

DELINEATION OF FACTORS RELATED
TO EUPSYCHIAN-MANAGEMENT

BY

SAVITA KUMARI

DEPARTMENT OF

HUMANITIES AND SOCIAL SCIENCES

THESIS

SUBMITTED

IN FULFILMENT OF

THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE DEGREE OF

DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

TO THE

INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, DELHI

JULY, 1980

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author is very grateful to Dr. (Mrs.) Purnima Mathur, Head, Department of Humanities & Social Sciences, I.I.T., Delhi for her invaluable guidance and interest in the present investigation. She also expresses her deep sense of gratitude to Dr. B.N. Mukherjee, Director, Council for Social Development, Delhi for his kind help and valuable suggestions especially in statistical analysis.

The author takes this opportunity to express her thanks to her friends and colleagues for their co-operation and help in the preparation of thesis.

She would fail in her duty if she does not extend her thanks to staff of Computer Center I.I.T., Delhi and Data Processing Center, Council for Social Development, Delhi for their kind co-operation and help during data processing.

Sincere thanks are due to the factory managers and engineers of the Textile factories of Kanpur for their co-operation in the data collection. She also thanks Mr. U.S. Misra for the flawless and neat typing and miscellaneous help in the preparation of this thesis.

New Delhi
July 10, 1980

SAVITA KUMARI

A B S T R A C T

The present study aimed at delineating factors favoring eupsychian management in Indian industry. The concept of eupsychian management was derived from Maslow's Z theory of motivation.

Twenty hypotheses were tested to explore the relationships of two demographic variables (age and education) and eight organizational factors (income, position, levels of superior positions existing above the position, levels of subordinate positions existing below the position, length of service, personal belief system, attitude towards change and organizational climate, *three dimensions of Eupsychian Management (Time-Competence, Inner directedness and self-actualizing values)*) A random sample of 200 engineers and supervisors was selected from five private textile industries at Kanpur in India. Data were collected through a set of inventories and analysed using univariate, bivariate, and multivariate statistical techniques.

It was found that age, education and length of service affected growth toward eupsychian management not directly but through the mediation of belief system, bureaucratic-orientation and attitude toward change. Multivariate analysis of data showed that income hierarchical position, belief system, attitude toward change and bureaucratic-orientation significantly influenced the eupsychian growth of the selected industries.

The results suggest that the management facilitates employees' time-competence and inner-directedness to the exclusion of their self-actualizing values. Though the management functions at the level of theory Y, it has yet to reach the level of theory Z.

C O N T E N T S

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

ABSTRACT

LIST OF TABLES

Chapter I :	INTRODUCTION	
	Statement of the Problem and the Factors influencing Eupsychian Management	1-4
	A Casual Model of Eupsychian Management	6
	Review of the Literature	5-19
II	THEORIES RELATING TO EUPSYCHIAN MANAGEMENT	
	Rabble Hypothesis	20-21
	Immaturity-Maturity Theory	21-22
	Motivation-Hygiene Theory	22-25
	Theory X-Theory Y	25-28
	Theory Z (theory of meta-moti- vation)	29-35
III	DESCRIPTION OF THE VARIABLES AND DEVELOPMENT OF HYPOTHESES	
	Background Variables: Age	36-37
	Education	37-38
	Objective indices of: Income organization	38-39
	Position	39-40
	Levels of superior positions above the position	41
	Levels of subordinate positions below the position	42
	Length of service	42

	Personality Variables: Personal Belief-System	43-46
	Attitude towards change	46-48
	Organizational climate	48-51
IV	Instruments and Design of study over all design of the study	52-53
	Personal Orientation Inventory	53-61
	Personal Opinion Scale	61-62
	Work Environment Preference Schedule	62-64
	Self Opinion Scale	64-65
	Questionnaire on Background Information	65-66
V	THE DEVELOPMENT OF SELF OPINION SCALE	
	INTRODUCTION	67-70
	Development of the questionnaire	70-74
	Factor-analysis of the items	74-77
	Administration of questionnaire and scoring	78
VI	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	
	Hypothesis 1	81-85
	Hypothesis 2	85
	Hypothesis 3	85-89
	Hypothesis 4	89
	Hypothesis 5	89-93
	Hypothesis 6	93-95
	Hypothesis 7	95-99
	Hypothesis 8	99-102
	Hypothesis 9	103-105
	Hypothesis 10	105

	Hypothesis 11	105-106
	Hypothesis 12	107
	Hypothesis 13	107
	Hypothesis 14	108
	Hypothesis 15	108-111
	Hypothesis 16	111-113
	Hypothesis 17	113-115
	Hypothesis 18	116
	Hypothesis 19	116-118
	Hypothesis 20	118-119
VII	SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS	120-122
VIII	IMPLICATIONS	123-125
	REFERENCES	
	APPENDICES	
	BRIEF BIO DATA	