

**MOLECULAR FUNCTIONALIZED ANTIFOULING
POLYMERIC MEMBRANES WITH TUNABLE
WETTING FOR OIL-WATER SEPARATION**

KANUPRIYA NAYAK



DEPARTMENT OF MATERIALS SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING

INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY DELHI

HAUZ KHAS, NEW DELHI- 110016

OCTOBER 2021

©Indian Institute of Technology Delhi (IITD), New Delhi, 2020

**MOLECULAR FUNCTIONALIZED ANTIFOULING
POLYMERIC MEMBRANES WITH TUNABLE
WETTING FOR OIL-WATER SEPARATION**

by

KANUPRIYA NAYAK

Department of Materials Science and Engineering

Submitted

in fulfilment of the requirements of the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

to the



INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY DELHI

OCTOBER 2021

***Dedicated to my family and my
mentor***

CERTIFICATE

This is to certify that the thesis entitled, “**Molecular Functionalized Antifouling Polymeric Membranes with Tunable Wetting for Oil-Water Separation**” being submitted by Ms. Kanupriya Nayak to Indian Institute of Technology Delhi for the award of degree of Doctor of Philosophy is a record of bonafide research work carried out by her. Ms. Nayak has worked under my guidance and supervision and has fulfilled the requirements for the submission of this thesis, which to my knowledge has reached the requisite standard. The results contained in this thesis are original and have not been submitted, in part or full, to any other University or Institute for the award of any other degree or diploma.

Dr. Bijay P. Tripathi

Assistant Professor

Department of materials science &
engineering

Indian institute of technology Delhi

Hauz Khas, new Delhi- 110016

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Foremost, I would like to thank my supervisor Prof. Bijay P. Tripathi, for his continuous support, guidance, and motivation for my Ph.D. dissertation. It was a truly enlightening journey that helped me understand and explore this exciting scientific research area and address the problems. His involvement in my research was inspirational and always provided a new horizon toward my perspective while addressing any issue. On several occasions, his pretension of being hard pushed me to work harder and extended my knowledge in various science and technology domains. This journey with him will always remain memorable and cherishable for me during my entire life.

I am thankful to Prof. A.K. Ghosh, Prof. B.K. Satapathy, and Prof. Bhanu Nandan, for their constructive comments, suggestions, and encouragements during my semester evaluation. I acknowledge Prof. J Jacob, Prof. S Saha, and Prof. L Nebhani, and other faculty members in DMSE for their support and encouragement.

I would like to take this opportunity to express my gratitude to Mr. Ashok Kapoor, Mr. Surinder Sharma, Mr. Ashish, Mr. Gajaraj Singh, Mr. Etheshamul Islam, Mr. Shivkant Sharma, and Mr. Subhash Chand for their technical support to implement my research.

I appreciate the members of our “Functional Materials & Membrane” research group Anubhav, Biswajit, Supriya, Arun, Divya, Sandeep, Avoy, and Swyam for help and support. I always cherish and acknowledge Anubhav for his continuous help and support from the very first day of my journey. With his

componence, each day was a fun learning session for me. I also thank all the students of the Department of Materials Science and Engineering for their help and support. Finally, I would like to thank my parents and family members for their unconditional support in this journey.

I am very grateful to the Ministry of Education for providing a Ph.D. research fellowship.

ABSTRACT

Increasing demand for and shortage of clean drinking water due to rapid urbanization, population growth, gross misuse, and climate change have become unprecedented urgent issues in this century. The direct discharge of polluted water containing harmful contents such as chemicals, industrial effluents, heavy metals, oils, biological reagents, and pathogens severely affects the marine ecosystem, human health, and the environment. Among different water polluting substances, oils released from petrochemical, food, metallurgical, and other industries, along with frequent oil spills, are difficult to separate from the oily wastewater. The membrane-based separation and filtration are superior to the traditional treatment methods because it does not require thermal or chemical treatment. Therefore, it is an aggressive approach for molecular separation. Besides, membrane separation offers other intrinsic merits, such as a smaller environmental footprint (no emissions), continuous operation, and great simplicity. However, current membrane based filtration technologies suffers from high energy consumption, low flux, compromised rejection, high organic and biological fouling, giving rise to a significant impetus to deliver new research for novel membrane development. To minimize the above problems, coating, blending, and grafting of hydrophilic polymers and nanomaterials are commonly used, which suffer from exclusion, phase separation, and leaching out from the matrix.

To address the above issues herein, a simple and straightforward method to modify and produce superhydrophilic and underwater superoleophobic

membranes by molecular grafting and functionalization was targeted. Polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF) and polysulfone (PSF) were used to fabricate the base membranes by non-solvent induced phase separation. In the first approach, the PVDF membrane was modified by molecular grafting of amino silanes with different amino groups on the polyvinyl alcohol primed surface. The molecular grafting followed by sol-gel imparted in-air superamphiphilicity and underwater superoleophobicity. Further, amino alkanes with varying chain lengths and amino groups were chosen and molecularly grafted on polydopamine coated PVDF membranes. The grafted amino alkanes were further functionalized with 1,3-propane sultone to obtain zwitterionic membranes. The zwitterionized membranes exhibited superhydrophilicity and underwater superoleophobicity. Both PVDF-amino silanes and zwitterionized PVDF membranes showed anti oil adhesion features under water. In the next work, a hydrophobic 1-Iodo-1H,1H,2H, 2H-perfluorodecane active molecule was grafted PSF base membranes by utilizing polydopamine surface chemistry. The prepared membranes showed in-air amphiphilicity, superhydrophilicity, and underwater superoleophobicity.

These molecules were chosen in such a way so that the surface should have either superhydrophilic/superoleophobic property or superamphiphilic property to impart strong antifouling behavior. The superhydrophilic/superoleophobic property along with zwitterionic functional groups led to substantial antifouling property towards BSA protein and oils and showed nearly complete flux recovery after multicycle filtration. All prepared membranes were thoroughly

characterized using advanced tools and techniques such as ATR-FTIR, XPS, SEM, AFM, porometry, zeta potential, optical and fluorescence microscopy, etc., to establish the chemical and functional nature. The fully characterized membranes were tested for water permeation, solute/oil rejection, and flux recovery properties using pure water, BSA solution, and different oil-water emulsions (with and without surfactants). The molecularly grafted and functionalized membranes showed a manifold increase in water permeation compared to the neat membranes and high rejection of protein and oil emulsions. More than 95% flux recovery and very low irreversible fouling after a multicycle filtration operation confirm the usefulness of prepared membranes in separation, purification, and oily wastewater treatments. These findings indicate a promising and smart membrane surface modification technique that can be readily tailored for water filtration and separation applications. Moreover, the developed molecular functionalization strategy offers a simple and straightforward membrane modification methodology.

सार

तेजी से बढ़ते शहरीकरण, जनसंख्या वृद्धि, दुरुपयोग और जलवायु परिवर्तन के कारण स्वच्छ पेयजल की बढ़ती मांग और कमी इस सदी में अभूतपूर्व जरूरी मुद्दे बन गए हैं। अनेकों हानिकारक सामग्री जैसे कि विभिन्न प्रकार के रसायन, औद्योगिक अपशिष्ट, भारी धातु, तेल, जैविक अभिकर्मक और रोगजनकों से युक्त प्रदूषित पानी का सीधा निर्वहन, समुद्रीय पारिस्थितिकी तंत्र, मानव स्वास्थ्य एवं पर्यावरण को गंभीर रूप से प्रभावित करता है। विभिन्न जल प्रदूषणकारी पदार्थों में, पेट्रोकेमिकल, खाद्य, धातुकर्म और अन्य उद्योगों से निकलने वाले तेल, साथ ही बार-बार तेल टैंकों के एक्सीडेंट और तैलीय अपशिष्ट को जल से अलग करना अत्यंत जटिल प्रक्रिया है। झिल्ली (membrane)-आधारित पृथक्करण और निस्पंदन को पारंपरिक उपचार विधियों से बेहतर बताया जाता है, क्योंकि इसमें थर्मल या रासायनिक उपचार की आवश्यकता नहीं होती है। इसलिए, यह आणविक पृथक्करण के लिए एक महत्वपूर्ण माध्यम है। इसके अलावा, झिल्ली पृथक्करण अन्य आंतरिक गुण भी प्रदान करता है, जैसे कि छोटा आकार एवं काम जगह लेना (बिना किसी अतिरिक्त उत्सर्जन के), निरंतर संचालन और साधारण प्रक्रिया, इत्यादि। हालांकि, वर्तमान में उपलब्ध झिल्ली आधारित निस्पंदन प्रौद्योगिकियों में उच्च ऊर्जा खपत, कम प्रवाह, बदलाव की कमी, उच्च जैविक और जैविक दूषण जैसे दोष के कारण, झिल्ली विकास के लिए नए शोध की आवश्यकता के लिए महत्वपूर्ण प्रोत्साहन को जन्म देता है उपरोक्त समस्याओं को कम करने के लिए, हाइड्रोफिलिक पॉलिमर और नैनोमैटेरियल्स के कोटिंग, सम्मिश्रण, और ग्राफ्टिंग का आमतौर पर उपयोग किया जाता है। पर इनमें आमतौर पर मैट्रिक्स से बहिष्करण, चरण पृथक्करण और लीचिंग की समस्या पायी जाती है। यहां उपरोक्त मुद्दे को संबोधित करने के लिए, आणविक ग्राफ्टिंग और क्रियाशीलता द्वारा सुपरहाइड्रोफिलिक और पानी में सुपरओलिओफोबिक झिल्ली को संशोधित

और उत्पादन करने के लिए एक सरल और सामान्य विधि को लक्षित किया गया था। पॉलीविनाइलिडीन फ्लोराइड (PVDF) और पॉलीसल्फोन (PSF) को लेकर गैर-विलायक प्रेरित चरण पृथक्करण द्वारा आधार-झिल्ली को बनाया गया है। प्रथम दृष्टिकोण में, PVDF झिल्ली को पॉलीविनाइल अल्कोहल से कोटिंग करने के बाद उसकी सतह पर विभिन्न अमीनो समूहों के साथ अमीनो सिलेन्स के आणविक ग्राफ्टिंग द्वारा संशोधित किया गया था। आणविक ग्राफ्टिंग के बाद सोल-जेल से उत्पन्न झिल्ली हवा में सुपरएम्फीफिलिसिटी और पानी में सुपरओलिओफोबिसिटी प्रदान करती है। इसके अलावा, अलग-अलग श्रृंखला की लंबाई और अमीनो समूहों के साथ अमीनो एल्केन को चुना गया और पॉलीडोपामाइन लेपित PVDF झिल्ली पर आणविक रूप से ग्राफ्ट किया गया। ग्राफ्टेड अमीनो अल्केन्स को 1,3-प्रोपेन सल्टोन के साथ क्रिया कराकर ज्वीटरआयनिक झिल्ली प्राप्त बनाया गया था। प्राप्त किये गए zwitterionized झिल्लियों ने सुपरहाइड्रोफिलिसिटी और पानी के अंदर सुपरओलिओफोबिसिटी का प्रदर्शन किया। दोनों, PVDF-amino silanes और zwitterionized PVDF झिल्लियों ने पानी के अंदर तेल विरोधी विशेषताएं दिखाईं। अगले कार्य में, एक हाइड्रोफोबिक 1-Iodo-1H,1H,2H, 2H-perfluorodecane अणु को पॉलीडोपामाइन के सतह पर रसायनिक क्रिया का उपयोग करके PSF आधार-झिल्ली पर ग्राफ्ट किया गया था। इस प्रकार बनाये गए झिल्लियों ने हवा में रखने पर एम्फीफिलिसिटी, सुपरहाइड्रोफिलिसिटी और पानी के अंदर सुपरओलिओफोबिसिटी का प्रदर्शन किया।

उपरोक्त अणुओं को इस प्रकार से चुना गया था कि, सतह में या तो सुपरहाइड्रोफिलिक/सुपरओलिओफोबिक अथवा सुपरएम्फीफिलिक गुण होनी चाहिए ताकि झिल्लियों को एक प्रभावी एंटीफाउलिंग व्यवहार प्रदान किया जा सके। ज्वीटरआयनिक कार्यात्मक समूहों के साथ सुपरहाइड्रोफिलिक/सुपरओलिओफोबिक गुण ने बीएसए प्रोटीन और तेलों की ओर पर्याप्त

एंटीफ़ाउलिंग व्यवहार का प्रदर्शन किया और मल्टीसाइकिल निस्पंदन के बाद भी झिल्ली से लगभग पूर्ण पानी का प्रवाह बना रहा। रासायनिक और कार्यात्मक प्रकृति को स्थापित करने के लिए सभी तैयार झिल्लियों को उन्नत उपकरणों और तकनीकों जैसे कि एटीआर-एफटीआईआर, एक्सपीएस, एसईएम, एएफएम, पोरॉमेट्री, ज़ीटा पोटेंशियल, ऑप्टिकल और फ्लोरेसेंस माइक्रोस्कोपी, आदि का उपयोग करके अच्छी तरह से उनके विभिन्न गुणों को परखा गया था। शुद्ध पानी, बीएसए विलयन , और विभिन्न तेल-पानी इमल्शन (सर्फैक्टेंट के साथ और उसके बिना) का उपयोग करके पानी के पारगमन, विलेय / तेल पृथक्करण, और पारगमन स्थिरता का परीक्षण किया गया था। आणविक रूप से ग्राफ़टेड और कार्यात्मक झिल्ली ने अपरिवर्तित झिल्ली की तुलना में प्रोटीन और तेल इमल्शन की उच्च पृथक्करण और पानी के पारगमन में कई गुना वृद्धि दिखाई। एक बहु-चक्र निस्पंदन प्रक्रिया के बाद भी 95% से अधिक फ्लक्स रिकवरी और बाकि गुणों में बहुत कम परिवर्तन, पृथक्करण की छमता, बनाये गए झिल्लियों की शुद्धिकरण और तैलीय अपशिष्ट जल उपचार में उपयोगिता की पुष्टि करता है। ये निष्कर्ष एक आशाजनक और स्मार्ट झिल्ली के सतह को संशोधन करने की उपयुक्त तकनीक का संकेत देते हैं, जिसे जल निस्पंदन और पृथक्करण अनुप्रयोगों के लिए आसानी से उपयोग किया जा सकता है। इसके अलावा, विकसित आणविक क्रियाशीलता की रणनीति भविष्य में एक सरल और सामान्य झिल्ली संशोधन पद्धति को प्रदान करती है।

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CERTIFICATE	i
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	ii
ABSTRACT	iv
TABLE OF CONTENTS	x
LIST OF FIGURES	xv
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xxvii
LIST OF SYMBOLS	xxix
CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION, LITERATURE REVIEW, MOTIVATION, AND OBJECTIVES	1
1.1. Motivation and Background	2
1.2. Problem of oily wastewater	7
1.3. Conventional oil-water separation methods	9
1.4. Membrane-based separation and purification technology	11
1.5. Fundamental aspects of membrane-based separations.....	12
1.5.1. Wetting properties.....	13
1.5.1.1. Wetting phenomenon in nature	14
1.5.1.2. Contact angle	15
1.5.1.3. Sliding angle	17
1.5.1.4. Contact angle hysteresis	18
1.5.2. Membranes with unique wetting	19

1.5.2.1. Superhydrophilic membranes	20
1.5.2.2. Superhydrophobic membranes.....	21
1.5.2.3. Superoleophilic membranes	22
1.5.2.4. Superoleophobic membranes	23
1.5.2.5. Amphiphilic membranes	23
1.5.2.6. Superamphiphobic membranes	25
1.5.2.7. Omniphobic membranes.....	26
1.5.3 Fouling: Bottleneck in membrane technology	27
1.5.4. Membrane modification technique	30
1.5.4.1. Physical blending and surface segregation	30
1.5.4.2. Surface coating.....	31
1.5.4.3. Surface grafting	32
1.6. Key Questions	35
1.7. Objectives	35
1.8. Format of thesis	36
CHAPTER 2: EXPERIMENTAL: MATERIALS AND METHODS	38
2.1. Materials.....	39
2.2. Membrane preparation.....	39
2.2.1. Preparation of silane grafted PVDF membranes.....	39
2.2.2. Preparation of zwitterionized PVDF membranes.....	42
2.2.2.1. Preparation of PVDF membrane and polydopamine coating	42
2.2.2.2. Grafting of alkylamines and zwitterionization	42
2.2.3. Polydopamine mediated molecular grafting on polysulfone membranes	43
2.2.3.1. Polysulfone membrane preparation	43
2.2.3.2. Polydopamine modification of polysulfone membrane.....	44

2.2.3.3. Grafting of N, N -dimethyl ethylenediamine molecules.....	44
2.2.3.4. Grafting of 1-Iodo-1H,1H,2H,2H-perfluorodecane molecules	45
2.3. Characterization	45
2.3.1. Chemical composition analysis	45
2.3.2. Surface morphology and elemental analysis	46
2.3.3. Solvent uptake and porosity measurements	46
2.3.4. Capillary flow porometry: Pore size and pore distribution	47
2.3.5. Contact angle analysis.....	48
2.3.6. Surface charge measurements	49
2.3.7. Protein adsorption and fouling resistance studies	50
2.3.8. Permeation, rejection, recovery, and antifouling study	51
2.3.9. Preparation of oil-water emulsion and filtration	52
 CHAPTER 3: PREPARATION OF MOLECULARLY GRAFTED ANTIFOULING PVDF MEMBRANES WITH IN-AIR SUPERAMPHIPHILICITY AND UNDERWATER SUPEROLEOPHOBICITY	 54
3.1. Introduction	55
3.2. Results and discussion	58
3.2.1. Membrane preparation	58
3.2.2. ATR-FTIR and XPS analysis.....	61
3.2.3 Membrane morphology and energy dispersive X-ray analysis	64
3.2.4 Solvent uptake, porosity, and pore size distribution measurements	71
3.2.5 Surface charge analysis of membranes.....	75
3.2.6 Membrane wettability tests.....	77
3.2.7. Pure water permeation and oil-in-water emulsions filtration studies	83
3.2.8 Flux recovery.....	90

3.3. Conclusion.....	92
CHAPTER 4: PREPARATION OF SUPERHYDROPHILIC/ UNDERWATER SUPEROLEOPHOBIC ANTIFOULING PVDF MEMBRANES BY MOLECULAR GRAFTING AND ZWITTERIONIZATION	94
4.1. Introduction	95
4.2. Results and discussion	100
4.2.1. Membrane preparation	100
4.2.2. Chemical analysis of the membranes	102
4.2.3. Membrane morphology analysis	108
4.2.4. Solvent uptake and porosity of the membranes	111
4.2.5. Surface charge analysis	114
4.2.7. BSA adsorption study	123
4.2.8. Pure water flux and BSA filtration studies	126
4.2.9. Oil-water separation application	130
4.3. Conclusions.....	135
CHAPTER 5: AMPHIPHILIC ANTIFOULING MEMBRANES PREPARED BY POLYDOPAMINE MEDIATED MOLECULAR GRAFTING OF FLUORINATED MOLECULE.....	138
5.1. Introduction	139
5.2. Results and Discussion.....	143
5.2.1. Membrane modification methodology.....	143
5.2.2. Chemical structures and composition analysis of the membranes	145
5.2.3. Surface morphology of functionalized membranes.....	148
5.2.4. Capillary flow porometry	151

5.2.5. Contact angle, solvent uptake, and surface charge properties	153
5.2.6. Membrane antifouling properties	161
5.2.6.1. BSA protein adsorption	161
5.2.6.2. Protein adsorption under filtration condition and flux recovery	163
5.2.7. Oil-water separation application	165
5.3. Conclusion.....	176
CHAPTER 6: CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE OUTLOOK.....	179
6.1. Summary of the work.....	180
6.3. Future scope.....	184
BIBLIOGRAPHY	186
LIST OF PUBLICATIONS AND BIOGRAPHY	CCXV
List of publications.....	CCXVI
Biography: Kanupriya Nayak.....	CCXVIII

LIST OF FIGURES

Fig. 1.1. Graphic showing the oil-water mixture produced in different industrial processes [34].....	8
1.3. Conventional oil-water separation methods	9
Fig. 1.2. Wetting phenomena in nature: (a) lotus leaf (b) and (c) microstructure, (d) in air water contact angle, (e) fish scale (f) and (g) microstructure, and (h) in water oil contact angle [59].	14
Fig. 1.3. Wetting models based on: (a) Young's theory, (b) Wenzel theory, (c) Casse-Wenzel theory [65].....	17
Fig. 1.4. Advancing and receding contact angle.	19
Fig. 1.5. Various modification approaches to mitigate fouling problems on membranes [24].	29
Fig. 2.1. Preparation of membrane by non-solvent induced phase inversion (NIPS).....	41
Fig. 3.1. Schematic representation showing successive modification steps applied for molecular grafting of PVDF membrane.	59
Fig. 3.2. ATR-FTIR spectra of the neat and modified PVDF membranes: (A) characteristic absorbances of the distinct functional groups present in the neat PVDF membrane and (B) absorbances related to the hydroxyl and silane functional groups.....	61

Fig. 3.3. XPS spectra showing C1s, F1s, O1s, N1s, and Si2p peaks for: (A) neat PVDF, (B) PSM-1, (C) PSM-2, and (D) PSM-3 membrane.....62

Fig. 3.4. SEM micrograph of neat PVDF membrane: (A&B) low and high magnification morphology of surface and (C) cross-section morphology.....65

Fig. 3.5. Surface SEM micrograph of (A) PVDFOH, (B) PVDFOH-PVA, (C) cross-linked PVA coated PVDF, (D) PSM-1, (E) PSM-2, and (F) PSM-3 membranes.....66

Fig. 3.6. Cross-section morphology of modified PVDF membranes: (A) PVDFOH, (B) PVDFOH-PVA, (C) cross-linked PVA coated PVDF, (D) PSM-1, (E) PSM-2 and (F) PSM-3.....67

Fig. 3.7. AFM images of: (A) neat PVDF, (B) PVDFOH, (C) PVDFOH-PVA, (D) Crosslinked PVDF (E) PSM-1 (F) PSM-2 (G) PSM-3 membranes.....68

Fig. 3.8. EDX spectrum of: (A) neat PVDF, (B) PVDF-OH, (C) PVDF-OH+PVA, (D) Crosslinked PVDF, (E) PSM-1, (F) PSM-2, and (G) PSM-3 membranes showing elemental distribution.....69

Fig. 3.9. EDX micrographs with the elemental distribution of modified PVDF membranes: (A) PVDFOH, (B) PVDFOH-PVA, (C) cross-linked PVA coated PVDF, (D) PSM-1, (E) PSM-2, and (F) PSM-3.70

Fig. 3.10. N₂ flow rate as a function of pressure through wet and dry membranes showing corresponding pressure values for the biggest pore size (first bubble point (FBP)), mean flow pore size (MFP), and smallest pore size for: (A) neat

PVDF, (B) PVDF-OH, (C) PVDF-OH+PVA, (D) Crosslinked PVDF, (E) PSM-1, (F) PSM-2, and (G) PSM-3 membranes. 73

Fig. 3.11. Pore size distribution of: (A) neat PVDF, (B) PVDF-OH, (C) PVDF-OH+PVA, (D) Crosslinked PVDF, (E) PSM-1, (F) PSM-2, and (G) PSM-3 membranes obtained by liquid displacement technique using capillary flow porometer. 74

Fig. 3.12. Surface zeta potential as a function of solution pH for neat and functionalized PVDF membranes..... 76

Fig. 3.13. Change in water and oil contact angle with the modification of the PVDF membrane. 78

Fig. 3.14. Wettability test of neat PVDF and modified membrane samples with DI- water: (A) neat PVDF, (B) PVDF-OH, (C) PVDF-OH+PVA, (D) Crosslinked PVDF, (E) PSM-1, (F) PSM-2, and (G) PSM-3 and silicone-oil: (A') neat PVDF, (B') PVDF-OH, (C') PVDF-OH+PVA, (D') Crosslinked PVDF, (E') PSM-1, (F') PSM-2, and (G') PSM-3. Images were taken immediately after dropping the liquid. 79

Fig. 3.15. Wettability test of neat PVDF and modified membrane samples with silicone oil: (A) neat PVDF, (B) PSM-1, (C) PSM-2, and (D) PSM-3. The detailed video can be seen in Kanupriya et al., Sep. Purif. Technol., 259 (2021) 118068. 80

Fig. 3.16. Screenshots of oil and water droplets interaction with membrane surfaces under: (A) water and (B) oil. More detailed behavior can be seen in respective videos. 80

Fig. 3.17. A plausible mechanism showing the wetting behavior of the membranes.....82

Fig. 3.18. Pure water permeation and oil/water emulsion filtration: (A) pure water (B) DCM-water, (C) toluene-water, (D) silicone oil-water, and (E) soybean oil-water emulsion flux. 85

Fig. 3.19. Permeation properties of intermediate membranes: (A) Pure water flux, (B) DCM-water emulsion flux, (C) Toluene-water emulsion flux, (D) Silicone oil-water emulsion flux, and (E) Soybean oil-water emulsion flux.....87

Fig. 3.20. ATR-FTIR of initial and actual oil concentration in feed during filtration: (A) DCM-water, (B) Toluene-water, (C) Silicone oil-water, and (D) Soybean oil-water emulsion.....88

Fig. 3.21. Optical microscopy along with DLS graphs of oil-water emulsions of feed and permeate of: (A-B) DCM, (C-D) toluene, (E-F) silicone, and (G-H) soybean oil based emulsions.....89

Fig. 3.22. Oil rejection analysis of membrane samples during oil/water emulsion filtration. 89

Fig. 3.23. The ATR-FTIR spectra of retentate samples showing the increase in oil concentration for: (A) DCM-water, (B) Toluene-water, (C) Silicone oil-water, and (D) Soybean oil-water emulsion.....91

Fig. 3.24. Flux recovery analysis showing the antifouling nature of the modified membranes.....	92
Fig. 4.1. Schematic representation showing the details of PDA coating (Step A), grafting of amino alkanes (Step B), and zwitterionization of the amino groups (Step C).	101
Fig. 4.2. Degree of grafting/functionalization at different stages of the membrane preparation and modification.....	102
Fig. 4.3. ATR-FTIR spectra of: (A) PVDF and PVDF-PDA, (B) all amino alkylated membranes, and (C & D) PP-EDA-S, PP-DETA-S, PP-TETA-S, and PP-TEPA-S along with PVDF membranes.	103
Fig. 4.4. The EDX spectroscopy based elemental mapping of zwitterionized membranes.....	104
Fig. 4.5. XPS spectra of (A) PVDF, (B) PVDF-PDA, (C) PP-EDA-S, (D) PP-DETA-S, (E) PP-TETA-S, and (F) PP-TEPA-S.....	108
Fig. 4.6. Surface (left) and cross-section (right) images of (A, B) PVDF, (C, D) PVDF-PDA, (E, F) PP-EDA, (G, H) PP-EDA-S, (I, J) PP-DETA, (K, L) PP-DETA-S, (M, N) PP-TETA, (O, P) PP-TETA-S, (Q, R) PP-TEPA, and (S, T) PP-TEPA-S membranes.....	109
Fig. 4.7. The surface AFM images of membranes: (A) PVDF, (B) PVDF-PDA, (C) PP-EDA, (D) PP-EDA-S, (E) PP-DETA, (F) PP-DETA-S, (G) PP-TETA, (H) PP-TETA-S, (I) PP-TEPA, and (J) PP-TEPA-S along with the surface roughness values on the respective membrane surfaces.....	110

Fig. 4.8. Solvent uptake properties of the membranes: (A) water, (B) 1-octanol, (C) soybean oil, and (D) silicone oil uptake.....	113
Fig. 4.9. Zeta potential graphs of: (A) neat and intermediate membranes and (B) neat and zwitterionic functionalized membranes with reference to the neat PVDF membrane.	115
4.2.6. Wetting behavior of the membranes	115
Fig. 4.10. Contact angle of membranes at 0 and 5 sec of initial contact with (A) water, (B) soyabean oil, (C) Silicone oil, and (D) Diiodomethane.	116
Fig. 4.11. Wetting behavior of dry membrane in air with water.	118
Fig. 4.12. Wetting behavior of dry membrane in air with soybean oil.	119
Fig. 4.13. Wetting behavior of dry membrane in air with silicone oil.....	119
Fig. 4.14. Wetting behavior of wet membrane in air with soybean oil.	120
Fig. 4.15. Wetting behavior of wet membrane in air with silicone oil.....	121
Fig. 4.16. The snapshot of the sliding behavior of the oil drops video recorded with a digital camera..	121
Fig. 4.17. Schematic depiction of plausible wetting mechanism of membranes: (A) fully dry PVDF membrane in air, (B) PVDF membrane underwater, (C) fully dry zwitterionized membrane in air, and (D) zwitterionized membrane under water conditions.	122
Fig. 4.18. BSA adsorption on membranes under static condition.	124

Fig. 4.19. Fluorescence microscopy images of the FITC-BSA adsorbed membranes: (A) PVDF, (B) PP-EDA-S, (C) PP-DETA-S, (D) PP-TETA-S, and (E) PP-TEPA-S, and (F) fluorescence intensity plot of adsorbed FITC-BSA. 125

Fig. 4.20. Pure water flux and BSA filtration study: (A) pure water flux of the prepared membranes at 0.5 bar, 1 bar, and 1.5 bar pressure, (B) pure water flux and BSA flux of the prepared membranes at 0.5 bar, (C) BSA rejection and flux recovery behavior of the membranes, and (D) fouling characteristics (R_t , R_r , and R_{ir}) of the membranes..... 127

Fig. 4.22. Oil-water emulsion flux, rejection, and recovery: (A) soybean oil-water flux at 0.5 bar, 1 bar, and 1.5 bar pressure, (B) silicone oil-water flux at 0.5 bar, 1 bar, 1.5 bar pressure, (C) soybean oil and silicone oil rejection (%) of the membranes, and (D) oil flux recovery (%) characteristics of the membranes 131

Fig. 4.23. Optical microscopy and DLS results of feed and permeate of soybean-water emulsion. 132

Fig. 4.24. Optical microscopy and DLS results of feed and permeate of silicone-water emulsion..... 132

Fig. 4.25. ATR-FTIR spectra of oil concentration for: (A) feed, (B) permeate, and (C) retentate of soybean oil emulsion. 134

Fig. 4.26. ATR-FTIR spectra of oil concentration for: (A) feed, (B) permeate, and (C) retentate of silicone oil emulsion. 134

Fig. 4.27. Oil-water emulsion fouling on membranes: (A) fouling characteristics of the membranes with soybean oil-water emulsion, and (B) fouling characteristics of the membranes with silicone oil-water emulsion.	134
Fig. 5.1. Schematic illustration of various steps for membrane modification strategy: (A) dopamine polymerization, (B) grafting of DMEDA, and (C) grafting of 1-Iodo-1H,1H,2H,2H- perfluorodecane.	143
Fig. 5.2. Grafting density of PDA, DMEDA, and perfluorodecane moieties on the PSF membrane.....	145
Fig. 5.3. ATR-FTIR of neat and modified PES membranes.	146
Fig. 5.4. X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy of the membranes with elemental composition shown in inset image in the left panel.	147
Fig. 5.5. Surface SEM images of: (A) blank PSF, (B) PSF-PDA, (C) PgD, and (D) PgDgF membranes.....	148
Fig. 5.6. AFM images of (A) PSF, (B) PSF-PDA, (C) PgD, and (D) PgDgF membranes.....	149
Fig. 5.7. EDX spectrum and elemental mapping of neat PSF membrane....	150
Fig. 5.8. Pore size distribution in: (A) PSF, (B) PSF-PDA, (C) PgD, and (D) PgDgF membranes.....	152
Fig. 5.9. Wetting properties of the membranes: (A) dynamic water contact angle showing advancing, receding, and hysteresis, respectively, and (B) solvent/oil uptake percentage.	155

Fig. 5.10. Contact angle of dry membrane with soyabean and silicone oils.	155
Fig. 5.11. Wettability of dry membranes with water.....	156
Fig. 5.12. Wettability of dry membranes with soybean oil.	156
Fig. 5.13. Wettability of dry membranes with silicone oil.....	157
Fig. 5.14. Wettability of wet membranes with soybean oil.....	157
Fig. 5.15. Wettability of wet membranes with silicone oil	157
Fig. 5.16. Snapshot from the video showing different wetting and adhesion behavior underwater/oil for soybean and water	159
Fig. 5.17. Zeta potential measurement of neat PSF and all the developed membranes.....	160
Fig. 5.18. (A) BSA protein adsorption on different membranes (in tris buffer of pH 7.4; sample size 4.34 cm ²), (B) fluorescence intensity of adsorbed FITC- BSA, and (C-F) fluorescence images of FITC-BSA adsorbed on neat PSF, PSF-PDA, PgD, and PgDgF membranes, respectively.....	162
Fig. 5.19. Permeation and antifouling properties of the membranes: (A) time- dependent fluxes with pure water, BSA solution, and the recovered pure water after washing step. (B) pure water flux recovery ratio, and (C) different types of fouling resistances calculated using resistance-in-series model.....	164
Fig. 5.20. Flux and recovery behavior of the prepared membranes (A) flux of soybean oil-water emulsion with and without SDS, (B) flux recovery of soybean oil-water emulsion with and without SDS, (C) flux of silicone oil-water emulsion	

with and without SDS, and (D) flux recovery of silicone oil-water emulsion with and without SDS. 166

Fig. 5.21. Oil fouling resistance on different membranes with: (A) soybean oil-water emulsion (B) soybean oil-water emulsion with SDS, (C) silicone oil-water emulsion, (D) silicone oil-water emulsion with SDS. 167

Fig. 5.22. Optical micrographs along with DLS of soybean oil water emulsion without SDS: (A) actual feed, (B) permeate with PSF, (C) permeate with PSF-PDA, (D) permeate with PgD, and (E) permeate with PgDgF membranes. (F) shows the photograph of actual feed and permeate with PgDgF membrane. 168

Fig. 5.23. Optical micrographs along with DLS of soybean oil water emulsion with SDS: (A) actual feed, (B) permeate with PSF, (C) permeate with PSF-PDA, (D) permeate with PgD, and (E) permeate with PgDgF membranes. (F) shows the photograph of actual feed and permeate with PgDgF membrane..... 170

Fig. 5.24. Optical micrographs along with DLS of silicone oil water emulsion without SDS: (A) actual feed, (B) permeate with PSF, (C) permeate with PSF-PDA, (D) permeate with PgD, and (E) permeate with PgDgF membranes. (F) shows the photograph of actual feed and permeate with PgDgF membrane. 172

Fig. 5.25. Optical micrographs along with DLS of silicone oil water emulsion with SDS: (A) actual feed, (B) permeate with PSF, (C) permeate with PSF-PDA, (D) permeate with PgD, and (E) permeate with PgDgF membranes. (F) shows the photograph of actual feed and permeate with PgDgF membrane..... 173

Fig. 5.26. FTIR spectra showing the characteristic peaks for soybean oil water emulsion without and with SDS surfactant (A) feed (B) Permeate, (C) Retentate, (D) Feed with SDS, (E) Permeate with SDS, and (F) Retentate with SDS. 174

Fig. 5.27. FTIR spectra showing the characteristic peaks for silicone oil water emulsion without and with SDS surfactant (A) feed (B) Permeate, (C) Retentate, (D) Feed with SDS, (E) Permeate with SDS, and (F) Retentate with SDS. 175

Fig. 5.28. Oil rejection properties of the prepared membranes during oil-water emulsion filtration with and without SDS. 176

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1.1. Regulations on oily wastewater discharge effluents in some countries.	3
Table 3.1. Weight change in the membranes after each modification step.	60
Table 3.2: Elemental composition data for the membranes based on the XPS and EDX analysis.....	64
Table 3.3: Solvent (water and 1-octanol) uptake, porosity, and pore diameters of the membranes	71
Table 4.1. Elemental composition of prepared membrane samples obtained from EDX spectroscopy.	105
Table 4.2. Pore size characteristics of the developed membranes obtained from liquid flow porometry.	114
Table 4.3. Comparative table indicating the relative performance of the prepared membranes with the data reported in the literature.	136
Table 5.1. Elemental composition of the membranes based EDX analysis.	150
Table 5.2. Pore size properties of PSF, PSF-PDA, PgD, and PgDgF membranes obtained from liquid flow porometry.	151
Table 5.3. Comparison of important parameters of the developed membranes with the previous works reported in literature.....	177

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

4

4-(2-aminoethyl)benzene-1,2-diol
Dopamine 34

3

3-(2-aminoethylamino)propyl]trimethoxysilane
AEAPTMS 34
3-aminopropyl)trimethoxysilane
APTMS 34

A

Atomic force microscopy
AFM 41
Attenuated Total Reflectance-Fourier Transformed Infrared Spectroscopy
ATR-FTIR 40

B

Bovine serum albumin
BSA 24
BSA-fluorescein isothiocyanate conjugate
FITC-BSA 45

C

CaSO₄
Calcium sulfate 23

D

Dichloromethane
DCM 74
Diethylene triamine
DETA 34
Dynamic light scattering
DLS47

E

Energy dispersive X-ray analysis
EDX 41
Ethylene diamine
EDA 34

F

Field Emission Scanning Electron Microscopy
FESEM 40
First bubble point
FBP64
Flux recovery ratio
FRR 46

H

Humic acid
HA 24
Hydrchloric acid
HCl 44
Hyperbranched fluoropolymer
HBFP 146

I

Isoelectric point
IEP 99

M

Mean flow pore size
MFP 64
Membrane bioreactors
MBR 23
Membrane distillation
MD 23
Microfiltration
MF 8

LIST OF SYMBOLS

Symbol	Meaning
θ_Y	Young's angle
γ_{SV}	Solid vapor interface energy
γ_{SL}	Solid-liquid interface energy
γ_{LV}	Liquid-vapor interface energy
θ_W	Wenzel contact angle
R	The roughness factor
θ_C	Cassie-Wenzel contact angle
$\theta_{OW(Y)}$	Young's angle underwater oil contact angle
γ_{OV}	Surface tension at the oil-vapor interface
γ_{WV}	Surface tension at the water-vapor interface
γ_{OW}	Surface tension at the oil-water interface
α	Sliding angle
R	The liquid droplet radius
K	Proportionality constant
W_0	Dry weight of the membrane sample before modification
W	Dry weight of the membrane sample after modification
W_w	Wet weight
W_d	Dry weight
ρ_p	Density of polymer
ρ_s	Density of solvent
D	Diameter of cylindrical pore
Γ	Surface tension
Θ	Contact angle of Galwick™
P	Differential pressure across the membrane
θ_A	Advancing angle

θ_R	Receding angle	J_{wr}	Remeasured pure water flux after cleaning the membranes
Z	Zeta potential		
I_{str}	Streaming current		
Δp	Hydrodynamic pressure difference	R_t	Total protein fouling
η	Viscosity of electrolyte solution	R_r	Reversible fouling
ϵ_e	Dielectric coefficient	R_{ir}	Irreversible fouling
ϵ_0	Vacuum permittivity	R_a	Average roughness
L	Streaming channel length	R_q	Root mean square roughness
A	The streaming channel cross-section		
V	Volume of the permeate		
t	The permeate collection time		
$R, \%$	% Rejection		
C_p	Amount of BSA in permeate		
C_F	Amount of BSA in feed		
J_{wi}	Pure water flux		
J_{BSA}	BSA flux		