

**DEVELOPMENT OF SOFT BODY ARMOUR BY USING
DIFFERENT HIGH-PERFORMANCE MATERIALS**

MUKESH BAJYA



DEPARTMENT OF TEXTILE & FIBRE ENGINEERING

INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY DELHI

October 2022

© Indian Institute of Technology Delhi (IITD), New Delhi, 2022

**DEVELOPMENT OF SOFT BODY ARMOUR BY USING
DIFFERENT HIGH-PERFORMANCE MATERIALS**

by

MUKESH BAJYA

Department of Textile & Fibre Engineering

Submitted

in fulfilment of the requirements of the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

to the



INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY DELHI

October 2022

Dedicated to the “Nation”

CERTIFICATE

This is to certify that the thesis titled '**Development of Soft Body Armour by Using Different High-Performance Materials**', being submitted by Mr. Mukesh Bajya to the Indian Institute of Technology Delhi, for the award of the degree of Doctor of Philosophy, is a record of bonafide research work carried out by him. He has worked under my guidance and supervision and fulfilled the requirements for submitting the thesis, which has attained the standard required for a Ph.D. degree of this Institute.

The results contained in this thesis have not been submitted, in part or in full, to any other university or institute for the award of any degree or diploma.

Prof. Abhijit Majumdar
Professor, Department of
Textile & Fibre Engineering
Indian Institute of
Technology Delhi New Delhi -
110016, India

Prof. Bhupendra Singh Butola
Professor, Department of
Textile & Fibre Engineering
Indian Institute of Technology
Delhi New Delhi - 110016,
India

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This thesis would not exist in its current form without the unwavering academic, moral, and emotional support provided by the following individuals. First and foremost, I would like to thank my supervisors Prof. Abhijit Majumdar and Prof. Bhupendra Singh Butola for their constant support and encouragement. They have taught me more than I could have ever imagined. I am highly grateful to DRDO scientist Dr. Debarati Bhattacharjee and industry mentor Dr. Raksh Vir Jasra for their motivation.

I am highly grateful to my SRC members, Prof. R. Alagirusamy, Prof. R.S Rengasamy and Prof. D.K Dubey (Department of Mechanical Engineering), who provided excellent suggestions that helped me improve my research work. I also wish to record my profound gratitude to all the teachers of our department for their help and constant encouragement.

I am also grateful to the Reliance Industry Ltd. Vadodara and its R&D team. Here, I extend special appreciation to Dr. GSS Rao, Dr. Srivastava, Dr. Bonda, Dr. Shukla, Dr. Tripathi and Dr. Mahua who generously supported my research activities. I thank Defence Research and Development Organisation (DRDO), Reliance Industry Ltd. (RIL)-VMD, SERB and FICCI, for providing financial assistance for this research work. Next, I would like to take this opportunity to thank all the laboratory staff of our department for their kind help. I extend special appreciation to Mr. Kundu, Mr. Manjit, Mr. Veerender, Dr. Vikas, Mr. Biswal, Mr. Sunney and Mr. Kapil, who often extended their services beyond the call of their duties. I am also grateful to the BEHI team at DRDO-Terminal Ballistics Research Laboratory (TBRL) for providing a ballistic test facility for this research. I extend special gratefulness to Ms. Ipsita Biswas, Dr. Sanjeev Verma, Dr. Preeti, Mr. Sonu Kumar, Mr. Manoj, Mr. Anil, and the ballistic trial team members for their timely support.

I extend my gratitude to all the old and present members of my research group, and my department for rendering 24×7 selfless support. My heartiest gratitude to Dr. Animesh, Dr. Anshu, Dr. Ghanshyam, Dr. Jeevraj, Dr. Shahid, Dr. Rohit Agarwal, Dr. Bhabthosh Biswas and Mr. Chetan Singh Bhati for being guiding lights and supports. No words can portray my deep appreciation for Dr. Sanchi Arora, Dr. Aranya Ghosh, Dr. Swati, Dr. Unsan Mawkhlieng, Dr. Sanjib Kumar Sinha, Dr. Sumit Sharma, Mr. Prakash Khude, Mr. Mahesh Shaw and Mrs. Mayuri. They stood beside me relentlessly in all circumstances and have contributed immensely to this journey and my work-life. Here, I also wish to

acknowledge the contributions of Dr. Samsu, Mr. Sandeep, Ms. Mani, Mr. Jyotirmoy, Mr. Bhavesh, Mr. Vikas and my friends Mr. Inderjeet, Ms. Priyal Dixit, Ms. Priyanka Gupta, Mr. Anurag Mishra, Mr. Ganesh, Ms. Rupali, Ms. Parna, Ms. Ranjna, Ms. Mamta, Ms. Manali, Ms. Gurneet, Mr. Chetan, Mr. Gopal, Mr. Prasun Mathur, and Mr. Ashok.

The last round of thanks goes to the most important people at my personal front: Foremost, my parents, wife and family members who, by their love and perseverance, provided me unremitting inspiration and patience to face the challenges encountered during my PhD; and, for giving me complete liberty in all aspects of life and for trusting my decisions.

I humbly extend my thanks to all those who directly or indirectly contributed to completing this research work. Last but most important, I thank God for enclosing me with such wonderful people in all phases of my life who made me to accomplish this endeavour in a pleasantly productive manner.



MUKESH BAJYA

ABSTRACT

Lightweight, soft body armour is the current requirement for security forces due to increased terror attacks, civil war and cross border casualties. This research area has become a hotspot for protective textile researchers. For the last five-decade, *p*-aramid and ultra-high molecular weight polyethylene (UHMWPE) fibre is prominently used for bullet resistance material. New high-performance materials and techniques are required, which give better ballistic protection and are eco-friendly. Shear thickening fluid (STF), surface treatment and growth of nanostructures, hybridisation of material and stacking sequences are being explored for impact resistance material. The present research focuses on improving the impact performance in terms of back face signature (BFS) of soft body armour by using hybridisation techniques, STF impregnation and introducing new high-performance material, i.e., disentangled polyethylene (DPE) tape.

In the first part of this research, an attempt was made to develop a hybrid soft armour panel (SAP) using commercial high-performance material such as *p*-aramid woven fabric and UD laminates, UHMWPE UD laminates and polycarbonate sheet. The work shows the role of textile structure for bullet resistance materials. The developed hybridised SAPs were cost-effective solutions with improved ballistic performance.

In the second part of the research, an attempt was made to understand the role of STF impregnation on *p*-aramid woven fabric for SAPs. The areal density of SAP can be reduced further by 10 % ($4.5 \text{ kg}\cdot\text{m}^2$), while keeping the BFS comparable to or lower than that of an STF impregnated homogenous panel by judiciously placing the STF impregnated fabrics at the rear side while neat fabrics are placed at the strike face of the panel. It was also noted that STF impregnated panels were found to stop the impacting bullet earlier than the neat panel.

In the third part of the research, an attempt was made to understand DPE tapes physical, thermal, and mechanical properties from polymer to highly drawn tape. Thereafter find the possibility of DPE tape as a replacement of existing commercial high-performance material such as *p*-aramid, gel spun UHMWPE fibre. DPE tape has comparable mechanical properties as existing high-performance materials. Basic advantage of DPE, it is manufactured by solvent-free techniques, which is economical and eco-friendly compared to gel spun fibres.

In the last part of this research, an attempt was made to develop the various laminates structures of DPE tape and low-density polyethylene (LDPE), such as woven

twisted tape (WTT) based UD laminates, cross-ply laminates at different process parameters and conditions. Thereafter, single layers of various laminates structures were optimised in terms of resin content, structures and process parameters. The prepared laminates structures evaluated for mechanical and low velocity dynamic impact performance. After that, Optimised DPE based structures used for homogeneous SAP configuration using the optimised laminates. Cross-ply laminates-based SAP gives the highest ballistic resistance compared to woven based laminates. Overall, DPE based laminates can be used to produce SAP having areal density of $4.5 \text{ kg}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}$ which is comparable with the commercial solutions available in the market.

सारांश

बढ़ते आतंकी हमलों, गृहयुद्धों और सीमा पार हताहतों के कारण सुरक्षा बलों के लिए हल्के, नरम रक्षा कवच की वर्तमान आवश्यकता है। यह शोध क्षेत्र शोधकर्ताओं के लिए एक आकर्षण का केंद्र बन गया है। पिछले पांच दशक से बुलेट रेजिस्टेंस मैटेरियल के लिए पेरा-अरमिड और अल्ट्रा-हाई मॉलिक्यूलर वेट पॉलीइथाइलीन (UHMWPE) फाइबर का इस्तेमाल प्रमुखता से किया जा रहा है। नई उच्च-प्रदर्शन सामग्री और तकनीकों की आवश्यकता है, जो बेहतर बैलिस्टिक सुरक्षा प्रदान करने के साथ पर्यावरण के अनुकूल हो। प्रभाव प्रतिरोध को बढ़ाने के लिए शीयर थिंकिंग फ्लुइड (एसटीएफ) कपड़े पर कोटिंग और नैनोस्ट्रक्चर की कपड़े की सतह पर वृद्धि, अलग-अलग सामग्री का उपयोग करके हाइब्रिड पैनलों का निर्माण और स्टैकिंग अनुक्रमों का पता लगाया जा रहा है। वर्तमान शोध हाइब्रिडाइजेशन तकनीकों, एसटीएफ इंफ्रेगेशन का उपयोग करके और नई उच्च-प्रदर्शन सामग्री, यानी डिसइनटेंगल्ड पॉलीइथाइलीन (डीपीई) टेप का उपयोग करके सॉफ्ट बॉडी आर्मर के बैक फेस सिग्नेचर (बीएफएस) के संदर्भ में प्रभाव प्रदर्शन को बेहतर बनाने पर केंद्रित है।

इस शोध के पहले भाग में, वाणिज्यिक उच्च-प्रदर्शन सामग्री जैसे कि पेरा-अरमिड से बुने हुए कपड़े और यूडी लैमिनेट्स, यूएचएमडब्ल्यूपीई यूडी लैमिनेट्स और पॉली कार्बोनेट शीट का उपयोग करके एक हाइब्रिड सॉफ्ट आर्मर पैनल (एसएपी) विकसित करने का प्रयास किया गया था। यह शोधकार्य बुलेट प्रतिरोध सामग्री के लिए कपड़े की संरचना की महत्वपूर्ण भूमिका को दर्शाता है। विकसित हाइब्रिड एसएपी बेहतर बैलिस्टिक प्रदर्शन के साथ कम कीमत वाले प्रभावी समाधान थे।

शोध के दूसरे भाग में, एसएपी के लिए पेरा-अरमिड बुने हुए कपड़े पर एसटीएफ संसेचन की भूमिका को समझने का प्रयास किया गया था। एसएपी के क्षेत्र घनत्व को १०% (४.५ किग्रा·मी⁻²) तक कम किया जा सकता है, जबकि बीएफएस को एसटीएफ इंफ्रेग्रेटेड होमोजीनियस पैनल की तुलना में या उससे कम रखते हुए विवेकपूर्ण तरीके से बीएफएस इम्प्रेग्रेटेड फ़ैब्रिक को पीछे की तरफ रखकर जबकि बिना एसटीएफ वाले कपड़ों को पैनल के स्ट्राइक फेस पर रखा गया। यह भी नोट किया गया कि एसटीएफ इंफ्रेग्रेटेड पैनल बिना एसटीएफ वाले पैनल की तुलना में गोली को पहले रोकने के लिए प्रभावकारी पाए गए थे।

शोध के तीसरे भाग में डीपीई टेप के भौतिक, तापीय और यांत्रिक गुणों को पॉलीमर से अत्यधिक खींचे गए टेप तक समझने का प्रयास किया गया था। इसके बाद मौजूदा व्यावसायिक

उच्च-प्रदर्शन सामग्री जैसे कि पेरा-एरमिड, जेल स्पून यूएचएमडब्ल्यूपीई फाइबर के प्रतिस्थापन के रूप में डीपीई टेप की संभावना का पता लगाया गया। डीपीई टेप में मौजूदा उच्च-प्रदर्शन सामग्री के रूप में तुलनीय यांत्रिक गुण हैं। डीपीई का मूल लाभ, यह विलायक मुक्त तकनीकों द्वारा निर्मित है, जो जेल स्पून फाइबर की तुलना में किफायती और पर्यावरण के अनुकूल है। इस शोध के अंतिम भाग में, डीपीई टेप और कम घनत्व वाले पॉलीथीन (एलडीपीई) के विभिन्न लैमिनेट्स संरचनाओं को विकसित करने का प्रयास किया गया था, जैसे कि बुने हुए ट्विस्टेड टेप (डब्ल्यूटीटी) आधारित यूडी लैमिनेट्स, विभिन्न प्रक्रिया मापदंडों पर क्रॉस-प्लार्ड लैमिनेट्स। इसके बाद, विभिन्न लैमिनेट्स संरचनाओं की एकल परतों को रेसीन, संरचनाओं और प्रक्रिया मापदंडों के संदर्भ में अनुकूलित किया गया था। यांत्रिक और कम वेग गतिशील प्रभाव प्रदर्शन के लिए तैयार किए गए लैमिनेट्स संरचनाओं का मूल्यांकन किया गया। उसके बाद, डीपीई आधारित लैमिनेट्स का उपयोग करके एसएपी बनाया गया। क्रॉस-प्लार्ड लैमिनेट्स-आधारित एसएपी बुने हुए आधारित लैमिनेट्स की तुलना में उच्चतम बैलिस्टिक प्रतिरोध देता है। कुल मिलाकर, डीपीई आधारित लैमिनेट्स का उपयोग एसएपी के उत्पादन के लिए किया जा सकता है, जिसका क्षेत्रफल 4.5 किग्रा. मी⁻² है, जो बाजार में उपलब्ध वाणिज्यिक समाधानों के साथ तुलनीय है।

CONTENTS

CERTIFICATE	i
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iii
ABSTRACT	v
CONTENTS	ix
LIST OF FIGURES	xiv
LIST OF TABLES	xviii
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xix
Chapter 1	1
1.1 General	3
1.2 Motivation	3
1.3 Objectives: Broad Objective, Specific Objectives	4
1.4 Organisation of Thesis	4
Chapter 2	7
2.1 Introduction to Body Armour	9
2.1.1 Components of BPJ	11
2.1.2 Soft armour panel	11
2.1.3 Hard armour panel	12
2.1.4 Advancements in soft armour panel	13
2.2 Ballistic Response and Energy Absorption Mechanism	14
2.2.1 Impact response of textile structures	14
2.2.2 Energy absorption mechanism	16
2.3 Factors Affecting the Impact Resistance of Bulletproof Jacket (BPJ)	17
2.3.1 Properties of high-performance fibres	17
2.3.2 Yarn structure	20
2.3.3 Fabric structure	21
2.4 Surface Treatment	28
2.4.1 Shear thickening fluid (STF)	28
2.4.2 Surface modification	30

2.5 Hybridisation	31
2.5.1 Inter-ply	31
2.5.2 Intra-ply	31
2.5.3 Sandwich	31
2.6 Dis-entangled Polyethylene (DPE)	32
2.7 Modeling Approach	37
2.8 Standards for BFS Measurement	38
2.9 Summary	39
Chapter 3	41
3.1 Introduction	43
3.2 Materials	43
3.3 Characterisation and Testing	44
3.3.1 Rheological analysis	44
3.3.2 Thermal analysis	45
3.3.3 Scanning electron microscopy	46
3.3.4 X-ray diffraction analysis	46
3.3.5 Tensile testing	46
3.3.6 Yarn pull-out testing	47
3.3.7 Stiffness evaluation	48
3.3.8 Dynamic impact test	49
3.3.9 Ballistic testing and back face signature evaluation	50
Chapter 4	53
4.1 Introduction	55
4.2 Materials and Methods	57
4.2.1 Materials	57
4.2.2 Stitching pattern and shot location of SAPs	58
4.2.3 Dynamic impact test	59
4.2.4 Ballistic testing and back face signature	59
4.2.5 Estimation of wave velocities	59
4.2.6 Perforation ratio	59
4.3 Characterisation	60
4.3.1 Differential scanning calorimetry	60
4.3.2 Thermogravimetric analysis	60

4.3.3 Scanning electron microscopy	60
4.3.4 Tensile testing	60
4.3.5 Stiffness evaluation	60
4.4 Results and Discussion	61
4.4.1 Cross sectional scanning electron micrograph	61
4.4.2 Thermal characterisation	62
4.4.3 Tensile properties of <i>p</i> -aramid and UHMWPE fabrics and laminates	65
4.4.4 Bending stiffness	66
4.4.5 Impact energy absorption	68
4.4.6 Ballistic performance of SAPs	69
4.4.7 Perforation ratio	73
4.4.8 Failure mode analysis	76
4.4.9 Analysis of materials cost	78
4.5 Summary	79
Chapter 5	81
5.1 Introduction	83
5.2 Experimental	84
5.2.1 Materials	84
5.2.2 Preparation of STF	84
5.2.3 Impregnation of fabrics with STF	85
5.2.4 Stitching and shot location of SAPs	86
5.3 Testing and Characterisation	88
5.3.1 Rheological analysis of STF	88
5.3.2 Scanning electron microscopy (SEM)	88
5.3.3 Yarn pull-out testing	88
5.3.4 Dynamic impact testing	88
5.3.5 Ballistic testing	89
5.4 Results and Discussion	89
5.4.1 Rheological behaviour of STFs	89
5.4.2 Surface morphology of STF impregnated fabric	90
5.4.3 Yarn pull-out behaviour	91
5.4.4 Dynamic impact results	92
5.4.5 Back face signature	94
5.4.6 Number of perforated layers and perforated mass	97

5.5 Summary	100
Chapter 6	101
6.1 Introduction	103
6.2 Experimental	104
6.2.1 Materials	104
6.3 Characterisation	106
6.3.1 Physical properties	106
6.3.2 Morphological analysis	107
6.3.3 Thermal analysis	108
6.4 Tensile Testing	108
6.4.1 Tensile testing of DPE tape	108
6.4.2 Tensile testing of DPE tape and commercial high performance yarn	108
6.5 Results and Discussion	109
6.5.1 Physical properties	109
6.5.2 Morphology analysis	109
6.5.3 Thermal analysis	113
6.5.4 Tensile properties	115
6.5.5 Comparison of tensile properties of DPE and some high-performance yarns	117
6.6 Summary	117
Chapter 7	119
7.1 Introduction	121
7.2 Material and Methods	122
7.2.1 Materials	122
7.2.2 Yarn manufacturing from DPE tapes	122
7.2.3 Fabric manufacturing	123
7.2.4 Fabrication of laminate	123
7.2.5 Fabrication of SAPs	125
7.2.6 Tensile testing	126
7.2.7 Dynamic impact testing	127
7.2.8 Ballistic evaluation	127
7.3 Results and Discussion	127
7.3.1 Microscopic analysis	127
7.3.2 Tensile properties	130

7.3.3 Wave velocities	131
7.3.4 Dynamic impact resistance	132
7.3.5 Ballistic performance	136
7.4 Summary	139
Chapter 8	141
Chapter 9	145
References	149
BIO DATA	163
PUBLICATIONS & AWARDS	164

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1 Bullet proof jacket and its components	11
Figure 2.2 Molecular structure of Kevlar molecule	12
Figure 2.3 Stiffness of current and potential hard armour materials as a function of density	13
Figure 2.4 Molecular structure of polyethylene molecule	13
Figure 2.5 Wave propagation in a transversely impacted fibre	16
Figure 2.6 History of high-performance fibres used in the BPJ	18
Figure 2.7 3D weaves; (a) through thickness orthogonal (b) layer by layer orthogonal (c) through-thickness angle interlock and (d) set-up of 3D weaving on 2D loom	24
Figure 2.8 Effect of projectile parameters on ballistic limit (a) diameter (b) mass	27
Figure 2.9 Types of projectile used.....	27
Figure 2.10 The plot of energy absorbed by fabric against impact velocity of projectiles with different shapes	27
Figure 2.11 Silica and polyethylene glycol (PEG) based STF mechanism.....	29
Figure 2.12 Polymer chain of UHMWPE	33
Figure 2.13 Synthesis routes and morphological difference in disentangled and entangled UHMWPE.....	34
Figure 2.14 BFS measurement.....	38
Figure 3.1 Anton Paar Physica MCR 51 stress-controlled rheometer	45
Figure 3.2 Tensile testing machine	47
Figure 3.3 (a) Sample used for the yarn pull-out test and (b) schematic of lower jaw for yarn pull-out test	48
Figure 3.4 Shirley stiffness tester	49
Figure 3.5 Dynamic impact testing setup.....	50
Figure 3.6 Calibration of the backing clay material	51
Figure 3.7 Ballistic test setup	52
Figure 4.1 Stitching pattern and shot locations of SAPs: (a) <i>p</i> -aramid woven (b) <i>p</i> -aramid UD laminate, and (c) UHMWPE UD laminate	59

Figure 4.2 Schematic and cross-section of materials used for SAPs.....	61
Figure 4.3 DSC thermograms of UD laminates: (a) <i>p</i> -aramid, and (b) UHMWPE	63
Figure 4.4 TGA thermograms of UD laminates: (a) <i>p</i> -aramid, and (b) UHMWPE	64
Figure 4.5 Stress-strain behaviour (a), and breaking stress and strain (b) of materials....	66
Figure 4.6 Stiffness of high-performance fabrics and polycarbonate sheet.....	67
Figure 4.7 Impact response of various materials: (a) force-deformation behaviour; and (b) normalised impact energy absorption	69
Figure 4.8 Back face signature of SAPs of different high-performance materials.....	71
Figure 4.9 Back face signature of <i>p</i> -aramid based SAPs	72
Figure 4.10 Back face signature of UHMWPE based SAPs.....	73
Figure 4.11 Perforation ratio of the SAPs of different high-performance materials	74
Figure 4.12 Perforation ratio of <i>p</i> -aramid based SAPs	75
Figure 4.13 Perforation ratio of UHMWPE based SAPs	76
Figure 4.14 Microscopic images of different layers of SAP after ballistic test.....	77
Figure 4.15 Scanning electron micrographs of front layer of SAPs: (a) <i>p</i> -aramid woven fabric; (b) <i>p</i> -aramid UD laminate, and (c) UHMWPE UD laminate.....	78
Figure 5.1 Impregnation of fabric with STF.....	86
Figure 5.2 Schematic of SAPs configuration.....	87
Figure 5.3 Stitched SAP of Kevlar® fabric.....	88
Figure 5.4 Flow curves of STFs made from (a) 100 nm and (b) 500 nm silica particles .	90
Figure 5.5 Scanning electron micrographs of fabrics impregnated with STF (a) STF-100, (b) STF-100 enlarged, (c) STF-500, (d) STF-500 enlarged.....	91
Figure 5.6 Yarn pull-out behaviour of neat and STF impregnated fabrics	92
Figure 5.7 Impact energy absorption by neat and STF impregnated Kevlar® fabrics.....	93
Figure 5.8 BFS of SAPs impregnated with STF-100.	94
Figure 5.9 BFS of SAPs impregnated with STF-500	95
Figure 5.10 Number of perforated layers and perforated mass for STF-100 impregnated panels.....	99

Figure 5.11 Number of perforated layers and perforated mass for STF-500 impregnated panels	99
Figure 5.12 Neat panel (a) front, (b) middle (c) stopping layer and STF impregnated panel (d) front, (e) middle (f) stopping layer	100
Figure 6.1 Schematic of sintering and drawing process of DPE polymer and tape.....	105
Figure 6.2 Specimen collected from different drawing stages of DPE polymer and tape	105
Figure 6.3 Standard density gradient column curve.....	107
Figure 6.4 Optical microscopic images of DPE tapes (arrows indicate the drawing direction)	110
Figure 6.5 SEM micrographs of DPE tapes (arrows indicate the drawing direction)....	111
Figure 6.6 WAXD pattern of DPE polymer and tapes at different stages	113
Figure 6.7 DSC thermograms of DPE polymer and tapes (a) first heating scan (b) cooling scan (c) second heating scan; and (d) TGA thermogram of DPE tape	114
Figure 6.8 Tensile strength and strain at break of DPE tapes	116
Figure 6.9 Stress-strain curve of DPE tapes	116
Figure 6.10 Tensile properties of DPE and high-performance yarns	117
Figure 7.1 Conversion of DPE tape to yarn on roving frame.....	123
Figure 7.2 Process for preparation of cross-ply laminates from DPE tape	124
Figure 7.3 Laminate from twisted DPE tape yarn	125
Figure 7.4 SAP stitching pattern and shot locations.....	126
Figure 7.5 Scanning electron micrographs of cross-ply laminates prepared at 125 °C..	129
Figure 7.6 Scanning electron micrographs of cross-ply laminates prepared at 135 °C from tapes and UD fabrics.....	130
Figure 7.7 (a) Stress-strain curves and (b) breaking stress and strain for different cross-ply laminates.....	131
Figure 7.8 Effect of resin content on impact performance; (a) Force vs time (b) Normalised peak force and total energy absorption	133
Figure 7.9 Effect of temperature and laminate structure on impact performance; (a, c) Force vs time (b, d) Normalised peak force and total energy absorption	134

Figure 7.10 Failure mode analysis of laminates	136
Figure 7.11 Deformation of bullets in different SAPs.....	138
Figure 7.12 Failure modes of SAPs (a) cross-ply DPE tape based laminate (b) woven twisted tape based laminate.....	139

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1: Typical properties of high-performance fibres	19
Table 2.2: The classification of hybrid structures.....	32
Table 2.3: Inventors, patents, patents titles and findings related to DPE	35
Table 2.4: Authors of research papers, catalyst, test conducted and findings related to DPE.....	36
Table 2.5: Clay calibration requirement for different standards.....	39
Table 2.6: Maximum limit of BFS requirement for different standards.....	39
Table 3.1: Details of fabrics, UD laminates and backing materials.....	44
Table 3.2: Ballistic testing parameters.....	51
Table 4.1: Details of SAPs.....	58
Table 4.2: Composition of <i>p</i> -aramid UD laminate	65
Table 4.3: Wave velocities and Cunniff number (η) of fabrics and laminates	70
Table 4.4: Materials cost calculation of various SAP configuration	79
Table 5.1: Details of SAP configuration.....	87
Table 5.2: Rheological parameters of STF-100 and STF-500.....	89
Table 5.3: STF add-on and impact energy absorption by Kevlar® fabrics.	93
Table 5.4: One-way ANOVA for impact energy absorption.....	93
Table 5.5: Comparison of BFS in STF-100 and STF-500 impregnated panels.....	97
Table 6.1: Details of DPE polymer.....	104
Table 6.2: Thickness and areal density of DPE tapes.....	105
Table 6.3: Crystallinity and density of DPE tapes by density gradient method	109
Table 6.4: Birefringence of DPE tapes at different stages.....	110
Table 6.5: Crystallinity of DPE polymer and tapes from X-ray diffractograms	112
Table 6.6: Thermal properties of DPE polymer and tapes at different stages	115
Table 7.1: Specifications of different laminates	125
Table 7.2: Laminate details for SAPs	126
Table 7.3: Details of SAPs.....	126
Table 7.4: Wave velocities and Cunniff number of laminates.....	132
Table 7.5: Ballistic performance of SAPs.....	137
Table 7.6: Comparison of ballistic performance.....	137
Table 7.7: Perforation ratio and number of perforated layers in SAPs.....	138

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AD	Areal density
ANOVA	Analysis of variance
BPJ	Bullet proof jacket
BRJ	Bullet resistance jacket
BFS	Back face signature
CMD	Cross machine direction
CPO	Crystalline plane orientation ratio
CPT	Cross-ply tape
DPE	Disentangled polyethylene
DSC	Differential scanning calorimetry
HAP	Hard armour panel
LDPE	Liner low density polyethylene
MD	Machine direction
PC	Polycarbonate sheet
PEG	polyethylene glycol
SAP	Soft armour panel
SEM	Scanning electron microscopy
STF	Shear thickening fluid
TGA	Thermogravimetric analysis
UHMWPE	Ultra high molecular weight polyethylene
UD	Unidirectional
WAXD	Wide angle X-ray Diffraction
WTT	Woven twisted tape