

**SEISMIC PERFORMANCE OF STRUCTURAL STEEL
REINFORCED CONCRETE TRANSFER
COLUMNS IN BUILDING FRAMES**

ABHISHEK JAIN



**DEPARTMENT OF CIVIL ENGINEERING
INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY DELHI**

JULY 2024

© Indian Institute of Technology Delhi (IITD), New Delhi, 2024

**SEISMIC PERFORMANCE OF STRUCTURAL STEEL
REINFORCED CONCRETE TRANSFER
COLUMNS IN BUILDING FRAMES**

by

ABHISHEK JAIN

DEPARTMENT OF CIVIL ENGINEERING

Submitted

**in fulfillment of the requirements of the degree of Doctor of Philosophy
to the**



INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY DELHI

JULY 2024

CERTIFICATE

This is to certify that the thesis entitled, “*Seismic Performance of Structural Steel Reinforced Concrete Transfer Columns in Building Frames*” being submitted by *Abhishek Jain* to the Indian Institute of Technology Delhi, for the award of the degree of *Doctor of Philosophy* is a bonafide record of research work carried out by him under my supervision and guidance. The thesis, in my opinion, has reached the requisite standard, fulfilling the requirements for the award of the degree of *Doctor of Philosophy*.

The research report and results presented in this thesis have not been submitted in part or full to any University or Institute for the award of any degree or diploma.



Prof. Dipti Ranjan Sahoo

Professor

Department of Civil Engineering

Indian Institute of Technology Delhi

Hauz Khas, New Delhi-110016 (INDIA)

Prof. A. K. Jain

Professor (retired)

Department of Civil Engineering

Indian Institute of Technology Delhi

Hauz Khas, New Delhi-110016 (INDIA)

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to express my deep and sincere gratitude to my supervisor, ***Prof. Dipti Ranjan Sahoo***, for his invaluable guidance, encouragement, and support. He always understood that I needed to contribute to my business along with my studies and supported me throughout this doctoral research journey. I am deeply indebted to ***Prof. Arvind Kumar Jain*** for accepting me as his student, motivating me throughout the doctoral research and financially supporting my research through available financial aid.

My appreciation also extends to the staff of the Structural Engineering laboratories, ***Mr. Nitin Chourasia and Mr. Biri Singh***, and other supporting staff who have helped me in the various stages of my experimental program. Moreover, I thank my entire research committee (***Prof. C. T. Dhanya, Prof. Alok Madan, and Prof. S. Pradyumna***) for their valuable suggestions that led me in the right direction. I am grateful to my dear friend, ***Dr. Gautham Anbumani***, for helping me throughout my research and inspiring me to follow this topic. He extended his unconditional support when I needed it the most. I thank ***Saurabh Sharma*** for his support for my experimental setup. I also thank my seniors, ***Dr. Deepak Yadav*** and ***Dr. Rahul Bhartiya***, for their advice and guidance on tackling the hurdles throughout my journey.

I am thankful to my parents, ***Dr. Vinod Jain*** and ***Mrs. Vimi Jain***, and my sister ***Rashika***, for supporting me in this journey and handling everything else so I can focus on my Ph.D. I thank my wife, ***Tishya***, for always believing in me and being patient throughout. Lastly, I would not have applied for this course if it were not for my best friend, ***Tushar Gupta***'s motivation. He was there for me all along this journey.

Abhishek Jain.

ABSTRACT

Concrete columns reinforced with structural steel, commonly known as steel-reinforced concrete (SRC) columns, are widely used in the construction of buildings and bridges because of their added advantages and benefits over conventional reinforced concrete (RC) and steel columns. Many buildings are now being built with SRC columns in some stories and RC columns in others. In such buildings, “transfer stories” consist of hybrid SRC-RC columns that are made up of partial SRC and partial RC sections along their heights. These transfer stories are prone to shear failure due to sudden changes in load bearing and stiffness properties. The present study aims to investigate the influence of the embedment length of structural steel in the hybrid SRC-RC columns on their load-bearing behavior when bent about strong and weak axes.

In the first phase, both experimental and numerical studies have been conducted at component levels to determine the optimum embedment length of structural steel and to propose the detailing of steel reinforcement in the hybrid columns. Five large-scale test specimens with varying lengths of embedment of structural steel were tested under gradually increasing lateral cyclic displacements and constant axial loads. The main parameters studied were the failure mechanism, hysteretic response, energy dissipation potential, and lateral stiffness of test specimens. Test results showed that all SRC-RC specimens, irrespective of the direction of lateral loading, had higher lateral strengths than the RC columns. However, test specimens with the smaller embedment of structural steel exhibited shear-dominated failure.

A numerical study based on finite element modeling was carried out to predict the lateral strength and ductility parameters of SRC-RC columns. Three-dimensional numerical models were created in a commercial software ABAQUS considering the

concrete confinement by lateral ties and structural steel and the effect of embedment of the lateral and longitudinal reinforcement in concrete. A parametric study was carried out to investigate the lateral load-bearing capacity, ductility, and failure mechanism for varying axial load ratios, embedment lengths, and reinforcement detailing of the transfer columns. A structural steel embedment for 60% of column height with closer tie spacing at the truncation regions exhibited nearly similar lateral performance as the SRC columns.

In the second phase, the assessment of the seismic performance of building frames with SRC-RC columns was carried out numerically using OpenSees software. The numerical model was validated with the published experimental results of SRC-RC columns at the component level and the building frames at the structure level. A five-story building frame with SRC columns at the ground story and RC columns in other stories was considered as the ‘study frame’. Nonlinear static (pushover) and time-history (dynamic) analyses were carried out to investigate the base shear vs. roof displacement response, failure mechanism, and drift response of the study frame. For dynamic analyses, a suite of twenty-two ground motions scaled to the maximum considered earthquake (MCE) and design basis earthquake (DBE) hazard levels were considered for the site. It was observed that the frames with the lower embedment of structural steel performed poorly owing to a sudden story shear failure. The increase in embedment alleviated this shear failure. In addition, an incremental dynamic analysis (IDA) was carried out to investigate the seismic collapse performance and to develop seismic fragility curves of the study frame. Building frames with smaller embedments (especially in the minor axis) exhibited a higher probability of collapse. It was concluded that a higher embedment of structural steel in the SRC-RC column should be adopted along with ductile detailing of the truncation zone for improved seismic performance of building frames.

सार

संरचनात्मक इस्पात से प्रबलित कंक्रीट स्तंभ, जिसे आमतौर पर इस्पात-प्रबलित कंक्रीट (SRC) स्तंभ के रूप में जाना जाता है, पारंपरिक प्रबलित कंक्रीट (RC) और इस्पात स्तंभ पर उनके अतिरिक्त फायदे और लाभों के कारण इमारतों और पुलों के निर्माण में व्यापक रूप से उपयोग किया जाता है। कई इमारतों अब कुछ मंजिलों में SRC स्तंभ और कुछ में RC स्तंभ के साथ बनाई जा रही हैं। ऐसी इमारतों में, “स्थानांतरण मंजिल” (Transfer stories) में हाइब्रिड एसआरसी-आरसी (SRC-RC) स्तंभ शामिल होते हैं जो आंशिक एसआरसी (SRC) और आंशिक आरसी (RC) अनुभागों से उनकी ऊंचाई के साथ बने होते हैं। भार वहन और कठोरता गुणों में अचानक परिवर्तन के कारण इन स्थानांतरण मंजिल में कतरनी विफलता का खतरा होता है। वर्तमान अध्ययन का उद्देश्य हाइब्रिड SRC-RC स्तंभों में संरचनात्मक इस्पात की एम्बेडमेंट लंबाई के प्रभाव की जांच करना है जब उन्हें मजबूत और कमजोर अक्षों के ओर मोड़ा जाता है।

पहले चरण में, संपूर्ण और संख्यात्मक अध्ययन दोनों को घटक (केवल स्तंभ) स्तरों पर संचालित किया गया है ताकि संरचनात्मक इस्पात की आदर्श अंतर्वेशन लंबाई निर्धारित की जा सके और संकर स्तंभों में इस्पात सुदृढीकरण का विवरण प्रस्तावित किया जा सके। संरचनात्मक इस्पात की विभिन्न अंतर्वेशन लंबाई के साथ पांच बड़े पैमाने के परीक्षण प्रतिरूप का क्रमिक रूप से बढ़ते पार्श्व चक्रीय विस्थापन और स्थिर अक्षीय भार के तहत परीक्षण किया गया। मुख्य मापदंड जो अध्ययन किए गए थे वे थे विफलता तंत्र, हिस्टरेटिक प्रतिक्रिया, ऊर्जा अपव्यय क्षमता, और परीक्षण प्रतिरूप की पार्श्व कठोरता। परीक्षण परिणामों से पता चला कि सभी SRC-RC प्रतिरूप, पार्श्व भार की दिशा की परवाह किए बिना, RC स्तंभों की तुलना में उच्च पार्श्व ताकत रखते थे। हालांकि, छोटे अंतर्वेशन (एम्बेडमेंट) वाले इस्पात के साथ परीक्षण नमूनों ने कतरनी-प्रधान विफलता प्रदर्शित की।

संख्यात्मक अध्ययन, सीमित तत्व मॉडलिंग (Finite element modeling) के आधार पर, SRC-RC स्तंभों की पार्श्व शक्ति और नम्यता मापदंडों की पूर्वानुमान करने के लिए किया गया था। त्रि-आयामी संख्यात्मक मॉडलों को वाणिज्यिक सॉफ्टवेयर ABAQUS में बनाया गया, जिसमें पार्श्व बंधनों और संरचनात्मक इस्पात द्वारा कंक्रीट की परिरक्षण और कंक्रीट में पार्श्व और अनुदैर्घ्य सुदृढीकरण की अंतर्वेशन के प्रभाव को ध्यान में रखा गया। एक अध्ययन किया गया जिसमें विभिन्न अक्षीय भार अनुपात, अंतर्वेशन लंबाई और स्थानांतरण स्तंभों के सुदृढीकरण विवरण के लिए पार्श्व भार-वहन क्षमता, नम्यता और विफलता तंत्र की जांच की जा सके। स्तंभ की ऊंचाई के 60% के लिए संरचनात्मक इस्पात अंतर्वेशन के साथ और विच्छेदन क्षेत्रों में घनिष्ठ बंधन अंतराल के साथ, SRC स्तंभों के समान पार्श्व प्रदर्शन प्रदर्शित किया।

दूसरे चरण में, SRC-RC स्तंभों के साथ भवन ढाँचों के भूकंपीय प्रदर्शन का मूल्यांकन संख्यात्मक रूप से OpenSees सॉफ्टवेयर का उपयोग करके किया गया। संख्यात्मक मॉडल को घटक (स्तंभ) स्तर पर SRC-RC स्तंभों के और संरचना स्तर पर भवन ढाँचों के प्रकाशित प्रयोगात्मक परिणामों के साथ सत्यापित किया गया। भूतल पर SRC स्तंभों और अन्य मंजिलों में RC स्तंभों के साथ एक पाँच मंजिला भवन ढाँचा को 'अध्ययन ढाँचा' के रूप में माना गया। आधार कतरनी बनाम छत विस्थापन प्रतिक्रिया, विफलता तंत्र, और अध्ययन ढाँचे की डिफ्ट प्रतिक्रिया की जांच के लिए गैर-रैखिक स्थिर (पुशओवर) और समय-इतिहास (गतिशील) विश्लेषण किए गए। गतिशील विश्लेषण के लिए, अधिकतम माने गए भूकंप (MCE) और डिज़ाइन आधार भूकंप (DBE) खतरे के स्तरों पर स्केल किए गए बाईस भू-आंदोलनों का एक सेट साइट के लिए विचार किया गया। यह देखा गया कि कम अंतर्वेशन वाले संरचनात्मक इस्पात वाले ढाँचों ने अचानक मंजिलें कतरनी विफलता के कारण खराब प्रदर्शन किया। अंतर्वेशन में वृद्धि ने इस कतरनी विफलता को कम किया। इसके अलावा, भूकंपीय पतन प्रदर्शन की जांच करने और अध्ययन ढाँचे की भूकंपीय नाजुकता वक्र विकसित करने के लिए एक वृद्धिशील गतिशील विश्लेषण (IDA) किया गया। छोटे

अंतर्वेशन (विशेष रूप से कमज़ोर अक्ष में) वाले भवन ढाँचों में पतन की संभावना अधिक थी। निष्कर्ष निकाला गया कि भवन ढाँचों के बेहतर भूकंपीय प्रदर्शन के लिए SRC-RC स्तंभ में संरचनात्मक इस्पात के उच्च अंतर्वेशन को ग्रहण किया जाना चाहिए और विच्छेदन क्षेत्र का लचीला विवरण अपनाया जाना चाहिए।

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<i>CERTIFICATE</i>	<i>i</i>
<i>ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS</i>	<i>iii</i>
<i>ABSTRACT</i>	<i>v</i>
<i>TABLE OF CONTENTS</i>	<i>xi</i>
<i>LIST OF FIGURES</i>	<i>xv</i>
<i>LIST OF TABLES</i>	<i>xxi</i>
<i>LIST OF SYMBOLS</i>	<i>xxiii</i>
<i>LIST OF ACRONYMS</i>	<i>xxvii</i>
CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 General	1
1.2 Steel-reinforced concrete (SRC) columns	2
1.3 SRC-RC (Hybrid) columns	3
1.4 Problem statement and research motivation.....	5
1.5 Scope and objectives	7
1.6 Organization of the thesis	9
CHAPTER 2 REVIEW OF LITERATURE	11
2.1 General	11
2.2 Performance of SRC columns	14
2.2.1 <i>Experimental investigations</i>	15
2.2.2 <i>Analytical investigations</i>	28
2.3 Behavior of SRC-RC columns	33
2.4 Research gaps	43
2.5 Summary.....	45
CHAPTER 3 EXPERIMENTAL INVESTIGATION OF CYCLIC PERFORMANCE OF SRC-RC HYBRID COLUMNS BENDING ABOUT MAJOR AXIS	47
3.1 Overview	47
3.2 Test specimens.....	48
3.2.1 <i>Dimensioning and detailing of reinforcements</i>	48
3.2.2 <i>Material properties</i>	50
3.2.3 <i>Preparation of test specimens</i>	52
3.3 Test setup and instrumentation.....	53
3.3.1 <i>Servo-hydraulic actuator</i>	53
3.3.2 <i>Loading frame and load transfer systems</i>	54
3.3.3 <i>Instrumentation and sensors</i>	55
3.3.4 <i>Test program</i>	57

3.3.5 Imposed displacement history	57
3.4 Experimental results.....	58
3.4.1 Mode of failure	59
3.4.2 Hysteretic response	64
3.4.3 Lateral strength	65
3.4.4 Backbone curves.....	67
3.4.5 Lateral stiffness	68
3.4.6 Cumulative energy dissipation	70
3.4.7 Lateral ductility	71
3.4.8 State of strain.....	72
3.5 Summary	74
CHAPTER 4 EXPERIMENTAL INVESTIGATION OF CYCLIC PERFORMANCE OF SRC-RC HYBRID COLUMNS BENDING ABOUT MINOR AXIS	77
4.1 Overview	77
4.2 Test specimens	78
4.2.1 Dimensioning and detailing of reinforcements	78
4.2.2 Material properties.....	79
4.2.3 Preparation of test specimens	80
4.3 Test setup and instrumentation	81
4.4 Experimental results.....	81
4.4.1 Mode of failure	81
4.4.2 Hysteresis response	85
4.4.3 Lateral load	86
4.4.4 Backbone curves.....	88
4.4.5 Lateral stiffness	89
4.4.6 Energy dissipation.....	90
4.4.7 Lateral ductility	92
4.4.8 State of strain.....	92
4.5 Comparison	94
4.6 Summary	98
CHAPTER 5 DEVELOPMENT AND VALIDATION OF NUMERICAL MODELING OF SRC-RC COLUMNS HYBRID COLUMNS.....	101
5.1 Overview	101
5.2 Development of numerical model.....	102
5.2.1 Modelling technique	102
5.2.2 Material properties.....	103
5.3 Validation of numerical modeling	108
5.3.1 Details of experiments	108
5.3.2 Results of validation study.....	111
5.4 Summary	115
CHAPTER 6 PARAMETRIC STUDY ON SRC-RC COLUMNS UNDER COMBINED AXIAL AND FLEXURAL LOADINGS.....	117
6.1 Overview	117
6.2 Research significance.....	117
6.3 Details of numerical models	118

6.4 Analysis results.....	120
6.5 Discussion.....	129
6.6 Summary.....	133
CHAPTER 7 DEVELOPMENT AND VALIDATION OF NUMERICAL MODELING OF FRAMES WITH SRC-RC COLUMNS	135
7.1 Overview	135
7.2 Modeling technique	135
7.2.1 Geometrical modeling.....	136
7.2.2 Material modeling of concrete and steel.....	138
7.2.3 Pinching material model.....	140
7.2.4 Shear force vs. shear deformation curve	141
7.3 Validation of element-level model	141
7.4 Validation of frame-level model	145
7.5 Summary.....	146
CHAPTER 8 SEISMIC PERFORMANCE AND FRAGILITY ASSESSMENT OF FRAMES WITH SRC-RC COLUMNS.....	149
8.1 Overview	149
8.2 Description of study frame	150
8.3 Nonlinear static analysis.....	153
8.3.1 Lateral strength.....	153
8.3.2 Ductility ratio.....	154
8.3.3 Hinge mechanism.....	154
8.4 Nonlinear time-history analysis.....	156
8.4.1 Selection of ground motions.....	156
8.4.2 Results of time-history analysis.....	159
8.5 Incremental dynamic analysis	163
8.6 Fragility analysis	164
8.7 Summary.....	166
CHAPTER 9 SUMMARY, CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FUTURE WORK.....	169
9.1 Summary.....	169
9.1.1 Experimental investigation on SRC-RC columns.....	170
9.1.2 Development of finite element model and parametric study.....	170
9.1.3 Development of global fiber-based modeling and pushover analysis	171
9.1.4 Seismic performance and fragility assessment of SRC-RC frames.....	172
9.2 Conclusions	172
9.2.1 Experimental investigation on SRC-RC columns.....	172
9.2.2 Finite element model development and parametric study	173
9.2.3 Development of numerical modeling of hybrid SRC-RC frames	174
9.2.4 Seismic performance and fragility assessment of SRC-RC frames.....	174
9.3 Design recommendations	175
9.4 Recommendations for future work.....	176
APPENDIX A.....	189
APPENDIX B.....	201

Journal publications	230
Conference proceedings	230

LIST OF FIGURES

<i>Figure 1.1: Types of composite columns (INSDAG, 2008)</i>	2
<i>Figure 1.2: Different levels of confinement in a SRC column</i>	3
<i>Figure 1.3: Illustration of SRC-RC columns in hybrid structures: (a) Bottom stories having SRC columns and top stories with complete RC frames, (b) Top stories with SRC columns and bottom stories with complete RC frames</i>	5
<i>Figure 1.4: Representation of embedment length of structural steel in an SRC -RC column</i>	5
<i>Figure 2.1: Specimen cross-section as tested by Talbot and Lord (1912)</i>	12
<i>Figure 2.2: The McGraw Building in New York (Burr 1908)</i>	12
<i>Figure 2.3: The McGraw building floor sections (Burr 1908)</i>	12
<i>Figure 2.4: (a) Emperger column and (b) Test specimen in research by Emperger</i>	13
<i>Figure 2.5: Story failure of structures with SRC columns observed in the Hyogo-ken-Nanbu earthquake (Minami et al., 2000)</i>	14
<i>Figure 2.6: (a) Experimental setup and (b) specimen cross-section of tests conducted by Ricles and Paboojian (1995)</i>	16
<i>Figure 2.7: Experimental specimen details of SRC columns (Munoz and Hsu 1997)</i>	17
<i>Figure 2.8: (a) Experimental setup and (b) composite specimens (Kim et al. 2013)</i>	18
<i>Figure 2.9: SRC wall specimen tested by Ji et al. (2015)</i>	19
<i>Figure 2.10: Specimens tested by Zhu et al. (2016)</i>	20
<i>Figure 2.11: Experimental SRC columns tested by Chen et al. (2016)</i>	21
<i>Figure 2.12: Plus shaped structural steel section embedded in specimens tested by Wang et al. (2016)</i>	22
<i>Figure 2.13: Experimental setup and specimen tested by Lai et al. (2019b)</i>	24
<i>Figure 2.14: Details of test frame and set-up (Xu et al. 2020)</i>	25
<i>Figure 2.15: Reinforcement detailing of test specimens (Yin et al. 2021)</i>	26
<i>Figure 2.16: Details of the experimental setup for torsional testing of specimens (Zhou et al. (2022)</i>	27
<i>Figure 2.17: Axial load-bending moment interaction curve of SRC specimens (Gautham and Sahoo 2021)</i>	28
<i>Figure 2.18: Details of test specimens studied by Chen and Lin (2006)</i>	29

<i>Figure 2.19: Modeling of SRC columns considering different levels of confinement (Ellobody and Young 2011).....</i>	<i>31</i>
<i>Figure 2.20: Axial compression – bending moment interaction curve of SRC columns (Denavit et al. 2018).....</i>	<i>32</i>
<i>Figure 2.21: Finite element model of SRC column for torsion loading (Cao et al. 2020)</i>	<i>33</i>
<i>Figure 2.22: Dimensions and detailing of specimens tested by Suzuki et al. (2000).....</i>	<i>34</i>
<i>Figure 2.23: Observed damages in RC and SRC-RC columns with varying embedment lengths under lateral cyclic loading (Suzuki et al. 2000).....</i>	<i>35</i>
<i>Figure 2.24: (a) Experimental specimen of SRC-RC column and (b) setup for testing the specimens (Wu et al. 2013)</i>	<i>36</i>
<i>Figure 2.25: Finite element model of the SRC-RC specimen modeled in ABAQUS software modeled by (Huang et al. 2017)</i>	<i>37</i>
<i>Figure 2.26: Parametric analytical study for different SRC-RC specimens conducted by Zhang et al. (2019b).....</i>	<i>39</i>
<i>Figure 2.27: Analytical model of a multi-story building with hybrid columns (Zhang et al. 2019a)</i>	<i>41</i>
<i>Figure 2.28: Two-story SRC-RC frame tested by Zhang et al. (2019c): (a) without masonry walls, (b) with masonry walls.....</i>	<i>41</i>
<i>Figure 2.29: Five-story frame studied by Gautham and Sahoo (2022)</i>	<i>42</i>
<i>Figure 2.30: Comparison of collapse fragility curves of RC and SRC frame (Gautham and Sahoo (2022)</i>	<i>43</i>
<i>Figure 3.1: Dimensions and reinforcement detailing of experimental specimens.....</i>	<i>49</i>
<i>Figure 3.2: Measured stress-strain characteristics of structural steel and rebar.....</i>	<i>51</i>
<i>Figure 3.3: (a) Structural steel placed inside the reinforcement cage (b) structural steel section with shear studs.....</i>	<i>53</i>
<i>Figure 3.4: Schematic representation of test setup.....</i>	<i>54</i>
<i>Figure 3.5: Photo showing the test setup.....</i>	<i>55</i>
<i>Figure 3.6: Position of strain gauges on reinforcing bars</i>	<i>56</i>
<i>Figure 3.7: Imposed displacement history.....</i>	<i>58</i>
<i>Figure 3.8: Comparison of failure modes of the test specimens.....</i>	<i>60</i>
<i>Figure 3.9: Damage propagation in RC specimen at different drift levels.....</i>	<i>61</i>
<i>Figure 3.10: Damage propagation in H35-Maj specimen at different drift levels</i>	<i>62</i>
<i>Figure 3.11: Damage propagation in H70-Maj specimen at different drift levels</i>	<i>63</i>

Figure 3.12: Comparison of the hysteretic response of test specimens.....	65
Figure 3.13: Backbone curves of lateral load-displacement response of specimens	68
Figure 3.14: Lateral stiffness degradation of the tested specimens	69
Figure 3.15: Idealized hysteresis curve for computation of hysteretic energy dissipation	70
Figure 3.16: Cumulative energy dissipation of the tested specimens.....	71
Figure 3.17: Variation in magnitude of strains at different locations of test specimens	73
Figure 4.1: Dimension and detailing of the experimental specimens	79
Figure 4.2: (a) Structural steel placed inside the reinforcement cage (b) structural steel section with welded shear connectors	80
Figure 4.3: Comparison of failure modes of the test specimens	82
Figure 4.4: Damage propagation of the H35-Min Specimen.....	83
Figure 4.5: Damage propagation of H70-Min Specimen.....	84
Figure 4.6: Hysteresis loop of the tested specimens.....	86
Figure 4.7: Backbone curves of the tested specimens	88
Figure 4.8: Lateral stiffness degradation of the tested specimens	90
Figure 4.9: Idealized hysteresis curve for computation of energy dissipation.....	91
Figure 4.10: Cumulative energy dissipation of the tested specimens.....	91
Figure 4.11: Variation in magnitude of strains at different locations of test specimens	93
Figure 4.12: Effect of length of structural steel on failure mechanism and deflected shapes of transfer columns (a) Low embedment, (b) High embedment.....	95
Figure 5.1: Concrete confinement regions of RC (left) and SRC (right) columns	104
Figure 5.2: Constitutive relationship of concrete in (a) compression and (b) tension	105
Figure 5.3: Confinement pressure applied to the concrete section encompassed by the structural steel flanges.....	106
Figure 5.4: Geometric properties, reinforcement detailing, and loading conditions of specimens (Suzuki et al. 2000).....	109
Figure 5.5: Test specimen and experimental set-up (Wu et al. 2013)	110
Figure 5.6: Numerical model of S3-60 specimen (Suzuki et al. 2000)	111
Figure 5.7: Numerical model of SRC 6-2 specimen tested by Wu et al. (2013) and SRC-RC specimens used in the present study	111
Figure 5.8: Comparison of lateral load drift response of published results	112
Figure 5.9: Comparison of lateral load-drift response predicted by numerical models with observed test results.....	113

Figure 5.10: Comparison of observed and predicted failure mechanisms: (a) Specimen S3-60, (b) Specimen SRC 6-4	114
Figure 5.11: Comparison of observed and predicted failure mechanisms: (a) Specimen RC, (b) H35-Maj specimen, (c) H70-Maj specimen.....	114
Figure 6.1: Reinforcement and cross-sections of SRC, RC, and SRC-RC columns	119
Figure 6.2: Lateral load vs. lateral drift response of SRC-RC specimens.....	121
Figure 6.3: Tension damage at 2% drift of columns with major axis bending and a 40% axial load.....	127
Figure 6.4: Tension damage at 2% drift of columns with minor axis bending and a 40% axial load.....	129
Figure 6.5: Comparison of load-displacement curve of hybrid and RC columns under 40% axial loading	130
Figure 6.6: Comparison of lateral strengths of columns with different embedment lengths for both major and minor axes bending	132
Figure 6.7: Comparison of ductility ratio with different embedment lengths and lateral axis loading	132
Figure 6.8: Comparison of axial force-bending moment interaction behavior of SRC-RC columns: (a) major axis and (b) minor axis bending	133
Figure 7.1: Fiber-based modeling of SRC column in OpenSEES.....	136
Figure 7.2: Integration of shear (V) behavior with axial force (P)-bending moment (M) interaction	138
Figure 7.3: Addition of shear and rotation spring element in the column element	139
Figure 7.4: Material models of (a) Concrete02, (b) Steel02 in OpenSees (2006) library	139
Figure 7.5: Pinching4 material model in OpenSees (2006) library	140
Figure 7.6: Force vs. deformation response of fiber element: (a) shear response; (b) flexural response; and (c) combined response.....	141
Figure 7.7: Comparison of cyclic lateral force vs. lateral deformation curves predicted from OpenSees and observed from experiments	143
Figure 7.8: Comparison of backbone curves obtained from OpenSees and experiments	144
Figure 7.9: Dimensions of frame specimen tested by Zhang et al. (2019)	145
Figure 7.10: Comparison of cyclic lateral force vs. lateral deformation curve between OpenSees and experimental specimen of Zhang et al. (2019c).....	146

<i>Figure 8.1: Plan of the study building.....</i>	<i>150</i>
<i>Figure 8.2: (a) Elevation of study frame, (b) RC beam detailing, (c) SRC column detailing</i>	<i>151</i>
<i>Figure 8.3: Lateral load v/s lateral drift response of specimens in pushover analysis</i>	<i>153</i>
<i>Figure 8.4: Hinge Mechanism for frames with (a) H35-Maj, (b) H70-Maj, (c) H35-Min, (d) H70-Min and (e) RC columns</i>	<i>155</i>
<i>Figure 8.5: Acceleration response spectrum of all ground motions</i>	<i>158</i>
<i>Figure 8.6: Scaled response spectrum for maximum considered earthquake hazard level</i>	<i>159</i>
<i>Figure 8.7: Inter-story drift variation for (a) H35-Maj, (b) H35-Min, (c) H70-Maj, (d) H70-Min and (e) RC frame for design basis earthquake hazard level.....</i>	<i>161</i>
<i>Figure 8.8: Inter-story drift variation for (a) H35-Maj, (b) H35-Min, (c) H70-Maj, (d) H70-Min and (e) RC frame for maximum considered earthquake hazard level</i>	<i>162</i>
<i>Figure 8.9: Incremental Dynamic Analysis curves for (a) H35-Maj, (b) H35-Min, (c) H70-Maj, (d) H70-Min and (e) RC frame.....</i>	<i>164</i>
<i>Figure 8.10: Depiction of fragility curves of all the specimens</i>	<i>165</i>
<i>Figure 9.1: Location for closer spacing of lateral ties.....</i>	<i>176</i>

LIST OF TABLES

<i>Table 3.1: Loading parameters and material properties of experimental specimens</i>	<i>50</i>
<i>Table 3.2: Quantities of material used in design mix of 1 m³ of concrete</i>	<i>51</i>
<i>Table 3.3: Comparison of observed lateral strengths with the code-based values</i>	<i>66</i>
<i>Table 3.4: Computed displacement ductility factors of specimens.....</i>	<i>72</i>
<i>Table 4.1: Loading parameters and material properties of experimental specimen.....</i>	<i>78</i>
<i>Table 4.2: Comparison of observed lateral strength with the code based values</i>	<i>87</i>
<i>Table 4.3: Displacement ductility factor for test specimens.....</i>	<i>92</i>
<i>Table 4.4: Comparison of observed lateral strengths with code-predicted values (without factors)</i>	<i>94</i>
<i>Table 4.5: Computation of theoretical effective stiffness ratio parameter for SRC-RC columns</i>	<i>97</i>
<i>Table 5.1: Material and geometric properties of test specimens</i>	<i>109</i>
<i>Table 6.1: Peak lateral load bearing capacity and displacement ductility of columns with major axis bending.....</i>	<i>122</i>
<i>Table 6.2: Ultimate lateral load bearing capacity and displacement ductility of models with minor axis bending.....</i>	<i>124</i>
<i>Table 8.1: Details of study frames with different geometric and loading parameters .</i>	<i>152</i>
<i>Table 8.2: Details of ground motions selected for NLTH.....</i>	<i>157</i>

LIST OF SYMBOLS

A_g	Gross sectional area of column
A_{ties}	Cross-sectional area of lateral ties
α	Ratio of V_f and V_v
b_w	Column width
b_f	Width of structural steel flange
β	EI_{SRC}/EI_{RC}
Δ^P	Lateral displacement in the positive direction
Δ^N	Lateral displacement in the negative direction
Δ_u	Ultimate drift of the specimen
Δ_y	Yield drift of the specimen
d	Effective depth of section
d_s	Total depth of structural steel section
EI_{RC}	Flexural rigidity of reinforced concrete column
EI_{SRC}	Flexural rigidity of steel reinforced concrete column
E_{co}	Modulus of elasticity of unconfined concrete
E_{cc}	Modulus of elasticity of partially confined concrete
E_{sec}	Secant modulus of elasticity
ε_c	Strain of concrete at any point
ε_{cc}	Ultimate strain of partially confined concrete
ε_{co}	Ultimate strain of unconfined concrete
ε_{cr}	Cracking strain of concrete
F^P	Peak lateral forces recorded in the positive direction
F^N	Peak lateral forces recorded in the negative direction
F_u	Ultimate lateral strength of the specimen

f_{l1}, f_{l2}	Lateral confining pressure on concrete by reinforcement and lat'ral ties
f'_c	Cylinder compressive strength of concrete
f_{bo}/f_{co}	Ratio of equibiaxial compressive strength of concrete to the uniaxial compressive strength
f_{cc}	Ultimate compressive strength of partially confined concrete
f_{cm}	Mean compressive strength of concrete
f_{co}	Ultimate compressive strength of unconfined concrete
f_t	Tensile strength of concrete
f_{yr}	Yield strength of reinforcement steel
f_{yst}	Yield strength of structural steel
G_f	Fracture energy of concrete
G_{fo}	Base fracture energy of concrete
γ	Standard deviation of natural logarithmic of all the intensity measures
K_c	Multiplying factor for unconfined concrete peak strength to achieve partially confined concrete peak strength
K_e	Effective lateral stiffness
K_S	Multiplying factor for lateral confining pressure from reinforcement to achieve lateral confining pressure from structural steel flange
L	Clear length of the column
M_{RC}	Flexural capacity of the reinforced concrete section
M_{SRC}	Flexural capacity of the steel reinforced concrete section
μ	Displacement ductility factor
$\overline{\mu}$	Mean of natural logarithmic of all the intensity measures

N_u	Axial load on the specimen
ϕ	Standard normal distribution of specimen
σ	Stress
ρ_r	Percentage ratio of longitudinal reinforcement to the gross section of column
ρ_{st}	Percentage ratio of structural steel to the gross section of column
s	longitudinal spacing of stirrups
t_f	Flange thickness of the structural steel
t_w	Web thickness of the structural steel
V	Volume of the finite element of the specimen
V_{code}	Shear strength of RC section with all the strength reduction factors calculated as per ACI 318 (2019)
$V_{concrete}$	Shear strength of only concrete section
V_n	Limiting shear strength of the section
V_{ties}	Shear strength of lateral ties
V_V	Design lateral load bearing capacity (shear failure)
V_f	Design lateral load bearing capacity (flexural failure)

LIST OF ACRONYMS

ACI	American Concrete Institute
AIJ	Architectural institute of Japan
AISC	American Institute of Steel Construction
ASCE	American Society of Civil Engineers
CDP	Concrete Damage Plasticity
CFT	Concrete Filled Tubes
DBE	Design Basis Earthquake
DM	Damage Measure
FEMA	Federal Emergency Management Agency
ISHB	Indian Standard Heavy Beam
IDA	Incremental Dynamic Analysis
IIT	Indian Institute of Technology
IM	Intensity Measure of ground motion
IO	Immediate Occupancy
ISD	Inter-Story drift
LRFD	Load and Resistance Factor Design
LS	Life safety
LVDTs	Linearly Varying Differential Transducers
NLTH	Non-linear Time History
OPC	Ordinary Portland Cement
OpenSEES	Open System for Earthquake Engineering Simulation
RC	Reinforced Concrete
SRC	Steel Reinforced Concrete
SFRS	Seismic Force Resisting System
UTM	Universal Testing Machine

