

WASTE MANAGEMENT: A FRAMEWORK FOR INTERVENTION

KAVERI KALA



DEPARTMENT OF MECHANICAL ENGINEERING

INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY DELHI

JULY 2023

© Indian Institute of Technology Delhi (IITD), New Delhi, 2023

WASTE MANAGEMENT: A FRAMEWORK FOR INTERVENTION

by

KAVERI KALA

Department of Mechanical Engineering

Submitted

in fulfillment of the requirements of the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

to the



INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY DELHI

JULY 2023

*In the loving memory of our beloved cats Motula and
Munni...*

Certificate

This is to certify that the thesis entitled “**Waste Management: A Framework for Intervention**”, submitted by **Miss Kaveri Kala** to the Indian Institute of Technology Delhi, for the award of the degree of **Doctor of Philosophy**, is a record of the original, bonafide research work carried out by her under my supervision and guidance. The thesis has reached the standards fulfilling the requirements of the regulations related to the award of the degree.

The results contained in this thesis have not been submitted in part or in full to any other university or institute for the award of any degree or diploma to the best of our knowledge.

Prof. Nomesh B. Bolia

Department of Mechanical Engineering,
Indian Institute of Technology Delhi,
New Delhi - 110016, India.

Acknowledgements

Undertaking this PhD has been a life altering experience for me and it would not have been possible to do without the guidance of my supervisor, Nomesh B. Bolia. I express my deepest sense of respect and gratitude to him for his continuous support and patience during my PhD study. I feel privileged to be one of his students. I shall remain thankful to him forever for his endless support and cooperation during my research work.

I am grateful to the members of Student Review Committee (SRC) Prof. P.V.M. Rao, Prof. Sangeeta Kohli and Prof. Sushil for their creative and valuable suggestions during my research work. My special thanks to my sister Shivani Kala for endless corrections and her contributions to my doctoral thesis. My deepest gratitude is towards my mother Mrs. Anita Kala for immense support and sacrifice while taking care of me in all ways. I wish to acknowledge the support of my father Mr K.P Kala for helping me to reach here. I am also thankful to my friends Shobhit, Nachiketas, Sai Ganesh and Rishita for helping me out during my dissertation. I would also like to thank my friends Rutha, Sumegha, Prerna and Nikita who kept me sane during turbulent times. I am also thankful to my research colleagues Abhishek, Maansi, Soham, Deepak, Gaurav and Rajat for their friendship. I would like to thank my research seniors Lakshay and Hemant for their academic support.

I would also like to thank Mr. Rama Shankar and Mr. Prem Chand for providing logistical support. I would further like to thank all the experts and organizations for participating in our research. I am thankful to Ms. Rama Saxena who provided all the facilities in the lab whenever needed. Last but not the least, I thank all my well-wishers, friends and relatives who helped me directly or indirectly during my research work.

(Kaveri Kala)

Abstract

Municipal Solid Waste Management (MSWM) is a complex system wherein a typical waste management process, and intervention includes segregation, collection, processing, and disposal. Moreover, waste management apparatus varies from region to region; therefore, site-specific data and analysis are often required to determine appropriate and region-relevant waste management strategies. The complexities of a waste management system in India are even further complicated due to the involvement of various participants in the decision-making process, such as the government, local municipalities, the informal sector, institutional experts, and on occasions, the general public. Citizens are one of the primary stakeholders and play an important role in the value chain before the waste is collected. At the same time, municipalities and the informal sector are equally important stakeholders, majorly responsible for the collection and processing of the waste.

Evidently, the waste management system in India encompasses multiple socio-economic and environmental facets. In a country as diverse as India, there is a need for heterogeneous, context-based, and evidence-driven policies that lead to efficient outcomes. It is important the policies thus designed should also focus on identifying the strengths of a country and leveraging them.

The currently practiced homogenous policy framework for waste management is indeed not working in favor of India. This can be illustrated by the World Bank data, according to which India generates the highest amount of waste worldwide, more than even China. As much as 77 percent of this waste is simply dumped in the open, with all its noxious fumes and toxins. And Delhi is one of the tops in the list of Indian cities with maximum waste generation. Therefore, Delhi has been chosen to demonstrate the need for contextual policies.

There is a clear need to limit the disturbing trend of waste generation and mitigate the consequences. This can only happen if we break the old systems of waste management and build new ones through context-based policy-making, such that we: 1) capture the perception of citizens belonging to different socio-economic categories while simultaneously designing waste management policies contingent on the type of residence, 2) devise ways to make both formal and informal system of waste management work in conjunction and 3) make currently existing waste collection system

more efficient. The work in the thesis caters to the three aspects (above mentioned) around which waste management policies should be designed.

Accordingly, the framework and models are developed for Delhi to yield interesting insights. This work suggests possible recommendations that can make waste management more efficient and thus, may enable shifting of policy framework from exclusivity to inclusivity.

Keywords: Municipal Solid Waste Management, Contextual Policy, Stakeholders in Waste Management, Data-driven Approaches, Citizen Engagement

सार

नगरपालिका ठोस कचरा प्रबंधन (एमएसडब्ल्यूएम) एक जटिल प्रणाली है जिसमें प्ररूपी कचरा प्रबंधन प्रक्रिया और हस्तक्षेप में पृथक्करण, संग्रह, प्रसंस्करण, और निपटान शामिल है। इसके अलावा, कचरा प्रबंधन उपकरण एक क्षेत्र से दूसरे क्षेत्र में भिन्न होता है; इसलिए, उचित और क्षेत्र-प्रासंगिक कचरा प्रबंधन रणनीतियों को निर्धारित करने के लिए अक्सर साइट-विशिष्ट डेटा और विश्लेषण की आवश्यकता होती है। भारत में कचरा प्रबंधन प्रणाली, निर्णय लेने की प्रक्रिया में विभिन्न प्रतिभागियों, जैसे सरकार, स्थानीय नगर पालिकाएं, अनौपचारिक क्षेत्र, संस्थागत विशेषज्ञों और कभी-कभी आम जनता, की भागीदारी के कारण और भी जटिल हो गई हैं। नागरिक प्राथमिक हितधारकों में से एक हैं और कचरा एकत्र करने से पहले मूल्य श्रृंखला में महत्वपूर्ण भूमिका निभाते हैं। साथ ही, नगर पालिकाएं और अनौपचारिक क्षेत्र समान रूप से महत्वपूर्ण हितधारक हैं, जो कचरे के संग्रह और प्रसंस्करण के लिए प्रमुख रूप से जिम्मेदार हैं।

जाहिर है, भारत में कचरा प्रबंधन प्रणाली में कई सामाजिक-आर्थिक और पर्यावरणीय पहलू शामिल हैं। भारत जैसे विविधतापूर्ण देश में, विविध, संदर्भ-आधारित और साक्ष्य-संचालित नीतियों की आवश्यकता है जो कुशल परिणाम प्रदान करें। यह महत्वपूर्ण है कि इस प्रकार तैयार की गई नीतियों का ध्यान इस देश की शक्तियों की पहचान करने और उनका लाभ उठाने पर भी होना चाहिए।

कचरा प्रबंधन के लिए वर्तमान में प्रचलित समरूप नीति ढांचा वास्तव में भारत के पक्ष में काम नहीं कर रहा है। इसे विश्व बैंक के आंकड़ों से स्पष्ट किया जा सकता है, जिसके अनुसार भारत दुनिया भर में सबसे अधिक मात्रा में कचरा उत्पन्न करता है, यहां तक कि चीन से भी अधिक। इस कचरे का 77 प्रतिशत हिस्सा अपने सभी हानिकारक धुएं और विषाक्त पदार्थों के साथ खुले में फेंक दिया जाता है। और दिल्ली सबसे अधिक कचरा उत्पन्न करने वाले भारतीय शहरों की सूची में शीर्ष पर है। इसलिए, प्रासंगिक नीतियों की आवश्यकता को प्रदर्शित करने के लिए दिल्ली को चुना गया है।

कचरा उत्पादन की चिंताजनक प्रवृत्ति को सीमित करने और परिणामों को कम करने की स्पष्ट आवश्यकता है। यह केवल तभी हो सकता है जब हम संदर्भ-आधारित नीति-निर्माण के माध्यम से कचरा प्रबंधन की पुरानी प्रणालियों को तोड़ें और नई प्रणालियों का निर्माण करें, जैसे कि हम: 1) कचरा प्रबंधन नीतियों को डिजाइन करते समय विभिन्न सामाजिक-आर्थिक श्रेणियों से संबंधित नागरिकों की धारणा को पकड़ें, 2) कचरा प्रबंधन की औपचारिक और अनौपचारिक दोनों प्रणालियों को एक साथ काम करने के तरीके ईजाद करें और 3) वर्तमान में मौजूद कचरा संग्रहण प्रणाली को और अधिक कुशल बनायें। थीसिस में काम तीन पहलुओं (ऊपर उल्लिखित) को पूरा करता है जिसके आसपास कचरा प्रबंधन नीतियों को डिजाइन किया जाना चाहिए।

तदनुसार, दिलचस्प अंतर्दृष्टि प्राप्त करने के लिए दिल्ली के लिए रूपरेखा और मॉडल विकसित किए गए हैं। यह कार्य संभावित सिफारिशों का सुझाव देता है जो कचरा प्रबंधन को अधिक कुशल बना सकते हैं और इस प्रकार, नीति ढांचे को विशिष्टता से समावेशिता की ओर स्थानांतरित करने में सक्षम बना सकते हैं।

Contents

Certificate

Acknowledgements

Abstract

Contents

List of Figures

List of Tables

Abbreviations

Symbols

1	Introduction	1
1.1	Preamble	1
1.2	Waste Management in Delhi	3
1.3	Motivation	4
1.4	Research Problem	6
1.4.1	Research Objectives	7
1.4.2	Research Stages	7
1.5	Thesis Organisation	9
1.6	Summary	10
2	Literature Review	13
2.1	Introduction	13
2.2	Interventions Needed in Waste Management	14
2.2.1	Citizens	15

2.2.1.1	Citizens Engagement in a centralised urban city of a developing country	17
2.2.1.2	Citizen Engagement in a Decentralized Environment	23
2.2.2	Collectors	24
2.2.2.1	Informal Waste Management Sector	29
2.2.2.2	Formal Waste Management Sector	35
2.3	Research Gaps	37
2.4	Observations from the Literature Review	37
2.5	Summary	40
3	Citizen Engagement in Open Residential Spaces	41
3.1	Introduction	41
3.2	Materials and Methods	42
3.2.1	Study Area	43
3.2.2	Survey Design	45
3.2.3	Descriptive Statistics	47
3.2.4	Model Details	52
3.3	Analysis of factors related to the quantity and composition of waste generated	54
3.3.1	Model 1: Analysis of factors related to the quantity of waste generated	54
3.3.2	Model 2: Analysis of factors related to the type of waste generated	58
3.3.3	Discussion and Policy Implications	61
3.4	Analysis of Household Waste Management Practices	65
3.4.1	Analysis of Segregation Model	66
3.4.2	Analysis of Composting Model	68
3.4.3	Analysis of Segregation Incentives Model	69
3.4.4	Analysis of Composting Incentives Model	73
3.4.5	Discussions and Policy Implications	75
3.4.5.1	Insights	75
3.4.5.2	Action Items	76
3.5	Formulation of Waste Management Communication Policy	79
3.5.1	Analysis based on “Econometrics”: MLR	79
3.5.2	Discussion and Policy Implication	82
3.5.2.1	Proposed WMCP	85
3.6	Summary	87
4	Citizen Engagement in a Gated Community	89
4.1	Introduction	89
4.2	Background	90
4.2.1	Study Area	90

4.2.2	Data Collection	91
4.3	Comparison of perception between colonies of SDMC and IIT-D . . .	93
4.3.1	Chi-Square Test for homogeneity	94
4.3.2	Descriptive Analysis	97
4.3.3	Logistic Regression	100
4.3.4	Interpretation from the Analyses	102
4.4	Analysis of Waste Management in IIT Delhi	106
4.4.1	Univariate and Correlation Analysis	107
4.4.2	Interpretations from the Analyses	113
4.5	Discussions	114
4.5.1	Challenges inside the campus	115
4.5.2	Action Items	117
4.6	Summary	119
5	Importance of Informal Waste Management System	121
5.1	Introduction	121
5.2	The Informal or <i>Kabaadi</i> System in the Indian context	123
5.2.1	Data Collection	125
5.2.1.1	Results from the Household Survey	126
5.2.1.2	Informal Waste Management Actors	126
5.2.2	Challenges of Informal Sector	127
5.3	Policy Framework	130
5.3.1	System Optimization: Recommendations and Actionable In- sights	132
5.3.2	System Change: A comprehensive policy for informal waste management system	133
5.3.2.1	TOPSIS	136
5.3.2.2	CIWM Policy Framework	141
5.4	Model Development	144
5.4.1	Model Tests and Validation	146
5.4.1.1	Tests for Model Structures	150
5.4.1.2	Tests for Model Behavior	151
5.4.2	Results	153
5.4.3	Discussions	158
5.5	Summary	164
6	Strategising Collection Routes for Formal Waste Management Sec- tor	165
6.1	Introduction	165
6.2	Materials and Methods	167
6.2.1	Research Methodology	167
6.2.2	Study Area	167

6.2.3	Data	168
6.3	Improved Routes within a Ward using the Vehicle Routing Problem .	171
6.3.1	Revised Nearest Neighbour Algorithm	173
6.3.2	A MILP Formulation of the VRP	173
6.3.2.1	Sub-Tour Elimination Constraints and Exogeneous Heuristic	177
6.3.2.2	Partitioning the Wards	179
6.3.2.3	Results for Truck Routing	180
6.4	Evaluating the Ward Structure	181
6.4.1	Perturbing the distance matrix	182
6.5	Summary	184
7	CONCLUSION	185
7.1	Summary	185
7.2	Utility of the Research Work	190
7.3	Policy, Planning and Operational Implications	192
7.4	Research Contribution	194
7.5	Limitations of the Study	196
7.6	Suggested Directions for Future Research	197
7.7	Summary	199
	Bibliography	201
	List of Publications	225
	Biography of Researcher	227

List of Figures

1.1	MSW generation, land requirement, and population from 2001 to 2051	6
1.2	Flow Diagram of Research Stages	8
2.1	Literature Review Classification	14
3.1	Research Methodology for Chapter 3	43
3.2	Study Area	46
3.3	Household Survey	49
4.1	Study Area	92
4.2	Number of Dustbins	97
4.3	Source of Waste	97
4.4	Service Satisfaction	98
4.5	WM Services	98
4.6	Problems in Residence	98
4.7	Individual Challenge	98
4.8	Segregation	99
4.9	Composting	99
4.10	Segregation Incentives	100
4.11	Composting Incentives	100
5.1	Casual Loop Diagram	145
5.2	Stock and Flow Diagram	146
5.3	Behaviour Reproduction Test	152
5.4	Sensitivity Analysis	154
5.5	Informal Recycling Coefficient	158
5.6	Amount of Waste Recycled	159
5.7	MCD Landfill Flow	159
5.8	Illegal Flow	159
5.9	Net Environmental Benefit	162
5.10	Net Land Saved	163
5.11	Net Money Saved	163
5.12	Employability	163

6.1	The area covered the SDMC area in New Delhi, India	169
6.2	The current ward structure for the central zone of the SDMC with a single landfill and five depots, one each for the five wards that are a partition of the collection points shown in different colors	170
6.3	A pictorial view of the waste-collection process	172
6.4	Revised Nearest Neighbour Algorithm	174

List of Tables

1.1	Thesis outline	9
2.1	Literature Review	19
2.2	Literature Review for HEI	25
2.3	Policy Literature Review	31
2.4	Types of Waste Collection VRPs	38
3.1	MSW profile of the SDMC	45
3.2	Problems, citizen response, and response after rectification of the survey	50
3.3	Characteristics of a household (independent variables used in the models)	55
3.4	Logistic Regression Results for Income Subcategories	57
3.5	Logistic Regression Results for Income Subcategories	60
3.6	Results by MLR Models (WMCP)	86
3.7	Policy Suggestions	88
4.1	Results of Chi-Square Test of Homogeneity	95
4.2	Parameter Estimates of Type of System of BLR	102
4.3	Parameter Estimates of Type of system of MLR	103
4.4	Cramer's V Coefficient	108
4.5	Summary Statistics for Ordinal Data: Low Segregation Efficiency . .	109
4.6	Summary Statistics for Ordinal Data: Best Mode for Communication	109
4.7	Basic Summary Statistics for Nominal Data	110
5.1	Parameters for informal sector	128
5.2	Recommendations to the existing or future policies with respect to informal waste sector	134
5.3	Combined Decision Matrix	137
5.4	Normalised Fuzzy Decision Matrix	138
5.5	Priority Table	140
5.6	Parameter Values for System Dynamics Model	147
5.7	Pivotal Policy Parameters	158
6.1	Input Parameters	171

List of Tables

6.2	Variables and Parameters used in MILP Model	175
6.3	Explanation for each constraint	178
6.4	Results from different solution methods	181
6.5	Transportation costs obtained for tours generated for the existing ward structure and that generated with MST and K-Medoids for the 22 instances of the distance matrix, and the rank of the clustering method based on the lower transportation cost for each instance . . .	183

Abbreviations

WM	Waste Management
MSW	Municipal Solid Waste
SDMC	South Delhi Municipal Corporation
WMCP	Waste Management Communication Policy
SDG	Sustainable Development Goal
UN	United Nations
CE	Circular Economy
IWMS	Informal Waste Management System
NCT	National Capital Territory
SWMR	Solid Waste Management Rules
WCS	Waste Management System
ORS	Open Residential Spaces
LR	Logistic Regression
BLR	Binomial Logistic Regression
MLR	Multinomial Logistic Regression
TOPSIS	Technique for Order Performance by Similarity to Ideal Solution
GST	Goods and Services Tax
WTE	Waste To Energy
BHM	Board of Hostel Management
EPA	Environment Protection Act
BAU	Business As Usual
ULB	Urban Local Body
MRF	Material Recovery Facility
PPE	Personal Protective Equipment
SC	Segregation Coefficient
ERC	Ease of Recycling Coefficient

Abbreviations

IRC	I nformal R ecycling C oefficient
MC	M otivation C oefficient
NEP	N ational E nvironment P olicy
NFP	N ational F orest P olicy
EPR	E xtended P roducer R esponsibility
SBA	S wachh B harat A bhiyan
NAPCC	N ational A ction P lan for C limate C hange
DS	D entralised S ystem
HW	H ousehold W aste
SES	S ocio E conomic S tatus
HEI	H igher E ducation I nstitutute
HIG	H igh I ncome G roup
MIG	M iddle I ncome G roup
LIG	L ow I ncome G roup

Symbols

P	probability of the event
H_0	null Hypothesis
H_1	alternate Hypothesis
x_i	independent variables
Y	the specific variable/ intervention being analyzed
α	significance level
p	likelihood of an event under null hypothesis
I	set of the trucks at the garage (1,2,..., I)
G	set of depots (1,2,...,G)
N	set of collection points (1,2,...,N)
L	set of landfill sites (G+N+1,... G+N+L)
i	vehicle index (i)
j, k	indices of nodes (j,k $\in G \cup N \cup L$)
m	index for the trip ($m \in M$)
g	index of the depots ($g \in G$)
l	index of the landfills ($l \in L$)
B	total number of trucks currently being used
M	maximum number of trips a truck can take (heuristics)
q_g	quantity of waste at depots
q_l	quantity of waste at landfills
q_k	quantity of waste at k^{th} collection point
$d_{j,k}$	distance between j^{th} and k^{th} collection point
$t_{j,k}$	travel time between j^{th} and k^{th} collection point
$F_{i,g}$	capacity of i^{th} truck from g^{th} depot
C_f	fixed cost of running a truck
C_v	variable cost of running per km

Symbols

$T_{i,g}$ maximum shift time for i^{th} truck from g^{th} depot