

EXPERIMENTAL AND NUMERICAL INVESTIGATIONS OF GAS–LIQUID AND GAS–LIQUID–LIQUID FLOWS IN MICROCHANNELS

V. M. RAJESH



DEPARTMENT OF CHEMICAL ENGINEERING
INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY DELHI
NEW DELHI - 110016

AUGUST 2015

EXPERIMENTAL AND NUMERICAL INVESTIGATIONS OF GAS–LIQUID AND GAS–LIQUID–LIQUID FLOWS IN MICROCHANNELS

by

V. M. RAJESH

Department of Chemical Engineering

Submitted

in fulfillment of the requirements of the degree of Doctor of Philosophy
to the



INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY DELHI

AUGUST 2015

Dedicated to My Parents, Wife and My Sons.

Certificate

This is to certify that the thesis entitled “*Experimental and Numerical Investigations of Gas-Liquid and Gas-Liquid-Liquid Flows in Microchannels*”, being submitted by **V. M. Rajesh** to the Indian Institute of Technology Delhi, is worthy of consideration for the award of the degree of **Doctor of Philosophy** and is a record of the original bonafide research work carried out by him under my guidance and supervision. The results contained in the thesis have not been submitted in part or full, to any other University or Institute for the award of any degree or diploma.

I certify that he has pursued the prescribed course of research.

Dr. Vivek V Buwa

Associate Professor,

(Supervisor of Student)

Department of Chemical Engineering

Indian Institute of Technology Delhi

Hauz Khas, New Delhi – 110016

Acknowledgments

This thesis would not have been possible without the help and support of many people in all my tough times. Without them it would be a dream. I placed my immense gratitude for their kindness, support, contribution and ideas.

I owe my deepest gratitude to my Professor, Dr. Vivek V. Buwa for his encouragement and support in each phase of my research endeavor. As a supervisor, he acts as a driving force of my research work from the beginning of the doctoral program. Personally as a well-wisher he helped me to overcome numerous obstacles. He supported me not only for guiding my research, but also emotionally, giving ample of moral support and freedom. With his insightful discussions and constructive feedback, he channelized my research work in proper direction. Being my source of inspiration, he taught how to make things in a perfect manner. Without his positive demeanour and continuous optimism, this dissertation would not have been possible. I owe my profound thanks to him for his flexibility in scheduling and his effort to shape my dissertation till the last moment. He is an unrivalled mentor who accompanied me throughout the gestation of my work. I am very grateful to his trust in me and his positive stimulation.

I am pleased to acknowledge my Ph.D. research committee members, Prof. K.K. Pant, Prof. Ratan Mohan and Prof. Anupam Dewan for their significant influence in formulating the ideas. Their insight evaluation and detailed critique helped me to progress

myself.

I thank Prof. Shantanu Roy for his insight evaluation and valuable suggestions in many phases of my research work and it intends me to have a meticulous effort to get expertise. I owe my profound gratitude to Prof. Rajesh Khanna, HOD, Department of Chemical Engineering, IIT Delhi, who gave access to make use of his laboratory instruments and other research facilities that have been utilized to gain some fruitful results for my dissertation.

I am indebted to my wonderful colleagues, for their friendship and support, who were ready to extend their helping hands when ever needed. I thank my first lab colleagues, Swapna Rabha, Lakshay Mahindroo, Nikita Mathur, Taru Kapoor, Richa Raj, Varun and Srikanth for their help at initial stages of my research. I would like to owe my heartfelt gratitude towards my friends and colleagues Abdul and Brajesh, whose constructive and intellectual discussions were fruitful in transferring the knowledge. We had many interesting and informative interactions to understand certain concepts crystal clear. I owe my invariably special thanks to my colleagues Abdul, Brajesh and Ekta Jain during the thesis-writing phase.

I express many thanks to my colleague B. M. Ningegowda from Department of Mechanical Engineering, for his open discussion about CFD modeling. Many thanks also to Dr. Kiran from Department of Mechanical Engineering for sharing his acquaintance in CNC machining during my early stages of research. I owe my gratitude towards Rajagopal for sharing his knowledge on OpenFoam. I once again thank all my lab colleagues Saroj, Parul, Ritubhan and Vishal for their generous help and for making such an enthusiastic environment.

I owe my heartiest thanks to my dear friend Mr. Arun (Senior Research Officer, Re-

search and Development, IOCL, Faridabad) for his timely financial help and support. He is ready to extend his helping hand whenever there is a need. I surprised of his generosity and thoughtfulness. I thanked him from the bottom of my heart for his unsolicited help. I am profoundly obliged to him throughout my life for his unconditional friendship and support.

I feel so grateful to my Professor, Dr. Vivek V. Buwa for providing me well-equipped, rich and fertile environment for my research work. I would like to thank Indian Institute of Technology Delhi, for providing me an opportunity as a Research Scholar and for their financial support. This work is a concerted effort of my professors, friends, colleagues and every single individual who provide their unconditional generous help and support. Their encouragements gave me an impetus to finish my dissertation.

Last but not the least, I thank my parents for giving me such a good foundation and knowledge. Their moral support and persistent prayers helped me to over come many hindrances that I have gone through during my research work. I thank my wife Mrs. Jeyanthi for her great moral support and unwavering belief in me. I thank my family for their sacrifices and bearing with me in many excruciating moments throughout my research work. I also thank my sons, Shri Ragav and Krithick Ragav for their tolerance in all my absence and for their unconditional love. They have given me a much-needed reprieve from work and re-energized me.

Above all I extended my deepest gratitude towards GOD, who gifted me the knowledge, the health to work consistently, the patience to withstand pressure and a good eco system.

Abstract

In chemical process industry; the need of cost competitive, energy efficient and environmentally benign processes initiated the development of micro-structured reactors and process equipment. Microreactors offered the controlled fluid manipulations and were used to perform the reactions in microchannels with typical dimensions of tens of micrometers up to a millimeter. Microreactors have broadly been employed to intensify chemical and biological processes, because of significant increase in quick analysis of products, reduction in sample volume and reactant consumptions. Microreactors enhanced the reactor performance and functionality by integrating the different components (microchannels) on to individual reactors. The high surface-area-to-volume ratio of microreactors leads to enhanced heat and mass transfer and interfacial transport phenomena. As a consequence, high conversion and selectivity can be achieved in comparison to conventional reactors.

The present thesis focused on experimental and numerical investigations on gas–liquid and gas–liquid–liquid flows in microchannels. Gas–liquid two–phase flows experiments were performed to investigate the effect of flow rates of continuous (water) and dispersed phases (air) on flow regimes, formation mechanisms of air bubbles/slugs and their corresponding lengths in T– and Y–junction microchannels. High speed imaging experiments were performed to identify and to map the gas–liquid–liquid flow regimes and to understand the effect of flow rates of continuous (kerosene) and dispersed phases (air and water), addition of surfactant in aqueous phase with various concentrations on the flow regimes,

formation mechanisms of air bubbles/slugs and water drops/slugs and also their lengths. Experiments were also performed to control the generation of air bubbles and water drops with embedded capillary needles of different sizes for air and water inlets. This particular study was done to investigate the effect of inlet distributors on three-phase flow regimes, formation mechanisms of bubbles and drops and their corresponding lengths. A variety of interesting three-phase gas-in-water-in-oil emulsions were also generated using flow focusing device to increase the interfacial area of air bubbles per unit volume of water slug in continuous oil phase.

In addition to the experiments, numerical simulations of three-dimensional (3D) gas-liquid microchannel flow using the volume-of-fluid (VOF) method, as implemented in OpenFOAM were performed. Simulations were performed for a 1) prediction of gas-liquid flow regimes and their formation mechanisms in T- and Y-junction microchannels, and 2) the prediction of lengths of air bubbles/slugs. In addition, an unified correlation was developed to predict the lengths of air bubbles and slugs in T- and Y-junction microchannels.

For the first time, 3D VOF simulations were also performed to simulate the gas-liquid-liquid flows in a T-junction microchannel using the commercial CFD solver Fluent 14.0. The predictive capability of VOF method was tested to predict the three phase gas-liquid-liquid flow regimes. The numerical predictions for two-phase (gas-liquid) and three-phase (gas-liquid-liquid) flow regimes, formation mechanisms of bubble/drop or slugs and their corresponding lengths showed an excellent agreement with the experimental data. The results presented in this thesis will be important to understand the hydrodynamics of three-phase gas-liquid-liquid flows in microchannels which in turns to device microreactor systems for catalytic reactions involving gas-liquid-liquid flows.

Contents

List of Figures	vii
List of Tables	xix
Nomenclature	xxv
1 Introduction	1
1.1 Process Intensification	1
1.2 Microreactor—Applications and challenges	4
1.3 Thesis Motivation and Objectives	8
1.3.1 Motivation	8
1.3.2 Objectives	9
1.3.2.1 Experimental Investigations	9
1.3.2.2 Numerical Investigations	10
1.4 Thesis Outline	10
2 Experimental Characterization of Gas-Liquid and Gas-Liquid-Liquid Flows in Microchannels	13
2.1 Introduction	14
2.2 Present State of art	15

2.3	Experimental Set-up	22
2.4	Results and Discussion	27
2.4.1	Gas-liquid flows	27
2.4.1.1	Formation dynamics of air bubbles/slugs	27
2.4.1.2	Two-phase flow regimes	28
2.4.1.3	Effect of Q_{water} on air bubble/slug lengths	30
2.4.2	Gas-liquid-liquid flows	31
2.4.2.1	Formation dynamics of water drop/slugs	31
2.4.2.2	Gas-liquid-liquid flow regimes	34
2.4.2.3	Three-phase flow regime map	36
2.4.2.4	Effect of Q_{oil} on air bubble/slug and water drop/slug lengths	38
2.4.2.5	Effect of Q_{air} on air bubble/slug and water drop/slug lengths	40
2.4.2.6	Effect of Q_{water} on air bubble/slug and water drop/slug lengths	41
2.4.3	Effect of surfactant (in aqueous phase) on gas-liquid-liquid flows .	42
2.4.3.1	Formation dynamics of water slugs in presence of surfactant	42
2.4.3.2	Gas-liquid-liquid flow regimes in presence of surfactant . .	46
2.4.3.3	Effect of Q_{oil} on air bubble/slug and water drop/slug lengths in presence of surfactant	48
2.4.3.4	Effect of Q_{air} on air bubble/slug and water drop/slug lengths in presence of surfactant	52
2.4.3.5	Effect of Q_{water} on air bubble/slug and water drop/slug lengths in presence of surfactant	55
2.5	Summary and conclusions	57

3 Experiments On Controlled Generation of Bubbles and Drops in Microchannels	61
3.1 Introduction	62
3.2 Present State of art	62
3.3 Experiments	64
3.4 Results and Discussion	66
3.4.1 Effect of inlet distributors on Formation dynamics of bubbles and drops	66
3.4.1.1 Three-phase flow regimes	74
3.4.1.2 Lengths of bubbles and drops	79
3.4.2 Emulsions of gas-in-water-in-oil	82
3.5 Summary and Conclusions	87
4 Numerical Simulations of Gas-Liquid Flows in Microchannels	89
4.1 Introduction	90
4.2 Present State of art	91
4.3 Computational Model	97
4.3.1 Solution domain and boundary conditions	100
4.4 Results and Discussions	103
4.4.1 Numerics	103
4.4.2 Flow regimes: Numerical simulation and experimental verification .	107
4.4.3 Effects of channel size and distributor configuration	111
4.4.4 Effect of surface tension on slug length	116
4.4.5 Unified correlation for prediction of gas slug length	117
4.5 Summary and Conclusions	119

5	Numerical Simulations of Gas-Liquid-Liquid flows in Microchannels	121
5.1	Introduction	122
5.2	Present State of art	122
5.3	Computational Model	123
5.3.1	The VOF method	123
5.3.2	Solution domain and boundary conditions	125
5.4	Results and Discussions	129
5.4.1	Gas-liquid-liquid flows in presence of surfactant : Numerical simulations and experimental verification	129
5.4.1.1	Predictions of formation dynamics of air bubbles/slugs	129
5.4.1.2	Predictions of formation dynamics of water drops/slugs	133
5.4.1.3	Predictions of gas-liquid-liquid flow regimes	139
5.4.1.4	Effect of Q_{oil} on lengths of air bubbles/slugs and water drop/slugs	143
5.4.1.5	Effect of Q_{air} on lengths of air bubbles/slugs and water drop/slugs	146
5.4.1.6	Effect of Q_{water} on lengths of air bubbles/slugs and water drop/slugs	148
5.4.2	Effect of channel geometry	151
5.4.2.1	Orientations on formation dynamics of bubbles/drops or slugs	151
5.4.2.2	Numerical simulations of effect of inlet distributors	157
5.4.2.3	Numerical simulations of effect of inlet T-orientations	158
5.4.2.4	Numerical simulations of effect of channel aspect ratios	160

5.5 Summary and Conclusions	162
6 Summary and Conclusions	167
6.1 Summary	167
6.2 Conclusions	169
Bibliography	173
List of Publications	189
Curriculum Vitae	193

List of Figures

1.1	(a) Process intensification and its components ((Stankiewicz and Moulijn, 2000)) (b) Fundamental view on process intensification ((Gerven and Stankiewicz, 2009))	3
1.2	Different types of microreactors (a and b) Falling film microreactors (source : IMM catalogue) (c) Micro packed bed reactor (Guangwen and Jun, 2008) (d) Mesh microreactor (Abdallah et al., 2004) (e) Micro mixer (Pelleter and Renaud, 2009a) (f) Parallel microreactor (Coyle and Oelgemoller, 2008). .	5
1.3	(a and b) Microreactors developed to perform the hazardous reactions in safer environment on industrial scale (Elvira et al., 2013).	6
1.4	Gas–liquid–liquid flow in a capillary reactor ((Onal et al., 2005b).	7
2.1	Experimental set-up.	22
2.2	Schematic of the experimental set-up	23
2.3	Photographs of the typical microchannels used in the present work	24
2.4	Formation dynamics of air bubbles/slugs in T–junction microchannel for air–water system	27
2.5	Typical gas–liquid flow regimes observed at fixed $Q_{air} = 5.12$ ml/min in a T–junction microchannel A	29

2.6	Typical gas-liquid flow regimes observed at fixed $Q_{air} = 5.12$ ml/min in a Y-junction microchannel B	29
2.7	Effect of Q_{water} , expressed in terms of Ca , on dimensionless length of air bubbles/slugs for T- and Y-junction microchannels (microchannel A and B, $Q_{air} = 5.12$ ml/min)	31
2.8	Formation dynamics of water drop/slugs at the 2 nd T-junction	33
2.9	Gas-liquid-liquid flow regimes observed for microchannel C	35
2.10	Flow regimes map for gas-liquid-liquid flows in microchannel C at fixed $Q_{oil} = 6$ ml/min ($Ca_{oil} = 5.5 \times 10^{-3}$).	37
2.11	Effect of Q_{oil} , expressed in terms of Ca_{oil} , on length of air bubbles/slugs and water drops/slugs	39
2.12	Effect of Q_{air} , expressed in terms of We_{air} , on length of air bubbles/slugs and water drops/slugs	40
2.13	Effect of Q_{water} , expressed in terms of We_{water} , on length of air bubbles/slugs and water drops/slugs	42
2.14	Formation dynamics of water drop/slugs at the 2 nd T-junction at $Q_{oil} = 5$ ml/min	44
2.15	Formation dynamics of water drop/slugs at the 2 nd T-junction at $Q_{oil} = 15$ ml/min	45
2.16	Observed gas-liquid-liquid flow regimes in presence of 0.3 wt/wt % SDS in water	47
2.17	Effect of surfactant (SDS) concentrations on length of air bubbles/slugs (microchannel A, $Q_{water} = 2.76$ ml/min, $Q_{air} = 5.42$ ml/min)	49

2.18	Effect of surfactant (SDS) concentrations on length of water drops/slugs (microchannel C, at fixed $Q_{water} = 2.76$ ml/min, $Q_{air} = 5.42$ ml/min) . . .	50
2.19	Effect of Q_{air} for 0.3 and 2 wt/wt/% SDS concentrations on lengths of (a) bubbles/Slugs (b) drops/slugs (at fixed $Q_{water} = 2.76$ ml/min and $Q_{oil} = 5$, 15 ml/min)	53
2.20	Effect of Q_{water} for 0.3 and 2 wt/wt/% SDS concentrations on lengths of (a) bubbles/Slugs (b) drops/slugs (at fixed $Q_{air} = 5.24$ ml/min and $Q_{oil} =$ 5, 15 ml/min)	56
3.1	(a) A photograph of the test section (b) Schematic of the T-junction micro-channel used in the present work ($W = 1000$ μ m; $H = 950$ μ m)	65
3.2	Formation dynamics of a slug at 1 st T-junction in the "Squeezing regime" (D4 distributor ($Q_{oil} = 2$ ml/min ($Ca_{oil(1^{st}T)} = 1.75 \times 10^{-3}$) ; $Q_{water} = 0.854$ ml/min ($We_{water} = 0.318$); $Q_{air} = 1.789$ ml/min ($We_{air} = 5.09 \times 10^{-5}$)) (a) t = 23 ms (b) 70 ms (c) 103 ms (d) 128 ms	67
3.3	Formation dynamics of a bubble at 1 st T-junction in the "Transition regime" (D1 distributor ($Q_{oil} = 4$ ml/min ($Ca_{oil(1^{st}T)} = 3.51 \times 10^{-3}$) ; $Q_{water} = 0.854$ ml/min ($We_{water} = 0.318$); $Q_{air} = 1.789$ ml/min (We_{air} $= 1.41 \times 10^{-2}$))	70
3.4	Formation dynamics of bubbles at 1 st T-junction in the "Dripping regime" (a) D1 distributor ($Q_{oil} = 12$ ml/min ($Ca_{oil(1^{st}T)} = 1.05 \times 10^{-2}$) ; Q_{water} $= 0.854$ ml/min ($We_{water} = 0.318$); $Q_{air} = 1.789$ ml/min ($We_{air} = 1.41$ $\times 10^{-2}$)) (b) D3 distributor ($Q_{oil} = 12$ ml/min ($Ca_{oil(1^{st}T)} = 1.05 \times 10^{-2}$) ; $Q_{water} = 0.854$ ml/min ($We_{water} = 0.318$); $Q_{air} = 1.789$ ml/min ($We_{air} =$ 3.58×10^{-3}))	71

3.5	Effect of distributors on bubble formation frequencies, expressed in terms of $Ca_{oil(1^{st}T)}$ at fixed $Q_{water} = 0.854$ ml/min ($We_{water} = 0.318$) and $Q_{air} = 1.789$ ml/min ($We_{air} = 5.09 \times 10^{-5} - 1.41 \times 10^{-2}$)	72
3.6	Formation dynamics of drops at 2 nd T-junction in D3 distributor ($Q_{oil} = 4$ ml/min ($Ca_{oil(1^{st}T)} = 1.75 \times 10^{-3}$) ; $Q_{water} = 0.854$ ml/min ($We_{water} = 0.318$); $Q_{air} = 1.789$ ml/min ($We_{air} = 3.58 \times 10^{-3}$))	73
3.7	Flow regimes observed for various capillary numbers at 2 nd T-junction (a, c, e) D2 distributor (b, d, f) D6 distributor	74
3.8	Effect of distributors on gas–liquid–liquid flow regimes for flow regimes observed in D1 distributor (left side images) and flow regimes observed in D4 distributor (right side images) at Q_{oil} of (a) 2 ml/min (b) 4 ml/min (c) 6 ml/min (d) 8 ml/min (e) 10 ml/min (f) 12 ml/min ($Ca_{oil(2^{nd}T)} = 8.77 \times 10^{-4} - 4.39 \times 10^{-3}$; $Ca_{oil(1^{st}T)} = 1.75 \times 10^{-3} - 8.77 \times 10^{-3}$) at fixed $Q_{water} = 0.854$ ml/min ($We_{water} = 0.318$) and $Q_{air} = 1.789$ ml/min ($We_{air} = 5.09 \times 10^{-5} - 1.41 \times 10^{-2}$)	76
3.9	Effect of distributors on gas–liquid–liquid flow regimes for flow regimes observed in D5 distributor (left side images) and flow regimes observed in D3 distributor (right side images) at Q_{oil} of (a) 2 ml/min (b) 4 ml/min (c) 6 ml/min (d) 8 ml/min (e) 10 ml/min (f) 12 ml/min ($Ca_{oil(2^{nd}T)} = 8.77 \times 10^{-4} - 4.39 \times 10^{-3}$; $Ca_{oil(1^{st}T)} = 1.75 \times 10^{-3} - 8.77 \times 10^{-3}$) at fixed $Q_{water} = 0.854$ ml/min ($We_{water} = 0.130-0.318$) and $Q_{air} = 1.789$ ml/min ($We_{air} = 3.58 \times 10^{-3} - 1.41 \times 10^{-2}$)	78

3.10	Effect of distributors on dimensionless lengths of bubbles/drops or slugs expressed in terms of $Ca_{oil(1^{st}T)}$ and $Ca_{oil(2^{nd}T)}$ for $Q_{oil} = 1 - 12$ ml/min at fixed $Q_{water} = 0.854$ ml/min ($We_{water} = 0.00453 - 0.318$) and $Q_{air} = 1.789$ ml/min ($We_{air} = 5.09 \times 10^{-5} - 1.41 \times 10^{-2}$)(a) Lengths of bubbles/slugs for $Ca_{oil(1^{st}T)} = 8.77 \times 10^{-4} - 1.05 \times 10^{-3}$ (b) Lengths of drops/slugs for $Ca_{oil(2^{nd}T)} = 4.39 \times 10^{-4} - 5.26 \times 10^{-3}$	81
3.11	Schematic view of T-junction microchannel configurations to create three-phase gas-in-water-in-oil emulsions ($d_h = 0.97$ mm).	83
3.12	Formation dynamics of double emulsions at 2 nd T-junction with $Q_{oil} = 4$ ml/min ($Ca_{oil(2^{nd}T)} = 1.61 \times 10^{-2}$), $Q_{air} = 0.942$ ml/min ($We_{air} = 2.57 \times 10^{-3}$), $Q_{water+SDS} = 1.330$ ml/min ($Ca_{water(1^{st}T)} = 6.67 \times 10^{-4}$; $We_{water} = 1.51 \times 10^{-2}$) for 0.3 wt/wt% water-SDS mixture.	84
3.13	Three-phase gas-in-water-in-oil emulsions observed in a T-junction microchannel at fixed $Q_{air} = 0.942$ ml/min (a) $Q_{oil} = 4$ ml/min; $Q_{water+0.3wt/wt\%SDS} = 1.807$ ml/min (b) $Q_{oil} = 2$ ml/min; $Q_{water+0.3wt/wt\%SDS} = 1.807$ ml/min (c) $Q_{oil} = 4$ ml/min ; $Q_{water+0.3wt/wt\%SDS} = 2.760$ ml/min (d) $Q_{oil} = 4$ ml/min; $Q_{water+2wt/wt\%SDS} = 1.807$ ml/min (e) $Q_{oil} = 4$ ml/min ; $Q_{water+2wt/wt\%SDS} = 2.760$ ml/min.	86
4.1	Solution domain and boundary conditions (a) T-junction ($W_{Ch} = D_{Ch} = W_{GI} = D_{GI} = 1$ mm for present work; $W_{Ch} = D_{Ch} = W_{GI} = D_{GI} = 0.8$ mm for (Vansteijn et al., 2008)) (b) Y-junction ($W_{Ch} = D_{Ch} = W_{GI} = D_{GI} = 1$ mm for present work.	102

4.2	Bubble formation dynamics (a) experiments (Vansteijn et al., 2008) ($T = 170$ ms) (b) simulations (present work) ($T = 167$ ms) ($Q_G = 0.6$ ml/min, $Q_L = 0.4$ ml/min, $A_{GI} = 0.8 \times 0.8$ mm ² ; $A_{Ch} = 0.8 \times 0.8$ mm ² ; air–ethanol system).	104
4.3	Comparison of predicted (present work with OpenFoam v1.6 and Fluent 6.3) and measured (Vansteijn et al., 2008) L_B/W_{Ch} for different Q_G/Q_L ($A_{GI} = 0.8 \times 0.8$ mm ² ; $A_{Ch} = 0.8 \times 0.8$ mm ² ; air–ethanol system.	105
4.4	Dynamics of bubble formation at different static contact angle values (a)0° (b)30° (c) 60° (d)90° (e)120° (f)180°	106
4.5	Numerical predictions of flow regimes in a T–junction microchannel at different gas and liquid velocities (a) bubbly flow ($U_G = 0.085$ m/s, $U_L = 0.44$ m/s), (b) slug flow ($U_G = 0.085$ m/s, $U_L = 0.189$ m/s), (c) slug flow ($U_G = 0.085$ m/s, $U_L = 0.093$ m/s) and (d) long slug flow ($U_G = 0.085$ m/s, $U_L = 0.031$ m/s) ($A_{GI} = 1 \times 1$ mm ² ; $A_{Ch} = 1 \times 1$ mm ² ; air–water system).	108
4.6	Numerical predictions of flow regimes in a Y–junction microchannel at different gas and liquid velocities (a) bubbly flow ($U_G = 0.085$ m/s, $U_L = 0.792$ m/s), (b) slug flow ($U_G = 0.0859$ m/s, $U_L = 0.189$ m/s), (c) slug flow ($U_G = 0.085$ m/s, $U_L = 0.094$ m/s), (d) long slug flow ($U_G = 0.085$ m/s, $U_L = 0.030$ m/s); ($A_{GI} = 1 \times 1$ mm ² ; $A_{Ch} = 1 \times 1$ mm ² ; air–water system).	110
4.7	Comparison of observed and predicted lengths of air bubbles/slugs in T– and Y–junction microchannel (at fixed $Q_{air} = 5.07$ ml/min, $We_{air} = 4.29 \times 10^{-4}$).	111

4.8	Effect of channel size on the slug length (a) $A_{GI} = A_{Ch} = 0.8 \times 0.8 \text{ mm}^2$, (b) $A_{GI} = A_{Ch} = 0.4 \times 0.4 \text{ mm}^2$ and (c) $A_{GI} = A_{Ch} = 0.2 \times 0.2 \text{ mm}^2$ ($Q_G = 1.011 \text{ ml/min}$; $Q_L = 1.6 \text{ ml/min}$; air–ethanol system).	112
4.9	Controlled generation of bubbles/slugs in a T–junction microchannel (a) $Q_L = 0.2$ (b) $Q_L = 0.4$ (c) $Q_L = 1$ and (d) $Q_L = 2.4$ ($Q_G = 0.6$ (all ml/min; $A_{GI} = 0.2 \times 0.2 \text{ mm}^2$; $A_{Ch} = 0.8 \times 0.8 \text{ mm}^2$; air–ethanol system).	115
4.10	Effect of gas inlet cross section area on the slug length (a) $A_{GI} = 0.4 \times 0.8 \text{ mm}^2$, (b) $A_{GI} = 0.8 \times 0.8 \text{ mm}^2$ and (c) $A_{GI} = 1.6 \times 0.8 \text{ mm}^2$ ($A_{Ch} = 0.8 \times 0.8 \text{ mm}^2$; $Q_G = 1.011 \text{ ml/min}$; $Q_L = 1.6 \text{ ml/min}$; air–ethanol system).	115
4.11	. Effect of surface tension on gas slug length (a) $\frac{\sigma}{\sigma^*} = 0.1$; (b) $\frac{\sigma}{\sigma^*} = 0.5$; (c) $\frac{\sigma}{\sigma^*} = 1$; (d) $\frac{\sigma}{\sigma^*} = 5$; (e) $\frac{\sigma}{\sigma^*} = 10$ ($Q_G = 0.6 \text{ ml/min}$; $Q_L = 0.4 \text{ ml/min}$, $A_{GI} = 0.8 \times 0.8 \text{ mm}^2$, $A_{Ch} = 0.8 \times 0.8 \text{ mm}^2$, σ^* for air–ethanol system is 0.0224 N/m).	116
4.12	A parity plot of dimensionless gas slug lengths observed in experiments and simulation and predicted using the unified correlation (Equation. 4.13)	118
5.1	Solution domain and boundary conditions used in the numerical simulations (a) Geometry–1 (b) Geometry–2	127
5.2	Different T–orientations (a) T–orientation–1 (b) Tee–orientation–2	128
5.3	Point pressure locations (a) 1 st – T with point pressure P1 (b) 2 nd – T with point pressure P2	128

5.4	(a) Predicted formation dynamics of a air slug at 1 st T-junction for $Q_{oil}=5$ ml/min ($Ca_{oil(1^{st}T)} = 4.39 \times 10^{-3}$), $Q_{water}= 2.76$ ml/min ($We_{water} = 4.54 \times 10^{-1}$) $Q_{air}= 5.24$ ml/min ($We_{air} = 4.37 \times 10^{-4}$) for 0.3 wt/wt % of water+SDS mixture. In this and all subsequent images, gas bubbles/slugs are seen in yellow. (b) Effect of $Ca_{oil(1^{st}T)}$ on point pressure at 1 st T-junction	130
5.5	Predicted formation dynamics of a air bubble at 1 st T-junction for $Q_{oil}=15$ ml/min ($Ca_{oil(1^{st}T)} = 0.0132$), $Q_{water}= 2.76$ ml/min ($We_{water} = 4.54 \times 10^{-1}$) $Q_{air}= 5.24$ ml/min ($We_{air} = 4.37 \times 10^{-4}$) for 0.3 wt/wt % of water+SDS mixture.	132
5.6	(a) Predicted formation dynamics of a water slug at the 2 nd T-junction (a) 0.3 wt/wt% and (b) 2 wt/wt% water+SDS mixture ($Q_{oil}= 5$ ml/min ($Ca_{oil(2^{nd}T)} = 0.020, 0.036$), $Q_{water}= 2.76$ ml/min ($We_{water} = 0.454, 0.757$) $Q_{air}= 5.24$ ml/min ($We_{air} = 4.37 \times 10^{-4}$) In this and all subsequent images, air bubbles/slugs are seen in yellow color and water drops/slugs are seen in blue color.	134
5.7	Pressure flutuations at the at 2 nd T-junction	135
5.8	(a) Predicted formation dynamics of a water slug at the 2 nd T-junction (a) 0.3 wt/wt% and (b) 2 wt/wt% water+SDS mixture ($Q_{oil}= 15$ ml/min ($Ca_{oil(2^{nd}T)} = 0.060, 1.01$), $Q_{water}= 2.76$ ml/min ($We_{water} = 0.454, 0.757$) $Q_{air}= 5.24$ ml/min ($We_{air} = 4.37 \times 10^{-4}$).	138
5.9	A comparison of experimental and predicted flow regimes ($Q_{water}= 2.76$ ml/min; $Q_{air} = 5.42$ ml/min, 0.3 wt/wt % of water-SDS mixture) (a) $Q_{oil}=15$; (b) $Q_{oil}=10$; (c) $Q_{oil}=5$; (d) $Q_{oil} =3$ (all in ml/min)	140

5.10	A comparison of experimental and predicted flow regimes ($Q_{water}= 2.76$ ml/min; $Q_{air} = 5.42$ ml/min, 2 wt/wt % of water–SDS mixture) (a) $Q_{oil} = 15$; (b) $Q_{oil}=10$; (c) $Q_{oil}=5$; (d) $Q_{oil} = 3$ (all in ml/min)	141
5.11	3D view of predicted flow regimes ($Q_{water}= 2.76$ ml/min; $Q_{air} = 5.42$ ml/min, 0.3 wt/wt % of water–SDS mixture) (a) $Q_{oil} = 15$; (b) $Q_{oil}=10$; (c) $Q_{oil}=5$; (d) $Q_{oil} = 3$ (all in ml/min).	142
5.12	3D view of predicted flow regimes ($Q_{water}= 2.76$ ml/min; $Q_{air} = 5.42$ ml/min, 2 wt/wt % of water–SDS mixture) (a) $Q_{oil} = 15$; (b) $Q_{oil}=10$; (c) $Q_{oil}=5$; (d) $Q_{oil} = 3$ (all in ml/min).	142
5.13	A comparison of predicted and measured lengths for of (a) air bubbles/slugs (b) water drop/slugs at fixed $Q_{water}= 2.76$ ml/min ($We_{water} = 4.54 \times 10^{-1}$ for 0.3 wt/wt% SDS mixture and 7.57×10^{-1} for 2 wt/wt% SDS mixture) and $Q_{air}= 5.24$ ml/min ($We_{air} = 4.37 \times 10^{-4}$).	144
5.14	A comparison of predicted and measured lengths for effect of We_{air} on (a) gas bubbles/slugs (b) water drop/slugs at fixed $Q_{water}= 2.76$ ml/min ($We_{water} = 4.54 \times 10^{-1}$ for 0.3 wt/wt% SDS mixture and 7.57×10^{-1} for 2 wt/wt% SDS mixture), and $Q_{oil}= 5$ and 15 ml/min ($Ca_{oil,(1^{st}T)} = 4.39 \times 10^{-3}$, 1.32×10^{-2} ; $Ca_{oil,2^{nd}T} = 2.02 \times 10^{-2}$, 6.05×10^{-2} for 0.3 wt/wt% SDS mixture and $Ca_{oil,2^{nd}T} = 3.36 \times 10^{-2}$, 1.01×10^{-1} for 2 wt/wt% SDS mixture)	147

5.15	A comparison of predicted and measured lengths for effect of We_{water} ($=4.31 \times 10^{-2} - 4.29$ for 0.3 wt/wt% SDS mixture and $7.18 \times 10^{-2} - 7.14$ for 2 wt/wt% SDS mixture) of (a) gas bubbles/slugs (b) water drop/slugs at fixed $Q_{air} = 5.24$ ml/min ($We_{air} = 4.37 \times 10^{-4}$) and $Q_{oil} = 5$ and 15 ml/min ($Ca_{oil,(1^{st}T)} = 4.39 \times 10^{-3}, 1.32 \times 10^{-2}$; $Ca_{oil,2^{nd}T} = 2.02 \times 10^{-2}, 6.05 \times 10^{-2}$ for 0.3 wt/wt% SDS mixture and $Ca_{oil,2^{nd}T} = 3.36 \times 10^{-2}, 1.01 \times 10^{-1}$ for 2 wt/wt% SDS mixture)	149
5.16	Predicted formation dynamics of air slug at 1 nd T-junction (a) D1 distributor and (b) D2 distributor ($Q_{oil} = 0.6$ ml/min ($Ca_{oil(1^{st}T)} = 2 \times 10^{-3}$), $Q_{air} = 0.5$ ml/min ($We_{air} = 1.36 \times 10^{-4}, 2.95 \times 10^{-5}$), $Q_{water} = 0.3$ ml/min ($We_{water} = 0.184, 3.99 \times 10^{-2}$), 0.3 wt/wt % of water-SDS mixture.	153
5.17	Predicted formation dynamics of water slug at 2 nd T-junction (a) T-Orientation-1 (b) T-Orientation-2 ($Q_{oil} = 0.6$ ml/min ($Ca_{oil(2^{nd}T)} = 2.55 \times 10^{-2}$), $Q_{air} = 0.5$ ml/min ($We_{air} = 1.36 \times 10^{-4}$), $Q_{water} = 0.3$ ml/min ($We_{water} = 0.184$), 0.3 wt/wt % of water-SDS mixture.	155
5.18	A predicted 3-D view of two Drop-Slug (2D-S) gas-liquid-liquid flow regime at $Q_{water} = 0.3$ ml/min, $Q_{air} = 0.5$ ml/min and $Q_{oil} = 0.6$ ml/min (0.3 wt/wt % of water-SDS mixture)	156
5.19	Effect of inlet distributors on dimensionless lengths of air and water slugs expressed in terms of $Ca_{oil(1^{st}T)}$ ($= 1.33 \times 10^{-3} - 2.67 \times 10^{-3}$) and $Ca_{oil(2^{nd}T)}$ ($= 6.13 \times 10^{-3} - 1.22 \times 10^{-2}$) for $Q_{oil} = 0.4 - 0.8$ ml/min, at fixed $Q_{water} = 0.3$ ml/min ($We_{water} = 0.1848$ and 0.0399) and $Q_{air} = 0.5$ ml/min ($We_{air} = 1.36 \times 10^{-4}$ and 2.95×10^{-5}), 0.3 wt/wt % of water-SDS mixture.	158

5.20	Effect of T-orientations on dimensionless lengths of air and water slugs expressed in terms of $Ca_{oil(1^{st}T)}$ ($= 1.85 \times 10^{-3} - 7.40 \times 10^{-3}$) and $Ca_{oil(2^{nd}T)}$ ($= 8.51 \times 10^{-3} - 3.40 \times 10^{-2}$) for $Q_{oil} = 0.2 - 0.8$ ml/min, at fixed $Q_{water} = 0.3$ ml/min ($We_{water} = 0.1848$ and 0.0399) and $Q_{air} = 0.5$ ml/min ($We_{air} = 1.36 \times 10^{-4}$ and 2.95×10^{-5}), 0.3 wt/wt % of water-SDS mixture. . . .	159
5.21	Effect of channel aspect ratios on dimensionless lengths for for $Q_{oil} = 0.4 - 0.8$ ml/min at fixed $Q_{water} = 0.3$ ml/min ($We_{water} = 0.1848$ and 0.0399) and $Q_{air} = 0.5$ ml/min ($We_{air} = 1.36 \times 10^{-4}$ and 2.95×10^{-5}), 0.3 wt/wt % of water-SDS mixture (a) air bubbles/slugs ($Ca_{oil(1^{st}T)}$ ($= 3.70 \times 10^{-3} - 7.40 \times 10^{-3}$ for CH2, $1.33 \times 10^{-3} - 2.67 \times 10^{-3}$ for CH1) (b) water drops/slugs ($Ca_{oil(2^{nd}T)}$ ($= 1.70 \times 10^{-2} - 3.41 \times 10^{-2}$ for CH2, $6.13 \times 10^{-3} - 1.23 \times 10^{-2}$ for CH1)	161

List of Tables

2.1	Physical properties of fluids	25
2.2	Surface/interfacial tension of fluids	26
2.3	Measured contact angles on PMMA surface	26
2.4	Microchannel dimensions	26
3.1	Distributor configurations used in present work	64
4.1	Summary of correlations for prediction of gas and liquid slug lengths available in the literature	94
4.2	Boundary conditions used in the present work	101
4.3	Discretization schemes used in present work	101
4.4	Effect of grid resolution on slug formation period and gas slug length . . .	105
4.5	Effect channel size on predicted gas slug length for air–ethanol flow in T–junction microchannel	112
4.6	Effect of gas–liquid distributor on predicted gas slug length for air–ethanol flow in T–junction microchannel	114
5.1	Flow rates and Dimensionless Numbers used in the present G/L/L simulations	128
5.2	Microchannel Configurations	152

Nomenclature

Notations

U_G	superficial velocity of gas phase, m/s
U_L	superficial velocity of liquid phase, m/s
Q_{water}	volumetric flowrate of water, m ³ /s
Q_{oil}	volumetric flowrate of oil, m ³ /s
d_h	hydraulic diameter, mm
Ca	capillary number, ($Ca = \mu * U / \sigma$)
Ca_{oil}	capillary number for oil phase-defined at 1 st T-junction as $Ca_{oil} = \mu_{oil}U_{oil}/\sigma_{oil-oil}$ and at the 2 nd T-junction as $Ca_{oil} =$ $\mu_{oil}U_{oil}/\sigma_{water-oil}$
A_{GI}	cross sectional area of gas inlet distributor, mm ²
A_{ch}	cross sectional area of channel, mm ²
we_{water}	weber number of water, ($we_{water} =$ $d_h U_{water}^2 \rho_{water}) / \sigma_{water-oil}$)

we_{air}	weber number of air, ($we_{air} = d_h U_{air}^2 \rho_{air} / \sigma_{air-oil}$)
ρ_{air}	density of air
$\rho_{air-oil}$	density of air and oil, kg/m ³
d	diameter of channel, m
D	channel depth, m
w	channel width, m
H	channel height, m
L	length of main channel/solution domain, m
F	frequency of bubble of slug formation, 1/s
T	bubble formation period, s
R	curvature
g	gravitational constant, m/s ²
n	surface normal vector
P	pressure, Pa
t	time, s
U	superficial velocity, m/s
u	mean liquid velocity, m/s
Re	Reynolds number ($= \rho * d * U / \mu$)
W_i	gas inlet

Greek letters

α	volume fraction, dimensionless
----------	--------------------------------

μ	molecular viscosity, Pa.s
θ	contact angle
ρ	density, kg/m ³
σ	surface tension, N/m
ϕ	line constant
ε	gas hold-up or volumetric fraction
Υ	ratio of gas inlet width and channel width
β	geometrical angle of entry of gas in main channel at junction

Subscripts

g, G, Air	gas phase
l, L, liquid	liquid phase
oil	oil phase
B	bubble
a	axial curvature
r	radial curvature
L	laplace
Ch	channel
GI	gas inlet
GI	gas inlet distributor
air–oil	air and oil

Acronyms

SDS	Sodium Dodecyl Sulfate
PMMA	Polymethyl methacrylate
VOF	Volume-of-Fluid
OpenFOAM	Open Field Operation and Manipulation