

**PRODUCTION OF BIOPOLYMER BY
GRAM-POSITIVE BACTERIA (*BACILLUS THURINGIENSIS*)**

JITENDRA SINGH VERMA



**DEPARTMENT OF BIOCHEMICAL ENGINEERING AND BIOTECHNOLOGY
INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY DELHI
JANUARY 2021**

**PRODUCTION OF BIOPOLYMER BY
GRAM-POSITIVE BACTERIA (*BACILLUSTHURINGIENSIS*)**

by

JITENDRA SINGH VERMA

DEPARTMENT OF BIOCHEMICAL ENGINEERING AND BIOTECHNOLOGY

Submitted

in fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

to the



INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY DELHI

JANUARY 2021

CERTIFICATE

This is to certify that the thesis entitled “**Production of biopolymer by gram-positive bacteria (*Bacillus thuringiensis*)**” being submitted by **Mr. Jitendra Singh Verma** to the Indian Institute of Technology Delhi, for the award of “**Doctor of Philosophy**” in Biochemical Engineering and Biotechnology Department is a record of the original bonafide research work carried out by him, which has been prepared under our supervision and guidance in conformity with the rules and regulations of Indian Institute of Technology Delhi. The results contained in the thesis has not been submitted in part or full to any other University or Institute for the award of any degree or diploma.

Dr. A.K. Srivastava

Professor

Department of Biochemical
Engineering & Biotechnology
Indian Institute of Technology Delhi
New Delhi – 110016

Dr. T. R. Sreekrishnan

Professor

Department of Biochemical
Engineering & Biotechnology
Indian Institute of Technology Delhi
New Delhi – 110016

Date:

Place:

Date:

Place:

Acknowledgements

It's been a long road, but here I am at the end, but there are so many people to whom thanks I extend!

First and foremost, it is indeed my proud privilege to express my deep sense of gratitude and indebtedness to my supervisor, Dr. A. K. Srivastava, Professor, Department of Biochemical Engineering and Biotechnology, Indian Institute of Technology, Delhi for his immense help, co-operation and valuable guidance that he has extended to me for the successful completion of this investigation. I am grateful for her constant encouragement, sustained interest and parental care throughout the research period. I would like to thank you for encouraging my research and for allowing me to grow as a research scientist. In future I wish to live up to his expectations of me as a scientist and as a person.

I would also like to express sincere thanks to my co-supervisor Prof. T. R. Sreekrishnan for enhancing my understanding of knowledge in Biochemical Engineering by teaching me the theoretical and practical aspects of this field and for his valuable guidance & support during the PhD work.

I am obliged very much to express my sincere thanks to HOD and Chairperson of my research progress committee, Prof. Atul Narang, Department of Biochemical Engineering, Indian Institute of Technology, Delhi for providing necessary facilities and constant motivation throughout my research work.

It is my privilege to express my thanks to all research committee members Prof. Atul Narang, Prof. Zia Sheikh Ahmed, Department of Biochemical Engineering, IIT-Delhi

and Prof. S. K. Khare, Department of Chemistry, IIT-Delhi for giving me appropriate suggestions and kind cooperation.

I am obliged very much to express my sincere thanks to all the faculty members, Department of Biochemical Engineering and Biotechnology, IIT-Delhi for their support and encouragement.

I reserve special thanks for all the non-teaching staff of the Department of Biochemical Engineering and Biotechnology, IIT-Delhi especially Mr. Sanjay, Mr. Aneesh Raju and Mr. Mukesh Anand, Mr. R. K. Dahiyaas this work would have never been completed without their technical support.

I also gratefully acknowledge to the Ministry of Human Resource and Development (MHRD), Govt. of India, New Delhi, and Director, IIT-Delhi for the financial support in the form of fellowship.

My heartfelt thanks go to my dear lab mates, I would like to thank my seniors and friends, Dr. Geeta and Dr. Anita, Ms. Kavita, Mr. Vishal, Mr. Sanjay, Ms. Lovely, Ms. Rabab, Mr. Navodit, Mr. Vidya Sagar, Mr. Manish, Ms. Kamakshi, Ms. Anjani, Mr. Kamlesh for their valuable support, encouragement towards the successful completion of my research work and making the journey happy.

I cannot forget friends for being with me in thick and thin of life, cheered me on, and celebrated each accomplishment: Mr. Moolchand Sagar, Mr. Manish Meena, Mr. Alok Kumar, Dr. Sharad Verma and Dr. Ashish Verma.

Finally, I would like to acknowledge the people who mean world to me, my parents **Mr. Virendra Singh Verma** and **Mrs. Santosh Kumari**, my wife Dr. Poonam, my sisters Deepa Verma and Anjulika Verma that provided me all the wisdom, strength, guidance and motivation to carry on this journey which at times became very tough and tedious. Without their care, love, sacrifice, prayers, wishes, patience and motivation I can't even imagine of my present position in life.

Jitendra Singh Verma

Abstract

Poly-3-hydroxybutyrate (PHB) is a biodegradable polymer which has physical properties similar to polypropylene & is having wide range of societal applications and more importantly medicinal applications. Numerous gram-positive and gram-negative microorganisms have the capability to accumulate Polyhydroxyalkanoates (PHAs) under nutrient limiting conditions. However, the PHB produced by gram-negative bacteria contains lipopolysaccharides (LPS) endotoxins in their outer membrane, which makes it unfit for medical applications whereas PHB produced by gram-positive bacteria lacks LPS hence making it more suitable for medical applications. Therefore, in the present investigations research efforts were directed towards production of medical grade biopolymers using gram positive bacteria (*Bacillus thuringiensis* IAM12077).

The main objective of the present study was to establish PHB production process using *Bacillus thuringiensis* IAM12077 as a model organism. It was observed that *B. thuringiensis* was able to utilize glycerol as a major carbon source for PHB production, thereby indicating the possibility of utilization of inexpensive crude glycerol (as well) as key substrate, which is a by-product of the biofuel industry. To obtain maximum biomass PHB accumulation by *B. thuringiensis*, concentrations of different nutrients were statistically optimized. Batch cultivation in a 7L bioreactor using optimized medium recipe resulted in biomass concentration of 6.68 g/L with a PHB concentration of 2.71 g/L in 33 hours. Possibility of substrate inhibition by selected major limiting nutrient (Carbon source; glycerol) was also explored which demonstrated a decrease in specific growth rate of *B. thuringiensis* beyond 15g/L with respect to glycerol. To further enhance the PHB yield and productivity, cultivation of *B. thuringiensis* was attempted in 7 L bioreactor using optimized glycerol and glucose concentration in the ratio of 1:1, (at a total concentration of 15g/L) along with statistically optimized medium components which exhibited a biomass and PHB concentration of 8.45 g/L and 3.36 g/L, respectively

in 33 hours. This was significantly higher as compared to bioreactor batch cultivation conducted with glycerol as sole carbon source.

Successful attempts were then made to identify two different batch mathematical models, e.g., for glycerol as substrate and mixed substrate i.e. glycerol with glucose for production by *B. thuringiensis* IAM12077 using substrate inhibition studies & 7 L batch kinetics data. Both the models were then extrapolated to simulate different nutrient feeding strategies in fed-batch cultivation conditions which included constant feed rate of glycerol and maintenance of pseudo steady state of glycerol. It was observed that fed-batch cultivation using constant feed rate strategy of glycerol yielded PHB concentration of 10.4 g/L and 4.15 g/L, respectively in 33 hours the fed-batch cultivation conducted with mixed substrate & under pseudo steady state with respect to glycerol exhibited maximum biomass and PHB concentration of 8.42 g/L and 3.93 g/L, respectively in 36 hours. However, fed-batch cultivation conducted with mixed substrates & at constant feed strategy of mixed substrates resulted in biomass and PHB accumulation of 10.20 g/L and 4.57 g/L, in 27 hours respectively. On the other hand, the fed-batch cultivation strategy with feeding of mixed substrate (glucose and glycerol) to maintain pseudo steady state with respect to glycerol resulted in biomass and a reasonably high PHB accumulation of 12.74 g/L and 5.48 g/L, respectively in 33 hours.

The batch mathematical model for mixed substrate was used to develop an (integrated batch-fed-batch-continuous with cell retention) cultivation strategy to further enhance the biomass and PHB accumulation in the bioreactor. The experimental implementation of this model based unique cultivation strategy resulted in biomass and PHB accumulation of 24.1 g/L and 9.84 g/L, respectively, reported so far for *B. thuringiensis* IAM12077. The present studies also demonstrated the successful scale-up of batch PHB fermentation from 7L to 70L bioreactor using P_g/V criterion where in biomass and PHB concentration of 6.68 g/L and 2.71 g/L, respectively was obtained in both bioreactor cultivations.

Poly-3-hydroxybutyrate (PHB) एक जैवनिम्ननी पॉलीमर है जिसमें पॉलीप्रोपाइलीन के समान भौतिक गुण होते हैं और इसकी व्यापक श्रेणी के सामाजिक अनुप्रयोगों और अधिक महत्वपूर्ण रूप से औषधीय अनुप्रयोग होते हैं। कई ग्राम-पॉजिटिव और ग्राम-नेगेटिव सूक्ष्मजीवों में पोषक तत्वों की सीमित स्थिति के तहत पॉलीहाइड्रॉक्सीकल्कनेट्स (PHAs) को संचित करने की क्षमता होती है। हालांकि, ग्राम-नेगेटिव बैक्टीरिया द्वारा निर्मित PHB में उनके बाहरी झिल्ली में लिपोपॉलीसेकेराइड (LPS) एंडोटॉक्सिन होते हैं, जो इसे चिकित्सा अनुप्रयोगों के लिए अयोग्य बनाता है, जबकि ग्राम-पॉजिटिव बैक्टीरिया द्वारा उत्पादित PHB में LPS (एल-पि-एस) उपस्थित नहीं होता है जिसके कारण PHB मेडिकल अनुप्रयोगों के लिए अधिक उपयुक्त होता है। इसलिए, वर्तमान अध्ययन में ग्रामपॉजिटिव बैक्टीरिया (बैसिलस थुरिंगिनेसिस IAM12077) का उपयोग करके मेडिकल ग्रेड बायोपॉलिमर उत्पादन पर ध्यान केंद्रित किया गया था।

वर्तमान अध्ययन का मुख्य उद्देश्य बैसिलस थुरिंगिनेसिस IAM12077 का एक मॉडल जीव के रूप में उपयोग करके PHB उत्पादन प्रक्रिया स्थापित करना था। यह देखा गया कि बी. थ्यूरिंगेंसिस ग्लिसरॉल को PHB उत्पादन के लिए एक प्रमुख कार्बन स्रोत के रूप में उपयोग करने में सक्षम था, जिससे महंगे कच्चे ग्लिसरॉल (साथ ही) प्रमुख सब्सट्रेट के उपयोग की संभावना का संकेत मिलता है, जो जैव ईंधन उद्योग का एक उप-उत्पाद है। बी. थ्यूरिंगेंसिस द्वारा अधिकतम बायोमास (PHB) पीएचबी (PHB) संचय प्राप्त करने के लिए, विभिन्न पोषक तत्वों की सांद्रता को सांख्यिकीय रूप से अनुकूलित किया गया था। अनुकूलित माध्यम नुस्खा का उपयोग कर 7 लीटर बैच बायोरिएक्टर में उपज (cultivation) से बायोमास सांद्रण 6.68 ग्राम/लीटर हुआ एवं 2.71 ग्राम/लीटर की PHB एकाग्रता का 33 घंटों में उत्पादन हुआ। सब्सट्रेट इनहिबिटरी की प्रमुख सीमित पोषक तत्व (कार्बन स्रोत; ग्लिसरॉल) की संभावना भी पता लगाया गया था, जो कि 15ग्राम/लीटर ग्लिसरॉल से परे बी.

थ्यूरिंगेंसिस की विशिष्ट वृद्धि दर में कमी को प्रदर्शित करता है। PHB की उपज और उत्पादकता को और बढ़ाने के लिए, सांख्यिकीय रूप से अनुकूलित मध्यम घटकों के साथ, 1: 1 के अनुपात में ग्लिसरॉल और ग्लूकोज सांद्रता का उपयोग करते हुए बी. थुरिंगिएन्सिस की खेती का प्रयास 7 लीटर बायोरिएक्टर में किया गया। जिसमें 33 घंटे में क्रमशः 8.45 ग्राम/लीटर और 3.36 ग्राम/लीटर के बायोमास और पीएचबी एकाग्रता का प्रदर्शन किया गया। यह केवल कार्बन स्रोत के रूप में ग्लिसरॉल के साथ आयोजित बायोरिएक्टर बैच की खेती की तुलना में काफी अधिक था।

तब सब्सट्रेट (ग्लिसरॉल) और मिश्रित कार्बन सब्सट्रेट (ग्लिसरॉल और ग्लूकोज) के रूप में ग्लिसरॉल के लिए दो अलग-अलग बैच गणितीय मॉडल, जैसे, सब्सट्रेट और मिश्रित सब्सट्रेट के रूप में ग्लिसरॉल के लिए यानी ग्लिसरॉल के साथ ग्लूकोज के साथ उत्पादन के लिए बी. थुरिंगिएन्सिस IAM12077 सब्सट्रेट निषेध अध्ययन और 7 लीटर बैच कैनेटीक्स डेटा का उपयोग करते हुए उत्पादन के लिए सफल प्रयासों की पहचान की गई। दोनों मॉडलों को तब अलग-अलग पोषक तत्वों की खिला रणनीतियों का अनुकरण करने के लिए अतिरिक्त रूप से तैयार किया गया था, फीड-बैच की खेती की स्थितियों में जिसमें ग्लिसरॉल की निरंतर फीड दर और ग्लिसरॉल के छद्म स्थिर अवस्था का रखरखाव शामिल था। यह देखा गया कि ग्लिसरॉल की निरंतर फीड दर रणनीति का उपयोग करके फीड-बैच (fed-batch) की खेती ने 10.4 ग्राम/लीटर और 4.15 ग्राम/लीटर की PHB सांद्रता प्राप्त की, 33 घंटे में मिश्रित सब्सट्रेट और छद्म राज्य के संबंध में आयोजित फीड-बैच की खेती के संबंध में ग्लिसरॉल ने अधिकतम बायोमास और PHB सांद्रता क्रमशः 8.42 ग्राम/लीटर और 3.93 ग्राम/लीटर, को 36 घंटे में प्रदर्शित किया। हालांकि, मिश्रित सब्सट्रेट्स और मिश्रित सब्सट्रेट्स की निरंतर फीड रणनीति के साथ आयोजित बैच बैच की खेती के परिणामस्वरूप क्रमशः 27 घंटे में 10.20 ग्राम/लीटर और 4.57 ग्राम/लीटर का बायोमास संचय होता है। दूसरी ओर, मिश्रित-बैच सब्सट्रेट (ग्लूकोज और ग्लिसरॉल) की फीड-बैच की खेती की रणनीति के साथ-साथ ग्लिसरॉल के संबंध में छद्म स्थिर स्थिति बनाए रखने के लिए बायोमास और परिणामस्वरूप उच्च PHB, क्रमशः 12.74 ग्राम/लीटर और 5.48 ग्राम/लीटर 33 घंटे में हुआ।

किण्वन के शोरबे (fermentation broth) में बायोमास और PHB संचय को और बढ़ाने के लिए, एक एकीकृत बैच-फेड-बैच-निरंतर उत्पादन की रणनीति विकसित मॉडल की मदद से विकसित की गई। ग्लूकोज और अन्य सांख्यिकीय रूप से अनुकूलित मीडिया घटकों के साथ ग्लिसरॉल के संबंध में छद्म स्थिर अवस्था में फेड-बैच की खेती के बाद बायोरिएक्टर में निरंतर मोड में ताजा पोषक तत्वों को खिलाने से जुड़ी इस अभिनव रणनीति को लागू किया गया। इस उत्पादन की रणनीति से 24.1

ग्राम/लीटर बायोमास एवं 9.84 ग्राम/लीटर पीएचबी (PHB) सांद्रित प्राप्त हुआ, जिसमें PHB उत्पादकता स्थिर अवस्था में 0.298 ग्राम/लीटर.घंटे थी, जो कि बी. थुरिंगिएन्सिस उत्पादन की सबसे उत्तम रणनीति का प्रमाण है।

वर्तमान अध्ययनों ने Pg/V मानदंड का उपयोग करते हुए 7लीटरसे 70लीटरबायोरिएक्टर तक बैच PHB किण्वन के सफल पैमाने-अप का प्रदर्शन किया, जहां बायोपास और PHB एकाग्रता क्रमशः 6.68 ग्राम/लीटर और 2.71 ग्राम/लीटर, दोनों बायोरिएक्टर की खेती में प्राप्त किया गया था।

Table of Contents

Abstract	i
सामान्यसारांश	iii
Table of Contents	vi
List of Tables	xii
List of Figures	xv
List of Abbreviations	xviii
List of Symbols	xx
Chapter 1	1
1. Introduction	1
1.1 Introduction	1
1.2 Objectives	4
1.3 Organization of report	5
Chapter 2	6
2. Literature Review	6
2.1 Polyhydroxyalkanoates (PHAs)	6
2.2 PHAs Classification	7
2.2.1 Polyhydroxybutyrate (PHB)	9
2.2.2 Poly-3-hydroxybutyrate-co-3-hydroxyvalerate (PHBV)	10
2.2.3 Poly-(3-hydroxybutyrate-co-3-hydroxyhexanoate) (PHBHHx)	10
2.3 PHB - Bacterial Biosynthesis	11
2.4 Biodegradability of PHAs	13

2.5	Biocompatibility of PHB.....	14
2.6	PHA Producing Microorganisms	15
2.6.1	PHA Production by Wild-Type Bacteria	15
2.6.2	PHA Production by Recombinant Bacteria	18
2.6.3	PHA production by mixed type culture	20
2.6.4	Sustainable and cost-effective production of PHAs	20
2.7	Mathematical modeling of biological systems.....	21
2.8	PHAs cultivation techniques	23
2.8.1	Batch cultivation	23
2.8.2	Fed-batch cultivation	24
2.8.3	Continuous cultivation.....	25
2.9	PHA extraction process.....	27
2.10	Medical Applications of PHAs.....	30
2.11	Current commercial producers of PHAs	33
Chapter 3		34
3.	Growth kinetic studies and media optimization by statistical methods.....	34
3.1	Summary	34
3.2	Introduction	34
3.2.1	Statistical Optimization studies to identify the medium recipe for high microbial Growth and PHB accumulation.....	34
3.3	Materials.....	36
3.3.1	Chemical/Reagents	36
3.3.2	Major Equipment	37
3.3.3	Microorganism and its maintenance	38
3.4	Analytical methods.....	38
3.5	Experimental Methods	40
3.5.1	Inoculum growth medium and culture conditions	40

3.5.2	Comparison of PHB production by <i>B. thuringiensis</i> IAM12077 on different carbon substrates	41
3.5.3	Study of growth kinetics of the culture.....	41
3.5.4	Statistical optimization of media using statistical medium design	42
3.6	Results and Discussion.....	45
3.6.1	Comparison of PHB production by <i>B. thuringiensis</i> IAM12077on different carbon substrates	45
3.6.2	Study of growth kinetics of the culture.....	45
3.6.3	Statistical optimization of media using statistical medium design	46
3.6.4	Plackett-Burman Design (PBD).....	47
3.6.5	Response Surface Methodology (RSM)	48
3.7	Qualitative analysis of PHB obtained from <i>Bacillus thuringiensis</i> using FTIR .	58
3.8	Conclusion.....	60
Chapter 4		61
4.	Design of PHB production bioprocess.....	61
4.1	Summary	61
4.2	Introduction	62
4.2.1	Chemical/Reagents	62
4.2.2	Major Equipment	62
4.3	Experimental Methods	63
4.3.1	To identify the inoculum transfer time for transfer from shake flask to bioreactor	63
4.3.2	Batch cultivation studies in bioreactor using statistically optimized medium	63
4.3.3	Inhibition studies with respect to glycerol	65
4.3.4	Development of batch mathematical model for growth and PHB production	65

4.3.5	Effect of mixed substrate (Glycerol + Glucose) at different concentrations on Biomass and PHB accumulation.....	66
4.3.6	Study of the growth kinetics of <i>B. thuringiensis</i> in shake flask using optimized mixed substrate (Glycerol with Glucose) concentrations along with optimized media components	67
4.3.7	Batch bioreactor cultivation of <i>B. thuringiensis</i> using mixed substrate (Glycerol + Glucose) along with optimized media components	68
4.3.8	Inhibition studies with respect to Glucose	68
4.3.9	Development of batch mathematical model for growth & PHB production & optimization of model parameters using mixed substrates (Glycerol + Glucose).....	69
4.4	Results and Discussion.....	70
4.4.1	Batch cultivation studies in bioreactor using statistically optimized medium	70
4.4.2	Substrate (glycerol) inhibition studies in shake flask	71
4.4.3	Development of a mathematical model for growth and PHB production	73
4.4.4	Biomass and PHB accumulation in mixed substrate (Glycerol + Glucose) at different concentrations	77
4.4.5	To identify the inoculation time for shake flask transfer to bioreactor with mixed substrate (glycerol and glucose).....	78
4.4.6	Batch kinetics in bioreactor using mixed substrate (Glycerol and Glucose)	79
4.4.7	Substrate (glucose) inhibition studies in shake flask	81
4.4.8	Development of Batch Mathematical Model for growth and PHB production in mixed substrate (glycerol and glucose).	82
4.5	Conclusion.....	86
Chapter 5		88
5.	Performance of growth kinetic models at bioreactor scale.....	88
5.1	Model-based fed-batch cultivation strategies.....	88
5.1.1	Fed-batch of cultivation <i>B. thuringiensis</i> with constant feed rate	89

5.1.2	Fed-batch cultivation under pseudo-steady state with respect to glycerol ..	90
5.2	Model-based fed-batch cultivation strategies using mixed substrate (glycerol and glucose).....	91
5.2.1	Fed-batch cultivation with constant feed rate using mixed substrate	91
5.2.2	Fed-batch cultivation in mixed substrate under pseudo-steady state with respect to glycerol	92
5.3	Results and Discussion.....	92
5.3.1	Development of model-based fed-batch fermentation.....	92
5.3.2	Development of model-based fed-batch fermentation.....	96
5.4	Conclusion.....	102
Chapter 6.....		104
6.	Potential of continuous cultivation for PHB production using <i>Bacillus thuringiensis</i>	104
6.1	Introduction	104
6.2	Cultivation in CSTR (Continuous Stirred Tank Reactor) with cell retention ...	106
6.3	Results and Discussion.....	107
6.3.1	Integrated Fed batch (Pseudo steady state with respect to glycerol) followed by Continuous cultivation with cell retention using spin filter (pore size 10 µm) ..	107
6.4	Conclusion.....	110
Chapter 7.....		111
7.	Scale-up of batch cultivation from 7L to 70L: Comparison of different strategies.	111
7.1	Scale-up of Bioreactor cultivation processes	111
7.1.1	Geometrical similarity of the bioreactors	114
7.1.2	Hydrodynamic parameters of 7 L and 70 L bioreactor.....	116
7.1.3	Scale-up based on constant operating variables:	121
7.2	Results and Discussion.....	135
7.2.1	Scale-up of 7L bioreactor to 70L bioreactor.....	135

7.3 Conclusion.....	144
Chapter 8.....	146
8. General Discussion	146
Chapter 9.....	152
9. Conclusions.....	152
9.1 Future Studies.....	154
Appendices.....	156
10. Jitendra Singh Verma.....	183
References.....	185
Curriculum Vitae	

List of Tables

Table 2-1: PHA accumulation in <i>Bacillus</i> species.....	18
Table 2-2: A comparison of the various examples of PHA production by recombinant bacteria.....	19
Table 2-3: A brief summary of the various literature reports of PHAs and its copolymers production by bacteria utilizing inexpensive and renewable substrates.	21
Table 2-4: A brief summary of the various literature reports of PHAs and its copolymers production by bacteria utilizing inexpensive and renewable substrates	27
Table 2-5: Comparative summary of different PHAs recovery methods (adapted from Kunasundari and Sudesh, 2011, Gahlawat and Srivastava, 2014).....	30
Table 2-6: Applications of PHAs and their blends	32
Table 3-1: List of chemicals and their sources	36
Table 3-2: List of Equipment.....	37
Table 3-3: The concentration ranges of effectors of the medium recipe for Plackett-Burman optimization protocol	43
Table 3-4: Design of trial experiments as per Plackett-Burman design Protocol.....	43
Table 3-5: Design of trial experiments as per RSM Protocol.....	44
Table 3-6: The experimental design along with responses (Biomass and PHB) obtained from Plackett-Burman design.	48
Table 3-7: Effectors (A-F) t-value coefficients under study for Biomass and PHB accumulation by <i>B. thuringiensis</i>	48
Table 3-8: Trial experimental design for RSM and the relative response(s) obtained.	50
Table 3-9: Optimized value of medium components obtained after Plackett-Burman and RSM studies.	58
Table 4-1: List of Equipment.....	62
Table 4-2: Design of experiments for optimization of mixed substrate concentrations	67

Table 4-3: Optimized model parameters values of PHB fermentation process using <i>B. thuringiensis</i>	76
Table 4-4: Effect on Biomass and PHB accumulation in mixed substrate (Glycerol + Glucose) at different concentrations.	78
Table 4-5: Optimized values of model kinetic parameters were obtained by minimizing the objective function (SSWR) using non-linear regression analysis.....	85
Table 5-1: Fed-batch simulations at different combinations of key substrates glycerol and nitrogen concentrations in feed at constant rate during 24 h to 36 h of batch cultivation.	93
Table 5-2: Fed-batch reactor simulated data at different combinations of concentrations of Glycerol, Glucose and Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen concentrations at constant feed rate (initiated at 15h) after 27 h reactor operation.	98
Table 5-3: Fed-batch reactor simulated data at different combinations of concentrations of Glycerol, Glucose and Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen under Pseudo-steady state with respect to glycerol after 33 h reactor operation.	101
Table 6-1: Simulated data for continuous cultivation of PHB using cell retention in 7L at different combinations of concentrations of Glycerol, Glucose and Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen and at different dilution rates.....	108
Table 7-1: Comparison of the geometrical parameters of 7 L and 70 L bioreactor.....	114
Table 7-2: Operating and Geometrical parameters for the 7 L bioreactor.....	115
Table 7-3: Hydrodynamic parameters calculated for 7 L bioreactor.....	120
Table 7-4: Operating values of hydrodynamic parameters at constant tip speed and air flow rate for 70 L bioreactor.....	125
Table 7-5: Operating values of hydrodynamic parameters at constant Reynolds number and air flow rate for 70 L bioreactor.....	128
Table 7-6: Operating values of hydrodynamic parameters at constant Power per unit volume and air flow rate for 70 L bioreactor.....	130
Table 7-7: Operating values of hydrodynamic parameters at N=2.5 rps (150 rpm).....	133
Table 7-8: Operating values of hydrodynamic parameters at N=2.3 rps (138 rpm).....	135
Table 7-9: Mathematical expressions of hydrodynamic parameters used for the calculation for scale up.	137
Table 7-10: Scale up calculation of Hydrodynamic parameters for 70L bioreactor in comparison to 7 L bioreactor	139

Table 8-1: Summary of Biomass, PHB accumulation for different bioreactor cultivation strategies.	150
---	-----

List of Figures

Figure 2-1: The general structural formula of PHAs (Adapted from Zinn et al., 2001).	6
Figure 2-2: Structural formula of different types of PHAs, where 3HB = 3-hydroxybutyrate, 3HV = 3-hydroxyvalerate, 3HHx = 3-hydroxyhexanoate, 3HO = 3-hydroxyoctanoate, 3HD = 3-hydroxydecanoate and 3HDD = 3-hydroxydodecanoate (Raza et al., 2018).	7
Figure 2-3: Monomers of PHB and PHV combined to form the co-polymer PHBV (Adapted from Bugnicourt et al., 2014).	9
Figure 2-4: Metabolic pathways of PHAs synthesis (Kim et al., 2007; Philip et al., 2007; Sudesh et al., 2000).	12
Figure 3-1: Growth profile of <i>B. thuringiensis</i> in shake flask with 5% inoculum.	46
Figure 3-2: Contour plot between glycerol and peptone when response is active biomass.	51
Figure 3-3: Contour plot between glycerol and yeast extract when response is active biomass.	51
Figure 3-4: Contour plot between glycerol and KH_2PO_4 when response is active biomass.	52
Figure 3-5: Contour plot between peptone and yeast extract when response is active biomass.	52
Figure 3-6: Contour plot between peptone and KH_2PO_4 when response is active biomass.	53
Figure 3-7: Contour plot between KH_2PO_4 and yeast extract when response is active biomass	53
Figure 3-8: Contour plot between glycerol and peptone when response is PHB.	54
Figure 3-9: Contour plot between glycerol and yeast extract when response is PHB.	54

Figure 3-10: Contour plot between glycerol and KH_2PO_4 when response is PHB	55
Figure 3-11: Contour plot between peptone and yeast extract when response is PHB.	55
Figure 3-12: Contour plot between peptone and KH_2PO_4 when response is PHB.	56
Figure 3-13: Contour plot between yeast extract and KH_2PO_4 when response is PHB.	56
Figure 3-14: Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR) spectrum of poly-hydroxybutyrate (PHB) accumulated by <i>B. thuringiensis</i> IAM12077.	59
Figure 4-1: Batch cultivation in 7L Applikon Bioreactor	64
Figure 4-2: Growth kinetics of <i>B. thuringiensis</i> in a 7L bioreactor (4L working volume) under batch cultivation conditions.	71
Figure 4-3: Effect of increasing initial concentration of glycerol on specific growth rate.	72
Figure 4-4: Model simulation (smooth lines) and corresponding experimental (datapoints) comparison of batch-cultivation kinetics of <i>B. thuringiensis</i> .	77
Figure 4-5: (a) Growth profile of <i>B. thuringiensis</i> in shake flask (5% inoculum size) Biomass increased till 27 h after that it starts decreasing because of disappearing substrate, (b) $\ln X$ vs Time, where X is Biomass	79
Figure 4-6: Growth kinetics of <i>B. thuringiensis</i> in a bioreactor (7L), (4L working volume) under batch cultivation conditions using mixed substrate (glycerol and glucose).	81
Figure 4-7: Effect of increasing glucose initial concentration on specific growth rate.	82
Figure 4-8: A comparison of 7L Batch kinetics data for mixed substrate (Glucose and Glycerol) between the model simulations (smooth lines) and experimental values (data points) of batch-fermentation kinetics of <i>B. thuringiensis</i> illustrates a good correlation.	86
Figure 5-1: Experimental set-up for fed-batch cultivation of <i>B. thuringiensis</i>	90
Figure 5-2: Model based Fed-batch cultivation with constant feed rate. Model predictions (smooth lines) and experimental (data) points) exhibited a good correlation. 0-24 h Batch; Fed-batch 24-33 h at a feed rate of 160 ml/h having a concentration of 75g/L glycerol and 4 g/L TKN along with other medium components.	95
Figure 5-3: Model based Fed-batch cultivation with pseudo steady rate with respect to glycerol during 18-33 hours. Model predictions (smooth lines) and experimental (data) points showed a good correlation. Batch (0-18 h); Fed-batch (18-36 h).	96
Figure 5-4: Model based Fed-batch cultivation with constant feeding of substrates, at a concentration of 60 g/L glycerol, 60 g/L glucose, and 4 g/L Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen	

(TKN) at a feed rate of 40 mL/h, 30mL/h and 15 mL/h respectively (with rest of the medium components). 0-15h Batch; Fed-batch 15h-27h. The model predictions (smooth lines) and experimental values (data points) exhibited a good correlation.	100
Figure 5-5: Model based Fed-batch cultivation using mixed substrate (glycerol and glucose) with pseudo steady rate with respect to glycerol. Model predictions (smooth lines) and experimental values (data points) exhibited a good correlation. Batch cultivation (0-15 h) and Fed-batch cultivation (15-33 h) kinetics is described.	102
Figure 6-1: Bioreactor with cell separator and recycle	105
Figure 6-2: Bioreactor with cell retention filter	106
Figure 6-3: A comparison of model simulation and experimental data of 7L bioreactor kinetics for mixed substrate (glycerol and glucose) in integrated batch, pseudo steady state fed-batch mode of operation followed by CSTR with cell retention cultivation.	109
Figure 7-1: Relationship between Power Number and Reynold's Number (Aiba et al., 1973).	118
Figure 7-2: Step-wise calculations for hydrodynamic parameter.	138
Figure 7-3: Parametric Index ratio of hydrodynamic parameter values calculated for 70 L to the corresponding values of 7 L bioreactor at constant Impeller Tip speed.	140
Figure 7-4: Parametric Index ratio of hydrodynamic parameter values calculated for 70 L to the corresponding values of 7 L bioreactor at constant Reynolds number.	141
Figure 7-5: Parametric Index ratio of hydrodynamic parameter values calculated for 70 L to the corresponding values of 7 L bioreactor at constant Power per unit volume.	142
Figure 7-6: Parametric Index ratio of hydrodynamic parameter values calculated for 70 L to the corresponding values of 7 L bioreactor at constant $K_L a$.	143
Figure 7-7: Growth kinetics of <i>B. thuringiensis</i> in a 70L bioreactor (50L working volume) under batch cultivation conditions	144

List of Abbreviations

3HB	3-hydroxybutyrate
3HD	3-hydroxydecanoate
3HDD	3-hydroxydodecanoate
3HHD	3-hydroxy-hexa-decanoate
3HHx	3-hydroxyhexanoate
3HO	3-hydroxyoctanoate
3HOD	3-hydroxy-octa-decanoate
3HV	3-hydroxyvalerate
4HB	4-hydroxybutyrate
AMP	Adenosine monophosphate
ATP	Adenosine triphosphate
ATPS	Aqueous Two-Phase System
CCD	Central Composite Design
CoA	Coenzyme A
CSTR	Continuous Stirred Tank Reactor
DCW	Dry Cell Weight
DO	Dissolved Oxygen
FTIR	Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy
HA	Hydroxyapatite
HBA	Hydroxybutyric acid
HPLC	High Performance Liquid Chromatography
LCL	Long Chain Length
LPS	Lipo-poly-saccharide
MCL	Medium Chain Length

NADH	Nicotinamide Adenine Dinucleotide Hydrogen
NADPH	Nicotinamide Adenine Dinucleotide Phosphate Hydrogen
PBD	Plackett Burman Design
PHA	Poly -3-hydroxyalkanoates
PHB	Poly-3-hydroxybutyrate
PHO	Poly-3-hydroxyoctanoate
PHV	Poly-3-hydroxyvalerate
PHBV	Poly-(3hydroxybutyrate-co-3hydroxyvalerate)
PHBHHx	Poly-(3-hydroxybutyrate-co-3-hydroxyhexanoate)
RSM	Response Surface Methodology
SCF	Supercritical Fluid Extraction
SCL	Short Chain Length
SDS	Sodium Dodecyl Sulfate
SSWR	Sum of the Squares of the Weighed Residues
TCA	Tricarboxylic Acid
TKN	Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen

List of Symbols

$1/Y_{[X+P/S_1]}$	Yield of biomass and product on glycerol consumption (g/g)
$1/Y_{[X+P/S_2]}$	Yield of biomass and product on TKN consumption (g/g)
$1/Y_{[X/S_3]}$	Yield of biomass and product on glucose consumption (g/g)
D	Dilution rate (h^{-1})
D_I	Impeller diameter (cm)
D_T	Diameter of Tank (cm)
dV/dt	Rate of change of volume (L/h)
f_C	Correction factor
H_T	Height of Tank (cm)
K_1	Growth associated product formation constant (g/g)
K_2	Non-growth associated product formation constant (g/g)
K_{I_1}	Substrate inhibition constant for glycerol consumption (g/L)
K_{I_2}	Substrate inhibition constant for glucose consumption (g/L)
K_{La}	Volumetric Mass Transfer Coefficient (h^{-1})
K_{S_1}	Saturation constant for glycerol consumption (g/L)
K_{S_2}	Saturation constant for TKN consumption (g/L)
K_{S_3}	Saturation constant for glucose consumption (g/L)
m_{S_1}	Maintenance coefficient for glycerol (g/g.h)
m_{S_2}	Maintenance coefficient for TKN (g/g.h)
m_{S_3}	Maintenance coefficient for glucose (g/g.h)
N	Impeller rotation speed (s^{-1})
N_{Re}	Reynold's Number
P	PHB concentration (g/L)

P_{ung}	Un-gassed power (W)
P_g	Gassed power (W)
Q	Volumetric air flow rate (Lpm)
rpm	revolution per minute
rps	revolution per second
q_p	Volumetric productivity of PHB (g/L.h)
q_{s_1}	Specific glycerol consumption rate (h^{-1})
q_{s_2}	Specific TKN consumption rate (h^{-1})
q_{s_3}	Specific glucose consumption rate (h^{-1})
S_{01}	Inlet concentration of glycerol in the feed bottle (g/L)
S_{02}	Inlet concentration of nitrogen in the feed bottle (g/L)
S_{03}	Inlet concentration of glucose in the feed bottle (g/L)
S_1	Glycerol concentration (g/L)
S_2	Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen concentration (g/L)
S_3	Glucose concentration (g/L)
V	Working volume of the bioreactor (L)
V_s	Superficial Gas Velocity (m/s)
W_j	Weight of each variable
Δ_j	Mean residual of each variable (j)
Δ_{ij}	Difference between the model and experimental values for i^{th} data point and j^{th} process variable
$\bar{\Theta}$	Blend Time
ϑ	Kinematic Viscosity
Π	Impeller Tip Velocity (m/s)
μ	Specific growth rate (h^{-1})
μ_m	Maximum specific growth rate (h^{-1})
ν	Viscosity of the medium (Kg/cm-s)
ρ	Medium density (kg/m^3)