good agreement with the measured values from the creep test.

The time-dependent viscoelastic behaviour of rock salt was captured by performing single and multi-step constant load creep test in uniaxial condition with a newly designed and manufactured cantilever type creep testing machine. The result shows that the steady-state creep rate increases with an increase in deviatoric stress and are approximated by a power function by the following expression:

$$\dot{\varepsilon}^{cr} = A \sigma^n$$

where, a pre-factor A and stress component, n is estimated as $7 \times 10^{-7} \text{ MPa}^{-n} \text{ d}^{-1}$ and 1.41 from the nonlinear regression analysis. The creep behaviour of rock salt as described by the proposed constitutive model approximated by power function can satisfactorily describe the creep strain measured over the operational period of a salt cavity.

Uniaxial compression strength (UCS) tests carried out at various temperatures between 25 and 250°C with a new temperature-controlled testing device has revealed a distinct

variation of the influence of temperature on the mechanical characteristics of rock salt. An overall decrease of around 20% in strength for the entire range of testing temperature is observed. The decrease, however, is more pronounced at temperatures greater than 100°C. The stiffness (Young's modulus) of salt decrease at a rate of around 0.025 GPa/K as temperature increases.

A set of geo-mechanical analyses of salt caverns created through solution mining were performed using ABAQUS FEA (Finite element analysis) software to assess the quantitative effects of different design parameters that would be expected in an oil storage cavern sited within rock salt formation. The parametric study examined the overall performance of salt caverns by evaluating the displacement field, stress field, creep displacement, volume shrinkage, ground subsidence, and damage zone surrounding the cavern. Two commonly used cavern shapes such as cylindrical and spherical of varying dimensions are considered for this research pursuit. Plots for estimating displacement and stress during the construction period of a salt cavern of varying shape and size are proposed.

The research pursuit also attempts two case studies. The first case study discusses the solution-mined salt caverns as a storage alternative for the strategic petroleum reserve (SPRs) program of India and the second case study entails the feasibility of long-term nuclear waste disposal repositories. The case study considered the geological setting of the proposed site location, loading, and geomaterial condition. The results show that the vast expanse of the bedded salt formation near Bikaner, Rajasthan, offers the possibility of creating a large inventory of crude oil and nuclear waste repository in underground storage caverns. A moderate displacement and stress state field with some minor damage and a maximum volumetric loss of around 10.08% after an operational run of 25 years is expected in a salt cavern made for oil storage. Nuclear repository room with waste placed inside observed complete closure in 50-60 years. Disturbed rock zone (DRZ) is limited to the extent of around 2m near the waste disposal and as the stress drives towards equilibrium, the waste is expected to be entombed in the rock salt forever.

सार

600 मिलियन साल पहले, दक्षिण-पश्चिमी एशियाई प्लेटों पर हैलोजेनिक निक्षेप के परिणामस्वरूप एक मोटी-परत का गठन हुआ, जिससे " सेंधा नमक" ("रॉक नमक") नामक एक सुंदर पारभासी लाल लवण चट्टान का उत्पादन हुआ, जो कि भूगर्भीय रूप से स्थिर है, जो बहते पानी से मुक्त है और व्यावहारिक रूप से अभेद्य है। ये गहरे बैठे प्राकृतिक सेंधा नमक संरचनाएं 50,000 वर्गमीटर से अधिक के सतह क्षेत्र में फैली हुई हैं जो उत्तर-पश्चिमी राजस्थान, भारत के हिस्से में आता है। भूमिगत सेंधा नमक, हाइड्रोकार्बन के भंडारण द्वारा हमारे देश की निरंतर ऊर्जा मांग को सुरक्षित रखने का एक आदर्श माध्यम प्रदान करता है और साथ ही परमाणु अपशिष्ट जो हजारों साल के लिए रेडियोधर्मी बने रहते हैं को स्थायी रूप से निपटाने के लिए एक सुरक्षित स्थान प्रदान करके हमारे भविष्य और पर्यावरण की रक्षा करता है।

इस संदर्भ में, वर्तमान अध्ययन, प्रयोगशाला परीक्षण के माध्यम से इस क्षेत्र के सेंधा नमक के ताप-यांत्रिक व्यवहार को चिह्नित करने का प्रयास है और गहरी भूमिगत कंदरो में उनके भूयांत्रिक प्रतिक्रिया का निरीक्षण करता है।

शोध को मोटे तौर पर दो भागों में विभाजित किया गया है। पहला भाग विस्तृत प्रयोगात्मक कार्यक्रम पर चर्चा करता है, जिसके परिणाम अध्याय 3, 4, 5 और 6 में शामिल किए गए हैं। शोध के दूसरे भाग में नमक कंदरो के विस्तृत डिजाइन और भू-यांत्रिक विश्लेषणों की चर्चा अध्याय 7 और 8 में की गई है, जो प्रायोगिक जाँच परिणामों के आधार पर किए गए हैं।

प्रयोगात्मक जांच में नमूनों का संग्रह, नमूना तैयार करना, और उसके भौतिक, यांत्रिक, श्यानता और ताप गुणों का निर्धारण शामिल है। प्रतिबल-विकृति व्यवहार और सेंधा नमक के यांत्रिक गुणों की जांच अलग-अलग विकृति दरों और सीमित दबावों में एकाक्षीय संपीड़न परीक्षण (यूसीएस) और त्रिअक्षीय संपीड़न के माध्यम से की गई थी। परिणामों से पता चलता है कि पूरे अनलोडिंग और पुनः लोडिंग भ्रमण चक्र के दौरान रॉक नमक में उच्च क्रिटिकल प्रतिबल लगभग 0.9, जिसे फेलिसिटी अनुपात (एफआर) के संदर्भ में व्यक्त किए गए हैं, पाया जाता है। इस क्रिटिकल प्रतिबल

के साथ, चक्रीय अनलोडिंग और फिर से लोडिंग के क्षेत्र सहित रॉक नमक की विफलता के प्रतिबल-विकृति व्यवहार की प्रतिक्रिया एक प्रस्तावित संवैधानिक मॉडल द्वारा व्यक्त की जा सकती है। यूसीएस परीक्षण में विकृति के लिए अधिनियंत्रक समीकरण निम्नानुसार है:

$$\varepsilon(t_n) = \left(\frac{\sigma_0(t_n)}{E} \right) + \sum \frac{\sigma_0(t_{n-1})}{\eta} (t_n - t_{n-1}) + \left(\frac{\sigma_0(t_n) + \sigma_0(t_{n-1})}{2\eta} \right) (t_n - t_{n-1}) \text{ if } \left[\frac{d\sigma_0}{dt} \geq 0; \sigma_0 \geq \sigma_{cri} \right] \text{ और}$$

$$\varepsilon(t_n) = \varepsilon(t_{n-1}) + \frac{\sigma_0(t_n) - \sigma_0(t_{n-1})}{E} \text{ if } \left[\frac{d\sigma_0}{dt} < 0; \sigma_0 < \sigma_{cri} \text{ and } \frac{d\sigma_0}{dt} > 0; \sigma_0 < \sigma_{cri} \right]$$

यूसीएस परीक्षण से अनुमानित किया रॉक नमक के विसर्पण के मापदंडों को श्यानता (η) और विकृति की दर ($\dot{\varepsilon}$) के रूप में एक अरैखिक प्रतिगमन विश्लेषण के माध्यम से निम्न रूप में व्यक्त किए गए हैं:

$$\eta = 37 / \dot{\varepsilon}^{0.96}$$

यूसीएस परीक्षण के आधार पर प्रस्तावित संबंधों से प्राप्त श्यानता, विसर्पण परीक्षण से माप मूल्यों के साथ अच्छे समझौते में पाए गए हैं।

रॉक नमक के समय-निर्भर श्यान-मापांक व्यवहार को एक नए डिजाइन और निर्मित कैंटिलीवर प्रकार विसर्पण परीक्षण मशीन के साथ एकल और बहु-चरणीय निरंतर भार एकाक्षीय संपीड़न में प्रग्रहण किया गया है। परिणाम से पता चलता है कि स्थायी अवस्था विसर्पण दर, विवातक प्रतिबल में वृद्धि के साथ बढ़ता है और एक शक्ति फलन द्वारा निम्नलिखित अभिव्यक्ति से अनुमानित किया जाता है:

$$\dot{\varepsilon}^{cr} = A \times \sigma^n$$

जहां, एक पूर्व-कारक “A” और संपीड़न घटक, “n” को अरैखिक प्रतिगमन विश्लेषण से 7×10^{-7} MPa⁻ⁿ d⁻¹ और 1.41 के रूप में अनुमानित किया गया है।

शक्ति फलन से प्रस्तावित संरचक मॉडल द्वारा वर्णित रॉक नमक का श्यानता व्यवहार संतोषजनक रूप से एक नमक कंदरा के परिचालन अवधि में मापा विसर्पण का वर्णन कर सकता है।

25 से 250 डिग्री सेल्सियस के बीच विभिन्न तापमानों पर किए गए एक नए तापमान-नियंत्रित परीक्षण उपकरण के साथ एकाक्षीय संपीड़न परीक्षण (यूसीएस) परीक्षणों में सेंधा नमक की यांत्रिक

विशेषताओं पर तापमान के प्रभाव की एक भिन्नता का पता चला है। परीक्षण तापमान की पूरी श्रृंखला के लिए लगभग 20% की ताकत में समग्र कमी देखी गई है। हालांकि, कमी 100 ° C से अधिक तापमान पर अधिक स्पष्ट है। तापमान बढ़ने पर नमक की कठोरता (यंग मापांक) लगभग 0.025 GPa / K की दर से घट जाती है।

विलयन खनन के माध्यम से बनाई गई नमक कंदरो के भू-यांत्रिक विश्लेषण का एक सेट विभिन्न नमक मापदंडों के मात्रात्मक प्रभावों का आकलन करने के लिए अबाक्यूस एफईए (परिमित तत्व विश्लेषण) सॉफ्टवेयर का उपयोग करके प्रदर्शन किया गया है। प्राचलिक अध्ययन ने विस्थापन क्षेत्र, तनाव क्षेत्र, विसर्पण विस्थापन, आयतन संकोचन, भू-अवमंदन और गुफा के आसपास के क्षति क्षेत्र का मूल्यांकन करके नमक कंदरो के समग्र प्रदर्शन की जांच की। आमतौर पर इस्तेमाल की जाने वाली दो कंदरो की आकृतियाँ जैसे कि अलग-अलग आयामों की बेलनाकार और गोलाकार होती हैं, इस शोध के लिए मानी गयी हैं। अलग-अलग आकार के नमक कंदरो के निर्माण की अवधि के दौरान विस्थापन और संपीड़न का आकलन करने के लिए विभिन्न चार्ट प्रस्तावित हैं।

अनुसंधान खोज दो मामले (केस स्टडी) के अध्ययन का प्रयास भी करता है। पहला केस अध्ययन भारत के रणनीतिक पेट्रोलियम रिजर्व (SPRs) कार्यक्रम के लिए भंडारण विकल्प के रूप में विलयन खनन नमक कंदरो पर चर्चा करता है और दूसरा केस अध्ययन लंबी अवधि के परमाणु अपशिष्ट निपटान रिपॉजिटरी की व्यवहार्यता को दर्शाता है। केस स्टडी ने प्रस्तावित स्थान की भूवैज्ञानिक विन्यास, भारण और भूभौतिकीय दशा पर विचार किया। परिणामों से पता चलता है कि राजस्थान के बीकानेर के पास सेंधा नमक के निर्माण का विशाल विस्तार, भूमिगत भंडारण कंदरो में कच्चे तेल और परमाणु अपशिष्ट भंडार की बनाने की संभावना प्रदान करता है। तेल के भंडारण के लिए बनाए गए नमक के कंदरो में 25 साल के परिचालन के बाद कुछ मामूली क्षति और लगभग 10.08% की अधिकतम मात्रा में आयतन संकोचन नुकसान के साथ एक मध्यम विस्थापन और संपीड़न की संभावना है। अंदर रखे परमाणु अपशिष्ट के साथ परमाणु भंडार कक्ष 50-60 वर्षों में पूरी तरह से बंद हो जाएगा। विक्षत शैल क्षेत्र (DRZ) अपशिष्ट भंडार कक्ष के पास लगभग 2 मीटर की सीमा तक सीमित है और जैसे ही संपीड़न संतुलन की ओर बढ़ता है, अपशिष्ट को हमेशा के लिए सेंधा नमक में सुरक्षित बंद हो जाने की उम्मीद है।

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CERTIFICATE	i
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	ii
ABSTRACT	vi
CONTENTS	x
LIST OF FIGURES	xvi
LIST OF TABLES	xxviii
NOTATIONS	xxx
CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Background	1
1.2 Need of the Present Research	4
1.3 Objectives of the Present Work	7
1.4 Methodology	7
1.5 Organization of the Thesis	8
CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW	11
2.1 Introduction	11
2.1.1 Rock Salt: a Geomaterial	11
2.1.2 Use of Rock Salt	18
2.1.3 Construction Method of Salt Cavern	26
2.1.4 Rock Salt Distribution	29
2.2 Mechanical Behaviour of Rock and Rock Masses	34
2.2.1 Stress-Strain Behaviour	35
2.2.2 Strength Criteria	42
2.2.3 Rheological Behaviour	51

2.3	Geo-Mechanical Behavior of Rock Salt	60
2.3.1	Physical Properties	62
2.3.2	Mechanical Behaviour of Rock Salt	67
2.3.3	Rheological Properties of Rock Salt	75
2.4	Design and Geo-Mechanical Analysis of Salt Cavern	82
2.4.1	Design Fundamentals	83
2.4.2	Rock Mechanics Design Concepts	84
2.4.3	Numerical Analysis	86
2.5	Summary	
CHAPTER 3	PHYSICAL AND MECHANICAL CHARACTERIZATION OF ROCK SALT	89
3.1	Introduction	89
3.2	Experimental Plan	90
3.2.1	Collection of Samples	90
3.2.2	Preparation of Specimens	95
3.3	Experimental Programme	97
3.4	Physical Properties	99
3.4.1	Thin Section Study	99
3.4.2	Index Properties	108
3.5	Mechanical Properties	115
3.5.1	Indirect Tensile Strength Test	115
3.5.2	Uniaxial Compression Test	117
3.5.3	Triaxial Compression Test	133
3.5.4	Failure Modes	149
3.6	Summary	152
CHAPTER 4	CONSTITUTIVE MODEL OF ROCK SALT	

4.1	Introduction	155
4.2	Uniaxial Compression Testing with Acoustic Emission	157
4.2.1	Acoustic Emission	158
4.2.2	Kaiser Effect	159
4.2.3	Testing Procedure with Acoustic Emission	160
4.3	Proposed Constitutive Model	164
4.4	Discussion on Viscous Parameter	168
4.5	Summary	171
CHAPTER 5	VISCOUS BEHAVIOUR OF ROCK SALT	173
5.1	Introduction	173
5.2	Description of Creep Testing Equipment and Test Plan	175
5.2.1	Equipment Design and Description	175
5.2.2	Equipment Calibration	177
5.2.3	Testing Procedure	178
5.2.4	Testing Precaution and Limitation	179
5.2.5	Test Plan and Evaluation	180
5.3	Creep Results and Discussion	182
5.4	Proposed Constitutive Model	
5.5	Maxwell Viscosity Parameter	
5.6	Summary	
CHAPTER 6	TEMPERATURE EFFECT ON MECHANICAL CHARACTERISTICS OF ROCK SALT	199
6.1	Introduction	199
6.2	Design and Description of New Testing Device	201
6.2.1	Need of a New Testing Device	201
6.2.2	Description of Testing Facility	202

6.2.3	Calibration of Testing Facility	207
6.2.4	Limitation of Device	208
6.3	Testing Procedure	209
6.4	Test Results	211
6.5	Discussion	217
6.6	Modes of Failure	220
6.7	Scanning Electronic Microscopy (SEM) at High Temperature	221
6.8	Summary	224
CHAPTER 7	PARAMTERIC STUDIES OF SALT CAVERNS	277
7.1	Introduction	227
7.2	Modelling Hypothesis	229
7.3	Modelling in Abaqus	232
7.3.1	Theoretical Background	232
7.3.2	Modelling Approach	233
7.3.3	Modelling of Salt Behaviour	237
7.3.4	Rock Salt Properties	239
7.3.5	Simulation Process	239
7.4	Results	240
7.4.1	Elasto-Plastic Model	240
7.4.2	Visco-Elastic Model	251
7.5	Discussion	260
7.5.1	Effects of Cover Depth	260
7.5.2	Influence of Cavern Shape	263
7.5.3	Influence of Cavern Size	265
7.5.4	Influence of Cavern Operating Pressure	267
7.5.5	Influence of Mechanical Properties	269

7.5.6	Influence of Temperature	270
7.6	Summary	273
CHAPTER 8	GEOMECHANICAL ANALYSIS OF UNDERGROUND CAVERNS AND REPOSITORY	277
8.1	Introduction	277
8.2	Site Description of Case Studies	277
8.2.1	Location and Topography	277
8.2.2	Salt Structure and Geology of Project Site	278
8.3	Solution Mined Salt Cavern for Storage of Crude Oil	283
8.3.1	India Strategic Storage Program	283
8.3.2	Analysis Model of Salt Cavern	285
8.3.3	Cavern Simulation Results	291
8.4	Nuclear Waste Disposal Repository	298
8.4.1	Introduction	298
8.4.2	Storage and Disposal option	299
8.4.3	Disposal Option in Salt	302
8.4.4	India's Radioactive Waste Management	305
8.4.5	Proposed Nuclear Repository Layout in Salt	308
8.4.6	Geomechanical Analysis of Disposal Room	312
8.4.7	Results and Discussion	315
8.5	Summary	319
CHAPTER 9	CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE RESEARCH	321
9.1	Major Outcome of the Present Research	321
9.2	Conclusions	322
9.2.1	Physical and Mechanical Characteristics of Rock Salt	322
9.2.2	Viscous Behaviour of Rock Salt	324

9.2.3	Temperature Effects on Rock Salt	325
9.2.4	Geomechanical Analysis of Rock salt	326
9.2.5	Case Studies	327
9.3	Suggestion for Future Research	329
REFERENCES		331
LIST OF PUBLICATIONS		359
BRIEF BIODATA OF CHANDAN KUMAR		360

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure No.	Caption	Page No.
1.1	Rock salt (a) pure (b) natural with impurities	1
1.2	World's salt distribution including India (DEEP, 2009)	2
1.3	Deep underground salt caverns for storage purpose (deep-kbb.de)	3
2.1	Ochsenius bar theory of rock salt deposition (Hsu, 1972)	13
2.2	Scruton's reflux hypothesis (Scruton, 1953)	14
2.3	Density-depth relationship for sediments and rock salt (Nettleton, 1934)	15
2.4	Columns of salt that intrude through overlying sediment units (geology.com)	16
2.5	Salt glacier flowing out of crater, Iran (image by NASA)	17
2.6	Commercial use of rock salt (a) de-icing of road (b) rock salt lamp	19
2.7	Accumulation of hydrocarbon near salt dome (geology.com)	21
2.8	General layout of storage in salt leached cavern (eia.doe.gov)	23
2.9	WIPP nuclear waste repository (a) general layout (b) storage room (nature.com)	24
2.10	Storage of compressed air in salt cavern (caes.pnnl.gov)	25
2.11	Flow directions of water and brine in (a) direct and (b) reverse leaching mode	27
2.12	Typical salt mine excavated through room and pillar method (pmdc.gov.pak)	29

2.13	Position of India during early salt deposition (nature.com)	31
2.14	General outline of the Nagaur-Ganganagar basin (Kumar et al. 2016)	33
2.15	Idealized stress-strain curve in a uniaxial compression test of rock (Tullis and Tullis, 1986)	36
2.16	Stress-strain curve of (a) loading-unloading test (b) hold at constant strain (Tullis and Tullis, 1986)	39
2.17	Effect of strain rate on strength of marble (Heard, 1963)	40
2.18	Results of triaxial compression test on a Coolitic limestone (Wawersik and Farihurst, 1970)	41
2.19	Mohr plane illustrating (a) shear failure plane ab (b) strength envelope in terms of shear τ and normal stresses σ_n and (c) principal stress σ_1 and σ_3	43
2.20	Idealized creep curve showing primary, secondary, and tertiary creep and two unloading paths (redrawn after Griggs, 1940)	53
2.21	Schematic deformation-mechanism map (researchgate.net)	60
2.22	Crystal structure of NaCl unit cells (researchgate.net)	63
2.23	Mechanical effects and influences in a deforming salt sample (Hunsche and Hampel, 1999)	67
2.24	Typical rock salt stress-strain curve (EIL, 2013)	68
2.25	Results of uniaxial and triaxial compression tests on rock salt at the same strain rate but different confining pressure (redrawn after cristescu and Hunsche, 1998)	69
2.26	Comparison of failure strength of (a) for rock salt and (b) for sandstone with increasing $\dot{\epsilon}$ (redrawn after Cristescu and Hunsche, 1998)	71
2.27	Strength of rock salt at a greater number of confining pressure and strain rate (Hunsche, 1984)	72

2.28	UCS of salt as a function of temperature	73
2.29	Dilation boundary and short-term failure strength for rock salt (Schulze et al. 2001)	74
2.30	Deformation mechanism of rock salt (Urai et al. 2008)	79
2.31	Deformation mechanism map for rock salt (redrawn after Langer, 1981)	80
2.32	Dependence of steady state creep rate on temperature (redrawn after Hunsche and Schulze, 1994)	82
2.33	Rock mechanics design of caverns in salt (Lux, 2009)	84
2.34	Underground pressure distribution (redrawn after Berest et al. 2001)	85
3.1	Areas with salt deposits in northern India and Pakistan	91
3.2	Hindustan salt limited (HSL) office near Mandi, HP	92
3.3	Salt range of Punjab platform Pakistan and Bikaner Nagaur basin (Rath et al. 2014)	94
3.4	Rock salt samples being loaded from Amritsar	94
3.5	MECL exploration site at Bikaner	95
3.6	Preparation of rock specimens using dry cut from lathe machine (a to d) steps of specimen preparation (e) prepared specimen (f) specimen rapped in bubble sheet	96
3.7	Prepared specimens	97
3.8	Experimental programme	98
3.9	Results of X-Ray diffraction of Khewra salt of three specimens	101
3.10	Typical JCPDS card for NaCl powder (ICDD.com)	103

3.11	Comparison of X-Ray diffraction of Khewra rock salt with standard halite results (redrawn from rruff.info)	104
3.12	SEM images under (a) 5000 X (b) 4000X (c) 1500X (d) 150X magnification	106
3.13	EDX test (a) test location (b) results of chemical analysis	107
3.14	Density cumulative frequency curve of rock salt	109
3.15	Comparison of density of rock salt with literature	109
3.16	Cumulative frequency curve for porosity of rock salt	110
3.17	Cumulative frequency curve for primary wave of rock salt	111
3.18	Degree of fissuring in rock specimen	112
3.19	Cumulative frequency curve for electrical resistivity of rock salt	113
3.20	Test arrangement of point load test in (a) axial direction (b) diametric direction	113
3.21	Point load index of rock salt	114
3.22	Brazilian tensile strength of rock salt	116
3.23	Comparison of tensile strength with available literature	117
3.24	UCS test (a) specimen for testing (b) servo control material testing arrangement (c) placement of LVDT for axial and diametric displacement measurement	118
3.25	Typical axial stress vs nominal axial strain plots at (a) $\dot{\epsilon} = 0.1$ mm/sec (b) $\dot{\epsilon} = 0.01$ mm/sec (c) $\dot{\epsilon} = 0.005$ mm/sec	122
3.26	Synthesis of uniaxial compression test results	123
3.27	Stress-strain plot of rock salt	124

3.28	Illustration of calculation of Young's modulus	126
3.29	Stress-strain plots of UCS at different deformation rates $\dot{\epsilon}$	127
3.30	Variation of Young's modulus with strain rate	128
3.31	Multiple unloading and reloading excursion cycle for (a) the entire stress-strain range and (b) only unloading and reloading cycle	130
3.32	Felicity ratio (FR) vs. the compressive strength of rock salt	131
3.33	Comparison of felicity ratio of rock salt with other rocks found in literature after (Li and Nordlund, 1993)	132
3.34	Stiff triaxial testing apparatus at IIT Delhi	133
3.35	Typical stress-strain plot in triaxial condition at (a) $\sigma_3 = 2\text{MPa}$ (cont.)	135
3.35	Typical stress-strain plot in triaxial condition at (b) $\sigma_3 = 4\text{MPa}$ (c) $\sigma_3 = 6\text{MPa}$ (d) $\sigma_3 = 8\text{MPa}$	136
3.36	Stress-strain plot at different confining pressure	137
3.37	Results of uniaxial and triaxial compression tests on rock salt	138
3.38	Variation of failure strain with confining pressure	139
3.39	Variation of Young's modulus with confining pressure	139
3.40	Mohr Coulomb failure envelope (a) for entire stress region (b) at lower stress level and (c) at higher stress level	142
3.41	Comparison of Mohr-Coulomb strength parameters of rocks salt from literature	143
3.42	Fitting curve of Mohr-Coulomb criterion with experimental results	144
3.43	Plot of $\frac{\sigma_1 - \sigma_3}{\sigma_3}$ vs $\frac{\sigma_c}{\sigma_3}$	145

3.44	Comparison of material constant B with available literature	146
3.45	Fitting curve of IIT Delhi criterion with the experimental results	146
3.46	Comparison of experimental test results with dilatancy criteria	148
3.47	Common modes of failure in rock salt under UCS condition (a) simple tensile fracture (b) multiple tensile fracture (c) simple shear (d) conjugate shear (e) combined tensile and shear and (f) multiple fracturing	150
3.48	Increase of ductility with increase of confining pressure	151
3.49	Modes of failure under triaxial compression (a) and (b) combination of multiple tensile, bulging and shear fracture (c) multiple primary and oblique secondary cracks (d) deformation twinning in specimen	152
4.1	Uniaxial stress-strain curve for rock salt at various loading rates	157
4.2	Principle of Acoustic emission (ASTM, E650-85,1992)	158
4.3	Raw AE data for rock salt	161
4.4	Acoustic emission signal processing detail	161
4.5	Stress-strain response of rock salt with cumulative AE count	163
4.6	Strain-time and cumulative relative energy-time curve	163
4.7	Relative energy and strain time curve	164
4.8	Proposed constitutive model	166
4.9	Experimental and predicted stress-strain response of rock salt	169
4.10	Calculated Maxwell viscosity vs strain-rate	169
4.11	Calculated elastic modulus vs strain-rate	170
4.12	Effective viscosity for Asse rock salt West Hackberry rock salt and predicted viscosity of Khewra mine rock salt	171

5.1	Uniaxial creep testing equipment - schematic layout	176
5.2	Creep testing equipment	177
5.3	Dial gauge for measuring vertical displacement	179
5.4	Creep tester facility room at IITD	180
5.5	Axial strain vs time creep plots of single step loading at (a) $\sigma_1 = 6.3$ MPa (b) $\sigma_1 = 7.6$ MPa (c) $\sigma_1 = 7.0$ MPa (cont.)	184
5.5	Axial strain vs time creep plots single step loading at (d) $\sigma_1 = 6.8$ MPa (e) $\sigma_1 = 8.0$ MPa and multiple step loading at (f) $\sigma_1 = 5.2$ MPa and $\sigma_2 = 8.1$ MPa (cont.)	185
5.5	Axial strain vs time creep plots of multi-step loading at (g) $\sigma_1 = 6.0$ MPa and $\sigma_2 = 8.5$ MPa (h) $\sigma_1 = 6.2$ MPa and $\sigma_2 = 9.0$ MPa (i) $\sigma_1 = 6.5$ MPa and $\sigma_2 = 9.5$ MPa	186
5.6	Comparison of multi-stage creep test with increased stress	189
5.7	Compilation of steady-state creep rate from tests at room temperature	190
5.8	Steady state rate vs equivalent stress plot	192
5.9	Steady-state creep rate vs applied stress fitted with proposed constitutive model	192
5.10	Comparison of measured and calculated creep strain (a) during the laboratory time and (b) extrapolation of creep strain to an operation period of around 25 years	193
5.11	Comparison of viscosity from experimental creep and from proposed relationship	196
6.1	Temperature decay of specimen with time	202
6.2	Details of casing (a) front view (b) view from top	203

6.3	Main components of temperature controlling device	204
6.4	Line diagram of testing facility	206
6.5	Setup for uniaxial compressive strength testing at high temperature	210
6.6	Typical axial stress vs nominal axial strain curves at temperature (a) T= RT (b) T= 50°C and (c) T = 100°C (cont.)	212
6.6	Typical axial stress vs nominal axial strain curves at temperature (d) T= 150°C (e) T= 200°C and (f) T = 250°C	213
6.7	Stress-strain curves of the salt at RT, 50°C,100°C,150°C and 200°C	215
6.8	Stress as a function of temperature	216
6.9	Variation of failure strain with temperature	216
6.10	Variation of Young's modulus with temperature	217
6.11	Normalised compressive strength vs. test temperature curves	217
6.12	Variation of Young's modulus normalized with room temperature up to 100°C	219
6.13	Photos showing failure of 54mm diameter rock salt specimen at (a) 50 °C (b) 100°C (c) 150°C (d) 200°C and (e) combined at varying temperature	220
6.14	Comparison of deformed specimen with respect to varying temperature	221
6.15	Subgrain structures of rock salt (a) at T = RT (b) at T = 100°C (c) at T= 200°C and (d) at T= 250°C.	222
6.16	Etched cleavage chip or specimen deformed to 6% strain and at 50°C	223
6.17	Micrographs of experimentally deformed rock salt up to 11% strain at T = 250°C, showing dislocation slip lines, grain rotation, incipient subgrains sliding and minor grain boundary micro cracks due to dilatancy	224

7.1	Typical underground salt caverns	227
7.2	Model description and parametric definition (a) cylindrical and (b) spherical cavern	229
7.3	FEM model description (a) typical schematic diagram with mesh division (b) mesh near the cavern boundary and (c) applied boundary condition	234
7.4	Average monthly temperature at salt cavern project location (imd.gov.in)	236
7.5	Contour plot of (a) horizontal disp. (b) vertical disp.	241
7.6	Plot of displacement normalised with dia for (a) wall disp. : spherical H/D = 1 (b) bottom and crown disp. : spherical H/D = 1 and (c) wall disp. : cylinder H/D = 2 (cont.)	242
7.6	Plot of displacement normalised with dia for (d) bottom and crown disp.: cylinder H/D = 2 (e) wall disp. : cylinder H/D = 3 and (f) bottom and crown disp. : cylinder H/D = 3 (cont.)	243
7.6	Plot of displacement normalized with dia for (g) wall disp.: cylinder H/D = 4 and (h) bottom and crown disp.: cylinder H/D = 4	244
7.7	Contour plot of tangential stress at excavation boundary (a) cylinder (b) sphere	246
7.8	Plot of tagential stress for (a) spherical H/D = 1 (b) cylinder H/D = 2 (cont.)	247
7.8	Plot of tangential stress for (c) cylinder H/D = 3 (d) cylinder H/D = 4	248
7.9	Yield zone around the cavern (a) under operating pressure (b) empty cavern	249
7.10	Contour of damage factors from cavern boundary of typical volume of 0.5Mm ³ in (a) cylindrical cavern and (b) spherical cavern	250
7.11	Rate of creep wall displacement of the cavern over 25 years of period	251

7.12	Plot of creep displacement average over operation duration of 25 years (a) wall disp. : spherical $H/D = 1$ (b) bottom and crown disp. : spherical $H/D = 1$ and (c) wall disp. : cylinder $H/D = 2$ (cont.)	252
7.12	Plot of creep displacement average over operation duration of 25 years for (d) bottom and crown disp.: cylinder $H/D = 2$ (e) wall disp. : cylinder $H/D = 3$ and (f) bottom and crown disp. : cylinder $H/D = 3$ (cont.)	253
7.12	Plot of creep displacement averaged over operation duration of 25 years for (g) wall disp.: cylinder $H/D = 4$ and (h) bottom and crown disp.: cylinder $H/D = 4$	254
7.13	Stress at the cavern wall over time	255
7.14	Original and deformed coordinates of (a) cylindrical and (b) spherical cavern after 25 years of run	256
7.15	Volume convergence of cavern for (a) $H/D = 1$ (b) $H/D = 2$ (c) $H/D = 3$ (cont.)	257
7.15	Volume convergence of cavern for (d) $H/D = 4$	258
7.16	Ground subsidence over time	259
7.17	Plot of wall displacement averaged over operation duration of 25 years	261
7.18	Volumetric loss with respect to cover depth	261
7.19	Factor of safety against operating and geostatic pressure at 300m cover depth	262
7.20	Plot of wall displacement of (a) cylindrical and (b) spherical cavern	263
7.21	Displacement contour plot of (a) cylindrical cavern and (b) spherical cavern	264
7.22	Wall creep rate after 25 years of run for cylindrical cavern $H/D = 3$	265

7.23	Plot of wall displacement normalised with dia. for varying H/D ratio as a function of depth (a) at $t = 0$ and (b) at $t = 25$ years	266
7.24	Safety factor contour for a cylindrical cavern of (a) $H/D = 2$ (b) $H/D = 3$ (c) $H/D = 4$	267
7.25	Wall displacement for cylindrical cavern $H/D = 3$ and $\text{Vol.} = 0.5 \text{ Mm}^3$	268
7.26	Safety factor contours of cavern (a) under normal operating condition (b) under extreme operating condition	268
7.27	Comparison of Mohr-Coulomb strength parameters of rock salt	270
7.28	Dependence of steady state creep rate at constant $\sigma = 10 \text{ MPa}$ (Hunshce and Schulze, 1994)	271
7.29	Temperature effects on steady state creep rate	272
7.30	Comparison of creep rate with varying temperature	273
8.1	Landscape of project site near Bikaner, Rajasthan for proposed case studies	278
8.2	Geological layout of the Nagaur-Ganganagar basin (Kumar and Chandra, 2005)	279
8.3	Geological cross-section through the Nagaur-Ganganagar basin, Rajasthan, India (Cozzi et al. 2012)	281
8.4	Forecast log for exploration well SPR-2 (redrawn after kumar et al. 2016)	282
8.5	Proposed salt cavern for oil storage (a) rock mechanical envelope (b) typical project layout in hexagonal grid	285
8.6	Definition of cavern volumes	286
8.7	Typical model of cavern geometry	287
8.8	Pressure distribution as a function of depth (redrawn after Berest et al. 2001)	289

8.9	Contour plot of stress around the cavern (a) tangential stress b) radial stress and (c) stress concentration at the interface of vertical wall and bottom slope	293
8.10	Maximum displacement contour around the cavern during construction period at (a) cavern bottom (b) cavern roof	294
8.11	Maximum displacement contour after 25 years at (a) cavern roof and (b) cavern bottom	294
8.12	Safety factors against dilatancy from salt surrounding caverns	295
8.13	Contour plot of cavern at the onset of creep and after 25years of run	296
8.14	Ground subsidence after 5, 10, 16 and 25 years	297
8.15	Contour plot of ground subsidence	298
8.16	Application of waste classification and disposal scheme (redrawn after IAEA)	300
8.17	India three stage nuclear programme (redrawn from barc.gov.in)	306
8.18	Proposed nuclear waste repository in 3D model	309
8.19	Proposed underground nuclear waste repository (a) longitudinal section and (b) general plan layout	310
8.20	FEM model description of waste disposal room (a) typical schematic diagram with mesh division (b) detail of waste container	313
8.21	DRZ development and healing around a disposal room at time (a) $t = 0$ (b) $t = 1$ year (c) $t = 25$ years and (d) $t = 50$ years	316
8.22	Creep closure around disposal room at time (a) $t = 0$ (b) $t = 1$ year (c) $t = 10$ years (d) $t = 25$ years (e) $t = 35$ years and (f) $t = 50$ years	317
8.23	Distribution of creep distribution around caverns	318

LIST OF TABLES

Table No.	Caption	Page No.
2.1	Salt deposits over geological periods (Lefond, 1969)	30
2.2	Mean value of parameter <i>B</i> for different rocks (Rao,1984)	50
2.3	Rheological models (Aydan et al. 2014)	55
2.4	Physical properties of rock salt	62
2.5	Chemical composition of rock salt	64
3.1	Results interpretation of XRD analysis	102
3.2	Comparison of percentage fraction in diffraction intensity in diffraction plane	105
3.3	Result of EDX	108
3.4	Mechanical strength parameter from uniaxial compression tests	121
3.5	Estimation of felicity ratio	131
3.6	Results of triaxial test	135
3.7	Mohr-Coulomb strength parameters	141
4.1	Calculated parameters from the proposed constitutive models	168
4.2	Halite percentage and grain size of rock salt	170
5.1	Creep test plan	181
5.2	Creep test results	183

5.3	Comparison of viscosity values from experimental and proposed relationships	196
6.1	Results of UCS at elevated temperature	211
7.1	Simulation matrix of geomechanical model	231
7.2	Parameters for salt cavern analysis	239
7.3	Observed tangential stress on wall in MPa	246
8.1	Parameters for salt cavern analysis	291
8.2	Results of cavern simulation	292
8.3	Quantitative comparison of geological media as deep repository host (Redrawn after Hansen and Leigh, 2011)	301
8.4	Parameters for nuclear waste container	314

LIST OF NOTATIONS

σ_1	Major Principal Stress
σ_2	Intermediate Principal Stress
σ_3	Minor Principal Stress
σ_c	Unconfined Compressive Strength
σ_r	Radial Stress
σ_θ	Tangential Stress
c	Intercept of Cohesion
ϕ	Friction Angle
ν	Poisson's Ratio
E	Elastic Modulus
u	Radial Deformation
u_e	Radial Deformation at Elastic-Plastic Interface
u_c	Radial Deformation at Circular Opening
ϵ_{ze}	Elastic Strain in z-Direction
ϵ_{re}	Elastic Strain in Radial Direction
$\epsilon_{\theta e}$	Elastic Strain in Tangential Direction
ϵ_{zp}	Plastic Strain in z-Direction
ϵ_{rp}	Plastic Strain in Radial Direction
$\epsilon_{\theta p}$	Plastic Strain in Tangential Direction
$a,$	Mogi-Coulomb Strength Parameter in Plastic Zone/ Residual
a_e	Mogi-Coulomb Strength Parameter in Elastic Zone/ Peak
P_o	In-situ Pressure
q	Out-of Plane In-situ Stress
t	Time

η	Maxwell Viscosity
P_i	Internal Pressure in Tunnel Opening
Ψ	Dilation Angle
B_d	Dilation Parameter
τ_{oct}	Octahedral Shear Stress
$\sigma_{m,2}$	Mean Normal Stress
UCS	Uniaxial Compression Strength
FEA	Finite Element Analysis