

**SURFACE ENGINEERING OF METAL OXIDES INTERACTIONS AND
DEFECT SITES FOR ENHANCED METHANOL SYNTHESIS FROM
CO, CO₂, AND CO-RICH SYNGAS IN A SLURRY REACTOR: A
RATIONAL CATALYTIC DEVELOPMENT APPROACH**

VAIBHAV PANDEY



**DEPARTMENT OF CHEMICAL ENGINEERING
INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY DELHI**

JULY 2024

© Indian Institute of Technology Delhi (IITD), New Delhi, 2024

Surface Engineering of Metal Oxides Interactions and Defect Sites for Enhanced Methanol Synthesis from CO, CO₂, and CO-Rich Syngas in a Slurry Reactor: A Rational Catalytic Development Approach

by

VAIBHAV PANDEY

Department Of Chemical Engineering

Submitted

In fulfilment of the requirements of the degree of **DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY**

to the



Indian Institute of Technology Delhi

JULY 2024

Certificate

This is to certify that the thesis entitled “**Surface Engineering of Metal Oxides Interactions and Defect Sites for Enhanced Methanol Synthesis from CO, CO₂, and CO-Rich Syngas in a Slurry Reactor: A Rational Catalytic Development Approach**” submitted by **Mr. Vaibhav Pandey** to the Indian Institute of Technology Delhi for the award of the degree of **Doctor of Philosophy**, is a record of the original bona-fide research work carried out by him. He has worked under my supervision and has fulfilled the requirements, which to my knowledge, has reached the requisite standard for the submission of this thesis. The results contained in this thesis have not been submitted in part or full to any University or Institute for the award of any degree or diploma.



Prof. Kamal K Pant

Supervisor

Department of Chemical Engineering

Indian Institute of Technology Delhi

Prof. Sreedevi Upadhyayula

Co-Supervisor

Department of Chemical Engineering

Indian Institute of Technology Delhi

Acknowledgements

Every endeavor represents a synthesis of the collective knowledge and expertise of both students and professionals in the respective field. However, the realization of any project is contingent upon the provision of proper guidance and assistance by experts, who altruistically contribute to the intellectual growth and development of individuals. I seize this moment to convey my sincere appreciation and admiration to **Professors Kamal K. Pant and Sreedevi Upadhyayula**, whose mentorship empowered me to undertake and successfully complete this endeavor. Their invaluable guidance, oversight, motivation, and constructive feedback were indispensable, without which attaining the level of accomplishment in this endeavor would have been unattainable for me.

I am equally grateful to the Head of the Department of Chemical Engineering at the Indian Institute of Technology Delhi, as well as all the esteemed faculty members within the department, for their unwavering support and insightful recommendations. Furthermore, I extend my heartfelt appreciation to the members of my research committee, Professors V.V. Buwa, Shantanu Roy and Ravi P. Singh, for their invaluable suggestions and meticulous review of my work. Additionally, I express my thanks to all the dedicated staff members in both the departmental characterization laboratory and the Central Research Facility (CRF); their eager assistance will forever be cherished and remembered.

I express my special thanks to my senior lab members Dr. Sonal Asthana, Dr. Ashish Pandey Dr. Rohit Kumar, Dr. Sonit Balyan, Dr. Kaushal Parmar, Dr. Sourabh Mishra, Dr. Uma Dwivedi, Dr. Ejaz Ahmed, Dr. Prashant Jadhao, Dr. Shireen Qureshi, Dr. Akshay Mankar, Dr. Komal Tripathi, Dr. Ramdayal Panda, Dr. Sagar Dhanushkar, Dr. Ashish Bohre, Dr. Kunwar Pal, Dr. Arindam Modak, Dr. Ashutosh Kumar, Dr. Meena and Dr. Arun for their valuable suggestions to make the research work more cordial. I would like to thank my colleagues in the lab Dr. Snigdha Mishra, Dr. Surya Chandra Tiwari, Mr. Akash Bhardwaj, Dr. Shreya Singh, Dr. Vallari Chaurasiya, Ms. Akshata Ramteke, Mr. Pranit Samanta, Mr. Venkanna, Mr. Shashank Shekhar, Ms. Iqra, Dr. Deepti Mishra, Ms. Komal Sharma, Dr. Amrita Preetam, Dr. Marut Jain, Dr. Sadaf, Mrs. Shally Gupta, Mr. Abhishek Shaoo, Ms. Nidhi, Mr. Abdul, Mr. Kaushik Kundu, Ms. Neetu, Ms. Aisha

Noor and finally special thanks to Dr. Rajan Singh. for helping me with doubts, technical discussions, and many more throughout my studies.

I extend my heartfelt gratitude to all my friends at IIT Delhi, including Dr. Amrish Kumar, Mr. Deep Chandra Joshi, Mr. Varun Gupta, Ms. Sujata Sen, Ms. Ankita Kumari, and Mr. Vikash Bharti. Additionally, I would like to express my appreciation to Mr. Priyanshu Pratap Singh and Dr. Siddhartha Sengupta, for engaging in fruitful technical discussions during my Ph.D. journey. Moreover, my heartfelt thanks go to Mr. Vishesh Kumar, Mr. Krishna Kumar, Mr. Suchit Kumar, Mr. Ashish, and Mr. Vijay Pal for their unwavering support and assistance in advancing my research endeavors and conducting experiments.

Finally, I extend my deepest gratitude to my parents, Pt. Lallan Pandey and Mrs. Meena Pandey and in-laws Mr. Amrish Kumar Mishra and Mrs. Nutam Mishra, for their unwavering love and blessings. I am greatly thankful to my wife, Dr. Snigdha Mishra, for her steadfast support and encouragement throughout this journey. I am also thankful to my siblings and relatives, Mr. Shardendu Pandey and Mrs. Ansu Priya Dubey, Mrs. Gayatri Dubey and Mr. Jainendra Dubey, Mrs. Indira Prakash and Mr. Shashank Mishra for their support. I am immensely grateful to my friends Mr. Alok Singh, Mr. Manish Gupta, Mr. Neil Ratan Tiwari, Mr. Ajendra Mani Tripathi, Mr. Bharat Singh, Mr. Shubham Nigam, Dr. Pranjal Tripathi, Mr. Abhinit Tiwari, and all those individuals who directly or indirectly contributed to the completion of my work.

Furthermore, I want to express my sincere appreciation to everyone who aided me at various stages of this endeavor, thereby contributing to its overall success. Lastly, I offer my thanks to the divine for bestowing upon me blessings, patience, strength, and peace throughout life's journey.

Vaibhav Pandey

Abstract

Heterogeneous catalysts play a pivotal role in large-scale industrial processes, yielding significant economic benefits by facilitating the production of value-added chemicals such as methanol. Methanol stands as a crucial building block for petrochemical industries and finds diverse applications. The development of catalysts and processes is imperative to address energy demands and mitigate the climate crisis, aligning with the urgency outlined in the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), of particular relevance are SDG-7, emphasizing 'Affordable and Clean Energy,' and SDG-13, focusing on 'Climate Action.' These underscore three primary concerns: reducing CO₂ emissions, closing the anthropogenic CO₂ cycle, and exploring alternatives to fossil fuels. Given the challenges associated with pure CO₂ feed conversion, including higher activation barriers and stable CO₂ molecules, attention shifts towards CO₂ co-fed syngas as a potential solution. This approach not only aids in closing the anthropogenic CO₂ cycle but also facilitates the formation of C1 chemicals, representing a form of CO₂ utilization. Furthermore, CO₂ co-feeding with syngas has been shown to improve catalytic activity. In industrial settings, methanol production typically occurs in fixed bed reactors (FBRs) operating at 200-300°C and 50-100 bar, employing CuZnOAl₂O₃ catalysts. However, FBRs are prone to catalyst deactivation primarily due to sintering and coke formation induced by excessive heat and inadequate temperature control. These drawbacks are addressed by slurry reactors, which maintain isothermal conditions and mitigate catalyst deactivation, thereby enhancing the efficiency of methanol production.

In this study, an investigation was conducted to examine the influence of solvent, basicity, and defect sites on a Cu-based catalyst synthesized via combustion, with subsequent performance testing in a stirred tank slurry reactor. Experimental findings were corroborated by theoretical insights, shedding light on the significance of moderate polarity in CO hydrogenation. Multicomponent Cu-based catalysts incorporating ZnO, MgO, and CeO₂ were synthesized using the solvent combustion method. The catalyst activity test was conducted for the hydrogenation of CO, CO₂, and mixed feed to methanol. The promotional effects of ZnO, CeO₂, and MgO on the physicochemical and structural properties were investigated, alongside their correlation with catalytic activity in the slurry reactor. The CuMgCe catalyst exhibited notable performance, achieving a conversion rate of 49.8%, methanol selectivity of 95%, and a space-time yield (STY) of 646 g_{MeOH}/kg_{cat}-h⁻¹. Furthermore, methanol-assisted synthesis led to a 5% increase in STY.

Additionally, the promotional role of CeO₂ on the CuZnMg catalyst demonstrated enhanced turnover frequency (0.0074 s⁻¹) and stability compared to CuMgCe.

In-depth characterization techniques unveiled the formation of CO₂ during CO temperature-programmed desorption coupled with mass spectrometry (CO-TPD-MS) and CO temperature-programmed reduction coupled with mass spectrometry (CO-TPR-MS), attributed to lattice oxygen. This process enhances the presence of surface species CO₂^{δ-}, which serves as a highly active intermediate for the formation of intermediates like formate. Additionally, in-situ diffuse reflectance infrared Fourier transform spectroscopy (DRIFTS) demonstrated that formate intermediates play a significant role in the selective synthesis of methanol on the CuMgOCeO₂ catalyst. A mechanistic study elucidated the promotional effect of MgO and CeO₂ on the CuMgCe catalyst, synthesized in the laboratory, in enhancing methanol formation during CO₂-cofed syngas conversion to methanol. Density functional theory (DFT) calculations and in-situ DRIFTS experiments were conducted for micro-level studies and to elucidate the reaction mechanism. CuMgO and CuCeO₂ were found to exhibit higher activity for CO and CO₂ hydrogenation, respectively. Furthermore, the role of initial methanol concentration was investigated through in-situ DRIFTS, revealing insights into the mechanism of methanol-assisted synthesis. In summary, optimizing the methanol yield involves considering factors such as defect sites, basicity, initial methanol concentration, and tuning catalyst properties through the effects of supports. These findings underscore the importance of a comprehensive understanding of catalyst characteristics and reaction mechanisms in enhancing methanol synthesis efficiency.

विजातीय उत्प्रेरक बड़े पैमाने पर औद्योगिक प्रक्रियाओं में महत्वपूर्ण भूमिका निभाते हैं, जो मेथनॉल जैसे मूल्यवर्धित रसायनों के उत्पादन को सुविधाजनक बनाकर महत्वपूर्ण आर्थिक लाभ प्रदान करते हैं। मेथनॉल पेट्रो-रसायन उद्योगों के लिए एक महत्वपूर्ण निर्माण खंड के रूप में खड़ा है और इसके विविध अनुप्रयोग हैं। उत्प्रेरक और प्रक्रियाओं का विकास ऊर्जा की मांगों को संबोधित करने और जलवायु संकट को कम करने के लिए अनिवार्य है, जो सतत विकास लक्ष्यों (एसडीजी) में उल्लिखित तात्कालिकता के साथ संरेखित है, विशेष रूप से प्रासंगिक एसडीजी- ७ हैं, जो 'सस्ती और स्वच्छ ऊर्जा' पर जोर देते हैं, और एसडीजी- १३ , जो 'जलवायु कार्रवाई' पर ध्यान केंद्रित करते हैं। ये तीन प्राथमिक चिंताओं को रेखांकित करते हैं: CO₂ उत्सर्जन को कम करना, मानवजनित CO₂ चक्र को बंद करना, और जीवाश्म ईंधन के विकल्पों की खोज करना। उच्च सक्रियण अवरोधों तथा स्थिर CO₂ अणुओं सहित शुद्ध CO₂ निविष्ट रूपांतरण से जुड़ी चुनौतियों को देखते हुए, संभावित समाधान के रूप में CO₂ सह- निविष्ट सिंथेटिक गैस (सिनगैस) की ओर ध्यान जाता है। यह दृष्टिकोण न केवल मानवजनित CO₂ चक्र को बंद करने में सहायता करता है बल्कि C1 रसायनों के निर्माण को भी सुगम बनाता है, जो CO₂ उपयोग का एक रूप है। इसके अलावा, सिनगैस के साथ CO₂ का सह-भक्षण उत्प्रेरक गतिविधि में सुधार करने के लिए दिखाया गया है। औद्योगिक समायोजन में, मेथनॉल उत्पादन आम तौर पर २००- ३०० डिग्री सेल्सियस और ५०- १०० बार पर संचालित फिक्स्ड बेड रिएक्टरों (एफबीआर) में होता है, जिसमें CuZnOAl₂O₃ उत्प्रेरक का उपयोग किया जाता है। हालांकि, एफबीआर मुख्य रूप से अत्यधिक गर्मी और अपर्याप्त तापमान नियंत्रण से प्रेरित सिल्टरिंग और कोक गठन के कारण उत्प्रेरक निष्क्रियता के लिए प्रवण होते हैं। इन कमियों को स्लरी रिएक्टरों द्वारा संबोधित किया जाता है, जो समतापी स्थितियों को बनाए रखते हैं और उत्प्रेरक निष्क्रियता को कम करते हैं, जिससे मेथनॉल उत्पादन की दक्षता बढ़ जाती है। प्रायोगिक निष्कर्षों की पुष्टि सैद्धांतिक अंतर्दृष्टि द्वारा की गई, जो CO हाइड्रोजनीकरण में मध्यम ध्रुवीयता के महत्व पर प्रकाश डालती है। विलायक दहन विधि का उपयोग करके ZnO, MgO और CeO₂ को शामिल करने वाले बहुघटक Cu-आधारित उत्प्रेरक को संश्लेषित किया गया। CO, CO₂ और मेथनॉल में मिश्रित फीड के हाइड्रोजनीकरण के लिए उत्प्रेरक गतिविधि परीक्षण किया गया। भौतिक और संरचनात्मक गुणों पर ZnO, CeO₂ और MgO के प्रचारात्मक प्रभावों की जांच की गई, साथ ही स्लरी रिएक्टर में उत्प्रेरक गतिविधि के साथ उनके सहसंबंध की भी जांच की गई। CuMgCe उत्प्रेरक ने उल्लेखनीय प्रदर्शन किया, ४९.८ % की रूपांतरण दर, ९५ % की मेथनॉल चयनात्मकता और ६४६ gMeOH/kgcat-h-1 की स्पेस-टाइम उपज (STY) प्राप्त की। इसके अलावा, मेथनॉल-सहायता प्राप्त संश्लेषण से STY में ५% की वृद्धि हुई। इसके अतिरिक्त, CuZnMg उत्प्रेरक पर CeO₂ की प्रचारात्मक भूमिका ने CuMgCe की तुलना में बढ़ी हुई टर्नओवर आवृत्ति (0.00७४ s⁻¹) और स्थिरता का प्रदर्शन किया। गहन लक्षण वर्णन तकनीकों ने CO तापमान-क्रमादेशित विशोषण के दौरान द्रव्यमान स्पेक्ट्रोमेट्री (CO-TPD-MS) और CO तापमान-क्रमादेशित कमी के साथ द्रव्यमान स्पेक्ट्रोमेट्री (CO-TPR-MS) के दौरान CO₂ के गठन का खुलासा किया, जिसका श्रेय जालक ऑक्सीजन को दिया जाता है। यह प्रक्रिया सतह प्रजाति CO₂^{δ-} की उपस्थिति को बढ़ाती है, जो फॉर्मेट जैसे मध्यवर्ती अणुओं के गठन के लिए एक अत्यधिक सक्रिय मध्यवर्ती के रूप में कार्य करती है। इसके अतिरिक्त, स्वस्थानी डिफ्यूज रिफ्लेक्शन इंफ्रारेड फूरियर ट्रांसफॉर्म स्पेक्ट्रोस्कोपी (डीआरआईएफटीएस) ने प्रदर्शित किया कि फॉर्मेट मध्यवर्ती CuMgOCeO₂ उत्प्रेरक पर मेथनॉल के चयनात्मक संश्लेषण में महत्वपूर्ण भूमिका निभाते हैं एक यांत्रिक अध्ययन ने प्रयोगशाला में संश्लेषित CuMgCe उत्प्रेरक पर MgO और CeO₂ के प्रोत्साहन प्रभाव को स्पष्ट किया, जो CO₂- सह- निविष्ट सिंथेटिक गैस के मेथनॉल में रूपांतरण के दौरान मेथनॉल

निर्माण को बढ़ाता है। सूक्ष्म स्तर के अध्ययनों और प्रतिक्रिया तंत्र को स्पष्ट करने के लिए घनत्व कार्यात्मक सिद्धांत (डी एफ टी) गणना और स्वस्थानी डीआरआईएफटीएस प्रयोग किए गए। CuMgO और CuCeO_2 क्रमशः CO और CO_2 हाइड्रोजनीकरण के लिए उच्च गतिविधि प्रदर्शित करते पाए गए। इसके अलावा, स्वस्थानी डीआरआईएफटीएस के माध्यम से प्रारंभिक मेथनॉल सांद्रता की भूमिका की जांच की गई, जिससे मेथनॉल-सहायता प्राप्त संश्लेषण के तंत्र में अंतर्दृष्टि का पता चला। संक्षेप में, मेथनॉल उपज को अनुकूलित करने में उत्प्रेरक के दोष स्थलों, मूलता, प्रारंभिक मेथनॉल सांद्रता और समर्थन के प्रभावों के माध्यम से उत्प्रेरक गुणों को समंजन करने जैसे कारकों पर विचार करना शामिल है। ये निष्कर्ष मेथनॉल संश्लेषण दक्षता को बढ़ाने में उत्प्रेरक विशेषताओं और प्रतिक्रिया तंत्र की व्यापक समझ के महत्व को रेखांकित करते हैं।

Table of Contents

Title	Page No.
<i>Certificate</i>	<i>I</i>
<i>Acknowledgements</i>	<i>II</i>
<i>Abstract</i>	<i>IV</i>
<i>List of figures</i>	<i>IX</i>
<i>List of Tables</i>	<i>XIII</i>
<i>Thesis Contribution</i>	<i>XIV</i>
Chapter 1: Introduction	1
1.1. Overview and motivation of the present study.....	1
1.2. Coal derived syngas as a reactant.....	3
1.3. Effect of CO ₂ content in methanol production.....	5
1.4. Historical overview of the methanol synthesis	6
1.5. State of art on thermo-catalytic CO/CO ₂ conversion to methanol	8
1.6. Alternative routes of thermo-catalytic methanol synthesis	10
1.7. Existing thermo-catalytic methanol synthesis technologies.....	11
1.8. Slurry technology	13
1.9. Thesis organisation.....	17
Chapter 2: Literature Review	19
2.1. Thermodynamics of methanol synthesis	19
2.2. Methanol synthesis catalysts	20
2.2.1 Cu based catalysts.....	23
2.2.2 Noble metal catalysis for methanol synthesis.....	25
2.2.3 Limitations of the catalytic systems.....	26
2.3. Effect of solvents.....	29
2.4. Reaction mechanism and methanol assisted synthesis.....	34
2.5. Kinetics of methanol synthesis.....	38
2.6. Role of oxygen vacancy/ defect sites in CO/CO ₂ environment	42
2.7. Research gaps.....	45

2.8. Research objectives	45
Chapter 3: Materials and Methods	47
3.1. Chemicals used	47
3.2. Catalyst synthesis	47
3.2.1 Solvent combustion method:	47
3.2.2 Co-precipitation method	47
3.3. Catalyst characterization	48
3.3.1 Powder X-ray diffraction	48
3.3.2 Surface area analysis (N ₂ -physisorption)	48
3.3.3 H ₂ - temperature programmed reduction	49
3.3.4 CO- temperature programmed reduction	49
3.3.5 CO ₂ -temperature programmed desorption	49
3.3.6 CO-temperature programmed desorption	49
3.3.7 X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy	50
3.3.8 Copper surface area (N ₂ O titration)	50
3.3.9 Surface morphology analysis by electron microscopy	52
3.3.10 Microwave plasma atomic emission spectroscopy (MPAES)	53
3.3.11 Identification of the intermediate surface species (<i>in-situ</i> DRIFTS)	53
3.4. Catalytic activity test	53
3.4.1 Continuous mode of operation	54
3.4.2 Bath mode of Operation	55
3.5. Computational details	56
3.5.1 Study of the solvent effect on Cu(111) facet	56
3.5.2 Adsorption of the CO on the Cu-ZnO-MgO surfaces	59
3.5.3 Adsorption of the CO and CO ₂ on the Cu/MgO and Cu/CeO ₂	60
Chapter 4: Effect of Protic/aprotic Solvents on CO Activation and Hydrogenation to Methanol	62
4.1. Introduction	62
4.2. Results and discussions	64
4.2.1 Experimental results	64
4.2.2 Computational results	68
4.3. Summary	81
Chapter 5 Development of Cu-Based Catalysts for CO Hydrogenation to Methanol	82
5.1. Introduction	82
5.2. Results and discussion	83

5.3. Catalytic activity test.....	96
5.4. Catalyst stability	102
5.5. Summary	110
Chapter 6 CO-rich Syngas Conversion to Methanol over Cu-based Catalysts-Elucidating the Promotional Effect of MgO and CeO ₂	112
6.1. Introduction	112
6.2. Results and discussion.....	114
6.3. Catalyst performance test	127
6.4. Study of the spent catalysts	135
6.5. DFT results.....	137
6.6. Mechanistic study of CuMgCe catalyst	139
6.7. Summary	144
Chapter 7: Promotional Effect of CeO ₂ and Methanol on CO ₂ cofed Syngas to Methanol	145
7.1. Introduction	145
7.2. Results and discussions	145
7.2.1 Effect of CeO ₂ content	152
7.2.2 Kinetics model fitting of the CZMC-2 catalyst	156
7.2.3 Role of initial methanol concentration.....	160
7.2.4 Reaction mechanism for methanol assisted synthesis	161
7.2.5 Comparison of slurry reactor with fixed Bed reactor	166
7.3. Summary	169
Chapter 8: Conclusions and Recommendations	171
8.1. Conclusions	171
8.2. Recommendations	175

List of Figures

Figure 1: Representation of the present study.....	2
Figure 2: Sources for syngas production and its derivatives	5
Figure 3: Historical overview of the methanol synthesis.....	7
Figure 4 Schematic diagram of syngas source, application of syngas, and methanol	8
Figure 5. Schematic of methanol synthesis technologies	12
Figure 6. Schematic diagram: of (a) Stirred Tank Slurry Reactor (STSR) and (b) Slurry Bubble Column Reactor (SBCR)	15
Figure 7: Catalytic property for methanol synthesis catalyst.....	22
Figure 8: Limitations of the methanol synthesis catalysts and deactivation.	27
Figure 9. Cu (100), (110), (111) surface energies with various dielectric constants [73].	31
Figure 10. (a) Cu (h k l) first slab mulliken charges with various dielectric constants. (b) Free CO Mulliken charges with different dielectric constants [73].	32
Figure 11. (a) Effect of different solvents on CO conversion and selectivity of methanol. (b) Effect of solvents on the crystallite size and particle size [68].....	33
Figure 12: Possible reaction pathways of CO hydrogenation.....	35
Figure 13. The pathway of Methanol synthesis from CO and CO ₂ hydrogenation	37
Figure 14. The possible effect of the oxygen vacancies in the CO/CO ₂ environment.....	43
Figure 15: The schematic of the stirred tank slurry reactor system	56
Figure 16: Cu (111) facet adsorption sites and configuration: (a) top view; (b) side view	58
Figure 17. The overall representation of the chapter 4	63
Figure 18. Characterization of Cu/ZnO catalyst (a) Calcined XRD (b) Reduced XRD (c) H ₂ -TPR and (d) CO ₂ -TPD	65
Figure 19. (a) SEM image (b) and (c) TEM and HR-TEM image (d) SAED pattern of Cu/ZnO catalyst	66
Figure 20. XPS spectra of (a) Cu 2p (b) Zn 2p and (c) O 1s	67
Figure 21. The catalytic activity test of Cu/ZnO at 240 °C, 50 bar, 1000 rpm, 5 wt% slurry.....	68
Figure 22. The surface energies of Cu(111), Cu(200) and Cu(220) in vacuum.....	69
Figure 23. Relationship between surface energies and dielectric constants.....	70
Figure 24. Relative energy of CO adsorbed over Cu (111) in vacuum at different adsorption sites.....	71
Figure 25. Structure of CO adsorbed over Cu (111) (a) top site (b) bridge site (c) FCC site	71
Figure 26. (a) Mulliken charge of CO with dielectric constant (b) Mulliken charge of Cu(111) with dielectric constant (c) Dipole moment of free CO in different solvents	74
Figure 27. Electron density difference (EDD) of the CO adsorption on Cu(111) in vacuum, diglyme, and DMSO. The accumulation and outflow of the electron density are shown in blue, green, yellow, and red colors which shows the charges from negative values to positive values. The brown, red, grey colors represent the Cu, O, and C atoms.	76
Figure 28. PDOS profile of (a) free CO (b) Cu(111) after CO adsorption at HCP site in vacuum and solvents. The fermi level is set to zero.....	77
Figure 29. The σ -profile of (a) Cu(111) facet (b) CO (c) Top Site (TS) (d) FCC Site (e) HCP Site (f) bridge site: in different solvents	80

Figure 30. Representation of the CO hydrogenation to methanol in presence of solvent.....	82
Figure 31. Powder XRD pattern of the (a) combusted catalysts, (b) peak shift of CuO, and (c) Peak shift of ZnO ...	86
Figure 32. SEM images of (a) CuZn (b) 100Cu (c) CuMg (d) CuZnMg catalysts	87
Figure 33. HR-TEM images of the combusted catalysts (a) CuZn (b) CuMg (c) CuZnMg	88
Figure 34. Temperature-programmed reduction of the catalysts	90
Figure 35. H ₂ Temperature programmed desorption of the catalysts.....	91
Figure 36. Density of states (TDOS) of Cu _{4t} , ZnO(101), MgO(200)	93
Figure 37. CO ₂ Temperature programmed desorption of the catalysts	94
Figure 38. XPS spectra of (a) Cu 2p, (b) Zn 2p, (c) O 1s, (d) Mg 2p	95
Figure 39. Activity test of the CuZn, CuMg, and CuZnMg at 240 °C, 50 bar, 1000 rpm, 5% slurry wt%, WHSV = 2564 ml g _{cat} – 1 h – 1	98
Figure 40. Scanning electron microscope (SEM) images show the morphology of the catalysts in their fresh and used states (a) CuZn, (b) CuMg, and (c) CuZnMg.	99
Figure 41. (a) Effect of Temperature of CuZnMg at 50 bar, 1000 rpm, 5% slurry weight, WHSV = 2564 ml g _{cat} – 1 h – 1 (b) Effect of Pressure of CuZnMg at 240 °C, 1000 rpm, 5% slurry wt, WHSV = 2564 ml g _{cat} – 1 h – 1	100
Figure 42. At 240 °C, 50 bar, 1000 rpm, 5% slurry wt%, WHSV = 2564 ml g _{cat} – 1 h – 1 (a) Structure-activity correlation between STY of methanol, Cu ⁰ surface area, and Cu particle size, (b) Effect of stirrer speed on STY ..	102
Figure 43. Time-on-stream study of CuZnMg catalyst for CO hydrogenation to methanol at 240 °C, 50 bar, 1000 rpm, 5% = Catalyst concentration, WHSV = 2564 ml g _{cat} – 1 h – 1	103
Figure 44. XRD of the spent catalysts	105
Figure 45. HR-TEM of the used state catalyst (a) CuZnO, (b) CuMgO, (c) CuZnOMgO	106
Figure 46. Particle size distribution analysis from HR-TEM of the fresh and spent catalyst (a) CuZnMg, (b) CuZn, (c) CuMg (d) nanorods of spent CuZnMg	107
Figure 47. XPS spectra of spent catalysts (a) Cu 2p, (b) Mg 2p, (c) Zn 2p	107
Figure 48. Adsorption energy of Cu _{4t} cluster on ZnO, MgO, ZnO/MgO. The green, red, purple, and brown colors represent Mg, O, Zn, and Cu.	109
Figure 49. d-band center and CO adsorption (at top site) of catalytic surface.....	110
Figure 50. The representation of the chapter 6	113
Figure 51: (a) Powder XRD of the calcined catalyst (b) Peak shift of CuO(111).....	116
Figure 52: H ₂ -TPR and of the calcined catalyst.....	117
Figure 53. CO-TPR of the calcined catalyst (b) CO response in CO-TPR-MS (c) CO ₂ evolution in CO-TPR	119
Figure 54. (a) CO ₂ TPD (b) H ₂ -TPD of the calcined catalysts	121
Figure 55. (a) CO-TPD (b) CO ₂ evolution during CO-TPD in He flows (c) CO peak during CO-TPD in the catalysts	122
Figure 56. XPS of calcined catalyst (a) Cu 2p, (b) Mg 2p, (c) Zn 2p, (d) O1s, (e) Ce3d.	124
Figure 57. The Raman spectra of the catalysts.	126
Figure 58. HR-TEM and SEM images of the calcined catalysts	127
Figure 59: The product distribution of the catalysts for different feed (a) CO+CO ₂ (b) CO (c) CO ₂ (240 °C, 50 bar, 1000 rpm, 2564 mL/g _{cat} -h ⁻¹ WHSV (for b and c), 2666 mL/g _{cat} -h ⁻¹ (for a)	129

Figure 60. (a) CO hydrogenation, (b) CO ₂ hydrogenation, (c) CO: CO ₂ : H ₂ (32:5:64) hydrogenation, (d) effect of CO ₂ concentration in CuMgCe at 240 °C, 50 bar, 1000 rpm, 2564 mL/g _{cat} -h ⁻¹ WHSV	130
Figure 61: time on stream (ToS) plot of the CuMgCe catalyst at 50 bar, 240 °C, 1000 rpm, 2564 mL/g _{cat} h ⁻¹ WHSV	132
Figure 62: The structure-activity relationship between STY and (a) Cu ⁰ surface area, (b) OVC (as calculated from XPS), mean Cu particle size vs normalized rate with (c) Cu surface area, (d) surface density of interfacial sites, (e) the number of Cu interfacial sites (f) normalized rate with OVC vs strong basic sites.	134
Figure 63. The characterization of the spent catalysts (a) XRD (b) SEM images (c) Particle size distribution as measured by SEM (d) Cu ⁰ surface area (e) Dispersion (f) particle size as measured by N ₂ O chemisorption (g) Ce 3d, and (h) O1sXPS analysis of CuMgCe analysis.....	136
Figure 64. The Total density of states of (a) MgO, (b) CeO ₂ , (c) Adsorption energy of CO and CO ₂ at different surfaces, the geometric optimized surfaces after adsorption of CO and CO ₂ on MgO (d, e) and CeO ₂ (f, g). The brown, red, white, green, and grey colors represent Cu, O, Ce, Mg, C	138
Figure 65. In-situ DRIFTS spectra of (a) CO ₂ adsorption, (b) and (c) CO ₂ hydrogenation with time (d), and (e) CO ₂ hydrogenation at pressure 1-5 bar(g).....	141
Figure 66: (a) The representation of the catalytic properties via in-depth characterization of catalysts for the CO and CO ₂ hydrogenation (b) Proposed reaction sequences for the CO and CO ₂ hydrogenation on Cu/MgO and Cu/CeO ₂ surfaces.	143
Figure 67: (a) Peak shift of CeO ₂ (111) (b) XRD of the catalysts.	147
Figure 68: H ₂ -TPR and of the calcined catalyst.....	148
Figure 69: (a) CO TPR of the catalysts, MS response for (b) CO ₂ and (c) CO	149
Figure 70:H ₂ -TPD and of the calcined catalyst	151
Figure 71: CO ₂ -TPD of the calcined catalysts	151
Figure 72: (a) TOF, (b) H ₂ -spillover, (c) TOF vs Ce content, (d) structural properties relationship of the catalysts .	153
Figure 73: The effect of (a) WHSV, (b) pressure, (c) Temperature, and (d) Arrhenius plot	155
Figure 74: Time-on-stream study of the CuMgCe and CZMC-2 catalyst.....	156
Figure 75: Parity plot showing the comparison between calculated and experimental values	159
Figure 76: Promotional role of methanol on the STY of CMC catalyst	161
Figure 77: <i>in-situ</i> DRIFTS spectra for (a) CO ₂ adsorption and (b) methanol adsorption on CZMC-2 catalyst.....	163
Figure 78: <i>in-situ</i> DRIFTS spectra for CO ₂ hydrogenation in the presence and absence of methanol on CZMC-2 catalyst.....	164
Figure 79: Reaction pathway for methanol assisted hydrogenation of CO and CO ₂	166
Figure 80: comparison of the slurry reactor and fixed bed reactor at 20 bar, 240 °C, 1000 rpm, 2666 mL/g _{cat} h ⁻¹ WHSV, Feed ratio CO/CO ₂ /H ₂ = (33/5/67) (a) time-on-stream study and (b) after 6 hours of run	167

List of Table

Table 1. Comparison of slurry technology with gas-phase multibed adiabatic reactor [37]	17
Table 2: Metal composition of industrial catalysts by the various organization [45]	21
Table 3. Different processes and corresponding catalysts for methanol synthesis from CO/CO ₂ in the three-phase system.....	27
Table 4: Kinetic models for the three-phase methanol synthesis	40
Table 5: Calculated d-band center of Cu(111) in different solvents.....	73
Table 6: The Dispersion energy of the free CO in different solvents.....	75
Table 7. Computed adsorption energy (E_{ads}), the bond length of C-O (d_{C-O}) and Cu-C(d_{Cu-C}), and Mulliken charges of C, O, CO, and Cu at different sites on Cu(111): E_{ads} , adsorption energy; d_{Cu-C} , copper-carbon bond length; d_{C-O} , bond length of CO; q_c and q_o , Mulliken charges of C and O; q_{CO} , the net charge of CO ^a	78
Table 8. Structural and textural properties of the catalysts	83
Table 9 Structural and textural properties of the combusted catalysts	85
Table 10. A quantitative illustration of reduction peaks (Theoretical H ₂ consumption= 7.54 mmol/g).....	92
Table 11. A quantitative illustration of CO ₂ TPD.....	93
Table 12. Structural and textural properties of the catalysts	114
Table 13: Elemental analysis of the combusted catalysts (as measured by MPAES)	115
Table 14. The reducibility data of the calcined catalysts	117
Table 15. Basicity of the catalysts	120
Table 16: A brief comparison of the catalysts in the slurry reactors	130
Table 17: The physio-chemical properties of the catalysts	133
Table 18: in-situ DRIFTS bands Assignment of surface species for CO ₂ hydrogenation on CuMgCe catalyst	139
Table 19: Structural and textural properties of the catalysts	146
Table 20: The reducibility data of the calcined catalysts	149

Thesis Contribution

1. **Pandey V**, Singh R, Pant K.K., Sreedevi U, ‘Experimental and Theoretical Study Unveiling the Role of Solvents on CO Activation and Hydrogenation to Methanol in Three-Phase Reactor System’, Journal of Molecular Structure, 2023, 1274 P2, 134392. (DOI: 10.1016/j.molstruc.2022.134392)
2. **Pandey V**, Pant K.K., Sreedevi U, ‘Combustion induced synthesis of multicomponent Cu based catalysts for CO hydrogenation to methanol in three phase system: Experimental and Theoretical Insights’, RSC Reaction Chemistry and Engineering, 2023, 8, 442-454. (DOI: 10.1039/D2RE00427E)
3. Singh R, **Pandey V**, Pant K. K., ‘Promotional Role of Oxygen Vacancy Defects and Cu-Ce Interfacial Sites on the Activity of Cu/CeO₂ Catalyst for CO₂ Hydrogenation to Methanol’, ChemCatChem 2022, 14, 24. (DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1002/cctc.202201053>)
4. Bhardwaj A., Pokhriyal M., **Pandey V**, K.K. Pant, Sreedevi U., ‘Enhanced Methanol Formation over Cu/MgO catalyst derived from Mg-Rich Active Hydroxycarbonate Precursor’, ACS Applied Energy Materials, 2024, 7, 12, 5163
5. **Pandey V**, Singh P.P., Pant K.K., Sreedevi U, Sengupta S., ‘CO-rich Syngas Conversion to Methanol over Cu-based catalysts-Elucidating the Promotional Effect of MgO and CeO₂’ (Communicated)
6. **Pandey V**, Mishra S., Pant K.K., Sreedevi U, ‘An Overview of the Advancements in CO/CO₂ Hydrogenation in Slurry Reactor Elucidating Self-Catalyzed Methanol Synthesis Process: Theoretical and Experimental aspects’ (Communicated)
7. Tiwari S.C., **Pandey V**, Pant K.K., Sreedevi U., ‘Role of Dual Functionalized Ionic Liquids as Promoter of Methyl-diethanol-amine for Effective CO₂ Capture: Solubility, Interaction Mechanism, and Economy Analysis’ (communicated)
8. **Pandey V**, Bhardwaj A, Singh P.P., Pant K K, Sreedevi U., Sengupta S., ‘Surface engineered Ce promoted Cu-based catalyst for low temperature methanol synthesis elucidating the promotional effect of CH₃OH’, (under preparation)
9. **Pandey V**, Mishra S, Negi N, Bisht M, Pant K.K., ‘Cholinium tosylate IL assisted efficient one pot conversion of CO₂ to methanol with a heterogeneous CuMgO catalyst’, (under Preparation)

International Conferences

1. V Pandey, KK Pant, Sreedevi U., “Combustion induced synthesis of multicomponent Cu based catalysts for CO/CO₂ hydrogenation to methanol in three-phase system: Experimental and Theoretical Insights” for oral presentation at **ACS Spring 2022** conference (online)
2. V Pandey, KK Pant, Sreedevi U., “Combustion induced synthesis of multicomponent Cu based catalysts for CO/CO₂ hydrogenation to methanol in three-phase system: Experimental and Theoretical Insights” **9th International Conference on Green Chemistry**, 5-9 Sept, 2022, Athens, Greece.

3. V Pandey, K.K Pant, Sreedevi U., The autocatalytic methanol synthesis and oxygen vacancy role for Thermo-Catalytic CO/CO₂ Hydrogenation to methanol, **7th Green and Sustainable Chemistry Conference**, 2023, 22-24 May 2023, Dresden Germany.