

**DEVELOPMENT OF AN INTEGRATED GPR-BIM FRAMEWORK
FOR MODELING UNDERGROUND SPACES**

ANIKESH PAUL



**DEPARTMENT OF CIVIL & ENVIRONMENTAL ENGINEERING
INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY DELHI**

FEBRUARY 2026

© Indian Institute of Technology Delhi (IITD), New Delhi, 2026

**DEVELOPMENT OF AN INTEGRATED GPR-BIM FRAMEWORK
FOR MODELING UNDERGROUND SPACES**

by

ANIKESH PAUL

Department of Civil & Environmental Engineering

Submitted

in fulfilment of the requirements of the degree of

Doctor of Philosophy

to the



INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY DELHI

FEBRUARY 2026

Dedicated to my

Parents, wife, teachers and friends

CERTIFICATE

This is to certify that the thesis entitled “**Development of an Integrated GPR-BIM Framework for Modeling Underground Spaces**”, submitted by Mr. Anikesh Paul (Entry No. 2020CEZ8406) to the Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Indian Institute of Technology Delhi for the fulfilment of the requirements of the award of the degree of Doctor of Philosophy is a bonafide record of the research work carried out by him. He has worked under my supervision and guidance and has fulfilled the requirements for the submission of this thesis, which, to my knowledge, has reached the requisite standard. The contents of this thesis, in full or in parts, have not been submitted to any other University or Institute for the award of any degree or diploma.

Uma Jayapal

Dr. Uma Maheswari Jayapal

(Supervisor)

Senior Lecturer in CPM,
School of Civil Engg. & Built Environment
Liverpool John Moores University
Liverpool – L3 5AH, United Kingdom



Dr. Ramanathan Ayothiraman

(Supervisor)

Professor (HAG),
Dept. of Civil & Environmental Engg.
Indian Institute of Technology Tirupati
Andhra Pradesh – 517619, India

Date: 27-02-2026

Place: IIT Delhi

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First and foremost, I would like to thank Almighty Sri Sri Thakur Anukul Chandra for guiding me through his man-making principles on self-development, gratitude, inner peace, healthy attitudes, and overall well-being.

I sincerely thank my supervisors, Dr Ramanathan Ayothiraman and Dr Uma Maheswari Jayapal, whose exceptional mentorship, constant encouragement, and profound insights have shaped not only this research but also my academic and personal growth. Their unwavering support, constructive feedback, and generous time investment have been a source of inspiration at every step of this doctoral journey. They provided me the flexibility to pursue various aspects of subsurface modeling and sculpt my way. Their guidance was invaluable during the research and writing of this thesis. They will remain my lifelong mentor. I would like to extend my sincere appreciation to Dr. Sahil Garg for the considerable time and effort he devoted as a caretaker supervisor during the end of my PhD proceedings. I am also grateful to the members of my Student Research Committee, Prof. A. K. Nema and Prof. Bappaditya Manna, Department of Civil Engineering, and Prof. P. V. M. Rao, Department of Design, for their insightful suggestions throughout the course of my research. Their thoughtful critique helped refine my ideas and strengthen the outcome of this work.

The data collection for this research would not have been possible without the kind support of specialists from Guideline Geo, a geophysics and geo-technology firm. Mr Vijay Upreti and his entire team of professionals collaborated in a GPR survey demo and allowing me to attend site surveys and explaining the various aspects of GPR investigation using AI. I am grateful to my previous employer, M/s Larsen and Toubro Ltd. for their permission and support during the site visits to Amritsar, Indore and Delhi at various underground project sites. Also, thanks to Alborz India Engineering Services Pvt. Ltd., a third-party

Geotechnical survey firm for sharing their experience and data at Amritsar water supply site. All their experiences and discussions provided me with generous assistance in case study data and information.

Venugopal Mahajan, Jegan GS, Arathi KV, Ashwinth Raj, Kaushik Das, Upendra Modalavalasa, Murali, Sakshi Katiyar, Sarangi, Priyanka Parashar, Arun Sekhar, and Shanmugaraj S are among my excellent colleagues who have provided me with fresh ideas and challenging discussions during my PhD tenure. Whether it was the long hours spent in the lab, lively coffee breaks at Nescafe, celebrating birthdays and festivals with cake cuttings, home-cooked food and snacks from hometown visits, or exchanging thoughtful gifts during special occasions, these moments created a strong bond and made the research environment feel like home. The international conferences at IISc Bangalore (ICoRD 2023), IIT Madras (ISARC 2023), RICS Delhi (ICSBE 2023) and Indian Geotechnical Conference at MIT, Aurangabad (IGC 2024) exposed me to world-class research and broadened my horizons as a researcher.

I want to express my appreciation to Late Prof. K. C. Iyer and Dr Uma Maheswari Jayapal for creating a wonderful working environment at the Construction Management Lab. Not all department scholars have access to such a personalised workspace. My sincere thanks go to the Head of the Department of Civil Engineering and the cheerful staff at the department office, especially Mr. Randhir Jha for addressing all my queries promptly. Their consistent administrative support and assistance during various formal procedures played a vital role in the smooth functioning of my research work. My tiny single room in Katwaria Sarai, with not so amazing facilities, served as my adobe for three years post-covid. I also thank the IIT Delhi management for a pleasant stay at the campus.

Most importantly, I express my eternal gratitude to my parents, Mrs. Mahua Paul and Mr. Rabindra Nath Paul, for their unconditional love, lifelong sacrifices, and unwavering moral support. Their faith in my abilities, their silent prayers, and their constant encouragement have been the foundation upon which I built this journey. I am forever thankful to my in-laws Mr. Sanat Kumar Hazra, Mrs. Kanchan Hazra and Ms. Sridipta Hazra for their consistent support and encouragement throughout my studies. To my beloved wife, Sangita Hazra, I would like to express my appreciation for her unwavering devotion, home-cooked food and sense of humour, which never fails to brighten me up. Her presence brought renewed energy, emotional balance, and reassurance when I needed it the most. Her understanding, patience, and quiet encouragement during a particularly intense phase of work helped me stay focused and grounded. I look forward to continuing life's journey with her, with immense gratitude for the support she offered at such a crucial juncture.

To each person who has been part of this journey in ways both big and small, **Thank you**. Your contributions have not only made this research possible but have also left an indelible mark on my personal and academic growth.

Anikesh Paul

ABSTRACT

With the global rise in urban sprawl and subsequent land scarcity, efficient underground space utilization has become critical. This is particularly true for developing nations witnessing rapid underground infrastructure growth, driven by flagship initiatives and substantial public investment. However, underground infrastructure development faces persistent challenges from unpredictable subsurface conditions and undocumented underground utilities, often worsened by outdated records and fragmented spatial databases. Even where records exist, it becomes difficult to visualize the 3D details from the 2D maps. Conventional investigation methods like borehole drilling are also often inadequate for large-scale projects.

This study advocates for the use of non-destructive geophysical techniques, particularly Ground Penetrating Radar (GPR) to enhance subsurface diagnostics. While GPR is well-established for non-invasive utility detection and soil stratification, its standalone capabilities in 3D spatial modeling, data integration and decision support hinder its broader application. To address these gaps, the objective of this study is to develop an integrated framework combining GPR with Building Information Modeling (BIM) and Global Positioning System (GPS) technologies to enhance underground diagnostics and digital utility management through experimental case study approach. This integration supports geo-visualization-based planning, early clash detection, confident execution of earthwork activities, and the creation of a long-term digital repository to inform future infrastructure development.

The research begins with a systematic review of last 25 years of literature, identifying key challenges in GPR-based subsurface investigations for underground utility detection and subsurface profiling. Based on these findings, a GPR implementation challenge–solution taxonomy is proposed to address key technical and operational barriers.

Building on this, the study further develops an integrated GPR–BIM framework through experimental studies. The framework is automated using Dynamo scripts within Autodesk Civil 3D for incorporation of GPR data into BIM, and LLM-enhanced machine learning algorithms for the automatic identification and classification of utilities, thus minimizing manual errors and improving scalability. From analysis, the LLM-based model yields a high F1-score of 78.39% for hyperbola identification, and average accuracy close to 75% for hyperbola classification based on utility material.

The framework’s performance is further evaluated through four case studies with varied geotechnical conditions and utility complexities. Results show a maximum data acquisition error of 7%, demonstrating a high reliability in utility detection and geospatial accuracy. A qualitative sensitivity analysis further assesses the impact of site-specific variables and modeling parameters on detection accuracy, integration efficiency, and decision-making effectiveness.

The study concludes with a future roadmap, emphasizing opportunities in multi-sensor data fusion, advanced machine learning, IoT integration, and trade-off strategies. These insights aim to guide the evolution of a holistic and scalable approach to underground space diagnostics and planning. By presenting a robust digital framework for underground investigation and planning, this research contributes to the domains of geotechnical engineering and digital construction, supporting improved visualization, documentation, and execution of complex urban infrastructure projects.

Keywords: *Ground Penetrating Radar (GPR), Building Information Modeling (BIM), 3D Underground modeling, Integrated GPR-BIM Framework, underground utility mapping, subsurface profiling, Geotechnical BIM, Digital Automation.*

सार

शहरी फैलाव में वैश्विक वृद्धि और उसके बाद भूमि की कमी के साथ, कुशल भूमिगत स्थान का उपयोग महत्वपूर्ण हो गया है। यह विशेष रूप से विकासशील देशों के लिए सच है जो तेजी से भूमिगत बुनियादी ढांचे के विकास को देख रहे हैं, जो प्रमुख पहलों और पर्याप्त सार्वजनिक निवेश द्वारा संचालित है। हालांकि, भूमिगत बुनियादी ढांचे के विकास को अप्रत्याशित उपसतह स्थितियों और अनिर्दिष्ट भूमिगत उपयोगिताओं से लगातार चुनौतियों का सामना करना पड़ता है, जो अक्सर पुराने रिकॉर्ड और खंडित स्थानिक डेटाबेस से खराब हो जाते हैं। यहां तक कि जहां अभिलेख मौजूद हैं, वहां भी 2-डी मानचित्रों से 3-डी विवरणों की कल्पना करना मुश्किल हो जाता है। बोरहोल ड्रिलिंग जैसे पारंपरिक जांच तरीके भी अक्सर बड़े पैमाने पर परियोजनाओं के लिए अपर्याप्त होते हैं।

यह अध्ययन गैर-विनाशकारी भूभौतिकीय तकनीकों, विशेष रूप से ग्राउंड पेनेट्रेटिंग रडार (जी. पी. आर.) के उपयोग की वकालत करता है ताकि उपसतह निदान को बढ़ाया जा सके। जबकि जीपीआर गैर-आक्रामक उपयोगिता का पता लगाने और मिट्टी के स्तरीकरण के लिए अच्छी तरह से स्थापित है, 3डी स्थानिक मॉडलिंग, डेटा एकीकरण और निर्णय समर्थन में इसकी स्वतंत्र क्षमताएं इसके व्यापक अनुप्रयोग में बाधा डालती हैं। इन कमियों को दूर करने के लिए, इस अध्ययन का उद्देश्य प्रायोगिक केस स्टडी दृष्टिकोण के माध्यम से भूमिगत निदान और डिजिटल उपयोगिता प्रबंधन को बढ़ाने के लिए बिल्डिंग इंफॉर्मेशन मॉडलिंग (बीआईएम) और ग्लोबल पोजिशनिंग सिस्टम (जीपीएस) प्रौद्योगिकियों के साथ जीपीआर को मिलाकर एक एकीकृत ढांचा विकसित करना है। यह एकीकरण भू-दृश्य-आधारित योजना, जल्दी टकराव का पता लगाने, मिट्टी की गतिविधियों के आत्मविश्वास निष्पादन और भविष्य के बुनियादी ढांचे के विकास को सूचित करने के लिए एक दीर्घकालिक डिजिटल भंडार के निर्माण का समर्थन करता है।

अनुसंधान पिछले 25 वर्षों के साहित्य की एक व्यवस्थित समीक्षा के साथ शुरू होता है, जिसमें भूमिगत उपयोगिता का पता लगाने और उपसतह प्रोफाइलिंग के लिए जीपीआर-आधारित उपसतह जांच में प्रमुख चुनौतियों की पहचान की जाती है। इन निष्कर्षों के आधार पर, प्रमुख तकनीकी और परिचालन बाधाओं को दूर करने के लिए एक जीपीआर कार्यान्वयन चुनौती-समाधान वर्गीकरण का प्रस्ताव किया

गया है। इसके आधार पर, अध्ययन आगे प्रयोगात्मक अध्ययनों के माध्यम से एक एकीकृत जीपीआर-बीआईएम ढांचा विकसित करता है। बीआईएम में जीपीआर डेटा को शामिल करने के लिए ऑटोडेस्क सिविल 3-डी के भीतर डायनेमो स्क्रिप्ट का उपयोग करके फ्रेमवर्क को स्वचालित किया जाता है, और उपयोगिताओं की स्वचालित पहचान और वर्गीकरण के लिए एलएलएम-वर्धित मशीन लर्निंग एल्गोरिदम, इस प्रकार मैनुअल त्रुटियों को कम करता है और मापनीयता में सुधार करता है। विश्लेषण से, LLM-आधारित मॉडल हाइपरबोला पहचान के लिए 78.39% का उच्च F1-स्कोर देता है, और उपयोगिता सामग्री के आधार पर हाइपरबोला वर्गीकरण के लिए औसत सटीकता 75% के करीब है।

विभिन्न भू-तकनीकी स्थितियों और उपयोगिता जटिलताओं के साथ चार मामलों के अध्ययन के माध्यम से ढांचे के प्रदर्शन का मूल्यांकन किया जाता है। परिणाम 7% की अधिकतम डेटा अधिग्रहण त्रुटि दिखाते हैं, उपयोगिता का पता लगाने और भू-स्थानिक सटीकता में उच्च विश्वसनीयता का प्रदर्शन करते हैं। एक गुणात्मक संवेदनशीलता विश्लेषण आगे पता लगाने की सटीकता, एकीकरण दक्षता और निर्णय लेने की प्रभावशीलता पर साइट-विशिष्ट चर और मॉडलिंग मापदंडों के प्रभाव का आकलन करता है।

अध्ययन भविष्य के रोडमैप के साथ समाप्त होता है, जिसमें मल्टी-सेंसर डेटा फ्यूजन, उन्नत मशीन लर्निंग, आईओटी एकीकरण और ट्रेड-ऑफ रणनीतियों में अवसरों पर जोर दिया जाता है। इन अंतर्दृष्टि का उद्देश्य भूमिगत अंतरिक्ष निदान और योजना के लिए एक समग्र और स्केलेबल दृष्टिकोण के विकास का मार्गदर्शन करना है। भूमिगत जांच और योजना के लिए एक मजबूत डिजिटल ढांचा प्रस्तुत करके, यह शोध भू-तकनीकी इंजीनियरिंग और डिजिटल निर्माण के क्षेत्र में योगदान देता है, जो जटिल शहरी बुनियादी ढांचा परियोजनाओं के बेहतर दृश्य, प्रलेखन और निष्पादन का समर्थन करता है।

मुख्य शब्द: ग्राउंड पेनेट्रेटिंग रडार (जीपीआर), बिल्डिंग इंफॉर्मेशन मॉडलिंग (बीआईएम), 3-डी अंडरग्राउंड मॉडलिंग, इंटीग्रेटेड जीपीआर-बीआईएम फ्रेमवर्क, अंडरग्राउंड यूटिलिटी मैपिंग, सबसर्फेस प्रोफाइलिंग, जियोटेक्निकल बीआईएम, डिजिटल ऑटोमेशन।

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CERTIFICATE	i
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	ii
ABSTRACT	v
LIST OF FIGURES	xii
LIST OF TABLES	xviii
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xix
CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Background.....	1
1.2 Urbanization and land use planning.....	1
1.3 Underground infrastructure planning.....	2
1.4 Global initiatives and current practices in underground project planning.....	5
1.5 Motivation for the study and Problem statement.....	10
1.6 Research objectives, scope and brief methodology	12
1.7 Research contribution statement.....	14
1.8 Organization of the Thesis	15
CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW	17
2.1 Introduction.....	17
2.2 Subsurface investigation methods.....	17
2.3 Building Information Modeling (BIM).....	26
2.4 Geographic Information System (GIS).....	32
2.5 Data Interoperability	37
2.6 Systematic Literature Review (SLR).....	44
2.6.1 Bibliometric Analysis.....	47
2.6.2 Scientometric Analysis.....	53
2.7 Literature Synthesis.....	60

2.8	Summary.....	65
CHAPTER 3: RESEARCH OBJECTIVES AND METHODOLOGY		66
3.1	Introduction.....	66
3.2	Problem statement.....	66
3.3	Detailed research objectives	67
3.4	Research scope.....	68
3.5	Research methodology.....	68
3.6	Summary.....	75
CHAPTER 4: GEOPHYSICAL TECHNIQUE SELECTION FRAMEWORK.....		76
4.1	Introduction.....	76
4.2	Data collection through site visits.....	76
4.3	Global codal provisions for underground investigations	78
4.4	Identification of critical factors.....	80
4.5	Development of applicability matrix	81
4.6	Mathematical formalization of the Reliability Index (RI).....	85
4.7	Testing of proposed framework	89
4.8	Summary.....	91
CHAPTER 5: EXPERIMENTAL STUDY AND DATA PROCESSING		93
5.1	Introduction.....	93
5.2	Existing research focus on GPR challenges.....	93
5.3	Data collection-experimental studies	102
5.4	GPR data processing.....	111
5.5	Generation of 3D GPR radargram	128
5.6	Summary.....	131
CHAPTER 6: INTEGRATED GPR-BIM FRAMEWORK		132
6.1	Introduction.....	132
6.2	Existing literature on GPR data feeding into BIM.....	132

6.3	Concept of Geotechnical BIM	133
6.4	Integrated GPR-BIM framework development	135
6.5	Automation of the proposed framework	153
6.5.1	Automating data transfer to BIM using Dynamo plug-in	153
6.5.2	Automating GPR data interpretation using LLM based ML model.....	156
6.6	Summary	180
CHAPTER 7: VALIDATION OF FRAMEWORK AND APPLICABILITY		
TESTING.....		182
7.1	Introduction.....	182
7.2	Multiple site selection for experimentation	182
7.3	Caselet I – Horticulture zone, IIT Delhi campus	183
7.4	Caselet II – Bulk Water Supply Project, Amritsar, India	188
7.5	Caselet III – Nalanda Ground, IIT Delhi campus	194
7.6	Caselet IV – Engineering Block Road (non-gridded survey)	196
7.7	Statistical error analysis and uncertainty quantification	179
7.8	Impact of critical parameters on framework performance.....	203
7.9	Modified GPR-BIM framework	217
7.10	Summary	220
CHAPTER 8: CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS.....		221
8.1	Thesis summary	221
8.2	Major conclusions	223
8.3	Research contributions.....	224
8.4	Limitations of the study and future scope.....	226
REFERENCES.....		232
APPENDICES		259
LIST OF PUBLICATIONS BASED ON THESIS.....		269
CURRICULUM VITAE.....		270

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1: Generalized methodology for design of water pipeline projects.....	9
Figure 1.2: Generalized Methodology for design of underground tunnels/metros.....	10
Figure 1.3: Brief Research Methodology.....	14
Figure 2.1: Comparison of applicability of various geophysical techniques.....	25
Figure 2.2: Bibliometric network for BIM in underground (Huang <i>et al.</i> , 2021).....	28
Figure 2.3: Overview of GIS functioning.....	32
Figure 2.4: Query performed on Scopus and Web of Science database.....	46
Figure 2.5: Article retrieval through PRISMA methodology.....	47
Figure 2.6: Country-wise article publications.....	49
Figure 2.7: Year-wise publication trend for 157 articles.....	49
Figure 2.8: Article distribution by research type.....	50
Figure 2.9: Keyword Co-occurrence analysis (network visualization).....	51
Figure 2.10: Document co-citation analysis (overlay visualization).....	52
Figure 2.11: Overall trend of GPR in UU Mapping and SS Profiling.....	54
Figure 2.12: Advancement of various antennas for GPR applications.....	54
Figure 2.13: Trend for GPR integration with other assisting techniques.....	55
Figure 2.14: Trend for incorporation of AI/ML based algorithms.....	56
Figure 2.15: Categorization of challenges in UU Detection and SS profiling using GPR.....	58
Figure 2.16: Adoption trend of Machine Learning in study domain.....	59
Figure 2.17: Benefits of Digitization.....	65
Figure 3.1: Proposed problem-solution concept.....	70
Figure 3.2: Overall research methodology.....	71
Figure 3.3: Research methodology for Objective#1.....	73
Figure 3.4: Research design for Objective#2.....	74

Figure 3.5: Methodological approach for Objective#3.....	75
Figure 4.1: Site data collection methodology.....	77
Figure 4.2: GPR survey during site visit.....	77
Figure 4.3: Methodology for technique selection framework development.....	78
Figure 4.4: Developed applicability matrix.....	84
Figure 4.5: Linguistic matrix of applicability.....	85
Figure 4.6: Derived numeric matrix.....	87
Figure 4.7: Evaluation Step 2 and Step 3 matrices	87
Figure 4.8: Evaluation Step 4 and Step 5 matrices.....	88
Figure 4.9: Reliability index output (snippet from Excel VBA framework).....	89
Figure 4.10: Evaluation of Reliability Index	90
Figure 5.1: GPR Challenge-Solution framework based on available literature.....	102
Figure 5.2: Data acquisition and processing methodology	103
Figure 5.3: Location of experimental test sites in IIT Delhi.....	104
Figure 5.4: Actual view of the test Site-A.....	104
Figure 5.5: Demonstration of grid marking adopted at test Site-A.....	105
Figure 5.6: GPR equipment setup for investigation	106
Figure 5.7: REFLEX-W Software Interface.	108
Figure 5.8: Data Import module and plot options in ReflexW	109
Figure 5.9: GPR raw data upon importing in ReflexW.....	110
Figure 5.10: Preliminary GPR radargram highlighted with possible utility locations....	110
Figure 5.11: Dewowing process under various scenarios (ReflexW manual).....	112
Figure 5.12: Time zero correction process (ReflexW manual).....	113
Figure 5.13: Time varying gain on a synthetic dataset (ReflexW manual).....	114
Figure 5.14: Clutter reduction process (ReflexW manual).....	115

Figure 5.15: Trace header data in topographic correction (ReflexW manual).....	117
Figure 5.16: Topographic correction (ReflexW manual).....	118
Figure 5.17: Time-depth conversion using constant velocity (ReflexW manual).....	119
Figure 5.18: Deconvolution using synthetic radargram (ReflexW manual).....	121
Figure 5.19: Dynamic correction applied on collected data.....	122
Figure 5.20: Time zero correction on collected data.....	123
Figure 5.21: Background noise removal on raw GPR data.....	124
Figure 5.22: 1-D Filtering applied on raw GPR data.....	124
Figure 5.23: Gain adjustment processing.....	125
Figure 5.24: Velocity mapping in ReflexW.....	126
Figure 5.25: Final velocity adaptation in ReflexW.....	126
Figure 5.26: Migration algorithm on collected data.....	127
Figure 5.27: Final GPR 2D radargram after post-processing.....	127
Figure 5.28: Rainbow plot highlighting most possible utility locations.....	128
Figure 5.29: Identified utility pipelines in final radargram.....	129
Figure 5.30: GPR radargram slicing in both directions.....	129
Figure 5.31: 3D GPR block generation process.....	130
Figure 5.32: Snippets of generated CSV files.....	131
Figure 6.1: Workflow of 3D and 4D Geotechnical BIM (Fabozzi <i>et al.</i> , 2021).....	139
Figure 6.2: Software Interface – Civil 3D and Geotechnical modeler	142
Figure 6.3: Utility and surface topography model development	143
Figure 6.4: Utility and surface topography model in Civil 3D	143
Figure 6.5: Import process of CSV files for sub-surface development	144
Figure 6.6: 3D Subsurface model along with contours in Civil 3D	145

Figure 6.7: Flowchart for underground modeling using Geotechnical Modeler	146
Figure 6.8: Final underground 3D model for the case study	148
Figure 6.9: Site photograph depicting presence of metallic water line.....	149
Figure 6.10: Tolerance mapping considering the safety margin.....	151
Figure 6.11: Proposed GPR-BIM framework for underground space modeling	152
Figure 6.12: Automation in BIM through Dynamo - Interface	153
Figure 6.13: Dynamo algorithm for automating data feeding in BIM (Part I).....	156
Figure 6.14: Dynamo algorithm for automating data feeding in BIM (Part II).....	156
Figure 6.15: Sample Processed and annotated training dataset.....	160
Figure 6.16: Output of hyperbola detection algorithm for selected radargram images...	163
Figure 6.17: LLM Framework supported with domain knowledge.....	162
Figure 6.18: Stage-wise results from the LLM framework.....	168
Figure 6.19: Confusion matrix for experiment sites A to D.....	171
Figure 6.20: Process of LLM-based hyperbola classification.....	155
Figure 6.21: Confusion matrix for utility classification.....	177
Figure 6.22: Prompt–Output–Validation workflow for LLM-assisted hyperbola detection and classification in GPR-based underground utility mapping.....	178
Figure 7.1: Location details of Case Study I.....	184
Figure 7.2: Radargram illustrating GPR scan data and surface undulations	185
Figure 7.3: Radargram with detected soil profile demarcation.....	185
Figure 7.4: GPR radargrams showing complete data processing steps.....	186
Figure 7.5: Borehole study data of nearest available site (superseded report)	187
Figure 7.6: 3D BIM model for subsurface profile.....	187
Figure 7.7: Rendered surface topography and section profile for subsurface.....	187
Figure 7.8: GPR, Electromagnetic locator and transmitter details for the survey	189

Figure 7.9: Utility detected for the section approximately at 116m (Controller view) ...	168
Figure 7.10: 2D AutoCAD utility maps developed from GPR survey data	190
Figure 7.11: 3D subsurface model for Case Study II	192
Figure 7.12: Final 3D underground model (wireframe view).....	192
Figure 7.13: Section-cut view at 120m of utility alignment.....	193
Figure 7.14: Profile view of subsurface at various sections.....	193
Figure 7.15: Actual map and Google map denoting survey location.....	194
Figure 7.16: AI-based real-time detection of underground utilities using GPR.....	195
Figure 7.17: Correlation of AI-identified features with archival utility maps.....	196
Figure 7.18: Location details for Case Study IV.....	197
Figure 7.19: GPR radargram captured for Caselet IV.....	199
Figure 7.20: A close-up view indicating inverted hyperbolas and pavement scan.....	199
Figure 7.21: 3D model for road alignment and surface topography.....	199
Figure 7.22: Part 3D utility and topography model for Caselet IV.....	200
Figure 7.23: Error distribution across complete dataset.....	203
Figure 7.24: Radargram showing aberrations due to presence of moisture.....	205
Figure 7.25: Radargram without the presence of moisture in Horticulture Zone.....	206
Figure 7.26: Radargram with the presence of moisture in Block IV Lawn.....	206
Figure 7.27: Radargram without the presence of moisture in Block IV Lawn.....	207
Figure 7.28: Radargram for Block III 400 MHz – I (Non-technical staff).....	208
Figure 7.29: Radargram for Block III 400 MHz – II (Lab Technician).....	208
Figure 7.30: Radargram for Nalanda Ground Road 250 MHz (Professional).....	209
Figure 7.31: Radargram for Horticulture Zone 250 MHz (Non-technical staff).....	209
Figure 7.32: Difference induced in radargram by processing software.....	210

Figure 7.33: Difference in application of Gain adjustment process.....	211
Figure 7.34: Radargram with GPR antenna frequency of 250MHz.....	215
Figure 7.35: Radargram with GPR antenna frequency of 400MHz	216
Figure 7.36: Radargram with GPR antenna frequency of 1GHz.....	216
Figure 7.37: Modified GPR-BIM framework for underground modeling.....	219

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1.1: Key global public sector initiatives on underground investigation.....	6
Table 2.1: Disadvantages of conventional investigation techniques	18
Table 2.2: Summary of capabilities of major GPR OEMs.....	41
Table 2.3: Comparison of Key Literature in GPR-BIM Integration and ML-based Utility Detection.....	61
Table 4.1: Details of global standards on geophysical site investigations	79
Table 4.2: Benefits and challenges of various geophysical investigation techniques	82
Table 4.3: Significance of the attributes	84
Table 4.4: Input field conditions for the survey.....	90
Table 5.1: GPR reproducibility checklist for experimental studies.....	107
Table 6.1: Accuracy check on the utilities identified from GPR experiment.....	149
Table 6.2: Global Quality Level B based accuracy requirements	150
Table 6.3: Performance metrics for hyperbola detection with solo ML algorithm	161
Table 6.4: Average performance metrics for various LLM-infused ML algorithm	168
Table 6.5: Typical hyperbola characteristics for metallic and non-metallic utilities.....	175
Table 6.6: Average accuracy for hyperbola classification through LLM.....	176
Table 7.1: Caselet information for diverse scenarios of framework implementation.....	183
Table 7.2: Challenges faced during GPR/EML investigation at the site	190
Table 7.3: Accuracy calculations for utility/subsurface in Caselets	201
Table 7.4: Typical GPR antenna frequency range for various applications	213
Table 7.5: Typical GPR antenna frequencies for utility survey applications	213
Table 7.6: Major underground utilities encountered at site	214
Table 8.1: Mapping of research objectives to contributions.....	225

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

GDP	Gross Domestic Product
UU	Underground Utilities
HDPE	High Density Polyethylene
LDPE	Low Density Polyethylene
ASCE	American Society of Civil Engineers
SLA	Singapore Land Authority
CSA	Canadian Standards Association
NUAR	National Underground Asset Register
GPR	Ground Penetrating Radar
LiDAR	Light Detection and Ranging
BIM	Building Information Modeling
GIS	Geographic Information System
NSDI	National Spatial Data Infrastructure
NGDI	National Geospatial Data Infrastructure
MRTS	Mass Rapid Transit System
IoT	Internet of Things
RFID	Radio Frequency Identification
EMI	Electromagnetic Induction
MASW	Multichannel Analysis of Surface Waves
NBIMS	National Building Information Modelling Standard
BDS	Building Description System
O&M	Operations and Maintenance
CAD	Computer-Aided Design
AEC	Architecture, Engineering and Construction
RTK	Real Time Kinematic
GPS	Global Positioning System
DXF	Drawing eXchange Format
IGES	Initial Graphics Exchange Specification

IFC	Industry Foundation Class
LoD	Level of Development
NDT	Non-Destructive Testing
R-CNN	Region-based Convolutional Neural Network
SS	Subsurface
MTU	Mapping the Underworld
AI	Artificial Intelligence
ML	Machine Learning
UUL	Underground Utility Locating
CSV	Comma Separated Variable
VBA	Visual Basic Application
HOG	Histogram of Oriented Gradients
SVM	Support vector Machine
SLR	Systematic Literature Review
DNN	Deep Neural Network
DC	Direct Current
ASCII	American Standard Code for Information Interchange
S/N	Signal to Noise ratio
SPT	Standard Penetration Test
COGO	Co-ordinate Geometry
NNI	Natural Neighbour Interpolation
API	Application Programming Interface
ANN	Artificial Neural Network
ICT	Information and Communication Technology
MS	Mild Steel
DI	Ductile Iron
EML	Electromagnetic Cable Locator
MHz	Megahertz
GHz	Gigahertz