

**CHARACTERIZATION OF MSW INCINERATION ASH
FROM THREE PLANTS IN DELHI: OPTIONS FOR
DISPOSAL AND REUSE AND COMPARISON WITH
DATA FROM OTHER COUNTRIES**

GARIMA GUPTA



**DEPARTMENT OF CIVIL ENGINEERING
INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY DELHI
JULY 2023**

©Indian Institute of Technology Delhi (IITD), New Delhi, 2023

**Characterization of MSW Incineration Ash from Three Plants
in Delhi: Options for Disposal and Reuse and Comparison with
Data from Other Countries**

by

GARIMA GUPTA

Department of Civil Engineering

Submitted

in fulfilment of the requirements of the degree of

DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

to the



INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY DELHI

JULY 2023

Dedicated to my Dear

Amma

(Late Smt. Sushila Kumari)

&

Baba

(Mr. Ram Prasad Gupta)

CERTIFICATE

This is to certify that the thesis entitled “Characterization of MSW Incineration Ash from Three Plants in Delhi: Options for Disposal and Reuse and Comparison with Data from Other Countries” being submitted by Ms. Garima Gupta to the Indian Institute of Technology Delhi is a record of bonafide research work carried out by her under our supervision and guidance. The thesis work, in our opinion, has reached the standard fulfilling the requirements for DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY degree. The research report and results presented in this thesis have not been submitted, in part or full, to any University or Institute for the award of any degree or diploma.

Prof. Manoj Datta
Professor
Department of Civil
Engineering
Indian Institute of
Technology Delhi, New
Delhi-110016, India

Prof. B.J. Alappat
Professor
Department of Civil
Engineering
Indian Institute of
Technology Delhi, New
Delhi-110016, India

Prof. Shashank Bishnoi
Professor
Department of Civil
Engineering
Indian Institute of
Technology Delhi, New
Delhi-110016, India

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I express my sincere gratitude to my supervisors Prof. Manoj Datta, Prof. B.J. Alappat and Prof. Shashank Bishnoi for their invaluable suggestions, steadfast support and encouragement. I am incredibly grateful to Prof. G.V. Ramana for the constructive ideas and incessant motivation endowed during this journey.

I am grateful to the members of my Student Research Committee, namely, Prof. A.K. Nema, Prof. T. R. Sreekrishnan and Prof. Suresh Bhalla for their valuable suggestions during the course of this work. I express my gratitude to IIT Delhi for providing the financial aid under Faculty Interdisciplinary Research Projects (#FIRP-MI01411).

I gratefully acknowledge the logistic support provided for sample collection by officials of South Delhi Municipal Corporation (SDMC), North Delhi Municipal Corporation (NDMC), East Delhi Municipal Corporation (EDMC), M/s Delhi MSW Solutions Ltd. (DMSWSL), M/s Timarpur Okhla Waste Management Company Limited (TOWMCL), M/s East Delhi Waste Processing Company Ltd.

I am thankful for the support extended by the laboratory staff and technicians of Civil Engineering Department with the special mention of Mr. Manoj Neelam, Dr, Sanjay Gupta, Mr. Deepak, Mr. Ramcharan, Mr. Alok and Mr. D. Biswas.

My heartfelt gratitude to my friends and colleagues, namely, Dr. Aali, Dr. Abinash, Aishani, Ankit, Dr. Apoorva, Chayal, Dr. Deepesh, Deepika, Dr. Devprakash, Dr. Gopal, Harshika, Dr. Lalit, Mohit, Dr. Mohit, Dr. Monika, Dr. Moulshree, Dr. Rehman, Dr. Ritambhara, Dr. Riya, Surabhi, Dr. Swati and Taruna for sharing the laughs and tears together.

I am indebted to my family: Late Smt. Sushila Devi, Mr. Ram Prasad Gupta, Mrs. Deepa Gupta, Mr. Sanjay Kumar Gupta, Dr. Pratima Gupta, Mrs. Kalpana Gupta, Mrs. Neelima Gupta, Dr. Shipra Varshney, Dr. Ajay Kumar Gupta, Mr. Rajeev Kumar Gupta, Mr. Yogesh Kumar Gupta, Dr. Manoj Gupta, Dr. Ankur Sangal, Mr. Rajat Allen, Dr. Priyank Agarwal, Mr. Mayank Aggarwal, Dr. Richa, Ritika, Dr. Sonal, Swati, Bhoomika, Anjali, Kriti, Prashant, Prateek, Jayant, Anant, Siddhant, Ilhana, Sanskriti, Shanaya, Vaidehi and Inaya for always being by my side.

Words aren't enough to pay my *Shukrana* to the Almighty for teaching me the two most important lessons of life, i.e., faith and patience.

Jai Sai Ram

ABSTRACT

India is witnessing the rise of municipal solid waste (MSW) incineration (MSWI) plants wherein MSW is burnt, and energy is recovered as a by-product. Incineration process is accompanied by generation of solid residues, namely, bottom ash (200-300 kg/ton of MSW) and fly ash (10-50 kg/ton of MSW). Disposal and reuse of the incineration residues is regulated in most of the developed nations to minimize their impact on the environment. MSWI fly ash (MIFA) has mostly been deemed hazardous whereas MSWI bottom ash (MIBA) is classified non-hazardous and holds a potential for bulk reuse in civil engineering applications. Previous studies have revealed the variability in characteristics of MSWI ash attributable to differences in composition of MSW, pre-processing of waste, operating conditions of the incinerator etc. The composition of MSW in India being significantly different from higher-income nations like European countries, Singapore, Japan etc. necessitate detailed characterization of Indian MSWI ash prior to determining its fate.

Present study is the first and fundamental for laying a scientific foundation for disposal and reuse of MSWI ash in the country. At present, MIBA and MIFA are co-disposed in MSW landfills, open dumpsites, or mining pits with no prior assessment of its contamination potential to the sub-surface environment. Due to dearth of scientific studies on MSWI ash in India and absence of national legislations to regulate its reuse, recycling of MSWI ash in field applications remains uncharted and requires exhaustive investigation. While India is facing shortage of sand and has regulated its mining due to the consequential environmental impact, recycling of MIBA as its substitute would substantially contribute

towards sustainable development by reducing the onus on natural resources and plummeting the space requirement for its disposal.

MSWI ash was sampled from the three incineration plants operational in Delhi over a period of one and half years in different seasons, i.e., monsoons, winters, summers. MIBA was processed to obtain soil-sized fraction (passing 4.75 mm) for laboratory testing while MIFA was characterized as-received. Unprocessed-unscreened Delhi MIBA (or UDBA) was characterized for physical properties such as visual composition, grain size distribution and moisture content. Soil-sized fraction of Delhi MIBA (SDBA) was studied in conjunction with coal bottom ash, Badarpur sand and Yamuna sand for physicochemical and geotechnical characteristics whereas Delhi MIFA (or DFA) was studied in conjunction with Delhi silt and coal fly ash for physicochemical characteristics. A detailed comparison of the assessed characteristics with global experimental data was undertaken to trace the peculiarities of Indian MSWI ash in comparison to the MSWI ash from higher-income nations. Leaching studies which are deemed mandatory for assessing the fate of MSWI ash were undertaken to determine their options for disposal and reuse. Furthermore, the study addressed the need to investigate the right set of contaminants for the leaching studies. Lastly, treatment studies were undertaken on SDBA to facilitate its reuse in bound and unbound applications.

Soil-sized fraction of Delhi MIBA (or SDBA), though a lookalike of silty sand with analogous mechanical behaviour, is not as innocuous as it may appear. The concentration of total soluble solids and most of the trace metal(loid)s in SDBA is highly elevated in comparison to the conventionally used granular materials. Apart from soluble salts and trace metal(loid)s, presence of organics and percentage fines (passing 0.075 mm)

were found concerning for variety of reuse alternatives. Leaching studies revealed that reuse of SDBA is adjudged feasible only in a restricted manner in applications like fill material for embankments, road construction, floor structures of industrial or storage building, foundations, levelling of terrain and embankments, development and rehabilitation of landfills, and restoration of degraded areas from mining activities. In absence of reuse of SDBA, it can safely be disposed in MSW landfills.

The study also delineated 3-step wet-screening treatment for SDBA to attenuate leaching of contaminants and meet most of the international regulatory criteria for reuse. The method of treatment devised in the present study is simple, sustainable, economical, and practically feasible in comparison to washing treatment methods proposed earlier with regard to choice of washing agent, quantity of washing agent and duration of the treatment. The study further developed M25 concrete mix using SDBA as a replacement of fine aggregates which satisfy the requirements of compressive strength and leaching criteria for bound reuse.

DFA, though a lookalike of silt, has distinctive physiochemical characteristics in comparison to Delhi silt or coal fly ash. DFA carries substantial load of soluble salts and toxic heavy metal(loid)s causing it to be classified as extremely hazardous. Reuse of DFA in bound or unbound applications is strictly prohibited. DFA should necessarily be disposed in hazardous waste landfills after pre-treatment. Co-disposal of bottom ash and fly ash is not recommended as mixing of the two residues would transfer contaminants from highly contaminated fly ash to less contaminated bottom ash and hence, deter any future utility of bottom ash.

The comprehensive compilation of previous studies revealed wide variation in the characteristics of MIBA as well as MIFA. However, the physicochemical and geotechnical characteristics of SDBA as well as physicochemical characteristics of DFA assessed in the present study were observed to fit within the domain of the global experimental data reported from higher-income nations. The work further demonstrates that despite wide variation in MSW composition and MSWI ash characteristics globally, MSWI ash mostly meets the similar fate.

The study put forth a list of contaminants of concern (or CoCs) for MSWI ash which are consistently found to exceed various regulatory criteria across the countries. The study recommends mandatory inclusion of these CoCs in the future investigations as exclusion of any of the CoCs may present a fragmented picture of leaching from MSWI ash and further result in non-consideration of the same CoCs in the treatment studies undertaken thereafter.

With new MSWI plants emerging worldwide, the study is relevant for policymakers, MSWI plant operators, and researchers to manage MSWI residues and cope with the associated problems more effectively.

सारांश

भारत में नगरपालिका ठोस अपशिष्ट (एमएसडब्ल्यू) भस्मीकरण (एमएसडब्ल्यूआई) संयंत्रों में वृद्धि देखी जा रही है, जहां एमएसडब्ल्यू को जलाया जाता है, और ऊर्जा को उप-उत्पाद के रूप में पुनर्प्राप्त किया जाता है। भस्मीकरण प्रक्रिया के साथ-साथ ठोस अवशेष, अर्थात् तलहटी राख (200-300 किग्रा/टन एमएसडब्ल्यू) और उड़न राख (10-50 किग्रा/टन एमएसडब्ल्यू) उत्पन्न होते हैं। पर्यावरण पर उनके प्रभाव को कम करने के लिए अधिकांश विकसित देशों में भस्मीकरण अवशेषों के निपटान और पुनः उपयोग को विनियमित किया जाता है। एमएसडब्ल्यूआई उड़न राख (एमआईएफए) को ज्यादातर परिसंकटमय माना गया है जबकि एमएसडब्ल्यूआई तलहटी राख (एमआईबीए) को गैर-परिसंकटमय वर्गीकृत किया गया है और सिविल इंजीनियरिंग अनुप्रयोगों में बड़े पैमाने पर पुनः उपयोग की संभावना है। पिछले अध्ययनों से एमएसडब्ल्यूआई राख के गुणों में अंतर का पता चला है, जिसका कारण एमएसडब्ल्यू की संरचना में बदलाव, कचरे का पूर्व-प्रसंस्करण, भस्मक की परिचालन स्थितियों आदि को बताया गया है। भारत में एमएसडब्ल्यू की संरचना यूरोप, सिंगापुर, जापान आदि उच्च आय वाले देशों से काफी भिन्न है, इसलिए इसके भाग्य का निर्धारण करने से पहले भारतीय एमएसडब्ल्यूआई राख के विस्तृत लक्षण वर्णन की आवश्यकता है।

वर्तमान अध्ययन देश में एमएसडब्ल्यूआई राख के निपटान और पुनः उपयोग के लिए वैज्ञानिक आधार रखने वाला पहला और इसलिए सबसे महत्वपूर्ण अध्ययन है। वर्तमान में, एमआईबीए और एमआईएफए को पर्यावरण में संभावित संदूषण के किसी भी आकलन के बिना एमएसडब्ल्यू लैंडफिल, खुले डंपसाइट्स या खनन गड्ढों में सह-निपटान किया जाता है। भारत में,

एमएसडब्ल्यूआई राख पर वैज्ञानिक अध्ययन की कमी और नियामक कानूनों की अनुपस्थिति के कारण क्षेत्रीय अनुप्रयोगों में एमएसडब्ल्यूआई राख का उपयोग अज्ञात बना हुआ है और इसके लिए विस्तृत जांच की आवश्यकता है। भारत रेत की कमी का सामना कर रहा है और परिणामी पर्यावरणीय प्रभाव के कारण रेत खनन को नियंत्रित किया है। रेत के विकल्प के रूप में एमआईबीए का पुनर्चक्रण प्राकृतिक संसाधनों पर बोझ को घटाके और एमआईबीए के निपटान के लिए स्थान की आवश्यकताओं को कम करके सतत विकास में महत्वपूर्ण योगदान देगा।

एमएसडब्ल्यूआई राख का नमूना दिल्ली में चालू तीन भस्मक संयंत्रों से डेढ़ साल की अवधि में विभिन्न मौसमों, यानी, मानसून, सर्दी, गर्मी में लिया गया था। प्रयोगशाला परीक्षण के लिए एमआईबीए से मिट्टी बराबर कणों (4.75 मिमी से छोटे) को छलनी का उपयोग करके अलग किया गया, जबकि एमआईएफए का परीक्षण बिना किसी प्रसंस्करण के किया गया था। असंसाधित दिल्ली एमआईबीए (या यूडीबीए) का दृश्य संरचना, कण आकार वितरण और नमी सामग्री जैसे भौतिक गुणों के लिए परीक्षण किया गया था। छनी हुई दिल्ली एमआईबीए (एसडीबीए) का अध्ययन भौतिक रासायनिक और भू-तकनीकी विशेषताओं के लिए कोयला तली राख, बदरपुर रेत और यमुना रेत के साथ संयोजन में किया गया था, जबकि दिल्ली एमआईएफए (या डीएफए) का अध्ययन भौतिक रासायनिक विशेषताओं के लिए दिल्ली गाद और कोयला उड़न राख के संयोजन में किया गया था। उच्च आय वाले देशों की एमएसडब्ल्यूआई राख की तुलना में भारतीय एमएसडब्ल्यूआई राख की विशिष्टताओं का पता लगाने के लिए वैश्विक प्रायोगिक डेटा के साथ एक विस्तृत तुलना की गई। एमएसडब्ल्यूआई राख के भाग्य का आकलन करने के लिए लीचिंग अध्ययन उनके निपटान और पुनः उपयोग के विकल्पों को निर्धारित करने के लिए किए गए थे। इसके अलावा, लीचिंग अध्ययन के लिए सही संदूषकों की जांच करने की आवश्यकता पर भी ध्यान दिया

गया। अंत में, क्षेत्रीय अनुप्रयोगों में इसके पुनः उपयोग की सुविधा के लिए एसडीबीए पर उपचार अध्ययन किया गया।

एसडीबीए, यद्यपि समान यांत्रिक व्यवहार के साथ गादयुक्त रेत जैसा दिखता है, लेकिन उतना अहानिकर नहीं है जितना दिखता है। एसडीबीए में कुल घुलनशील ठोस पदार्थों और अल्प मात्रा में मौजूद अधिकांश धातुओं की सांद्रता पारंपरिक रूप से उपयोग किए जाने वाली मिट्टी की तुलना में अत्यधिक बढ़ी हुई है। विभिन्न प्रकार के पुनः उपयोग विकल्पों के लिए कार्बनिक सामग्री और सूक्ष्म कणों (0.075 मिमी से छोटा) की उपस्थिति अधिक पाई गई। लीचिंग अध्ययनों से पता चला है कि एसडीबीए का पुनः उपयोग तटबंधों के लिए सामग्री भरने में, सड़क निर्माण में, औद्योगिक या भंडारण भवन की फर्श संरचनाओं में, नींव, इलाके और तटबंधों को समतल करने में, एमएसडब्ल्यू भरण स्थल का विकास और पुनर्वास करने में, और खनन गतिविधियों से खराब हुए क्षेत्रों की बहाली करने जैसे अनुप्रयोगों में प्रतिबंधित तरीके से किया जा सकता है। यदि एसडीबीए का पुनः उपयोग नहीं किया जाता है, तो इसे एमएसडब्ल्यू लैंडफिल में सुरक्षित रूप से निपटाया जा सकता है।

अध्ययन में दूषित पदार्थों की लीचिंग को कम करने और पुनः उपयोग के लिए अधिकांश अंतरराष्ट्रीय नियामक मानदंडों को पूरा करने के लिए एसडीबीए के लिए 3-चरणीय धुलाई-छनाई उपचार की भी रूपरेखा तैयार की गई है। यह उपचार विधि धुलाई एजेंट की पसंद, धुलाई एजेंट की मात्रा और उपचार की अवधि के संबंध में पहले प्रस्तावित धुलाई उपचार विधियों की तुलना में सरल, टिकाऊ, किफायती और व्यावहारिक रूप से व्यवहार्य है। इसके अलावा, रेत के प्रतिस्थापन के रूप में एसडीबीए का उपयोग करके एम25 कंक्रीट मिश्रण विकसित किया गया था जो संपीड़न शक्ति और लीचिंग नियमों की आवश्यकताओं को पूरा करता है।

डीएफए, हालांकि गाद जैसा दिखता है, इसमें दिल्ली गाद या कोयला उड़न राख की तुलना में विशिष्ट भौतिक रासायनिक विशेषताएं हैं। डीएफए में उच्च मात्रा में घुलनशील लवण और जहरीली धातुएं होती हैं, जिसके कारण इसे बेहद परिसंकटमय के रूप में वर्गीकृत किया जाता है। भू-तकनीकी अनुप्रयोगों में डीएफए का पुनः उपयोग सख्त वर्जित है। पूर्व-उपचार के बाद डीएफए को आवश्यक रूप से परिसंकटमय अपशिष्ट भरण स्थल में निपटाया जाना चाहिए। तलहटी राख और उड़न राख के सह-निपटान की अनुशंसा नहीं की जाती है। ऐसा इसलिए है क्योंकि दोनों अवशेषों के मिश्रण से प्रदूषक तत्व उड़न राख से तलहटी राख में स्थानांतरित हो जाएंगे और इसलिए, तलहटी राख की भविष्य में कोई उपयोगिता नहीं रह जाएगी।

पिछले अध्ययनों के व्यापक संकलन से एमआईबीए के साथ-साथ एमआईएफए की विशेषताओं में व्यापक भिन्नता का पता चला। हालांकि, वर्तमान अध्ययन में मूल्यांकित प्रायोगिक गुण उच्च आय वाले देशों से रिपोर्ट किए गए वैश्विक प्रायोगिक डेटा के क्षेत्र में फिट होते देखे गए। यह कार्य आगे प्रदर्शित करता है कि विश्व स्तर पर एमएसडब्ल्यू संरचना और एमएसडब्ल्यूआई राख विशेषताओं में व्यापक भिन्नता के बावजूद, एमएसडब्ल्यूआई राख का अधिकांशतः समान भाग्य होता है।

अध्ययन ने एमएसडब्ल्यूआई राख के लिए महत्वपूर्ण प्रदूषकों (या सीओसी) की एक सूची सामने रखी है जो लगातार देश भर में विभिन्न नियामक मानदंडों से अधिक पाए जाते हैं। अध्ययन भविष्य की जांच में इन सीओसी को अनिवार्य रूप से शामिल करने की सिफारिश करता है। ऐसा इसलिए है क्योंकि किसी भी सीओसी को शामिल न करने से एमएसडब्ल्यूआई राख से लीचिंग की गलत तस्वीर पेश हो सकती है। परिणामस्वरूप, भविष्य के उपचार अध्ययन इन सीओसी को शामिल करना भूल सकते हैं।

दुनिया भर में उभर रहे नए एमएसडब्ल्यू भस्मीकरण संयंत्रों के साथ, अध्ययन नीति निर्माताओं, एमएसडब्ल्यूआई संयंत्र संचालकों और शोधकर्ताओं के लिए एमएसडब्ल्यूआई अवशेषों का प्रबंधन करने और संबंधित समस्याओं से अधिक प्रभावी ढंग से निपटने के लिए प्रासंगिक है।

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Certificate	iii
Acknowledgements	v
Abstract.....	vii
Table of contents	xvii
List of Figures.....	xxvii
List of Tables	xxxi
List of Notations and Abbreviations	xxxvii
CHAPTER 1 Introduction	1
1.1 Background	1
1.2 Terminologies Used	3
1.3 Objectives of the Study	5
1.4 Need for the Present Study	6
1.5 Scope of Work	7
1.6 Methodology	9
1.7 Organization of Thesis	11
CHAPTER 2 Literature Review	13
2.1 General	13
2.2 Global Scenario of MSW Incineration and Incineration Residues	13
2.3 National Scenario of MSW Incineration and Incineration Residues	16
2.4 Regulations for Assessing the Fate of Incineration Residues	20

2.4.1	Criteria for Disposal to Landfills	20
2.4.2	Criteria for Reuse in Field Applications	21
2.5	Review of MSWI Bottom Ash (MIBA) Characteristics.....	34
2.5.1	Physicochemical Characteristics.....	34
2.5.1.1	Visual composition.....	34
2.5.1.2	Grain size distribution (GSD) and plasticity	34
2.5.1.3	pH and EC	36
2.5.1.4	Major and minor chemical constituents.....	37
2.5.1.5	Trace metal(loid)s.....	37
2.5.1.6	Mineralogy.....	40
2.5.1.7	Total soluble solids (SS).....	40
2.5.1.8	Water-soluble chlorides and sulphates	42
2.5.1.9	Morphology	42
2.5.1.10	Loss on ignition	43
2.5.1.11	Specific gravity.....	44
2.5.2	Geotechnical Characteristics.....	46
2.5.2.1	Compaction characteristics.....	46
2.5.2.2	Permeability.....	47
2.5.2.3	Shear strength	48
2.6	Review of MSWI Fly Ash (MIFA) Characteristics	50
2.6.1	Physicochemical Characteristics.....	50
2.6.1.1	Grain size distribution	50
2.6.1.2	pH and EC	50

2.6.1.3	Major and minor chemical constituents.....	51
2.6.1.4	Trace metal(loid)s.....	52
2.6.1.5	Mineralogy.....	54
2.6.1.6	Morphology	54
2.6.1.7	Loss on ignition	56
2.6.1.8	Specific gravity.....	57
2.7	Identification of CoCs Leaching from MSWI Residues.....	58
2.7.1	CoCs in MIBA	59
2.7.1.1	Studies based on European Methods	59
2.7.1.2	Studies based on USEPA or Asian Methods	62
2.7.1.3	Inference	62
2.7.2	CoCs in MIFA.....	68
2.7.2.1	Studies based on European methods	68
2.7.2.2	Studies based on USEPA/Asian methods.....	69
2.7.2.3	Inference	72
2.8	Contamination Indices	73
2.8.1	Contamination Factor (C_b^i).....	73
2.8.2	Modified Contamination Factor or Exceedance Factor (ε_z^i).....	73
2.9	Review of Treatment Studies on MIBA	74
2.10	Research Gaps.....	80
CHAPTER 3 Sample Collection and Experimental Investigation		85
3.1	General	85
3.2	Description of the Sampling Sites.....	85

3.2.1	MSWI Plant P1	86
3.2.2	MSWI Plant P2	88
3.2.3	MSWI Plant P3	90
3.2.4	Comparison of the Sampling Sites.....	91
3.3	Sample Collection and On-Site Processing	92
3.4	Reference Materials	93
3.5	Samples Processing for Laboratory Testing	95
3.6	Experimental Investigation and Testing Methodology.....	95
3.6.1	Characterization of UDBA	97
3.6.1.1	Visual compositional analysis	97
3.6.1.2	Grain size distribution and plasticity	97
3.6.1.3	Moisture content	98
3.6.2	Characterization of SDBA	98
3.6.2.1	Physicochemical characterization.....	99
3.6.2.2	Geotechnical characterization.....	105
3.6.2.3	Leaching studies	108
3.6.3	Treatment Studies on SDBA.....	108
3.6.3.1	Treatment to facilitate reuse in unbound applications	109
3.6.3.2	Treatment by solidification/stabilization: Reuse in Bound Applications	112
3.6.4	Characterization of DFA.....	116
3.7	Summary	118

CHAPTER 4 Characterization of Unprocessed-Unscreened Delhi MIBA (UDBA)119

4.1	General	119
4.2	Visual Compositional Analysis.....	119
4.3	Grain Size Distribution and Plasticity.....	122
4.4	Moisture Content	125
4.5	Summary	125

CHAPTER 5 Characterization of Soil-Sized Fraction of Delhi MIBA (SDBA) 127

5.1	Physicochemical Characteristics.....	127
5.1.1	Grain Size Distribution and Plasticity.....	127
5.1.2	pH and EC.....	128
5.1.3	Major and Minor Chemical Constituents.....	129
5.1.4	Trace Metal(loid)s.....	133
5.1.5	Mineralogy	137
5.1.6	Morphology.....	137
5.1.7	Total Soluble Solids (SS).....	140
5.1.8	Water Soluble Chlorides and Sulphates.....	142
5.1.9	Loss on Ignition (LOI)	142
5.1.10	Specific gravity.....	143
5.2	Geotechnical Characteristics.....	145
5.2.1	Compaction Characteristics	145
5.2.2	Permeability	148
5.2.3	Shear Strength.....	149
5.3	Summary	155

5.3.1	Comparison with the Reference materials	155
5.3.2	Contrast with the Literature	158
5.3.3	Variation within Delhi or due to Change of Seasons.....	159
CHAPTER 6 Assessment of Reuse and Disposal Options for SDBA.....		161
6.1	General	161
6.2	Feasibility of Reuse Based on Physicochemical and Geotechnical Characteristics	161
6.3	Disposal Options Based on TCLP Leaching	167
6.4	Disposal and Reuse Options Based on EN 12457-7 Leaching	169
6.4.1	Assessment for Disposal Options	169
6.4.2	Assessment for Reuse Options.....	170
6.4.3	Comparison with Reference Materials.....	172
6.4.4	Comparative Analysis of CoCs.....	177
6.4.4.1	Comparison amongst SDBA and literature results.....	178
6.4.4.2	Comparison of SDBA and literature results with EU disposal criteria	185
6.5	Summary and Final Recommendations	186
6.5.1	Recommendation for Disposal of SDBA.....	186
6.5.2	Recommendation for Reuse of SDBA	186
6.5.3	CoCs and their Variability	187
6.5.4	Comparison with the Reference Materials.....	188
6.5.5	Variation in Leaching within Delhi or due to Change of Seasons.....	188

CHAPTER 7 Treatment Studies on SDBA 189

7.1	General	189
7.2	Treatment Studies to Facilitate Reuse in Unbound Applications	189
7.2.1	Preliminary Studies	189
7.2.2	Washing Treatment	193
7.2.3	Wet screening Treatment	197
7.2.3.1	Single-Step TWS	197
7.2.3.2	2-Step TWS	199
7.2.3.3	3-Step TWS with Recirculation of Washing Water	201
7.2.3.1	Inference	207
7.2.3.2	Disposal of washed fines	210
7.2.3.3	Disposal of washed water	211
7.2.4	Recommendation for Treatment of SDBA to Facilitate Unbound Reuse.....	212
7.3	Treatment by Solidification/Stabilization: Reuse in Bound Applications	213
7.3.1	Concrete Mix Proportion	213
7.3.2	Compressive Strength	214
7.3.3	Tank Leaching	214
7.4	Summary and Final Recommendations	216

CHAPTER 8 Characterization of Delhi MIFA (DFA): Assessment for Disposal and Reuse Options and Comparison with SDBA 219

8.1	Visual Appearance, Grain Size Distribution and Plasticity	219
8.2	pH and Electrical Conductivity	221

8.3	Chemical Composition.....	222
8.3.1	Major and Minor Chemical Constituents.....	222
8.3.2	Trace Metal(loid)s.....	225
8.3.3	Mineralogy	229
8.4	Loss on Ignition	231
8.5	Specific Gravity	232
8.6	Assessment for Disposal Options Based on TCLP Leaching	232
8.7	Disposal and Reuse Options Based on EN 12457-2 Leaching	235
8.7.1	Assessment for Disposal Options	235
8.7.2	Assessment for Reuse Options.....	236
8.7.3	Comparison with Reference Materials.....	236
8.8	Comparison between DFA and SDBA	241
8.8.1	Grain Size Distribution	241
8.8.2	pH and EC.....	242
8.8.3	Major and Minor Chemical Constituents.....	242
8.8.4	Trace Metal(loid)s.....	243
8.8.5	Mineralogy	247
8.8.6	Loss on Ignition	248
8.8.7	Specific Gravity	248
8.8.8	Disposal Options, Reuse Options and CoCs.....	249
8.9	Co-disposal of MSWI Bottom Ash and MSWI Fly Ash	249
8.10	Summary and Final Recommendations	254
8.10.1	Comparison with the Reference Materials.....	254

8.10.2	Comparison with Literature	255
8.10.3	Recommendations for Disposal and Reuse of DFA and Identification of CoCs	256
8.10.4	Variation within Delhi or due to Change of Seasons.....	256
8.10.5	Comparison between SDBA and DFA	256
8.10.6	Recommendation regarding Co-Disposal of Bottom Ash and Fly Ash.....	257
CHAPTER 9 Conclusions		259
9.1	General	259
9.2	Major Conclusions of the Present Study	259
9.2.1	Heterogeneity in Characteristics of Delhi MSWI Ash	259
9.2.2	Comparison with Data Reported from Higher-Income Nations	260
9.2.3	CoCs in MSWI Ash	261
9.2.4	Comparison with Reference Materials.....	262
9.2.5	Recommendation for Disposal of MSWI Ash	263
9.2.6	Recommendation for Reuse of MSWI Ash	264
9.2.7	Recommendations for Treatment of SDBA.....	265
9.3	Limitations of the Present Study	266
9.4	Suggestions for the Future Research.....	267
References		269
List of Publications		303
Biodata		305

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1	GSD of MIFA reported in literature	50
Figure 3.1	General functioning of the three MSWI plants in Delhi	86
Figure 3.2	Mass flow diagram of MSWI Plant P1.....	88
Figure 3.3	Mass flow diagram of MSWI Plant P2.....	89
Figure 3.4	Mass flow diagram of MSWI Plant P3.....	90
Figure 3.5	On-site processing of Delhi MIBA: (a) unloading from trucks on working platform, (b) sample spread in thin layers for air-drying, (c) air-dried samples, (d) large sized sieves used for size-segregation of air-dried samples, (e) fraction passing 4.75 mm or SDBA, (f) size fraction between 4.75 to 20 mm, (g) size fraction between 20-50 mm, (h) size fraction greater than 50 mm.	94
Figure 3.6	Pictures of a) UDBA, b) SDBA, c) DFA	95
Figure 3.7	An overview of the experimental investigation conducted on Delhi MSWI ash samples.....	96
Figure 3.8	Experimental investigation for investigating particle crushing of compacted SDBA samples	106
Figure 3.9	Casting of concrete specimens using SDBA as fine aggregates	114
Figure 3.10	Pictures of concrete test specimens subjected to tank leaching test.....	116
Figure 4.1	Visual constituents identified in UDBA samples.....	121
Figure 4.2	Visual compositional analysis of UDBA: a) 4.75-20 mm; b) greater than 20 mm.....	121
Figure 4.3	Visual compositional analysis of overall UDBA	122
Figure 4.4	Grain size distribution curve of UDBA samples.....	123

Figure 4.5	Comparison of GSD curve parameters with literature: a) D_{50} , b) % fines; c) % passing 4.75 mm.....	124
Figure 5.1	GSD of SDBA and the reference materials	128
Figure 5.2	Major oxides (wt. %) present in SDBA and comparison with literature studies. Note: single or independent markers represent particles passing size corresponding to x-axis; pair of markers connected with a horizontal line represent particle size range corresponding to value of markers on x-axis; hatched area represent data from the studies where MIBA particle size is not clearly specified	132
Figure 5.3	Comparison of trace metal(loid) concentration of SDBA with the results reported for MIBA in literature	136
Figure 5.4	Minerals identified in SDBA and the reference materials.....	138
Figure 5.5	(a) and (b) SEM images of particles ≤ 0.425 mm at different magnifications; (c) digital microscope images of particles in the size range 0.425-4.75 mm.	139
Figure 5.6	Comparison of total soluble solids in SDBA and the reference materials .	141
Figure 5.7	Comparison of LOI results of SDBA with the reference materials and results reported for MIBA in literature.....	143
Figure 5.8	Comparison of specific gravity of SDBA with the reference materials and results reported for MIBA in literature	144
Figure 5.9	Comparison of Proctor compaction results with the values reported for MIBA in literature	147
Figure 5.10	GSD (expressed as wt. % of coarse sand, medium sand, fine sand and fines) evaluated after compaction tests	147
Figure 5.11	CDT results on SDBA samples: (a) deviatoric stress v/s axial strain, (b) volumetric strain v/s axial strain, (c) dilation angle v/s axial strain.....	151

Figure 5.12 DST results on SDBA samples: (a) deviatoric stress v/s axial strain, (b) volumetric strain v/s axial strain, (c) dilation angle v/s axial strain.....	153
Figure 5.13 Variation of E_{50} with confining stress	154
Figure 5.14 Angle of shearing resistance v/s $LOI_{550^{\circ}C}$	154
Figure 5.15 Comparison of angle of shearing resistance of SDBA samples with results reported for MIBA	155
Figure 6.1 Comparative analysis of leaching of CoCs from SDBA and results reported for MIBA in literature (refer Table 2.33) – influence of pH and size of MIBA	182
Figure 7.1 EN 12457-2 leaching results on untreated and treated SDBA (T0: untreated, TH: thermally/heat treated, TA: treatment by ageing/weathering, TB: treatment by blending, TS: treatment by dry screening of fines).....	191
Figure 7.2 EN 12457-2 leaching results on untreated SDBA samples (T0) and SDBA samples treated by washing (TW): a) influence of washing duration, b) influence of washing L/S ratio	196
Figure 7.3 EN 12457-2 leaching test results of SDBA samples treated by single-step wet screening (TWS1 and TWS2) - comparison with untreated samples (T0), samples treated by washing at L/S ratio of 5 (TW6) and 10 (TW2) and samples treated by dry-size screening (TS)	198
Figure 7.4 EN 12457-2 leaching test results of SDBA samples treated by 2-step TWS (TWS3) - comparison with untreated samples (T0) and samples treated by single-step TWS (TWS1 and TWS2).....	200
Figure 7.5 EN 12457-2 leaching test results of SDBA samples treated by 3-step TWS with recirculation of washing water (TWS4, TWS5, TWS6 and TWS7) - comparison with untreated samples (T0) and samples treated by single-step (TWS1, TWS2) and 2-step TWS (TWS3)	202
Figure 8.1 Typical appearance of DFA samples.....	220

Figure 8.2	GSD of DFA samples and the reference materials (a) size v/s volume fraction (%), (b) size v/s percentage passing (vol. %)	220
Figure 8.3	Comparison of GSD of DFA with literature	221
Figure 8.4	Comparison of XRF results of DFA with the previous studies on MIFA.	225
Figure 8.5	Comparison of trace metal concentration of DFA with literature	228
Figure 8.6	Minerals identified in DFA and the reference materials	230
Figure 8.7	Comparison of GSD results: DFA and SDBA	242
Figure 8.8	Transfer ratio of trace metal(loid)s to MIBA – Comparison between Delhi MIBA and literature	247
Figure 8.9	Typical XRD pattern observed for SDBA and DFA	248
Figure 8.10	EN 12457-2 leaching test results of DCA samples and their comparison with the regulatory limits	252

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1.1	MSW composition based on income level of the countries.....	7
Table 2.1	Global scenario of MSW incineration and MIBA reuse.....	15
Table 2.2	Global approach for management of MIFA	16
Table 2.3	MSWI projects decommissioned in India.....	17
Table 2.4	MSWI plants presently operational in India	18
Table 2.5	Upcoming WtE plants in India	19
Table 2.6	Commonly used leaching tests to assess disposal or reuse of MIBA	23
Table 2.7	International regulations governing disposal and reuse of waste: abbreviations for the associated RTLs.....	24
Table 2.8	RTLs of contaminants for environmental regulations governing waste disposal (in mg/kg).....	25
Table 2.9	RTLs of contaminants for environmental regulations governing waste reuse (in mg/kg except for pH and EC)	28
Table 2.10	Additional information on environmental regulations governing waste reuse	31
Table 2.11	Visual composition of MIBA reported in literature.....	34
Table 2.12	Grain size distribution of MIBA reported in literature	35
Table 2.13	Plasticity characteristics of MIBA reported in literature	36
Table 2.14	Electrical conductivity of MIBA (at L/S 10) reported in literature	36
Table 2.15	Major oxide concentration reported for MIBA in literature (wt. %)	38
Table 2.16	Trace metal(loid) concentration reported for MIBA in literature	39
Table 2.17	Minerals identified in MIBA in literature	41

Table 2.18	Water-soluble chlorides (wt. %) reported for MIBA in literature	42
Table 2.19	Water-soluble sulphates (wt. %) reported for MIBA in literature	42
Table 2.20	Morphological observations reported for MIBA in literature.....	43
Table 2.21	LOI values (between 440-600 °C) reported for MIBA in literature	44
Table 2.22	Specific gravity reported for MIBA in literature	45
Table 2.23	Proctor compaction results of MIBA reported in literature	47
Table 2.24	Coefficient of permeability (m/s) of MIBA reported in literature.....	48
Table 2.25	Summary of shear strength tests conducted on MIBA in literature.....	49
Table 2.26	pH and EC of MIFA reported in literature	51
Table 2.27	Major and minor chemical constituents (wt. %) reported for MIFA in literature	52
Table 2.28	Trace metal(loid) concentration reported for MIFA in literature	53
Table 2.29	Minerals identified in MIFA in literature	55
Table 2.30	Morphological observations reported for MIFA in literature	56
Table 2.31	LOI values (440 °C to 600 °C) reported for MIFA in literature.....	57
Table 2.32	Specific gravity of MIFA reported in literature.....	57
Table 2.33	Identification of CoCs in MIBA based on European leaching test methods and regulatory standards	64
Table 2.34	Identification of CoCs in MIBA based on USEPA/Asian leaching test methods and regulatory standards	66
Table 2.35	Source of CoCs in MSW	67
Table 2.36	Identification of CoCs in MIFA based on European leaching test methods and regulatory standards	70

Table 2.37	Identification of CoCs in MIFA based on USEPA/Asian leaching test methods and regulatory standards	71
Table 2.38	Contamination level based on contamination factor.....	73
Table 2.39	Contamination level based on exceedance factor	74
Table 2.40	Summary of treatment studies on MIBA related to natural weathering/ageing or accelerated carbonation.....	78
Table 2.41	Summary of thermal treatment studies on MIBA.....	78
Table 2.42	Summary of washing treatment studies on MIBA.....	79
Table 2.43	Classification of countries based on income level for the fiscal year 2020-21	84
Table 3.1	Comparison of the three MSWI plants in Delhi	91
Table 3.2	Sample collection details	92
Table 3.3	Experimental investigation for characterization of UDBA	98
Table 3.4	Experimental investigation for characterization of SDBA	100
Table 3.5	Experimental investigation for treatment of SDBA	112
Table 3.6	Tank leaching test stages for replenishing the water	116
Table 3.7	Experimental investigation for characterization of DFA.....	117
Table 4.1	Moisture content of UDBA samples.....	125
Table 5.1	GSD of SDBA and the reference materials	128
Table 5.2	pH and EC of SDBA samples and the reference materials.....	129
Table 5.3	Major and minor chemical constituents (expressed as oxides) present in SDBA and the reference materials (in wt. %)	131
Table 5.4	Trace metal(loid)s content in SDBA and the reference materials	135

Table 5.5	Comparison of trace metal concentration of SDBA with the reference materials in terms of contamination factor	136
Table 5.6	Total soluble solids (wt. %) at various dilutions	141
Table 5.7	Water-soluble chlorides and sulphates in SDBA and the reference materials	142
Table 5.8	OMC, $\gamma_{d\ max}$ and $\gamma_{d\ min}$ for SDBA and the reference materials	145
Table 5.9	Permeability (m/s) of SDBA samples.....	148
Table 5.10	Shear strength parameters of SDBA samples	149
Table 6.1	Typical properties of coal ash acceptable for embankment construction as per IRC-SP:58 (2001) specifications.....	163
Table 6.2	Specifications for soils used in embankments	164
Table 6.3	Specifications for fill materials used in MSE walls or RSS structures.....	165
Table 6.4	TCLP leaching test results of SDBA and the reference materials (all values in mg/kg except pH and CV).....	168
Table 6.5	EN 12457-2 leaching test results of SDBA and the reference materials (all values in mg/kg except pH and CV)	173
Table 6.6	Comparison of EN 12457-2 leaching results of SDBA with EU disposal criteria in terms of exceedance factor.....	174
Table 6.7	Comparison of EN 12457-2 leaching results of SDBA with relevant reuse criteria in terms of exceedance factor	175
Table 6.8	Comparison of EN 12457-2 leaching results of SDBA with the reference materials in terms of contamination factor.....	176
Table 7.1	Physicochemical parameters of the treated wastewater obtained from STP plant for washing treatment of SDBA.....	194
Table 7.2	Sequential loss of fines from SDBA for TWS treatment method.....	203

Table 7.3	EN 12457-2 leaching test results of the untreated (T0) and treated (TWS7) SDBA samples and comparison with EU inert waste disposal criteria	206
Table 7.4	Comparison of EN 12457-2 leaching results of treated SDBA (TWS7) with relevant reuse criteria in terms of exceedance factor	207
Table 7.5	EN 12457-2 leaching test results of washed fines obtained after TWS7 treatment of SDBA (in mg/kg).....	210
Table 7.6	Physicochemical characteristics of washing water post TWS7 treatment...	211
Table 7.7	Mix proportion for M25 concrete	213
Table 7.8	Compressive strength (MPa) of concrete specimens	214
Table 7.9	Tank leaching test results (in mg/m ²)	215
Table 8.1	GSD parameters of DFA and the reference materials	221
Table 8.2	pH and EC of DFA and the reference materials	222
Table 8.3	Major and minor constituents present in DFA and the reference materials	224
Table 8.4	Trace metal(loid)s concentration of DFA and the reference materials.....	227
Table 8.5	Contamination factor calculated for DFA based on trace metal(loid) concentration	228
Table 8.6	LOI test results of DFA and the reference materials (in wt. %)	231
Table 8.7	Specific gravity results of DFA and the reference materials	232
Table 8.8	TCLP test results of DFA and the reference materials.....	234
Table 8.9	EN 12457-2 leaching test results of DFA and the reference materials.....	238
Table 8.10	Comparison of EN 12457-2 leaching results of DFA with EU disposal criteria in terms of exceedance factor.....	239
Table 8.11	CoCs observed for MIFA in the present study and the previous studies with regard to EU disposal criteria.....	239

Table 8.12	Comparison of EN 12457-2 leaching results of DFA with relevant reuse criteria in terms of exceedance factor.....	240
Table 8.13	Comparison of EN 12457-2 leaching results of DFA with the reference materials in terms of contamination factor.....	241
Table 8.14	Comparison of major and minor chemical constituents of DFA and SDBA	243
Table 8.15	Comparison of trace metal(loid)s concentration between DFA and SDBA	244
Table 8.16	Transfer ratio (in %) of trace metal(loid)s to Delhi MIBA.....	246
Table 8.17	CoCs identified in SDBA and DFA.....	251

LIST OF NOTATIONS AND ABBREVIATIONS

AC	Accelerated carbonation
APC	Air pollution control
APHA	American Public Health Association
ASTM	American Society for Testing and Materials
b.d.l.	Below detection limit
BF	Bag filter
BS	Badarpur sand
BT	Batch leaching test
BTEX	Benzene, Toluene, Ethylbenzene, and Xylene
C&D	Construction and demolition
CBA	Coal bottom ash
CDT	Consolidated drained triaxial test
CFA	Coal fly ash
CLSM	Controlled low strength material
CNS	Chinese National Standard
CoC(s)	Contaminant(s) of concern
COD	Chemical oxygen demand
CT	Column leaching test
CU	Consolidated undrained triaxial test
CV	Coefficient of variation (%)
DBA	Delhi MSW incineration bottom ash
DCA	Delhi combined ash
DFA	Delhi MSW incineration fly ash
DI	Deionized
DOC	Dissolved organic carbon
DR	Dilution ratio
DS	Delhi silt
DST	Direct shear test

EC	Electrical conductivity
XRF	X-ray fluorescence
EPA	Environmental Protection Agency
ESP	Electrostatic precipitator
EU	European Union
FDOT	Florida Department of Transportation
Fe	Ferrous
FHWA	Federal Highway Administration
GLF	Gravel-like fraction
GSD	Grain-size distribution
GWL	Ground water level
HDPE	High density polyethylene
HW	Hazardous waste
ICP-MS	Inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry
INDOT	Indiana Department of Transportation
IRC	Indian Road Congress
IS	Indian Standard
IW	Inert waste
JLT	Japanese leaching test
KSLT	Korean standard leaching test
L/S	Liquid to solid ratio
LDOTD	Louisiana Department of Transportation and Development
LOI	Loss on ignition
MDD	Maximum dry density
MIBA	MSW incineration bottom ash
MIFA	MSW incineration fly ash
MNRE	The Ministry of New and Renewable Energy
MoRTH	The Ministry of Road Transport and Highways
MPC	Modified Proctor compaction
MPS	Maximum particle size
MSE	Mechanically stabilized earth

MSW	Municipal solid waste
MSWI	MSW incineration
NEA	National Environmental Agency
NHW	Non-hazardous waste
non-Fe	Non-ferrous
NW	Natural weathering
OMC	Optimum moisture content
PAC	Powdered activated carbon
PAHs	Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons
PCDDs	Polychlorinated dibenzodioxins
PCDFs	Polychlorinated dibenzofurans
PET	Polyester
PI	Phenol index
PP	Polypropylene
PVA	Polyvinyl alcohol
R.O.	Remaining oxides
RD	Relative density
RDF	Refuse derived fuel
RSS	Reinforced soil slope
RTLs	Regulatory threshold limit(s)
SBT	Serial batch leaching test
SDBA	Soil-sized fraction of Delhi MSW incineration bottom ash
SDS	Semi-dry scrubber
SEM	Scanning electron microscope
SLF	Sanitary landfill
SLF	Soil-like fraction
SPC	Standard Proctor compaction
SS	Total soluble solids
STP	Sewage treatment plant
T0	Untreated sample
TA	Treatment by ageing or weathering

TB	Treatment by blending with uncontaminated material
TCLP	Toxicity characteristic leaching procedure
TDS	Total dissolved solids
TEPA	Taiwan Environmental Protection Agency
TH	Treatment by heat or thermal treatment
TKN	Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen
TOC	Total organic carbon
TS	Treatment by dry size screening using 0.15 mm sieve
TW	Treatment by washing
TWS	Treatment by wet screening
UDBA	Unprocessed-Unscreened Delhi MSW incineration bottom ash
USCS	United soil classification system
USEPA	United States Environmental Protection Agency
VC	Vibratory compaction
WHO	World Health Organization
XRD	X-ray diffraction
XRF	X-ray fluorescence
YS	Yamuna sand
c'	Effective cohesion (kPa)
C_b^i	Contamination factor calculated for contaminant i with respect to background soil b
D_{50}	Mean particle diameter or particle size at which 50% of the particles are finer (mm)
D_{90}	Particle size at which 90% of the particles are finer (mm)
D_{10}	Particle size at which 10% of the particles are finer (mm)
ε_a	Axial strain (%)
ε_v	Volumetric strain (%)
ε_z^i	Exceedance factor or modified contamination factor calculated for contaminant i with respect to legislation z
E_{50}	Secant Young's modulus at 50 % of the peak deviatoric stress (MPa)
$E_{0.2}$	Secant Young's modulus at 0.2% axial strain (MPa)

k	Coefficient of permeability (m/s)
η	Removal efficiency of contaminants (%)
ν	Poisson's ratio
σ'_n	Applied normal stress (kPa)
ϕ'_p	Effective angle of shearing resistance at peak stress (°)
ϕ'_c	Effective angle of shearing resistance at critical stress (°)
ψ	Dilation angle (°)
R_L	Relevance level (%)
TR_{BA}	Transfer ratio of the trace metal(loid)s to bottom ash (%)
$\gamma_{d\ min}$	Minimum dry unit weight (kN/m ³)
$\gamma_{d\ max}$	Maximum dry unit weight (kN/m ³)