

**Hcfc1 AND Ogt MEDiate ZEBRAFISH CNS REGENERATION THROUGH
HIPPO/Yap SIGNALING**

PRIYANKA PRAKASH SRIVASTAVA



**KUSUMA SCHOOL OF BIOLOGICAL SCIENCES
INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY DELHI**

MARCH 2026

© Indian Institute of Technology Delhi (IITD), New Delhi, 2026

**Hcfc1 AND Ogt MEDiate ZEBRAFISH CNS REGENERATION
THROUGH HIPPO/Yap SIGNALING**

by

PRIYANKA PRAKASH SRIVASTAVA

Submitted

in fulfillment of the requirements of the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

to the



**Kusuma School of Biological Sciences
Indian Institute of Technology Delhi**

March, 2026

CERTIFICATE

This is to certify that the thesis titled “**Hcfc1 and Ogt regulate CNS regeneration through Hippo/Yap signaling**” submitted by **Ms. Priyanka Prakash Srivastava** to the Indian Institute of Technology Delhi for the award of the degree of “Doctor of Philosophy” is a record of the Bonafide research carried out by her, which has been prepared under my supervision and guidance in conformity with the rules and regulations of the Indian Institute of Technology Delhi, India. The results prescribed in it have not been submitted in part or full to any other University for the award of any degree or diploma.

Prof. Shilpi Minocha

Associate Professor

Kusuma School of Biological Sciences

Indian Institute of Technology Delhi

New Delhi 110016, India

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I would like to express my deepest gratitude to my supervisor, Prof. Shilpi Minocha, whose guidance, support, and encouragement have been invaluable throughout my research journey. She entrusted me as her first student and welcomed into her laboratory where we and embarked on the challenge of working with zebrafish and establishing the facility, a new endeavor for both of us. This was truly a great learning opportunity and I am forever grateful for this experience and all the support I received from her helped me profoundly shape my research experience and personal growth. From the initial phases of formulating the research question to the final submissions, her careful guidance and perceptive critiques have continually encouraged me to think critically and enhance my work. I feel incredibly grateful to have had the chance to learn under her mentorship.

I would also like to thank my SRC (Student Research Committee) members Prof. C.S. Dey, Prof. Ritu Kulshreshtha and Prof. Manoj B. Menon for their valuable suggestions in my work. I am genuinely grateful to them for their helpful insights, which were crucial in enhancing my project. I greatly value the time they set aside from their busy schedules to participate in SRC meetings, where we had productive discussions that significantly contributed to my academic development. Their assistance and mentorship have been essential during this journey.

I would also like to extend my gratitude to Kusuma School of Biological Sciences and Indian Institute of Technology Delhi for providing me this opportunity and supporting me financially. I am incredibly thankful to the committed faculty and colleagues in the department, whose willingness to help—whether through engaging in thought-provoking discussions, addressing my questions, or generously supplying reagents and chemicals—has been essential. The department's cooperative and intellectually stimulating atmosphere facilitated both formal and informal interactions that significantly enhanced my academic experience. Their combined support has been a crucial factor in the successful completion of my research.

I want to express my heartfelt gratitude to my collaborators for generously offering their expertise and insights to this project. I would like to thank Prof. Rajesh Ramachandran, Dr. Poonam Sharma and Dr. Mansi Chaudhury from IISER Mohali, Prof. Sridhar Sivasubbu, Dr. Gyan Ranjan, Prof. Chetna Sachidanandan and Ms. Shabnam from IGIB, New Delhi, Prof. Vaibhav Kapuria from Amity University Haryana. Prof. Jaspreet Kaur Dhanjal and Ms. Shruti Kaushal for IIIT Delhi, Prof. Suhel Parvez and Dr. Rohan Chakroborty from Jamia Hamdard University and Prof. Ishaan Gupta, Dr. Surabhi Kohli and Mr. Abhilash Dasari from DBEB, IIT Delhi. Their readiness to share knowledge and collaborate has played a crucial role in enhancing the development and depth of my work. Their important contributions have greatly improved the quality of this research. I would also like to thank Dr. Anjali Kapoor (CRF, IIT Delhi) for her help with confocal microscopy.

I am deeply grateful to my family, whose limitless love and sacrifices have provided me with unwavering strength throughout this journey. To my parents, Mrs. Shakun Srivastava and Mr. Kapil Srivastava, your steadfast support and encouragement have inspired me to chase my dreams—this accomplishment belongs to both you and me. To my brother, Mr. Prakhar Prakash

Srivastava, I am grateful for your infinite patience, understanding, and emotional backing. You have been my consistent support during the numerous ups and downs of this undertaking, and I am forever thankful for your affection and encouragement.

I would also like to sincerely acknowledge my friend, Mr. Abhishek Sharma, for his unwavering support and patience throughout my PhD journey. His encouragement and motivation during the challenging times have been a vital source of strength for me. I am also thankful to my colleagues in the department, Ms. Saadia Naseer, Mr. Ishu Gupta, Ms. Prachi Amuley, Ms. Gagan Kaur, Mr. Kaushik Bhowmik and Mr. Rahul Gupta for their steadfast support and valuable conversations—not just about my research but also regarding personal matters. I want to extend a special thank you to my friend and lab partner, Mr. Sidharth Bhasin, whose unwavering assistance was a key motivator for my project. Our extensive and insightful discussions significantly deepen my understanding of the results, and I could not have wished for a better lab partner. I would like to genuinely express my gratitude to my past and present lab mates, Ms. Debashruti Bhattacharya, Ms. Ayushma, Ms. Nikita Pradhan, Ms. Srabaita Roy, Ms. Sweta Mondal, Mr. Himanshu Shekhar, Mr. Hariharan, Dr. Hemlata Rai, Ms. Anjali Mishra, Ms. Adrija Mohanta, Mr. Saidul SK, Dr. Pranay Tanwar, Mr. Kavan Thakkar, Mr. Manthan Bijwe and Ms. Ridhima Tandon, who have consistently provided encouragement and assistance during this journey. Their kindness and companionship fostered a cheerful and welcoming environment in the lab, transforming it into an exceptional workplace. I feel lucky to have had such outstanding individuals as my lab mates.

Finally, I want to express my gratitude to everyone who played a role, whether significant or minor, in the finishing of this thesis. To those who shared valuable perspectives on my research and to those who provided motivation throughout this journey—your impact has been profound. While I may not be able to mention everyone by name, I hope you understand how sincerely I value your assistance and encouragement.

Priyanka Prakash Srivastava

ABSTRACT

Tissue regeneration in the central nervous system is an intricate and complex process that requires tight molecular regulation. However, the precise transcriptional co-regulators involved in this process remain poorly understood. In this study, using the zebrafish model, we identify Host Cell Factor-1 (Hcfc1) and O-linked N-acetylglucosamine transferase (Ogt) as crucial regulators of regenerative processes in both the brain and retina. Host Cell Factor-1, encoded by the *hcfc1* gene in mouse and zebrafish (*HCFC1* in human). The protein is referred to as HCF-1 in mouse and HCFC1 in human. This conserved transcriptional co-regulator is essential for transcriptional regulation and cell cycle progression. It undergoes extensive O-GlcNAcylation and site-specific proteolytic cleavage by Ogt, which has dual glycosyltransferase and protease activities. Our work shows that Hcfc1 is essential for both development and regeneration. Knockout and knockdown of Hcfc1 caused various developmental defects and were lethal. Further, our experiments show that knockdown of zebrafish Hcfc1 paralogs (Hcfc1a, Hcfc1b, and both together) in the retina, along with pharmacological inhibition of Ogt using OSMI-1 in both brain and retinal tissues, leads to a significant impairment in regenerative capacity. Further analysis revealed that loss of Hcfc1 and Ogt activity shows a marked reduction in Yap levels, suggesting their vital role in maintaining regenerative signaling. Interestingly, we found that overexpression of a constitutively active *yap5SA* construct in the retina could rescue proliferation defects caused by the loss of Hcfc1 and Ogt activity, reinforcing the connection between these factors and regenerative outcomes. These findings provide new insights into the molecular mechanisms underlying neural regeneration and suggest that modulation of Hcfc1 and Ogt activity could be a valuable approach for promoting regenerative processes in zebrafish and other vertebrates.

सारांश

केंद्रीय तंत्रिका तंत्र में ऊतक पुनर्जनन एक जटिल प्रक्रिया है जिसके लिए कड़े आणविक नियमन की आवश्यकता होती है। हालाँकि, इस प्रक्रिया में शामिल सटीक ट्रांसक्रिप्शनल सह-नियामक अभी भी पूरी तरह से समझ में नहीं आए हैं। इस अध्ययन में, ज़ेब्राफिश मॉडल का उपयोग करते हुए, हमने होस्ट सेल फैक्टर-1 (Hcfc1) और O-लिंकड N-एसिटाइलग्लुकोसामाइन ट्रांसफ़ेरेज़ (Ogt) को मस्तिष्क और रेटिना दोनों में पुनर्योजी प्रक्रियाओं के महत्वपूर्ण नियामकों के रूप में पहचाना है। होस्ट सेल फैक्टर-1, चूहे और ज़ेब्राफिश (मानव में HCFC1) में hcfcl जीन द्वारा एन्कोड किया गया है। इस प्रोटीन को चूहे में HCF-1 और मानव में HCFC1 कहा जाता है। यह संरक्षित ट्रांसक्रिप्शनल सह-नियामक ट्रांसक्रिप्शनल नियमन और कोशिका चक्र प्रगति के लिए आवश्यक है। यह Ogt द्वारा व्यापक O-GlcNAcylation और साइट-विशिष्ट प्रोटियोलिसिस से गुजरता है, जिसमें दोहरी ग्लाइकोसिलट्रांसफ़ेरेज़ और प्रोटीएज़ गतिविधियाँ होती हैं। हमारा कार्य दर्शाता है कि Hcfc1 विकास और पुनर्जनन दोनों के लिए आवश्यक है। Hcfc1 के नॉकआउट और नॉकडाउन से विभिन्न विकासात्मक दोष उत्पन्न हुए और ये घातक थे। इसके अलावा, हमारे प्रयोगों से पता चलता है कि ज़ेब्राफिश Hcfc1 पैरालॉक्स (Hcfc1a, Hcfc1b, और दोनों एक साथ) को रेटिना में नॉकडाउन करने के साथ-साथ मस्तिष्क और रेटिना के ऊतकों में OSMI-1 का उपयोग करके Ogt के औषधीय निषेध से पुनर्योजी क्षमता में उल्लेखनीय कमी आती है। आगे के विश्लेषण से पता चला कि Hcfc1 और OGT गतिविधि की हानि से Yap के स्तर में उल्लेखनीय कमी देखी गई, जो पुनर्योजी संकेतन को बनाए रखने में उनकी महत्वपूर्ण भूमिका का संकेत देता है। दिलचस्प बात यह है कि हमने पाया कि रेटिना में एक संवैधानिक रूप से सक्रिय yap5SA संरचना की अधिक अभिव्यक्ति, Hcfc1 और Ogt गतिविधि की हानि के कारण होने वाले प्रसार दोषों को बचा सकती है, जिससे इन कारकों और पुनर्योजी परिणामों के बीच संबंध मजबूत होता है। ये निष्कर्ष तंत्रिका पुनर्जनन के अंतर्निहित आणविक तंत्रों में नई अंतर्दृष्टि प्रदान करते हैं और सुझाव देते हैं कि एचसीएफसी1 और ओजीटी गतिविधि का मॉड्यूलेशन ज़ेब्राफिश और अन्य कशेरुकियों में पुनर्योजी प्रक्रियाओं को बढ़ावा देने के लिए एक मूल्यवान दृष्टिकोण हो सकता है।

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1	Certificate.....	iv
2	Acknowledgement.....	v
3	Abstract.....	vii
4	Table of content.....	ix
5	List of figures.....	xiv
6	List of tables.....	xvii
7	Abbreviations.....	1
8	Chapter1: Introduction	4
1.1	Master of regeneration: zebrafish	4
1.2	Rationale of the study	6
1.3	Objectives	13
1.3.1	Exploring the role of Hcfc1 in zebrafish early development.....	13
1.3.2	Exploring the role of Hcfc1 and Ogt during brain regeneration post-injury	13
1.3.3	Exploring the role of Hcfc1 and Ogt during retinal regeneration post-injury	13
1.3.4	Exploring the role of HCF-1 in cancer	13
9	Chapter 2: Review of literature	15
2.1	Introduction to HCFC1/HCF-1 and OGT	15
2.2	HCFC1/HCF-1 in cell cycle regulation and development.....	19
2.3	HCFC1/HCF-1 in neuronal differentiation and brain development	22
2.4	HCFC1/HCF-1 in cancer	26
2.5	OGT is essential for survival and development.....	29
2.6	OGT and O-GlcNAcylation in neuronal function	30
2.7	Zebrafish as a model system for studying CNS regeneration.....	32
2.8	CNS (brain and retina) regeneration in zebrafish	33
2.9	Hcfc1 is essential for zebrafish development	37
2.10	Ogt and O-GlcNAcylation in zebrafish development and CNS regeneration	38
2.11	Hippo/Yap signaling pathway	40
2.12	Hippo/Yap signaling in zebrafish brain and retinal development and regeneration	42
10	Chapter 3: (Objective 1) Exploring the role of Hcfc1 in zebrafish early development	45
3.1	Introduction.....	45
3.2	Methods.....	47

3.2.1	Zebrafish husbandry and maintenance.....	47
3.2.2	Generation of CRISPR/Cas9-mediated mutants.....	47
3.2.3	Morpholino injection	50
3.2.4	RNA isolation, cDNA synthesis and qPCR/qRT-PCR.....	50
3.2.5	Whole mount <i>in situ</i> hybridization	51
3.2.6	Whole mount immunostaining.....	52
3.2.7	RNA sequencing and analysis.....	53
3.3	Results.....	54
3.3.1	Hcfc1 is expressed during development	54
3.3.2	Loss of <i>hcfc1</i> causes developmental defects, lethality and dysregulates key signaling pathways.....	56
3.3.3	Transcriptomic analysis of morpholino-mediated loss of <i>hcfc1</i>	60
3.4	Discussion.....	63
11	Chapter 4: (Objective 2) Exploring the role of Hcfc1 and Ogt during brain regeneration post-injury.....	68
4.1	Introduction.....	68
4.2	Methods.....	71
4.2.1	Zebrafish maintenance and induction of stab-wound injury.....	71
4.2.2	Paraffin block preparation and Sectioning.....	71
4.2.3	Histological assessment	71
4.2.4	BrdU labelling and Immunofluorescence staining	72
4.2.5	Immunoblotting.....	72
4.2.6	RNA isolation.....	73
4.2.7	RNA sample QC, library construction and RNA sequencing	73
4.2.8	Transcriptomic data analysis.....	74
4.2.9	cDNA synthesis and Quantitative RT-PCR.....	74
4.2.10	Inhibitor treatment	75
4.2.11	Statistical analysis.....	75
4.3	Results.....	75
4.3.1	Establishment of telencephalic stab-wound injury model to study zebrafish brain regeneration.....	75

4.3.2	Transcriptomic analysis and functional characterization of the zebrafish brain telencephalon at different early brain regeneration phases	77
4.3.3	Uncovering highly connected molecular regulators across the different early brain regeneration stages	82
4.3.4	p38 MAPK α is upregulated during zebrafish brain regeneration	85
4.3.5	Inhibition of p38 α MAPK hampers progenitor cell response during zebrafish brain regeneration	90
4.3.6	Hcfc1 and Ogt is upregulated during zebrafish brain regeneration	94
4.3.7	Effect of OSMI-1 mediated inhibition of Ogt activity on Hcfc1 levels and zebrafish brain regeneration	101
4.4	Discussion	104
12	Chapter 5: (Objective 3) Exploring the role of Hcfc1 and Ogt during retinal regeneration post-injury	109
5.1	Introduction	109
5.2	Methods	110
5.2.1	Zebrafish maintenance and induction of retinal injury	110
5.2.2	Immunoblotting	110
5.2.3	Cryoblock preparation and sectioning	111
5.2.4	BrdU/Edu labeling and immunostaining	111
5.2.5	Morpholino injections	111
5.2.6	mRNA transfection	112
5.2.7	Inhibitor treatment	112
5.2.8	RNA isolation, cDNA synthesis and qRT-PCR	112
5.2.9	Statistical analysis	113
5.3	Results	113
5.3.1	Upregulation of Hcfc1 during retinal regeneration	113
5.3.2	Effect of <i>hcfc1</i> knockdown during retinal regeneration	115
5.3.3	Pharmacological inhibition of Ogt activity during retinal regeneration	116
5.3.4	Impact of Hcfc1 and Ogt on Hippo/Yap signaling during retinal regeneration	118
5.3.5	<i>Yap5SA</i> overexpression rescues the effects of Hcfc1 and Ogt activity loss during retinal regeneration	119
5.4	Discussion	122

13	Chapter 6: (Objective 4) Exploring the role of HCFC1/HCF-1 in cancer progression	125
6.1	Introduction.....	125
6.2	Methods.....	126
6.2.1	<i>HCFC1</i> mRNA expression data collection and analysis	126
6.2.2	Mice	126
6.2.3	Patient sample	127
6.2.4	Hematoxylin/Eosin staining and immunohistochemistry	127
6.2.5	Cell culture.....	128
6.2.6	Immunoblotting.....	128
6.2.7	Immunocytochemistry	129
6.2.8	TUNEL assay	129
6.2.9	Wheat germ agglutinin pull-down and mass spectrometry.....	129
6.2.10	RNA isolation, cDNA synthesis and qRT-PCR	130
6.2.11	Statistical analysis.....	130
6.3	Results.....	130
6.3.1	<i>HCFC1</i> is differentially expressed in various cancers.....	130
6.3.2	HCFC1 is upregulated in lung cancer tumor tissue	133
6.3.3	Reduced <i>HCFC1</i> expression impacts proliferation, methylation, O-GlcNAcylation and wound healing capacity	138
6.3.4.	Drug-based O-GlcNAcylation inhibition decreases HCF-1 levels and proliferation 149	
6.3.5	Effects of loss of Nkx2.1 derived HCF-1 in murine lung.....	152
6.4	Discussion	155
14	Chapter 7: Conclusion	160
15	Chapter 8: Future perspectives	164
16	References.....	166
17	Appendix.....	208
18	Publications and conferences	226
19	Biodata.....	228

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1 The ability of organisms to regenerate diminishes over evolutionary time, alongside the rising complexity of the organism.	4
Figure 2 Schematic of the neurogenic niche in the zebrafish retina.	5
Figure 3 Neurogenic niches present in the brains of adult mammals and zebrafish.....	5
Figure 4 Zebrafish neurulation.....	6
Figure 5 The localization and cellular arrangement of the primary neurogenic niches in the brains of adult zebrafish, mice, and humans.....	7
Figure 6 Comparison of the human and zebrafish eye.	8
Figure 7 An illustration depicting the structure of the HCF-1 protein.....	9
Figure 8 Reactivation of embryonic programs in tissue regeneration.	11
Figure 9 The progression of solid tumors and the process of epimorphic regeneration, such as limb regeneration, exhibit several overarching similarities.	12
Figure 10 HCF-1 is significantly conserved across species.....	15
Figure 11 OGT is an enzyme that plays a role in the proteolytic maturation of human HCF-1...	15
Figure 12 A schematic illustration of the human HCF-1 protein.....	15
Figure 13 A regulation model for the transition from G1 to S phase involving HCF-1.	16
Figure 14 OGT facilitates a range of cellular reactions.	17
Figure 15 Domain structure and isoforms of O-GlcNAc transferase (OGT).	17
Figure 16 The interaction between OGT and transcription factors is indeed enhanced by HCF-1.	18
Figure 17 HCF-1 collaborates actively with E2F proteins throughout various stages of the cell cycle.	19
Figure 18 Lower levels of nuclear β -catenin were observed in male embryos with <i>Hcfc1</i> ^{epiKO/Y}	21
Figure 19 Disruption of HCF leads to a decrease in size.....	22
Figure 20 The overexpression of HCF-1 leads to a decrease in primary axonal growth in hippocampal neurons.	23
Figure 21 The loss of HCF-1 mediated by Nkx2.1-Cre results in significant cortical abnormalities.....	24
Figure 22 Schematic representation of domains of Host Cell Factor-1 (HCFC1).....	26
Figure 23 The KLF5/BAP1/HCF-1/OGT complex facilitates the advancement of cancer.	27
Figure 24 The expression of HCFC1 mRNA and its significance as a prognostic marker in HCC.	28
Figure 25 Ogt and proteins altered by O-GlcNAc are located at the transcription start sites (TSSs) of genes that are actively expressed.....	29
Figure 26 Embryos deficient in Ogt protein are unable to suppress certain polycomb target genes.	29
Figure 27 ogt cKO mice exhibit smaller brain size and disrupted development of the cortex.....	30
Figure 28 The structure of human OGT along with identified variants associated with intellectual disability.	31
Figure 29 Cellular types in the adult zebrafish brain's telencephalon.	32
Figure 30 Retinal anatomy.	33
Figure 31 Cellular reactions to injury in the zebrafish telencephalon.	35
Figure 32 Muller glia are activated upon injury-induced retinal regeneration in zebrafish.	36
Figure 33 Radial glial cells (RGCs) are diminished in both quantity and expression in the <i>hcfc1a</i> mutant (<i>co64</i> allele).	37

Figure 34 Loss of hcfc1b causes craniofacial defects.....	37
Figure 35 The expression pattern of zebrafish ogt genes shows dynamic expression during early embryonic development.....	38
Figure 36 Ogt is essential for the embryonic development of zebrafish.	39
Figure 37 The Hippo/Yap signaling pathway is depicted in the schematic.	40
Figure 38 The Hippo, Wnt/-catenin, and Notch signaling pathways work together to preserve liver health and mitigate the risk of developing hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC).	41
Figure 39 The depletion of yap has an impact on the brain.	42
Figure 40 Yap is essential for the regeneration of the retina in zebrafish.	43
Figure 41 hcfc1a and hcfc1b is expressed during zebrafish development.....	54
Figure 42 Tissue-specific expression of Hcfc1 in zebrafish.	55
Figure 43 HMA (heteroduplex mobility shift assay) gel analysis for screening CRISPR-induced modifications in hcfc1a and hcfc1b zebrafish.	56
Figure 44 Phenotypic and survival assessment of hcfc1a and hcfc1b loss-of-function in zebrafish.	56
Figure 45 Morpholino-mediated knockdown of hcfc1a and hcfc1b dysregulates key signaling pathways.....	58
Figure 46 Dysregulated genes upon Morpholino (MO)-mediated knockdown of hcfc1.....	60
Figure 47 GO and KEGG pathway enrichment analysis of RNA-seq data obtained after morpholino-mediated knockdown in zebrafish larvae at 5 dpf.	62
Figure 48 Validation of telencephalic stab-wound injury model.....	76
Figure 49 Dysregulated genes (DEGs) during injury-induced zebrafish brain regeneration.	78
Figure 50 Transcriptome profiling and functional annotation during 1 dpl, 4 dpl, 7 dpl stages of zebrafish brain regeneration.....	81
Figure 51 Identification and functional enrichment of hub genes.	83
Figure 52 The color map shows the day wise expression of the genes in the pathways.....	84
Figure 53 KEGG pathway enrichment analysis showing that MAPK signaling pathway is enriched at the three time-points.....	86
Figure 54 p38 α MAPK pathway is upregulated during zebrafish brain regeneration.	89
Figure 55 BIRB796-mediated inhibition of MAPK14a pathway.	90
Figure 56 BIRB796-mediated inhibition of MAPK14a pathway impairs the regenerative response post traumatic brain injury.....	91
Figure 57 TUNEL staining of 4 dpl zebrafish brains treated with DMSO (control) or 1 μ M BIRB796 to assess cell death.....	92
Figure 58 Dynamics of β -catenin and AKT signaling during zebrafish brain regeneration and their modulation by p38 α MAPK inhibition.....	93
Figure 59 HCFC1 expression in Zebrafish brain.....	94
Figure 60 Hcfc1 and Ogt are upregulated upon injury-induced brain regeneration.....	95
Figure 61 O-GlcNAc and OGT expression during zebrafish brain regeneration.....	96
Figure 62 Hcfc1 expressing cells accumulate in the injured hemisphere during zebrafish brain regeneration.....	97
Figure 63 Hcfc1 is upregulated in proliferating cells upon injury-induced brain regeneration....	98
Figure 64 Hcfc1 is upregulated in radial glial progenitor cells during brain regeneration.....	99
Figure 65 Hcfc1 is upregulated in neuronal cells upon injury-induced brain regeneration.....	100
Figure 66 Ogt activity inhibition affects proliferation and Hcfc1 levels during zebrafish brain regeneration.....	102

Figure 67 Ogt activity inhibition hinders zebrafish brain regeneration.....	103
Figure 68 Ogt activity inhibition affects neuronal and glial cell population during zebrafish regeneration.	103
Figure 69 Effect of OSMI-1-mediated inhibition of Ogt activity on apoptosis during zebrafish brain regeneration.....	103
Figure 70 Hcfc1 is upregulated in proliferating cells, glial cells, and neuronal cells upon injury-induced retinal regeneration.....	114
Figure 71 MO-induced Hcfc1a and Hcfc1b knockdown affects proliferation and Hippo/Yap signaling pathway during retinal regeneration.....	116
Figure 72 Effect of Ogt activity inhibition on Hcfc1 expression and regeneration in the retina.	117
Figure 73 Impact of Hcfc1 and Ogt on Hippo/Yap signaling.	119
Figure 74 Yap overexpression rescues the proliferation effects of Hcfc1 knockdown and Ogt activity inhibition during retinal regeneration.	121
Figure 75 Comparative expression levels of the HCFC1 gene across different cell lines.....	131
Figure 76 Comparative expression levels of the HCFC1 gene in different tissue samples.	132
Figure 77 HCF-1 is essential for proliferation and differentiation of Neuro2a cells.....	132
Figure 78 HCFC1 is differentially regulated in various cancers.....	134
Figure 79 HCF-1 is upregulated in Lung Squamous Cell Carcinoma (LUSC).....	135
Figure 80 HCF-1 upregulation co-localizes with LUAD prognostic marker (Nkx2.1) and cancer hallmark (O-GlcNAc) in adenocarcinoma tumor tissue.	136
Figure 81 Gene ontology enrichment analysis of HCF-1 transcriptional targets.	137
Figure 82 siRNA-mediated knock-down of HCFC1 affects OGT and O-GlcNAc levels.	139
Figure 83 Effects of HCFC1 knock-down on histone methylation modifications in A549 and NCI-H23 cells.	141
Figure 84 siRNA-mediated knock-down of HCFC1 affects tumorigenic capacity.	143
Figure 85 WGA pull down of Control (non-targeting control siRNA control transfected) and HCFC1 knockdown A549 cells.	144
Figure 86 Gene ontology enrichment analysis of O-GlcNAcylated proteins in control sample (non-targeting control siRNA transfected A549 cells) upon WGA pull down.	146
Figure 87 Gene ontology enrichment analysis of O-GlcNAcylated proteins in HCFC1 knockdown sample in A549 cells upon WGA pull down.	147
Figure 88 Identification of O-GlcNAcylated Proteins Lost Upon HCFC1 Knockdown in A549 Cells.	148
Figure 89 Effect of OGT activity inhibition in A549 lung cancer cells.....	150
Figure 90 Effects of OSMI-1 treatment in A549 cells.	151
Figure 91 Nkx2.1-Cre mediated loss of HCF-1 affects murine lung development, proliferation and causes cell death.	153
Figure 92 Nkx2.1-Cre mediated loss of HCF-1 affects OGT and O-GlcNAc levels during lung development in mice.	154

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1 Phenotypic defects upon CRISPR/Cas9-mediated knockout of <i>hcf1a</i> and <i>hcf1b</i>	58
Table 2 Phenotypic defects upon Morpholino-mediated knockdown of <i>hcf1a</i> and <i>hcf1b</i>	58

ABBREVIATIONS

Blbp	Brain lipid binding protein
BMP	Bone morphogenetic protein
BrdU	5-bromo-2'-deoxyuridine
CNS	Central nervous system
Dkk1b	Dickkopf WNT signaling pathway inhibitor 1b
GFAP	Glial fibrillary acidic protein
HSV	Herpes simplex virus
Id1	Inhibitor of DNA binding
NCC	Neural crest cell
NPC	Neural precursor cell
NSC	Neural stem cell
RGC	Radial glial cell
Shh	Sonic hedgehog
VZ	Ventricular zone
Wnt	Wingless related integration site
OGT	O-Linked N-Acetylglucosamine (GlcNAc) Transferase
ID	Intellectual disability
KO	Knockout
KD	Knockdown
MMACHC	Metabolism of cobalamin associated C
Asxl1	Additional sex comb like transcriptional regulator1
THAP11	Thanatos-associated protein domain containing 11
EGFR	Epidermal growth factor receptor
HCF-1/HCF1	Host cell factor-1
YAP	Yes associated protein
HBM	HCF-1 binding motif

Sox2	Sex determining region box-2
NeuN	Neuronal nuclear protein
MS222	Tricaine methanesulfonate
HE	Hematoxylin-Eosin
PCNA	Proliferating cell nuclear antigen
Dpl	Days post lesion
Dpi	Days post injury
Dpf	Days post fertilization
HPf	Hours post fertilization
kDa	Kilodalton
DAPI	4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole
Tg	Transgenic
NeuroD1	Neurogenic differentiation 1
PTM	Post translational modification
TPR	Tetratricopeptide repeat
RTT	Rett syndrome
DS	Downs Syndrome
MG	Muller Glia
MGPC	Muller glia-derived progenitor cells
TMG	Thiamet G
PBMC	Peripheral blood mononucleocytes
CC	Cellular component
MF	Molecular function
TBI	Traumatic brain injury
Olig2	Oligodendrocyte transcription factor 2
Yap	Yes-associated protein
PCNA	Proliferating cell nuclear antigen